

Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street, NE, Washington, DC, for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the above-referenced dockets.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant as defined in 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 385.214) prior to attending.

For additional information please contact Michael D. Coteleur, [202] 208-1076, or Donald Williams [202] 208-0743.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-29186 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP91-72-009]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Filing of Report of Refund

November 18, 1994.

Take notice that on September 23, 1994, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), filed with the Commission in Docket No. RP91-72-009, its Report of Distribution of Refunds of Order No. 528 Take-or-Pay refunds from Koch Gateway Pipeline Company.

Texas Eastern states that it refunded \$68,621,515.91 to the Texas Eastern Customer Group on September 23, 1994. Texas Eastern states that the refund is in compliance with the provisions of §§ 3.1 and 3.2 of Article III of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by Commission Order issued August 4, 1994 in Docket No. RP91-72 et al.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before November 28, 1994. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-29158 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP91-72-010]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Filing of Report of Refund

November 18, 1994.

Take notice that on October 7, 1994, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), filed with the Commission in Docket No. RP91-72-010, its Report of the Distribution of Refunds of Order No. 528 Take-or-Pay refunds from Texas Gas Transmission Corporation.

Texas Eastern states that it refunded \$4,062,145.78 to the Texas Eastern Customer Group on October 7, 1994. Texas Eastern states that the refund is in compliance with the provisions of § 3.3 of Article III of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by Commission Order issued August 4, 1994 in Docket No. RP91-72 et al.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before November 28, 1994. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-29159 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[RP94-164-000]

Trunkline Gas. Co.; Informal Settlement Conference

November 21, 1994.

Take notice that an informal settlement conference will be convened in these proceedings on November 30, 1994 at 9:00 a.m. at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20426, for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the issues in this proceeding.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

For additional information, contact Marc G. Denking (202) 208-2215 or Edith A. Gilmore (202) 208-2158.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-29188 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Office of Fossil Energy

[FE Docket No. EA-66-B]

Application To Export Electricity; Citizens Utilities Company

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.
ACTION: Notice of Application.

SUMMARY: Citizens Utilities Company (Citizens) has requested authorization to export electric energy to Canada.

DATES: Comments, protests, or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before January 27, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments, protests, or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Electricity (FE-52), Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Russell (Program Office) 202-586-9624 or Michael T. Skinker (Program Attorney) 202-586-6667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Exports of electricity from the United States to a foreign country are regulated and require authorization under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

On October 12, 1994, Citizens filed an application with the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) for authorization to export electric energy to Canada pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act. Citizens has requested authority to export up to 50,000 megawatt-hours (MWH) per year of electric energy to Hydro-Quebec at a maximum rate of transmission of 50 megawatts (MW). Citizens proposes to use the existing 120,000-volt transmission facilities at Derby Line, Vermont, to affect the export. The construction, connection, operation, and maintenance of these facilities were authorized by Presidential Permit PP-66, issued by the DOE on June 21, 1979.

Citizens and Hydro-Quebec have had an interconnection agreement since January 25, 1983, which among other things, provides for mutual assistance during emergencies. On March 31, 1993, in Order DOE/FE EA-66, and again on May 28, 1993, in Order DOE/FE EA-66-A, FE granted Citizens temporary

authority to export to Hydro-Quebec in order to supply electric service to Canadian customers during a maintenance outage of Hydro-Quebec's Stanstead substation. Citizens now seeks permanent authority to export to Hydro-Quebec under circumstances similar to those authorized in Orders EA-66 and EA-66-A or because of emergency conditions.

Procedural Matters

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this application should file a petition to intervene or protest at the address provided above in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214).

Any such petitions and protests should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above. Additional copies of such petitions to intervene or protests also should be filed directly with: Craig A. Marks, Senior Counsel, Citizens Utilities Company, 1233 West Bank Expressway, Harvey, La. 70059, (504) 367-7000, ext. 235; Kimberly M. Kiener, Director Regulatory Affairs, Electric, Citizens Utilities Company, 4255 Stockton Hill Road, Kingman, Az. 86401, (602) 692-2787; and James P. Avery, Vice President, Energy, Citizens Utilities Company 1233 West Bank Expressway, Harvey, La. 70059, (504) 367-7000, ext. 210.

