

rates at all banks by including all large issuers and an appropriate sampling of other issuers.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 4, 1994.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 94-5464 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[GSA Bulletin FTR 11]

### Federal Travel Regulation; Subsistence Expense Payment Options for Attendance at a Conference

AGENCY: Federal Supply Service, GSA.

ACTION: Notice of bulletin.

**SUMMARY:** The attached bulletin clarifies subsistence expense payment options available under the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR) (41 CFR chapters 301-304) to agencies planning, or authorizing attendance at, a conference. This information will assist agencies in minimizing overall conference costs in the prevailing seasonal per diem rate environment.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This bulletin is effective January 1, 1994.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Larry A. Tucker, General Services Administration, Transportation Management Division (FBX), Washington, DC 20406, telephone 703-305-5745.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Areas with seasonal variations in lodging rates may offer cost effective choices for conferences, especially during the off-season. Avoiding them because of their resort connotations without consideration of overall conference costs is not prudent policy. Moreover, contracts negotiated by Federal agencies before implementation of new off-season per diem rates on January 1, 1994 (FTR Amendment 34 (58 FR 67950, Dec. 22, 1993)), should not automatically be terminated. If the total conference cost still is less than the alternatives, payment of actual subsistence expenses at a rate up to 150 percent of the applicable maximum per diem rate for the area may be authorized for attendees. The attached GSA Bulletin FTR 11 clarifies fiscally responsible methods of planning conferences and handling subsistence expense payments.

Dated: March 3, 1994.

Allan W. Beres,

Assistant Commissioner, Transportation and Property Management.

Attachment

## ATTACHMENT

[GSA Bulletin FTR 11]

March 3, 1994

To: Heads of Federal agencies

Subject: Subsistence expense payment options for attendance at a conference.

1. *Purpose.* This bulletin informs agencies of subsistence expense payment options available under the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR) (41 CFR chapters 301-304) to agencies planning, or authorizing attendance at, a conference. This information will assist agencies in minimizing overall conference costs in the prevailing seasonal per diem rate environment.

2. *Background.* The General Services Administration (GSA) recognizes that resort areas may offer attractive options for conference business when overall conference costs are considered, especially during the off-season. The FTR in Amendment 32 (58 FR 58234, Oct. 29, 1993) instructs agencies in § 301-16.4(a) to avail themselves of such cost savings opportunities. GSA issued FTR Amendment 32 to implement that portion of President Clinton's February 10, 1993, memorandum requiring Heads of agencies to exercise strict fiscal responsibility when selecting a conference site. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) amplified the President's direction in OMB Bulletin No. 93-11, issued April 19, 1993, requiring agencies to select conference sites that minimize conference costs. Additionally, GSA issued FTR Amendment 34 (58 FR 67950, Dec. 22, 1993) implementing seasonal locality per diem rates effective January 1, 1994. Agencies are responsible for diligently planning and managing conferences to effectively control associated subsistence costs.

3. *Reimbursement of subsistence expenses for conference attendance.*

a. GSA's implementation of seasonal locality per diem rates in FTR Amendment 34 may have significantly affected conference commitments agencies entered into prior to the January 1, 1994, effective date of the new amendment. For conferences scheduled in an area where the per diem rate was lowered, it appears some agencies assume their only recourse is to cancel their plans and move the conference to a new site. While in some cases this may be the prudent choice,

the same careful analysis of overall conference costs required by the FTR in the initial planning phase should be undertaken before any final decision is made about changing the conference site.

b. If a formal contract has been signed, its terms should dictate what happens in the event of a per diem rate change. In those cases where a fixed rate has been established, the costs of termination (if any) and the overall cost of shifting the conference to a new site should be considered before any final decision is made.

c. It may be that payment of an employee's actual subsistence expenses for conference attendance in a particular locality, in an amount up to 150 percent of the appropriate maximum per diem rate for the area, would result in lower overall costs to the Government than payment of per diem expenses for the employee to attend a conference scheduled in a locality with a higher per diem rate. If no other practical alternative is available, it would be prudent management for the agency to negotiate the best possible deal and, where appropriate, to authorize or approve payment of actual subsistence expenses in accordance with FTR §§ 301-8.3 and 301-16.4.

