

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to: David Pohlman (AR-18), Regulation Development Branch, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604, (312) 886-3299.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Pohlman at (312) 886-3299.

Dated: February 2, 1993.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 93-3399 Filed 2-11-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-60-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[PP Docket No. 93-21; FCC 93-77]

Sports Programming Migration

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This Notice of Inquiry ("Notice") initiates an inquiry into sports programming migration and the impact of preclusive contracts on the availability of college sports programming to local television stations. The inquiry was mandated by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 29, 1993; reply comments must be received on or before April 12, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Comments and reply comments may be sent to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan D. Levy, Office of Plans and Policy, (202) 653-5940.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The inquiry will examine, on a sport-by-sport basis, trends in the movement of sports programming from broadcast television to subscription media, including, to the extent possible, projections of future patterns of sports programming distribution. It encompasses local, regional, and national sports programming, and will investigate, pursuant to specific Congressional instruction, the economic causes and economic and special "consequences" of migration trends. Moreover, the Commission will examine the impact of preclusive contracts between college athletic conferences and video programming vendors on the supply of local college sports

programming to local television stations.

The Commission's inquiry is focused on professional baseball, basketball, football, and hockey, and on college football and basketball, but comment is invited on other sports as appropriate. The Commission seeks data on video distribution of sports programming over various media from 1980 to the present, having tentatively concluded that the quantity and availability of subscription sports programming prior to that year was limited.

In order to examine the causes of migration, the Commission seeks comment on changes in demand for sports programming and on the impact of new technologies. To assess the consequences of migration, the Commission seeks comment on changes in the availability and price of sports programming. Pursuant to Congressional instructions, the Commission will submit to Congress and initial sports programming migration report on or before July 1, 1993 and a final report on or before July 1, 1994.

Federal Communications Commission.

Donna R. Searcy,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 93-3484 Filed 2-11-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 93-15, RM-8167]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Rupert, ID

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition by Inland Broadcast Company requesting the substitution of Channel 223C for Channel 223A at Rupert, Idaho, and the modification of Station KNAQ (FM)'s construction permit to specify operation on Channel 223C. In accordance with Section 1.420(g) of the Commission's Rules, we shall not accept competing expressions of interest for the use of Channel 223C at Rupert or require the petitioner to demonstrate the availability of an additional equivalent channel for use by interested parties. The proposed coordinates for Channel 223C at Rupert are North Latitude 42-20-06 and West Longitude 113-36-16.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before April 1, 1993, and reply comments on or before April 16, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Lester W. Spillane, 1040 Main Street, suite 208, Napa, California 94559 (Attorney for Inland Broadcast Company).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 93-15, adopted January 27, 1993, and released February 8, 1993. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1919 M Street NW., room 246, or 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio Broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

Michael C. Ruger,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 93-3323 Filed 2-11-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AB83

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Reopening of Comment Period on Proposed Endangered Status for the Plant "*Astrophytum asterias*"

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) gives notice that the public comment period is reopened on the proposal to list *Astrophytum asterias* (star cactus) as endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. The reopening will allow the Service to accept comments for a period of 60 days from the date of publication of this notice.

DATES: Comments from all interested parties must be received by April 13, 1993. Public hearing requests must be received in writing by March 29, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and materials concerning this proposal should be sent to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Field Office, c/o Corpus Christi State University, Campus Box 338, 6300 Ocean Drive, Corpus Christi, Texas 78412. Comments and materials will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Brooks, at the above address (Telephone 512/888-3346).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Star cactus grows at low elevations in the grasslands and shrublands of the Rio Grande Plains or the Tamaulipan thornshrub. It is most often found in the partial shade of other plants or rocks, growing on gravelly, saline clays or loams overlaying the Tertiary Catahoula and Prio formations (Damude and Poole 1990).

Much of the probable native habitat of star cactus has been converted to agriculture or improved pasture. In the areas where plants presently occur, pasture improvement is done by clearing the shrubs and then planting buffelgrass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*). This aggressive non-native grass forms dense stands. It is unlikely star cactus could

survive this land management regime. Much of the probable suitable habitat in Mexico has been converted to agricultural use (Sanchez-Mejorada, et al. 1986).

