

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing AD 73-05-03, Amendment 39-1658, and adding a new AD to read as follows:

De Havilland: Docket No. 91-CE-21-AD. Supersedes AD 73-05-03, Amendment 39-1658.

Applicability: Models DHC-6-1, DHC-6-100, DHC-6-200, and DHC-6-300 airplanes (serial numbers 1 to 330), certificated in any category, that have not incorporated Modification 6/1301 in accordance with the instructions in Part C of de Havilland Service Bulletin (SB) 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent cracking of the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps, which could result in loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, inspect both wing rear spar attachment caps, part number (P/N) C6WM1032, for cracks in accordance with paragraph A of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991. The exposure time of Inspection Method A.1 (Radiographic) shall be 120 seconds instead of 60 seconds for the inboard X-ray tube location, and the X-ray beam angle shall be decreased from 10 degrees to 5 degrees for all X-ray tube locations.

(1) If cracking is not detected, reinspect each cap every 1,200 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(2) If spanwise cracking is detected outboard of the 10th rivet, accomplish the following:

(i) For cracks that have the following (the criteria of paragraph (c) in the Compliance section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991):

First to tenth rivet. No cracks.

11th to 29th rivet. One cracked pitch (the distance between adjacent rivet holes) in ten pitches with four uncracked pitches minimum between cracks.

30th to 69th rivet. Two cracked pitches in ten pitches with four uncracked pitches minimum between cracks.

70th to 74th (end). One cracked pitch.

Repeat the inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 100 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(ii) For cracks found outboard of the 10th rivet that run only between two adjacent rivets provided not more than four such cracks exist in an attachment cap and a minimum of two rivet pitch lengths of uncracked material separates cracks (the criteria of paragraph (b) in the Compliance section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295), repeat the inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 600 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(iii) For cracks that meet or exceed the criteria of paragraphs (b) or (c) in the Compliance section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, prior to further flight, reinforce the spar cap in accordance with paragraph B of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, and reinspect thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,200 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(3) If spanwise cracking is detected inboard of the third rivet, or if a chordwise crack is detected, or if the total length of cracks on a cap exceeds 50 inches, prior to further flight, replace the spar cap with a Modification 6/1301 cap in accordance with paragraph C of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991.

(4) If spanwise cracking is detected between the third and tenth rivet, prior to further flight, reinforce the spar cap in accordance with paragraph B of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, and reinspect inboard of the alter attachment caps at intervals not to exceed 50 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(5) If cracking exceeds 30 inches but does not exceed 50 inches, prior to further flight, reinforce the spar cap in accordance with paragraph B of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, and reinspect the spar cap at intervals not to exceed 600 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(b) Within 100 hours after the effective date of this AD and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,200 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by

paragraph (c) of this AD, inspect the splice plates of the vertical and horizontal legs of the rear spar fitting at Wing Stations 87 to 91 for cracks or elongated rivet holes. Prior to further flight, replace any part that is cracked or has elongated rivet holes with a serviceable part.

(c) Within 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, replace both wing rear spar caps with a Modification 6/1301 spar cap in accordance with paragraph C of the Accomplishment Instructions in de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, unless already accomplished in accordance with paragraph (a) (3) of this AD.

(d) Incorporating Modification 6/1301 on both wing rear spar caps in accordance with paragraph C of the Accomplishment Instructions in de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, is considered terminating action for the inspection requirements of this AD.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, 181 South Franklin Avenue, Room 202, Valley Stream, New York 11581. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

(g) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to de Havilland, Inc., 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5 Canada; or may examine this document at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 25, 1994.

John R. Colomy,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 94-26877 Filed 10-28-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[AL-37-1-5925b; FRL-5090-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans Alabama: Title V, Section 507, Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Alabama for the purpose of establishing a Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program (PROGRAM), which will be fully implemented by November 15, 1994. In the final rules section of this *Federal Register*, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by November 30, 1994.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Kimberly Bingham, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region IV Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Copies of the material submitted by the State of Alabama may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Office of General Counsel,

1751 Congressman W.L. Dickinson Drive, Montgomery, Alabama 36130.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kimberly Bingham, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region IV Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 ext. 4195.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this *Federal Register*.

Dated: October 4, 1994.