4. *Expiration date.* This bulletin expires on March 3, 1995.

5. *For further information contact.*

Larry A. Tucker, General Services Administration, Transportation Management Division (FBX), Washington, DC 20406, telephone 703-305-5745.

By delegation of the Commissioner, Federal Supply Service.

Allan W. Beres,

Assistant Commissioner, Transportation and Property Management.

[FR Doc. 94-5456 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-24F

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Office of the Secretary

#### Strengthening Community Supports for Youth Development

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, HHS.

ACTION: Request for applications to implement a strategy for strengthening community supports for youth development.

SUMMARY: The Department wishes to participate in a demonstration and evaluation of a long-term community

development process in behalf of at-risk youth in 3-5 communities with the majority of funding being provided by charitable foundations. We estimate that the scope and level of effort will require up to five years to accomplish and will cost approximately \$3-5 million for the first 24-30 months of work. Only one grant of \$100,000 per year for five years will be awarded.

**CLOSING DATES:** The closing date for submitting an application is May 9, 1994.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Grants Officer, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., room 405F, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Washington, DC 20201, Phone (202) 690-8794.

### Part I. Background

The Department has been participating in a broadly-based interdepartmental working group and internally reviewing its program and policy options to find ways of addressing the problems of teen crime and violence, teen parenthood, and other barriers to a successful transition to adulthood. These discussions have been conducted in multidimensional context. Teen violence has been described a top public health problem. The number of homicides and serious injuries because of violence among teenager has reached pandemic levels in some communities. For example, adolescents are 2½ times more likely to be victims of violent crimes than those over age 20. Homicide is the second leading cause of death for all youth ages 15-24 and is the leading cause of death for African-American youth in this age group. Welfare reform discussions have also highlighted the importance of preventing teen pregnancies. Finally the need to ensure that young people enter adulthood with competitive and marketable job skills in an era of global economic competition has never been greater.

At the same time that these problems of youth are engaging the urgent attention of this Department, we are also concerned about avoiding the mistakes of past programs and initiatives. The lessons of the past point to the need to seek comprehensive, community-based family-centered solutions for today's problems. Many of the problems of poor children and poor families are inseparable from the conditions of their communities. Individual issues of drug abuse, delinquency, school failure, teen pregnancy, and so on, cannot be addressed unless the community

poverty, violence, deterioration, and hopelessness that breeds these problems are also addressed. Any attempt to address these problems by working with youth in isolation from their families and communities will fail in the long term. While short term gains can be achieved and a few individuals can be "rescued" through high quality youth-focused intensive services, the core problems will not be addressed. These programs will never have enough resources to reach all the youth or even a majority of the youth who need help. We must begin devising policies and programs that respond not just to problems, not just individuals, not just families, but to whole communities as the client and subject of our concern. Large numbers of youth will not succeed until the environment within a local community supports positive youth development and a sufficiently strong social infrastructure exists to provide special help to those youth who need it.

The empowerment-zone and enterprise-community legislation enacted by Congress in August, 1993 encourages the development of more comprehensive, coordinated, and integrated approaches to serving low-income communities. While it is an opportunity to develop new and more local systems of human services—ones that are flexible, family focused, and community based, it is also an opportunity to realign the allocation of resources and accountability and develop new decision-making institutions which are in and of the communities they serve. Ideally, these broad-based community-level governing bodies would assume control and responsibility for dozens of separate special-purpose programs designed to address problems as if they were not interconnected. Accomplishing such fundamental structural changes will take years of effort and require repeated attempts. The scope of the task includes redefining the roles of the existing bureaucracies, renewal of the competencies of the helping professions, and the development of new measures and new tools for assuring accountability for positive outcomes.

The Department wishes to contribute to the process of building the capacities and infrastructure necessary for development of such community based programs, especially in the area of youth services. However it does not have funding for an independent effort at this time. Therefore it wishes to participate in an existing project as a first step in developing its own agenda for positive youth development.