Pursuant to 18 CFR 385.211, protests and comments will be considered by the DOE in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene under 18 CFR 385.214. Section 385.214 requires that a petition to intervene must state, to the extent known, the position taken by the petitioner and the petitioner's interest in sufficient factual detail to demonstrate either that the petitioner has a right to participate because it is a State Commission; that it has or represents an interest which may be directly affected by the outcome of the proceeding, including any interest as a consumer, customer, competitor, or a security holder of a party to the proceeding; or that the petitioner's participation is in the public interest.

A final decision will be made on this application after a determination is made by the DOE that the proposed action will not impair the sufficiency of electric supply within the United States or will not impede or tend to impede the coordination in the public interest of facilities in accordance with section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

Before an export authorization may be issued, the environmental impacts of the proposed DOE action (i.e., granting the export authorization, with any conditions and limitations, or denying it) must be evaluated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 18, 1994.

Anthony J. Como,

Director, Office of Coal & Electricity, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.
[FR Doc. 94-29196 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5113-3]

Acid Rain Program; Notice of Final Permits

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of permits.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving 5-year sulfur dioxide compliance plans, according to the Acid Rain Program regulations (40 CFR part 72), for the following 15 utility plants: Collins, Crawford, Fisk, Joliet 9, Joliet 29, Powerton, Waukegan and Will County in Illinois; Petersburg and State Line in Indiana; Allen S King, Black Dog in Minnesota; High Bridge, Riverside, and Sherburne County in Minnesota; and Poston in Ohio.

These final permits were initially issued as direct final actions and were subsequently withdrawn and re-proposed as draft permits because of the submission of significant, adverse comments objecting to the issuance of the direct final permits. EPA's responses to the original objections and to all comments submitted during the comment periods for these permits can be found in the public dockets for each permit. Contact the Regional staff listed below for more information.

The final permits set forth in this notice are based on and are consistent with the Partial Settlement Agreement in *Environmental Defense Fund v. Carol M. Browner*, No. 93-1203 (D.C. Cir. 1993), which the Administrator determined to be a reasonable resolution of certain litigation issues concerning the Acid Rain regulations and which was signed on behalf of the

Administrator on May 4, 1994. Further, the final permit for Petersburg is also consistent with provisions in § 72.42 of the Acid Rain regulations that have been challenged in a petition for review. Pursuant to the delegation of authority from the Administrator, it has been found, under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, that each of these permits is based on a determination of nationwide scope and effect. Consequently, under section 307(b)(1), petitions for judicial review of final agency action in these proceedings must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit within 60 days after the date that a notice of the final agency action is published in the **Federal Register**. Filing a petition for reconsideration of the Administrator of the final agency action does not affect the finality of the action for the purposes of judicial review, extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, or postpone the effectiveness of the action. Under section 307(b)(2) of the Act, the final agency action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact the following persons for more information about a permit listed in this notice: For plants in Illinois, Cecilia Mijares, (312) 886-0968; in Indiana, Genevieve Nearmyer, (312) 353-4761; in Minnesota, Allan Batka, (312) 886-7316; and in Ohio, Franklin Echevarria, (312) 886-9653.

ADDRESS: EPA Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

Dated: November 14, 1994.

Brian J. McLean,

Director, Acid Rain Division, Office of Atmospheric Programs, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 94-29151 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5113-6]

Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee Meeting; Open Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will meet on December 12 and 13, 1994 at the Sheraton Imperial Hotel and Convention Center, 4700 Emperor Boulevard, Morrisville, NC (919) 941-5050. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and end no later than 5:00 p.m. on

both days (times noted are Eastern Time). The meeting is open to the public. Due to limited space, seating at the meeting will be on a first-come first-served basis. **Important Notice:** Documents that are the subject of SAB reviews are normally available from the originating EPA office and are not available from the SAB Office—information concerning document availability from the relevant Program area is included.

Purpose of the Meeting

The Committee will meet to:

1—Review and provide advice to the EPA on the October 1994 draft EPA document, Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide: Assessment of Scientific and Technical Information - Draft Staff Paper (this issue is presently scheduled for the morning session on December 12th). Single copies of this document may be obtained from Chebryll C. Edwards, Air Quality Strategies and Standards Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (MD-12), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Mrs. Edwards can also be reached by phone at (919) 541-5428 or by FAX at (919) 541-0237. Written comments on the draft staff paper will be accepted through January 15, 1995. Comments should be sent to Mrs. Chebryll C. Edwards at the previously stated address.