Patrick M. Tobin,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 94-26841 Filed 10-28-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[NC-064-1-6408b; FRL-5092-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans North Carolina: Approval of Revisions to the North Carolina State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of North Carolina for the purpose of extending the New Source Review (NSR) regulations to new nonattainment areas for O₃ and carbon monoxide (CO). In the final rules section of this *Federal Register*, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by November 30, 1994.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Mr. Randy Terry at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

North Carolina Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources, 512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Randy Terry, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, Region IV Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 ex4212.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this *Federal Register*.

Dated: October 5, 1994.

Patrick M. Tobin,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 94-26845 Filed 10-28-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[WA-23-1-6438b; FRL-5092-2]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Washington

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Washington for the purpose of implementing a contingency measure plan for carbon monoxide. The SIP revision was submitted by the State to satisfy certain Federal Clean Air Act requirements for Vancouver, Washington. In the final rules section of this *Federal Register*, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set

forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by November 30, 1994.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Montel Livingston, Environmental Protection Specialist (AT-082), Air Programs Section, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Air Programs Section, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101.

The State of Washington, Department of Ecology, 300 Desmond Drive, Lacey, WA 98504.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Cooper, Air & Radiation Branch (AT-082), EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-6917.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this *Federal Register*.

Dated: October 5, 1994.

Chuck Clarke,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 94-26843 Filed 10-28-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Parts 700, 720, 721, 723, and 725

[OPPTS-00049E; FRL-4919-1]

RIN 2070-AB61

Microbial Products of Biotechnology; Proposed Regulation Under the Toxic Substances Control Act; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the comment period for a proposed rule under section 5 of the Toxic Substances

Control Act (TSCA), to screen microorganisms before they are introduced into commerce. The proposed rule is designed to prevent unreasonable risk to human health and the environment without imposing unnecessary regulatory burdens on the biotechnology industry. The proposed regulation describes notification procedures and microorganisms that would be exempt from notification.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed rule should be submitted to EPA by December 31, 1994.

ADDRESSES: Comments on issues concerning the proposed rule should bear the docket control number OPPTS-00049C, and should be submitted to: TSCA Public Docket Office (7407), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information including copies of this document and related materials: Susan B. Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: (202-554-1404), TDD: (202-554-0551). For technical information on the proposed rule: Paul Campanella, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (7405), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-611, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: (202-260-3725).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the *Federal Register* of September 1, 1994 (59 FR 45526), EPA issued a proposed rule under section 5 of TSCA. TSCA authorizes EPA to regulate any chemical substance, except for certain substances covered by other Federal agencies. The Act defines chemical substance broadly enough to cover microorganisms. TSCA section 5 establishes a 90-day process for EPA to screen certain chemical substances before they are produced. Section 5(a) allows EPA to require submission of a notification for microorganisms that are considered "new" chemical substances and those that will be made for a "significant new use." Section 5(h) provides for certain exemptions from the 90-day screening process. In the proposed rule, a 60-day comment period was provided. In response to requests by interested parties, EPA is extending the comment period on its proposed rule by 60 days. Comments must now be received by December 31, 1994.

As part of an interagency "streamlining" initiative, EPA is making

this proposed rule and certain support documents available electronically. They may be accessed through the Internet at: gopher.epa.gov.

EPA is very interested in learning whether persons have obtained these documents electronically and what their experiences were in doing so. Persons who comment on this proposed rule are encouraged to provide feedback on this electronic availability with their comments.

To obtain further information or to provide feedback on the electronic availability of these documents, please contact Juanita Geer (Telephone: 202-260-1532; FAX: 202-260-1657; Internet: geer.juanita@epamail.epa.gov). Please be advised that Ms. Geer will accept only feedback on the electronic availability of these documents; all comments on the substance of the proposed rule must be submitted to the docket above.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 700, 720, 721, 723, and 725

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Biotechnology, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, Imports, Labeling, Microorganisms, Occupational safety and health, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: October 24, 1994.

Lynn R. Goldman,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 94-26911 Filed 10-28-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

40 CFR Part 745

[OPPTS-6218A; FRL-4917-6]

RIN 2070-AC64

Lead; Requirements for Lead-based Paint Activities; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the comment period for a proposed rule requiring that individuals engaged in lead-based paint activities are properly trained and certified; that training programs are accredited; and that contractors engaged in lead-based paint activities are certified. The proposed rule also establishes procedures for States to apply for authorization to administer and enforce a State lead training, certification, and accreditation program.