### Part II. Required Characteristics of a Qualifying Demonstration Project

#### A. Demonstrations in Multiple Sites

The applicant should be prepared to conduct a minimum of five demonstration projects in geographically separate communities. The process of collaboration with each local community will respect the flexibility and uniqueness that each community requires, while ensuring that the results of local development decisions reflect a coherent theory built on the best knowledge and evidence available. This approach consciously avoids assigning specific responsibilities to specific institutions. These would vary according to the capacities and strengths of each community. But the need for all key youth-serving institutions—the schools, voluntary organizations, community-based agencies, employers—to be actively involved and supportive of changes in current practices if necessary, is critical.

#### B. Foundation Support for Demonstrations

The applicant must demonstrate sufficient financial support from private philanthropic foundations to carry out at least the first two years of the project. Written evidence of the commitment of financial resources from the major participants must be included with the application.

#### C. Focus on "Core Concepts"

The design and implementation of a long-term youth development initiative should be focused around a small number of substantive core concepts. These "core concepts" are to be derived from theory and research on how adolescents learn, on what motivates them, and on what socialization practices most effectively promote their healthy development.

These core concepts should not attempt to meet all of a youth's needs, but rather represent hard choices about what supports and opportunities are critical to successful adolescence and transition to economic self-sufficiency, are likely to be absent in most resource poor neighborhoods, and are within the influence of social policy. They should represent a hypothesis about the threshold content of social infrastructure necessary to secure positive teenage development and a successful transition to adulthood, for a substantial number of youth who would otherwise not succeed.

#### *D. Continuity From Early Adolescence Through Early Adulthood*

Most of the current programs provide for only time-limited interventions, e.g., special classes, summer camps or specific problem-solving activities, e.g., homelessness, pregnancy counseling. To borrow an analogy from the field of medical practice, most programs are akin to attempts at inoculations for communicable disease or emergency room treatment. There have been very few programs that attempt to provide continuity of support and opportunity through the whole period of transition from early adolescence to early adulthood. Few yet have attempted to make support and mentoring of youth a conscious part of community social infrastructure. Applicants should discuss whether continuous youth supports are necessary for positive adult outcomes and whether these supports can be organized on a community level.

#### *E. Support for Local Projects*

Previous experience in multisite demonstrations and replications also indicates the importance of providing to local initiatives certain kinds of support and assistance that local resources can rarely afford. Thus an applicant must demonstrate the ability to carry out or manage the following activities and roles to support local operations:

- \* The identification of and training in the use of "best practice" materials, curricula and training packages to provide content and boost local staff capacity in carrying out the five core concepts;

- \* The development and installation of an information system to assist in local decision-making, and provision of training in its installation and operation;

- \* Financial support for sites to implement core concept innovations that current public funding streams do not support;

- \* Support in securing local private resources necessary for staffing the local governance mechanism; and

- \* Assignment to each site of a staff member or consultant with substantial experience in multi-institution youth initiatives, to act as a sounding-board and broker on local issues, and provide a perspective independent of any specific local interest.

These functions are, in our experience, important to building local capacity for effective and institutionalized changes in practice.

#### *F. Community Empowerment*

In each community an organization which is representative of both public and private sectors and a variety of interests will be needed to direct the planning and implementation of the youth services project. The applicant should describe how it envisions the size and different levels within these

organizations, the kinds of representation that will be needed, the level at which local community leaders will be involved in the project and the efforts that will be made to involve the youth themselves in meaningful roles.

#### *G. Evaluation*

Each applicant must provide for an independent evaluation of the process of implementation itself. It is this process evaluation which will provide lessons for other communities.

The implementation evaluation should be conducted by an independent evaluation team of researchers experienced in process evaluations, implementation studies, case studies, and other field approaches. The evaluation should focus on describing both the anticipated and the unanticipated processes of the implementation of the core concept strategy. The purpose of the documentation and analysis of unanticipated implementation issues is not to derive a judgment about the original plans, but rather to develop a better understanding of the factors affecting implementation and to derive lessons for wider-scale application.

Some questions to consider include:

What factors influence the success or failure of collaborative attempts to develop community based service delivery mechanisms?