2—Discuss EPA plans for development of the document Air Quality Criteria for Airborne Particulate Matter. That document is being prepared by EPA as part of the process to meet Clean Air Act statutory requirements for the periodic review and revision, as appropriate, of criteria and National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Particulate Matter. The process for developing the subject criteria document is described in a draft document entitled Program Work Plan for Preparation of Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter, which will be summarized and discussed at the meeting. Single copies of the draft Work Plan can be obtained from Ms. Diane Ray, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office (MD-52), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Ms. Ray can also be reached by phone at 919-541-3637 or by FAX at 919-541-1818. In addition, the CASAC will be briefed on the plan for the development of the associated particulate matter staff paper. Questions concerning the Staff Paper Development Plan should be addressed to Mr. Eric Smith, Air Quality Strategies and Standards Division (MD-15), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Mr. Smith can also be

reached by phone at 919-541-5135 or by FAX at 919-541-0237.

3—Finally, a summary of the EPA's Particulate Matter (PM) Research Strategy for Health Exposure Issues will also be presented to the Committee. A strategy document has been prepared which briefly describes the problem of concern, the research mission, the research program goals and objectives, presents a research planning framework, lists criteria for ranking research and identifies research priorities. The strategy articulated in the document will be used: (a) To focus EPA's PM research efforts on issues surrounding recent mortality and morbidity observations associated with PM; (b) to structure and guide EPA's research activities over the next five years; and (c) to communicate and coordinate with other public and private research organizations and, thereby, help shape a national PM research agenda. Copies of the strategy document can be obtained from Ms. Diane Ray, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office (MD-52), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Ms. Ray can also be reached by phone at 919-541-3637 or by FAX at 919-541-1818.

The Committee anticipates a full, formal review of the document Air Quality Criteria for Airborne Particulate Matter at a meeting in the summer of 1995. Further information on that meeting will be available in early spring 1995. Please contact the SAB staff at one of the numbers listed below at that time for further information.

For Further Information

Members of the public desiring additional information about the meeting should contact Mr. Robert Flaak, Assistant Staff Director and Acting Designated Federal Official, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee, Science Advisory Board (1400F), U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, by telephone at (202) 260-6552, or by FAX at (202) 260-7118, or via the INTERNET at FLAAK.ROBERT@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV. Those individuals requiring a copy of the draft Agenda should contact Ms. Lori Anne Gross at (202) 260-8414 or by FAX at (202) 260-1889 or by way of INTERNET at GROSS.LORI@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV. Additional information concerning the Science Advisory Board, its structure, function, and composition, may be found in The Annual Report of the Staff Director which is available by contacting Ms. Gross at the previously stated address.

Members of the public who wish to make a brief oral presentation to the

Committee must contact Mr. Flaak in writing (by letter or by fax - see previously stated information) no later than 12 noon Eastern Time, Friday, December 2, 1994 in order to be included on the Agenda. Public comments will be limited to five minutes per speaker or organization. The request should identify the name of the individual who will make the presentation, the organization (if any) they will represent, any requirements for audio visual equipment (e.g., overhead projector, 35mm projector, chalkboard, etc.), and at least 35 copies of an outline of the issues to be addressed or a copy of the presentation itself.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. In general, each individual or group making an oral presentation will be limited to a total time of five minutes. For conference call meetings, opportunities for oral comment are limited to no more than five minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Written comments of any length (at least 35 copies) received in the SAB Staff Office sufficiently prior to a meeting date, may be mailed to the relevant SAB committee or subcommittee prior to its meeting; comments received too close to the meeting date will normally be provided to the committee at its meeting. Written comments may be provided to the relevant committee or subcommittee up until the time of its meeting, unless other publicly announced arrangements have been made.

Dated: November 16, 1994.