Historically, star cactus occurred in Cameron, Hidalgo, and Starr counties in South Texas, and the adjacent states of Nuevo Leon, and Tamaulipas in Mexico. Presently, star cactus is known from only one locality in Texas and one in Tamaulipas, both privately owned, with only about 2,100 plants known in the wild (Damude and Poole 1990). The Nuevo Leon site is believed to have been extirpated by collectors, and the Tamaulipas site has been reduced to very few individuals (Sanchez-Mejorada, et al. 1986).

On October 9, 1992, the Service published in the Federal Register (57 FR 197) a proposal to list star cactus as endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act) (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). The comment period on that proposal closed December 8, 1992. Pursuant to 50 CFR 424.16(c)(1)(vi) of regulations implementing section 4 of the Act, the Service shall publish a summary of the proposed regulation in a newspaper of general circulation in each area in which the species occurs. No such summary was published for the star cactus proposal. Reopening of the comment period will be accompanied by publication of the required newspaper notices. In addition, the Service received a request from the Cactus and Succulent Society of America asking for an extension of the comment period.

Pursuant to 50 CFR 424.16(c)(2), the Service may extend or reopen a comment period upon finding that there is good cause to do so. The Service has determined that good cause exists, in that allowing the full participation of affected publics in the species listing process, and incorporation of comments from species experts, will allow the Service to consider the best scientific and commercial data available in making a final determination on the proposed action. Written comments may now be submitted until April 13, 1993, to the Service office in the "ADDRESSES" section of this notice. A request for a public hearing on the proposed action must be received in writing by March 29, 1993.

References Cited

- Damude, N. and J. Poole. 1990. Status Report on *Echinocactus asterias* (*Astrophytum asterias*). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
Sanchez-Mejorada, H.E. Anderson, N. Taylor, and R. Taylor. 1986. Succulent Plant

Conservation Studies and Training in Mexico. World Wildlife Fund, Washington, DC.

Authors

The primary authors of this notice are Angela Brooks and Tim Cooper, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Corpus Christi Ecological Services Field Office (see ADDRESSES), telephone 512/888-3346, and Kathryn Kennedy, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Austin Ecological Services Field Office, 611 East 6th Street, Austin, Texas 78701, telephone 512/482-5436.

Authority: The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.; Pub. L. 93-205, 87 Stat. 884; Pub. L. 94-359, 90 Stat. 911; Pub. L. 95-632, 92 Stat. 3751; Pub. L. 96-159, 93 Stat. 1225; Pub. L. 97-304, 96 Stat. 1411).

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened species, Exports, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and Transportation.

Dated: February 1, 1993.

John G. Rogers,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 93-3368 Filed 2-11-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Public Hearings and Reopening of Comment Period on Proposed Endangered Status and Critical Habitat for the Plant "*Salix arizonica*"

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of public hearings and reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) gives notice that two public hearings will be held and the comment period reopened on the proposed rule to list *Salix arizonica* (Arizona willow) as an endangered species with critical habitat. These hearings and reopening of the comment period will allow all interested parties to submit oral or written comments on the proposal.

DATES: Two public hearings have been scheduled. One public hearing will be held from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m. on Tuesday, March 2, 1993, in Eager, Arizona. The second public hearing will be held from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m. on Wednesday, March 3, 1993, in Whiteriver, Arizona. The comment period for this proposal, which

originally closed January 19, 1993, is reopened and now closes on April 2, 1993. Comments must be received by the closing date. Any comments that are received after the closing date may not be considered in the final decision on this proposal.

ADDRESSES: The March 2 public hearing will be held at the Round Valley High School auditorium, 600 North Butler, Eager, Arizona. The March 3 public hearing will be held at the Tribal Council Chambers, White Mountain Apache Tribe, South Highway 73, Whiteriver, Arizona. Written comments and material should be sent to the Field Supervisor, Arizona Ecological Services Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 3616 West Thomas Road, suite 6, Phoenix, Arizona 85019. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection by appointment, during normal business hours, at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bruce Palmer, at the above address, telephone (602) 379-4720.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Arizona willow is a shrub and may exhibit several growth forms. Arizona willow is only found in the White Mountains of Arizona, at elevations above 2,600 meters (8,500 feet), typically in association with wet meadows and stream sides in or near perennial water. The Arizona willow is threatened by degradation of habitat (especially erosion and siltation), predation, and disease, due to, in part, livestock grazing, wildlife use, timber harvest, road building, recreation, and infestation of a naturally occurring rust. A proposed rule to list this species as endangered with critical habitat was published in the *Federal Register* on November 20, 1992 (57 FR 54747).