These factors should include environmental conditions of historical experience and political climate, membership characteristics including the degree to which members represent their community and the influence of shared or different cultural norms and values, factors related to decision making process and structure, factors related to communication, factors related to goals and purpose, and factors related to available resources such as skilled leadership, funding, and skilled staff.

What are the appropriate intermediate measures of progress toward becoming a positive environment for youth development? How will we know that conditions are improving?

Does collaboration on the community level reduce or eliminate the barriers to service commonly found in categorical funding? Do more youth and a greater diversity of youth receive services? What opportunities are presented by coordination of funding streams at the community level? What problems encountered? Does this result in increased funding or volume of services for youth?

What are the core or essential services that must be in place in a comprehensive program? Do programs

have to offer health, education, recreation, employment services? Should comprehensive programs attempt to address problems directly, e.g., pregnancy prevention and options counseling, substance abuse prevention and referrals.

#### **Part III. Organization of Applications—Outline of Narrative Description**

An application must contain the required Federal forms and a narrative description of proposed project. All pages of the narrative should be numbered consecutively. Each applicant must present their responses to the "Required Characteristics of a Qualifying Demonstration Project" delineated in part II within the structure presented below.

##### *A. Abstract*

Provide a one-page summary of the proposed project.

##### *B. Goals, Objectives and Need for the Project*

Include a brief overview which describes the need for the proposed project, justifies the approach to be taken, and identifies any theoretical or empirical basis for the approach proposed along with appropriate supporting citations of the pertinent professional literature. Present the goals of the implementation effort and related objectives in observable terms. These goals and objectives should be used in the development of the evaluation section.

##### *C. Strategic Plan*

Provide a description of how the proposed demonstration project will be implemented. It will be helpful if specific steps and milestones can be presented in the form of a series of Gantt or PERT charts.

##### *D. Evaluation*

Describe the level of effort and the resources that will be devoted to an independent evaluation of the project.

##### *E. Organizational Capacity*

Briefly describe the applicant's organizational capabilities and experience in conducting demonstration projects or programs involving local government, education, health, or human service agencies. Identify the key staff who are expected to carry out the demonstration project and provide a curriculum vitae for each person.

##### *F. Budget*

Submit a request for federal funds using Standard Form 424A and provide a proposed budget using the categories

listed on this form. Documentation must be included which substantiates the existence of a commitment by one or more private philanthropic foundations to provide at least \$3 million for implementation of the demonstration project.

#### Part IV. Receipt and Processing of Applications

##### A. Deadline for Submitting of Applications

The closing date for submittal of applications under this announcement is May 9, 1994. Applications must be postmarked or hand delivered to the application receipt point no later than 5 p.m. on the closing date. Applications which do not meet the deadline are disqualified and will not be considered further. DHHS will send a letter to this effect to each late applicant.

An application will be considered as meeting the deadline if it is either: (1) Received at, or hand-delivered to, the mailing address on or before the due date, or (2) postmarked before midnight of the deadline date and received in time to be considered during the competitive review process.

Hand-delivered applications will be accepted Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays during the working hours of 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. in the lobby of the Hubert H. Humphrey building located at 200 Independence Avenue, SW. in Washington, DC. When hand-delivering an application, call 690-8794 from the lobby for pick up. A staff person will be available to receive applications.

When mailing applications, applicants are strongly advised to obtain a legibly dated receipt from a commercial carrier (such as UPS, Federal Express, etc.) or from the U.S. Postal Service as proof of mailing by the deadline date. If there is a question as to when an application was mailed, applicants will be asked to provide proof of mailing by the deadline date. When proof is not provided, an application will not be considered for funding. Private metered postmarks are not acceptable as proof of timely mailing.

DHHS reserves the right to extend the deadline for all proposals due to acts of God, such as floods, hurricanes, or earthquakes; or if there is a widespread disruption of the mail; or if DHHS determines a deadline extension to be in the best interest of the government. However, DHHS will not waive or extend the deadline for any applicant unless the deadline is waived or extended for all applicants.