A. Robert Flaak,
Acting Staff Director, Science Advisory Board.
[FR Doc. 94-29153 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[OPP-50799; FRL-4903-9]

Receipt of a Notification to Conduct Small-Scale Field Testing of a Genetically-Engineered Microbial Pesticide

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has received from American Cyanamid Company of New Jersey a notification (241-NMP-E) of intent to conduct small-scale field testing involving a baculovirus

Autographa californica Multiple Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus (ACMNPV) which has been genetically engineered to contain an insect-specific protein toxin from the venom of the scorpion *Androctonus australis*. American Cyanamid intends to test this microbial pesticide on lettuce, cabbage and leafy vegetables in the states of Florida and Texas. Target pests for these field trials include the cabbage looper and the tobacco budworm. The Agency has determined that the application may be of regional and national significance. Therefore, in accordance with 40 CFR 172.11(a), the Agency is soliciting public comments on this application. DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 28, 1994.

ADDRESSES: Comments in triplicate, must bear the docket control number OPP-50799 and be submitted to: Public Docket and Freedom of Information Section, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person bring comments to: Rm. 246, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Information submitted in any comment(s) concerning this notice may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as "Confidential Business Information" (CBI). Information so marked, will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment(s) that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice to the submitter. Information on the proposed test and all written comments will be available for public inspection in Rm. 246 at the Virginia address given above, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Phil Hutton, Product Manager (PM) 18, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Rm. 213, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA (703-305-7690).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A notification of intent to conduct small-scale field testing pursuant to EPA's Statement of Policy entitled, "Microbial Products Subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act and the Toxic Substances Control

Act," published in the Federal Register of June 26, 1986 (51 FR 23313), was received on September 29, 1994, from American Cyanamid Company of New Jersey (NMP No. 241-NMP-E). The proposed small-scale field trial involves the introduction of a genetically-engineered isolate of the baculovirus *Autographa californica* Multiple Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus (ACMNPV). The strain to be tested (VEGTDEL-AaIT) has been genetically modified with approximately 1 kilobase internal deletion in the ecdysteroid UDP-glucosyltransferase gene and an inserted gene which encodes an insect specific toxin protein from the venom of the scorpion *Androctonus australis*.

The purpose of the proposed testing will be to evaluate the efficacy of this genetically-altered ACMNPV (relative to the gene-deleted construct and a commercial *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticide) against certain lepidopteran species (*Trichoplusia ni* (cabbage looper and *Heliothis virescens* (tobacco budworm)) on lettuce, cabbage, and leafy vegetables.

The proposed program consists of two field trials to be conducted late 1994 or early 1995 (depending upon pest infestation levels) in Florida and Texas. Both sites will be located on secured research farmland. The test will consist of a maximum of four treatments with four plots per treatment and a maximum of six applications per treatment. The maximum size of a given treatment plot in each test will be 0.018 acres (4 rows wide x 60 ft. long). The total acreage treated with the genetically modified construct will consist of 0.44 acres. Treatments will be applied to plots in the test area using ground equipment: small tractor sprayers or CO₂ driven backpack sprayers.

Soil monitoring will take place both during the test and approximately 1 month after the crops are destroyed. Baculovirus present in the soil (if any) will be detected via bioassay and identified genetically using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) technique. Upon completion of the trial, crops will undergo crop destruction at the test site and adjacent buffer zones. Wild-type ACMNPV will be oversprayed if the genetic construct is detected in the area 100 feet from the site of application. Following the review of American Cyanamid Company's application and any comments received in response to this notice, EPA will decide whether or not an experimental use permit is required.

Dated: November 14, 1994.

Stephen L. Johnson,
Director, Registration Division, Office of
Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 94-29150 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-60-F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review

November 16, 1994.

The Federal Communications Commission has submitted the following information collection requirements to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3507).

Copies of these submissions may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 857-3800. For further information on these submissions contact Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-0214. Persons wishing to comment on these information collections should contact Timothy Fain, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10214 NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395-3561.

OMB Number: 3060-0029.

Title: Application for TV Broadcast Station License.

Form Number: FCC Form 302-TV.