Pursuant to 50 CFR 424.16 (c)(2), the Service may extend or reopen a comment period upon finding that there is good cause to do so. The Service has determined that good cause exists, in that allowing the full participation of affected publics in the species listing process will allow the Service to consider the best scientific and commercial data available in making a final determination on the proposed action.

Section 4(b)(5)(E) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), requires that a public hearing be held if requested within 45 days of the publication of a proposed rule. The Service received written requests for a public hearing on December 28, 1992, from Robert C.

Brauchli, General Counsel, White Mountain Apache Tribe; on December 31, 1992 from David A. Brown, Attorney at Law, representing Jack A. Brown, J. Albert Brown Ranches Inc., and Sylvia Wilson; and on December 31, 1992, from Arthur N. Lee, County Supervisor, Board of Supervisors, Apache County, Arizona.

The Service has scheduled two public hearings. The first will occur on March 2, 1993, from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m. in the Round Valley High School auditorium, Eager, Arizona. The second public hearing will occur on March 3, 1993, from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m. in the Tribal Council Chambers, Whiteriver, Arizona. Those parties wishing to make statements for the record should bring a copy of their statements to present to the Service at the start of the hearing. Oral statements may be limited in length to 5 or 10 minutes if the number of parties present at each hearing necessitates such a limitation. There are, however, no limits to the length of written comments or materials presented at the hearings or mailed to the Service. The comment period on the proposed rule originally closed January 19, 1993. To accommodate the public hearings, the Service is reopening the comment period until April 2, 1993. Written comments should be submitted to the Service office in the ADDRESSES section.

Author

The primary author of this notice is Bruce K. Palmer (see ADDRESSES).

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407; 16 U.S.C. 1531-1543; 16 U.S.C. 4201-4245; Pub. L. 99-625, 100 Stat. 3500; unless otherwise noted).

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened species, Exports, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Dated: February 1, 1993.

John G. Rogers,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 93-3367 Filed 2-11-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Finding on Petitions To Change the Status of Grizzly Bear Populations in the Cabinet-Yaak Area of Montana and the Selkirk Mountains of Idaho and Washington From Threatened to Endangered

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of 12-month petition finding.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announces a 12-month petition finding for two petitions to amend the List of Threatened and Endangered Wildlife. The Service finds that the reclassification of the grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos horribilis*) population in the Selkirk ecosystem of Idaho and Washington from threatened to endangered is not warranted. The Service finds that reclassification of the grizzly bear population in the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem of Montana from threatened to endangered is warranted but precluded.

DATES: The finding announced in this notice was approved on January 27, 1993. Comments may be submitted until further notice.

ADDRESSES: Questions or comments concerning this finding should be sent to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grizzly Bear Recovery Coordinator, NS 312, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana 59812. The petition, finding, and supporting data are available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the above office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Christopher Servheen at the address listed above (406-329-3223).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4(b)(3)(A) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), requires that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) make a finding on whether a petition to list, delist, or reclassify a species presents substantial scientific or commercial information to demonstrate that the petitioned action may be warranted. To the maximum extent practicable, this finding is to be made within 90 days of the receipt of the petition, and the finding is to be published promptly in the *Federal Register*. If the finding is positive, the Service is also required to promptly commence a review of the status of the involved species. In accordance with section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Act, the Service announces the 12-month finding on two

petitions requesting the reclassification of grizzly bears from threatened to endangered status.

A petition dated February 4, 1991, was received by the Service from The Fund for Animals, Inc., on February 7, 1991. The petition requested that the Service reclassify the grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos horribilis*) populations in the Selkirk ecosystem of Idaho and Washington; the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem of Montana; the Yellowstone ecosystem of Montana, Wyoming, and Idaho; and the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem of Montana from threatened to endangered. A second petition dated January 16, 1991, was received by the Service from Mr. D.C. Carlton on January 28, 1991. The petition requested that the Service reclassify the grizzly bear populations in the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem of Montana, the Selkirk ecosystem of Idaho and Washington, and the North Cascades ecosystem of Washington from threatened to endangered.