##### B. Initial Screening

Applications will be initially screened for compliance with the timeliness, completeness, and cost-sharing requirements. If judged in compliance, the application then will be reviewed by government personnel, augmented by outside experts where appropriate. Three (3) copies of each application are required. Applicants are encouraged to send an additional three (3) copies of their application to ease processing, but applicants will not be penalized if these extra copies are not included. There is no limitation on the length of the narrative; however extraneous materials such as videotapes and brochures should not be included and will not be reviewed.

##### C. Review Process and Evaluation Criteria

Applications will be evaluated by a panel of reviewers according to the criteria set forth below. Consequently, applicants should take care to ensure that all criteria are fully addressed in the application. The relative weights are shown in parentheses.

##### 1. Goals, Objectives, and Need for Assistance (10 points)

Are the goals and objectives presented in observable, measurable terms, and how well do they reflect the specific program requirements delineated in the grant announcement?

##### 2. Project Design and Approach (30 points)

Is the plan reasonable? Are the activities listed for each objective sufficiently detailed to ensure successful, timely implementation? Do they demonstrate an adequate level of understanding by the applicant of the practical problems involved in executing such a complex project? Is there substantive evidence that the advisory board and local community will be substantively involved in the project?

##### 3. Evaluation (10 points)

Does the applicant propose an independent evaluation of the implementation process? Does the applicant demonstrate an understanding of the practical difficulties of working with an independent evaluator and a resolve to successfully conduct the evaluation?

##### 4. Organizational Capacity (20 points)

Does the organization(s) have sufficient experience to ensure success? Is the collaborative decision making process described in terms that assure accountability to the communities and

families to be served? Are the number and type of staff positions sufficient to achieve project objectives?

##### 5. Budget (30 points)

Is the proposed budget reasonable and sufficient to ensure implementation? Are the required local matching funds being provided and in this commitment reliable? Are funds allocated to carry out the evaluation?

#### Part V. Other Notices and Requirements

##### A. Legislative Authority

The authority for this grant is contained in section 1110 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1310).

##### B. Applicable Regulations

1. "Grants Programs Administered by the Office of this Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation" (45 CFR part 63).

2. "Administration of Grants" (45 CFR part 74).

##### C. Eligible Applicants

Any agency of state or local government, university or other agency whether organized as a for-profit or as a not-for-profit corporation. However for-profit organizations are advised that no grant funds may be paid as profit to any recipient of a grant or subgrant. Profit is any amount in excess of allowable direct and indirect costs of the grantee.

##### D. Effective Date and Duration

1. The grants awarded pursuant to this announcement are expected to be made on or about June 1, 1994.

2. Projects will be twelve months in duration with funding for the second through the fifth year subject to a determination that continued support is in the interest of the government. The grantee may be required to provide an updated workplan toward the end of each budget period prior to receiving funding for the next year.

##### E. Statement of Funds Availability

1. Up to \$100,000 is available for one grant to be awarded in Fiscal Year 1994.

2. Nothing in this application should be construed as committing the Assistant Secretary to make any award.

##### F. Reports

The grantee must submit annual progress reports and a final report. The specific format and content for these reports will be provided by the project officer.

##### G. Application Instructions and Forms

Copies of applications should be requested from and submitted to: Grants

Officer, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., room 405F, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Washington, DC 20201, Phone (202) 690-8794. Questions concerning the preceding information should be submitted to the Grants Officer at the same address.

#### H. Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog

This program is not listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

#### I. State Single Point of Contact (E.O. 12372)

DHHS has determined that this program is not subject to Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," because it is a program that is national in scope and does not directly affect State and local governments. Applicants are not required to seek intergovernmental review of their applications within the constraints of E.O. 12372.

Dated: March 1, 1994.

David T. Ellwood,

Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc. 94-5549 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-60-M

#### Administration for Children and Families

##### Agency Information for Children and Families

Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), we have submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for the continued use of an information collection titled: "Monthly Flash Report of Selected AFDC Program Data". This information collection was approved under OMB Number 0970-0071 for use through March 31, 1994.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of this information collection request may be obtained from Edward E. Saunders, Office of Information Systems Management, ACF, by calling (202) 205-7921.