Action: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Non-profit institutions, and businesses or other for-profit (including small businesses).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Estimated Annual Burden: 54 responses, 20.25 hours average burden per response, 1,094 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: On 7/16/92, the OMB approved for use a new FCC Form 302-FM (3060-0506) to be used by licensees and permittees to apply for a new or modified FM license. The FCC Form 302-FM was created through the Total Quality Management (TQM) process. At the time of approval, the current FCC 302 was to be used only for the AM and TV services. At this time, the Commission is separating the AM and TV services into separate forms. The FCC Form 302-TV will use the current OMB control number (3060-0029). Licensees and permittees of TV broadcast stations are required to file FCC Form 302-TV to obtain a new or

modified station license, and/or to notify the Commission of certain changes in the licensed facilities of these stations. The data is used by FCC staff to confirm that the station has been built to terms specified in the outstanding construction permit, and to update FCC station files. Data is then extracted from the FCC Form 302-TV for inclusion in the subsequent license to operate the station. The FCC Form 302-AM will be submitted to OMB as a new collection and will require a new OMB control number.

OMB Number: 3060-0484.

Title: Amendment of Part 63 of the Commission's Rules to Provide for Notification by Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Section 63.100).

Action: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and Other: Initial report due 120 minutes or three days after incident depending on the number of potentially affected customers and type of disruption. Final report due 30 days after initial report.

Estimated Annual Burden: 208 responses; 5 hours average burden per response; hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: Section 63.100 previously required that "any local exchange or interexchange common carrier that operates transmission or switching facilities and provides access service or interstate or international telecommunications service that experiences an outage which potentially affects 50,000 or more of its customers on any facilities which it owns or operates must notify the Commission if such service outage continues for 30 or more minutes. Satellite carriers and cellular carriers were exempt from this reporting requirement." An initial and a final report is required for each outage. In addition to those changes made in Section 63.100 in the Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O) and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) adopted by the Commission on 11/5/93, pursuant to the present Order and previously approved by OMB, the amendments to this rule requires carriers to report 911 outages when more than 25% of the lines serving a PSAP are affected; to indicate, when specifying the types of services affected by any reportable outage and 911 is one of those services, whether more than 25% of the lines to any PSAP were disrupted; to provide 911 managers, when more than 25% of the lines to a 911 PSAP are affected, with any available information that will help those managers mitigate the effects of

the outage on 911 callers; and to report all fire-related incidents affecting 1,000 or more lines. In the NPRM, it was proposed that carriers report fire-related incidents affecting 100 or more lines, 911 outages were to be reported under different criteria, carriers were not specifically asked to include information as to the percentage of affected lines serving a PSAP in their reports (though "all available information" was required), the carriers were not asked to give PSAP management available information that would help mitigate the affects of an outage. See Appendix A for the rules and requirements.

These changes will eliminate confusion in the proposed 911 reporting requirements that resulted in unnecessary and even false 911 outage reports, eliminate unnecessary reports of small unavoidable fires not related to any carrier activity, provide the Commission with information as to the severity of the 911 effects of large outages that are reported under numerical thresholds rather than as special facilities outages. As a whole, the amendments to Section 63.100 will enable the Commission to become aware of significant outages at the earliest possible time so that we may monitor developments; to serve as a source of information for the public; to encourage and, where appropriate, to assist in dissemination of information to those affected; to take immediate steps, as needed, and after analyzing the information submitted, to determine what, if any, other action is required. After extensive study, the additional reporting requirements will increase the monitoring capacity of the FCC to include all *tandems* that form the major interexchange carrier networks and 41% of the total access lines of the twelve major local exchange carriers. In addition, the reporting of outages affecting "special" facilities will add another 9.5 million lines to the FCC's monitoring capacity. This will allow the FCC to monitor through the required reports outages affecting approximately half of the total access lines of the twelve major local exchange carriers, almost a tripling of present coverage. With the additional coverage, the FCC will be able to perform the functions mentioned above far more efficiently. The reports for fire-related incidents will allow the Commission to monitor the efficiency of network fire prevention and control systems in the absence of major fire-caused outages. This is necessary because fire-caused outages are especially rare but especially extensive. The extent and gravity of

outages over the last few years shows the depth of the need for FCC monitoring of outages to maintain a reliable telecommunications network.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-29119 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Fee for Services To Support FEMA's Offsite Radiological Emergency Preparedness (REP) Program

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with FEMA's interim rule, 44 CFR part 354, published in the *Federal Register* on July 1, 1993 (58 FR 35770), FEMA has established a fiscal year (FY) 1994 hourly rate of \$120.79 for assessing and collecting fees from Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensees for services provided by FEMA personnel for FEMA's REP Program.