The request to reclassify the grizzly populations in the Yellowstone ecosystem and Northern Continental Divide ecosystem from threatened to endangered status was previously addressed, and a notice of the finding was published in the *Federal Register* on April 20, 1992 (57 FR 14372-14374). The Service found that the petitioners did not provide substantial evidence that their petitioned action was warranted in the Yellowstone ecosystem and the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem.

The reclassification of the grizzly bear in the North Cascades ecosystem was previously addressed by the Service in response to a petition submitted by The Humane Society of the United States, Greater Ecosystem Alliance, North Cascades Audubon Society, Skagit Alpine Club, North Cascades Conservation Council, and Carol Rae Smith. The finding of the Service in response to the petition to change the status of grizzly bears in the North Cascades from threatened to endangered was warranted but precluded, and a notice announcing the finding was published in the *Federal Register* on July 24, 1991 (56 FR 33892-33894).

The Fund for Animals, Inc., and Mr. D.C. Carlton submitted information that

grizzly bears in the Cabinet-Yaak region and Selkirk Mountains are imperiled because current populations there are small. The petitioners also indicated that a range of threats exists to the survival of the remaining populations of bears in these areas, including road construction, land management activities, livestock grazing, land development, and inadequate support from management agencies. A notice published in the *Federal Register* on April 20, 1992 (57 FR 14372-14374), announced that the Service made a 90-day finding that the petitioners presented substantial information that their petitioned action may be warranted for the Cabinet-Yaak and Selkirk grizzly bear populations. In the *Federal Register* publication, the Service also announced the initiation of a status review.

As a result of the *Federal Register* notice, 199 letters were received by the Service with general comments on the petitions. None of the letters contained new biological information on the grizzly bear populations in the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem or Selkirk ecosystem.

The Service agrees that the grizzly bear population in the Selkirk ecosystem is small. However, current research indicates that human-caused mortality is decreasing, reproduction and survivorship rates are adequate (Wakkenen and Zager 1991, Wielgus et al., in prep.), and a proactive management program has been implemented (Allen-Johnson 1991). After a review of the petitions, accompanying documentation, references cited therein, current research findings, and present management efforts, the Service found that the requested action to reclassify the grizzly bear population in the Selkirk ecosystem from threatened to endangered is not warranted.

The Service agrees that the grizzly bear population in the Cabinet-Yaak area is small (Kasworm and Manley 1988, Kasworm and Thier 1991) and that increasing human demands exist in the areas including logging, recreation, and road building. After a review of the petitions, accompanying documentation, references cited therein, and current research findings, the Service found that the requested action

to reclassify the grizzly bear in the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem from threatened to endangered is warranted but precluded by work on other species having a higher priority for listing. The Service is expeditiously working on listing a backlog of species having a higher priority of needing protection of the Act. The grizzly bear populations in the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem and the Selkirk ecosystem remain listed as threatened and retain their current protection under the Act. More detailed information regarding these decisions may be obtained from the office of Dr. Christopher Servheen (see ADDRESSES above).

References Cited

- Allen-Johnson, B.K. 1991. Selkirk Mountains grizzly bear enforcement and public relations project: August 1990–November 1991. Idaho Fish and Game, Boise. 5 pp.
- Kasworm, W. and T. Manley. 1988. Grizzly bear and black bear ecology in the Cabinet Mountains of northwest Montana. Mont. Dept. Fish, Wildl. and Parks, Helena. 122 pp.
- Kasworm, W. and T. Thier. 1991. Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem grizzly bear and black bear research, 1990 progress report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Serv., Missoula, Montana. 35 pp.
- Wakkenen, W.L. and P. Zager. 1991. Selkirk Mountains grizzly bear ecology project, November 1990–November 1991. Idaho Dept. of Fish and Game, Boise. 23 pp.
- Wielgus, R., W.L. Wakkenen, and P. Zager. In prep. Population parameters for Selkirk Mountains grizzly bears.