Written comments and questions regarding this request for approval for information collection should be sent directly to:

Laura Oliven, OMB Desk Officer for ACF, OMB Reports Management Branch, New Executive Office Building, room 3002, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395-7316.

#### Information on Document

**Title:** Monthly "Flash" Report of Selected AFDC Program Data.

**OMB Number:** 0970-0071.

**Description:** The authority for this information collection may be found at section 402(a)(6), Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and section 1602 under Title XVI, Aged, Blind and Disabled (AABD) of the Social Security Act. Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) is the nation's largest financial assistance program serving needy families with dependent children. AFDC's mission is two-fold: first, to assist families with dependent children to meet an immediate financial need and second, to help parents in these families become economically self-sufficient.

Recent caseload and expenditures statistics for Fiscal Year 1992 show:

#### AFDC Caseload

Average No. of Monthly Families, 4,768,495.

Average No. of Monthly Recipients, 13,625,342.

#### Benefit Expenditures

Total, \$21.9 billion.

Average Monthly Benefits (per Family), \$383.45.

Average Monthly Benefits (per Recipient), \$134.20.

Form ACF-3645 will be used by the States to provide preliminary monthly information on services provided to AFDC recipients including: numbers of AFDC families, adults, and children receiving cash assistance, the number of eligible families receiving emergency assistance payments, temporary housing, statistics on unemployment among AFDC parents, and caseload statistics, including the AFDC-Unemployed Parents and Basic segments under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act.

This information is electronically forwarded to the Office of Family Assistance where it is analyzed and circulated to key ACF headquarters and regional offices staff, DHHS administrative and budget offices, State welfare departments, OMB and Congressional committee staffs. This information is widely used for monitoring AFDC program trends and is an advance indicator of program activity and costs.

**Annual Number of Respondents:** 54.

**Annual Frequency:** 12.

**Average Burden Per Response:** 2.

**Total Burden Hours:** 1,296.

Dated: February 25, 1994.

Larry Guerrero,

Deputy Director, Office of Information System Management.

[FR Doc. 94-5590 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-M

#### Agency Information Collection Under OMB Review

Under the provision of the Federal Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), we have submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for approval for the continued use of an information collection for the Office of Family Assistance. This information collection titled: "Statistical Report of Recipients Under Public Assistance Program" (Form ACF-3637) was approved under OMB control number 0970-0008.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the information collection request may be obtained from Edward E. Saunders, Office of Information Systems Management, Administration for Children and Families, by calling (202) 205-7921.

Written comments and questions regarding this information collection should be sent directly to:

Laura Oliven, OMB Desk Officer for ACF, OMB Reports Management Branch, New Executive Office Building, room 3002, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395-7316.

#### Information on Document

**Title:** Statistical Report on Recipients Under Public Assistance Programs (Form ACF-3637).

**OMB No.:** 0970-0008.

**Description:** This collection of information is authorized by section 402(a)(6) of the Social Security Act which requires that all State agencies administering or supervising the administration of Federally-aided public assistance programs under Title IV-A (AFDC) of the Social Security Act provides for a system of reporting on the administration of this program. Furthermore, the Code of Federal Regulations 45 Public Welfare, section 205.60 requires that "The State agencies administering or supervising the administration of the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and Job Opportunities and Basic Skills (JOBS) programs in the States, and the Adult Assistance programs in Guam, Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands will maintain or supervise the maintenance of records necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the programs including records regarding applications, determination of eligibility, \* \* \* individual records are

kept which contain pertinent facts about each applicant and recipient and include information as to the date of application and date and basis of its disposition; and basis for discontinuing assistance."

Form ACF-3637 is designed to compile basic monthly information and reports the information on a quarterly basis on the number of cases, recipients, children and adults for both the AFDC Basic (non-UP) program and the unemployed parent program. The ACF-3637 is also used to compile information on Emergency Assistance cases, General Assistance cases and recipients, the number of cases and individuals required to participate in the JOBS program, the number of children receiving Transitional Child Care, the number of AFDC recipients under JOBS sanctions, and the number of never-married minor families and their total maintenance assistance payments. Guam, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands report the number of recipients in each of their adult programs monthly.