DATES: The user fee hourly rate is effective for FY 1994 (October 1, 1993 to September 30, 1994).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Vasta, Chief, Regulatory Services Coordination Unit, Preparedness, Training and Exercises Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-4570.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As authorized by Public Law 103-124 (107 Stat. 1297), an hourly user fee rate of \$120.79 will be charged to NRC licensees of commercial nuclear power plants for all site-specific and generic services provided by FEMA personnel for FEMA's REP Program under the interim rule, 44 CFR part 354, published in the *Federal Register* on July 1, 1993 (58 FR 35770). All funds collected under this rule will be deposited in the U.S. Department of the Treasury to offset appropriated funds obligated by FEMA for its REP Program.

The hourly rate is established on the basis of the methodology set forth in the referenced FEMA interim rule at 44 CFR 354.4(a), "Determination of costs for FEMA personnel," and will be used to assess and collect fees for site-specific and generic services rendered by FEMA personnel. For FY 1994, the total Salaries and Expenses funds obligated for FY 1994 was \$5,941,306.82 and the total number of site-specific hours

expended was 49,186. Applying the formula set forth in the interim rule, the FY 1994 hourly rate is \$120.79.

The establishment of this hourly rate is intended only to address charges to NRC licensees for services provided by FEMA personnel, not FEMA charges for services provided by FEMA contractors, which will be charged under the interim rule at 44 CFR 354.4 (b) and (c) for the recovery of appropriated funds obligated for the Emergency Management Planning and Assistance (EMPA) portion of FEMA's REP Program budget.

On May 19, 1994, FEMA published in the *Federal Register* (59 FR 26350) a notice continuing the FY 1993 methodology to establish the fee for services to support FEMA's offsite REP activities. This notice stated that FEMA would be doing a mid-year user fee billing for FY 1994 using the FY 1993 hourly user fee rate of \$122.88 and that any billing adjustments necessary after calculating the actual FY 1994 hourly rate would be made after the end of FY 1994. The hourly rate of \$120.79 is the final hourly rate for FY 1994 and adjustments will be made using this rate.

On July 27, 1994, FEMA published in the *Federal Register* (59 FR 38306) a proposed final user fee rule that revised the methodology contained in the interim rule. The final rule will be published when FEMA has completed its analysis of public comments received in response to the proposed rule.

Dated: November 22, 1994.

Kay C. Goss,

Associate Director.

[FR Doc. 94-29180 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-20-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

First Deposit Bancshares, Inc.; Notice of Application to Engage *de novo* in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than December 9, 1994.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis
(Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166:

1. *First Deposit Bancshares, Inc.*, Tompkinsville, Kentucky; to engage *de novo* in South Central Savings Bank, FSB, Edmonton, Kentucky. Applicant is proposing to establish, own, control and operate a *de novo* federal savings bank, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(9) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 21, 1994.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 94-29177 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

First State Bancorporation, Inc.; Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies; Correction

This notice corrects a notice (FR Doc. 94-25276) published on page 51979 of the issue for Thursday, October 13, 1994.

Under the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City heading, the entry for First State Bancorporation, Inc., is revised to read as follows:

1. *First State Bancorporation, Inc.*, Taos, New Mexico; to retain 33 percent limit partnership interest in Credit Card Services, Ltd., Las Vegas, Nevada,

whose general partner is Anderson's Advisors, Inc., a Nevada corporation, and thereby engage *de novo* through a joint venture in providing services related to credit card transactions and extensions of credit pursuant to § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR § 225.25(b)(1)). These services would consist of processing applications for credit cards, embossing, encoding, and delivering credit cards to approved customers, sending bills to cardholders, receiving payments from cardholders and remitting such payments to issuing banks, and processing credit card transactions initiated at merchant locations (including authorization and payment functions).

Comments on this application must be received no later than December 12, 1994.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 21, 1994.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 94-29178 Filed 11-25-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

West Town Bancorp, Inc.; Formation of, Acquisition by, or Merger of Bank Holding Companies; and Acquisition of Nonbanking Company

The company listed in this notice has applied under § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) to become a bank holding company or to acquire voting securities of a bank or bank holding company. The listed company has also applied under § 225.23(a)(2) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies, or to engage in such an activity. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to