Author

This notice was prepared by Dr. Christopher Servheen (see ADDRESSES).

Authority: The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531–1544).

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened species, Exports, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and Transportation.

Dated: January 27, 1993.

Richard N. Smith,

Deputy Director, Fish and Wildlife Service.
[FR Doc. 93–3366 Filed 2–11–93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–65–M

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 58, No. 28

Friday, February 12, 1993

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. 92-190-2]

Animal Damage Control Program; Availability of Supplement to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: We are advising the public that the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has prepared a supplement to the draft environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Animal Damage Control program, and has made available the supplementary document for public review and comment. The draft EIS, prepared in June 1990, evaluated environmental impacts associated with wildlife damage control activities. Copies of the supplement to the draft EIS were filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on January 13, 1993, pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c)), and the EPA Notice of Availability appeared in the Federal Register on January 22, 1993. This document extends the comment period from March 8, 1993, which was announced in the EPA Notice, until March 29, 1993.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard L. Wadleigh, Acting Director, Operational Support Staff, Animal Damage Control, APHIS, USDA, room 819, Federal Building, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 20782, (301) 436-8281.

DATES: Consideration will be given only to comments received on or before March 29, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Please send an original and three copies of your comments to Richard L. Wadleigh, Acting Director,

Operational Support Staff, Animal Damage Control, APHIS, USDA, room 819, Federal Building, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 20782. Please state that your comments refer to Docket No. 92-190-2. Comments received may be inspected at USDA, room 1141, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Copies of the supplement to the draft environmental impact statement (EIS) are available for review at the following locations: USDA, room 1141, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays; Operational Support Staff, Animal Damage Control, APHIS, USDA, room 819, Federal Building, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 20782; Eastern Regional Office, Animal Damage Control, APHIS, USDA, suite 370, 7000 Executive Center Drive, Brentwood, TN 37027; and Western Regional Office, Animal Damage Control, APHIS, USDA, 12345 W. Alameda Parkway, suite 313, Lakewood, CO 80228. Written requests for copies can be sent to Mr. Richard L. Wadleigh at the address provided under "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT."

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Animal Damage Control (ADC) of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, in cooperation with the Bureau of Land Management of the U.S. Department of the Interior, and the Forest Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, is preparing an EIS for the ADC program.

On January 14, 1993 (58 FR 4404-4405, Docket No. 92-190-1), we published a notice of intent to make available a supplement to the draft EIS for the ADC program. The draft EIS, prepared in June 1990, evaluated environmental impacts associated with wildlife damage control activities.

The supplement to the draft EIS is now available for review and comment. This supplement incorporates responses to the public comments on the draft EIS, expanding on the following: The quantitative risk assessment of all chemical and nonchemical methods employed by the program; the economic

analysis; and the explanation of alternatives, including an in-depth discussion of the ADC decision model.

It has come to our attention that the copy of the Biological Opinion rendered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service was inadvertently omitted from Appendix F of the supplement to the draft EIS filed with the Environmental Protection Agency. Persons interested in reviewing a copy of this appendix may request one from the person or locations named under "ADDRESSES."

This document gives notice of the availability of the supplement to the draft EIS for review and requests written comments.

Done in Washington, DC, this 9th day of February 1993.

Lonnie J. King,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 93-3377 Filed 2-11-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-M

Economic Research Service

National Agricultural Cost of Production Standards Review Board; Meeting

The National Agricultural Cost of Production Standards Review Board will meet at the Crockett Hotel, San Antonio, Texas, on February 25-26, 1993.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss general issues related to USDA's estimation of enterprise costs of production. The meeting will open at 1 p.m. on February 25, 1993. Subsequent sessions will be held from 8 a.m.-12 noon and 1 p.m.-5 p.m. on February 26.

All sessions will be open to members of the public who wish to observe. Written comments may be submitted before or after the meeting to Kenneth Deavers, Director, ARED-ERS-USDA, room 314, 1301 New York Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20005.

This meeting is authorized by 7 U.S.C. 4104, as amended. For further information, contact Jim Ryan at (202) 219-0798.

John E. Lee, Jr.,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 93-3376 Filed 2-11-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-18-M