*Annual Number of Respondents:* 54.

*Annual Frequency:* 4.

*Average Burden Hours:* 34.

*Total Burden Hours:* 7,344.

Dated: February 25, 1994.

**Larry Guerrero,**

*Deputy Director, Office of Information Systems Management.*

[FR Doc. 94-5591 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-M

## Administration on Aging

### Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Clearance

**AGENCY:** Administration on Aging, HHS.

The Administration on Aging (AoA), Department of Health and Human Services, has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposals for the collection of information in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L. 96-511).

(1) *Title of Information Collection:* Program Performance Report, Title VI of the Older Americans Act (Grants to Native Americans for Supportive and Nutritional Services);

*Type of Request:* Extension;

*Use:* To monitor program operations, growth and results of Title VI funded activities, and to provide information for responses to inquiries;

*Frequency:* Semi-annually;

*Respondents:* Indian Tribes and Organizations Serving Native Hawaiians;

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 432;

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 648.

(2) *Title of Information Collection:*

Grants for State and Community Programs on Aging;

*Type of Request:* Extension and Revision;

*Use:* To implement new provisions of the Older Americans Act which require States to submit their intrastate funding formulas to the Assistant Secretary for Aging for approval, rather than only for review and comment;

*Frequency:* Annually, or every two, three or four years, depending on duration of State plans;

*Respondents:* State Agencies on Aging;

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 11;

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 1618.

(3) *Title of Information Collection:*

State Performance Report: Reporting Requirements for Titles III and VII of the Older Americans Act;

*Type of Request:* Extension and Revision;

*Use:* To revise an existing information collection form to conform to the newly-developed National Aging Program Information System (NAPIS) resulting from amendments to the Older Americans Act which directed the Administration on Aging to improve State reporting requirements;

*Frequency:* Annually;

*Respondents:* State Agencies on Aging;

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 57;

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:*

294,861.

(4) *Title of Information Collection:*

Certification of Maintenance of Effort;

*Type of Request:* Extension;

*Use:* To verify the amount of State expenditures to assure that States are in compliance with maintenance of effort requirements under the Older Americans Act;

*Frequency:* Annually;

*Respondents:* State Agencies on Aging;

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 57;

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 28.5.

*Additional Information or Comments:*

Call the Executive Secretariat of the Administration on Aging on (202) 260-0669 for copies of the clearance request packages. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections should be sent directly to the following address: OMB Reports Management Branch, Attention: Allison Eydt, New Executive Office Building, room 3208, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: March 4, 1994.

**William F. Benson,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Aging.*

[FR Doc. 94-5462 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

## Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

### Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) Data Collaboration; Meeting

The National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will convene the following meeting cosponsored by the Research Society on Alcoholism and the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.

*Name:* Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) Data Collaboration Meeting.

*Times and Dates:* 8 a.m.-5:30 p.m., March 17, 1994. 8:30 a.m.-12:30 p.m., March 18, 1994.

*Place:* Atlanta Marriott Marquis Hotel, 265 Peachtree Center Avenue, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

*Status:* Open to the public, limited only by the space available.

*Purpose:* The primary purpose of this meeting is to promote collaborative discussions about current issues in FAS, share information, and identify future collaborative activities among institutions and agencies currently involved in FAS research and program development.

*Matters to be Discussed:* Topics to be discussed include the possibilities of data-sharing among researchers, issues in case ascertainment of FAS, FAS case definition and screening, and frameworks for primary prevention of FAS.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

*Contact Person for More Information:* Louise Floyd, Ph.D., Chief, FAS Prevention Section, Developmental Disabilities Branch (F-15), NCEH, CDC, 4770 Buford Highway, NE., Chamblee, Georgia, 30341, telephone 404/488-7370.

Dated: March 4, 1994.

**Elvin Hilyer,**

*Associate Director for Policy Coordination, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).*

[FR Doc. 94-5500 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-M

## Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 94N-0022]

### Exxon Chemical Co.; Filing of Food Additive Petition

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Exxon Chemical Co. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of hydrogenated cyclodiene resins as a component of polypropylene homopolymer or a copolymer of propylene and ethylene containing not less than 94 weight percent propylene for use in contact with food.

**DATES:** Written comments on the petitioner's environmental assessment by April 11, 1994.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 1-23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julius Smith, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-216), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-254-9500.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5) (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a food additive petition (FAP 4B4411) has been filed by Exxon Chemical Co., P.O. Box 241, Baton Rouge, LA 70821. The petition proposes that the food additive regulations in § 177.1520 *Olefin polymers* (21 CFR 177.1520) be amended to provide for the safe use of hydrogenated cyclodiene resins as a component of polypropylene homopolymer or a copolymer of propylene and ethylene containing not less than 94 weight percent propylene for use in contact with food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. To encourage public participation consistent with regulations promulgated under the National Environmental Policy Act, (40 CFR 1501.4(b)), the agency is placing the environmental assessment submitted with the petition that is the subject of this notice on public display at the Dockets Management Branch (address above) for public review and comment. Interested persons may, on or before April 11, 1994, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. FDA will also place on public display any amendments to, or comments on, the petitioner's environmental assessment

without further announcement in the Federal Register. If, based on its review, the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the Federal Register in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: March 1, 1994.

**L. Robert Lake,**

*Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.*

[FR Doc. 94-5450 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

#### National Institutes of Health

#### National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders; Meeting of the Research Priorities Subcommittee of the National Deafness and Other Communication Disorders Advisory Board

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Research Priorities Subcommittee of the National Deafness and Other Communication Disorders Advisory Board on March 11, 1994. The meeting will take place from 1 p.m. to 1:45 p.m. in Room 3C05, C-Wing, Building 31, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, and will be conducted as a telephone conference with the use of a speaker phone.

The meeting, which will be open to the public from 1 p.m. to 1:20 p.m., is being held to discuss new developments in the field of smell, taste and touch since the National Strategic Research Plan for that area was developed. Attendance by the public will be limited to the space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in section 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S.C. and section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public from 1:20 p.m. to adjournment for the discussion and recommendation of individuals to serve as consultants to the Research Priorities Subcommittee. This discussion could reveal personal information concerning these individuals, disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Summaries of the Subcommittee's meeting and a roster of members may be obtained from Ms. Monica Davies, Executive Director, National Deafness and Other Communication Disorders Advisory Board, Building 31, Room 3C08, National Institutes of Health,

Bethesda, Maryland 20892, (301) 402-1129, upon request.

Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the Executive Director in advance of the meeting.

Due to technical difficulties during the February 25, 1994, meeting, an additional meeting had to be scheduled within two weeks of the original meeting to complete Subcommittee business.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.173, Biological Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders.)

Dated: March 4, 1994.

**Susan K. Feldman,**

*Committee Management Officer, NIH.*

[FR Doc. 94-5751 Filed 3-9-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

#### Prospective Grant of Exclusive License: Recombinant Pseudomonas Exotoxin Immunoconjugate Specifically Directed Against the Lewis Y Antigen

**AGENCY:** National Institutes of Health, Public Health Services, DHHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This is a notice in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(c)(1) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i) that the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Health and Human Services, is contemplating the grant of a limited field of use exclusive license in the United States to practice the invention embodied in U.S. Patent Application Number 07/596,289 entitled "Antibodies Specific for Normal Primate Tissue, Malignant Human Cultured Cell Lines and Human Tumors", to Boehringer Mannheim Pharmaceutical Corporation having a place of business in Rockville, MD. The patent rights in these inventions have been assigned to the United States of America. This notice supersedes and replaces the notice contemplating the grant of a coexclusive license to Boehringer Mannheim Pharmaceutical Corporation and NeoRX which was published at 58 FR 38581 on July 19, 1993.

The prospective exclusive license will be royalty-bearing and will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. The prospective exclusive license may be granted unless, within 60 days from the date of this published Notice, NIH receives written evidence and argument that establishes that the grant of the licenses would not