

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit ¹
Within Group II sub-group	
333/334/335	261,039 dozen of which not more than 141,396 dozen shall be in Category 335.
351	417,762 dozen.
447/448	19,366 dozen.
636	343,481 dozen.
651	413,478 dozen.

¹Category 670: Category 369-L: only HTS numbers 4202.12.0000, 4202.12.8020, 4202.12.8060, 4202.12.8090, 4202.12.8015 and 4202.12.8030; Category 670-L: only HTS numbers 4202.12.8030, 4202.12.8070, 4202.12.8020, 4202.12.8090 and 4202.12.8020.

²Category 369-S: only HTS number 6307.10.2005.

³Category 369-O: all HTS numbers except 4202.12.0000, 4202.12.8020, 4202.12.8060, 4202.12.1500, 4202.12.3015, 4202.12.8090 (Category 369-L); and 6307.10.2005 (Category 369-S).

⁴Category 669-P: only HTS numbers 6305.31.0010, 6305.31.0020 and 6305.38.0000.

⁵Category 669-T: only HTS numbers 6306.12.0000, 6306.19.0010 and 6306.22.9030.

⁶Category 669-O: all HTS numbers except 6305.31.0010, 6305.31.0020, 6305.38.0000 (Category 669-P); 6306.12.0000, 6306.19.0010 and 6306.22.9030 (Category 669-T).

⁷Category 670-H: only HTS numbers 4202.22.4030 and 4202.22.8050.

⁸Category 670-O: all HTS numbers except 4202.22.4030, 4202.22.8050 (Category 670-H); 4202.12.8030, 4202.12.8070, 4202.12.3020, 4202.12.3030 and 4202.12.9025 (Category 670-L).

⁹Category 359-C: only HTS numbers 6103.42.2025, 6103.49.3034, 6104.62.1020, 6104.69.3010, 6114.20.0048, 6114.20.0052, 6203.42.2010, 6203.42.2090, 6204.62.2010, 6211.32.0010, 6211.32.0025 and 6211.42.0010; Category 659-C: only HTS numbers 6103.23.0055, 6103.43.2020, 6103.43.2025, 6103.49.2000, 6103.49.3038, 6104.63.1020, 6104.63.1030, 6104.69.1000, 6104.69.3014, 6114.30.3044, 6114.30.3054, 6203.43.2010, 6203.43.2060, 6203.49.1010, 6203.49.1090, 6204.63.1510, 6204.69.1010, 6210.10.4015, 6211.33.0010, 6211.33.0017 and 6211.43.0010.

¹⁰Category 359-H: only HTS numbers 6505.90.1540 and 6505.90.2060; Category 659-H: only HTS numbers 6502.00.9030, 6504.00.9015, 6504.00.9060, 6505.90.5090, 6505.90.6090, 6505.90.7090 and 6505.90.8090.

¹¹Category 359-O: all HTS numbers except 6103.42.2025, 6103.49.3034, 6104.62.1020, 6104.69.3010, 6114.20.0048, 6114.20.0052, 6203.42.2010, 6203.42.2090, 6204.62.2010, 6211.32.0010, 6211.32.0025, 6211.42.0010 (Category 359-C); 6505.90.1540 and 6505.90.2060 (Category 359-H).

¹²Category 659-S: only HTS numbers 6112.31.0010, 6112.31.0020, 6112.41.0010, 6112.41.0020, 6112.41.0030, 6112.41.0040, 6211.11.1010, 6211.11.1020, 6211.12.1010 and 6211.12.1020.

¹³Category 659-O: all HTS numbers except 6103.23.0055, 6103.43.2020, 6103.43.2025, 6103.49.2000, 6103.49.3038, 6104.63.1020, 6104.63.1030, 6104.69.1000, 6104.69.3014, 6114.30.3044, 6114.30.3054, 6203.43.2010, 6203.43.2060, 6203.49.1010, 6203.49.1090, 6204.63.1510, 6204.69.1010, 6210.10.4015, 6211.33.0010, 6211.33.0017, 6211.43.0010 (Category 659-C); 6502.00.9030, 6504.00.9015, 6504.00.9060, 6505.90.5090, 6505.90.6090, 6505.90.7090, 6505.90.8090 (Category 659-H); 6112.31.0010, 6112.31.0020, 6112.41.0010, 6112.41.0020, 6112.41.0030, 6112.41.0040, 6211.11.1010, 6211.11.1020, 6211.12.1010 and 6211.12.1020 (Category 659-S).

¹⁴Category 359-H: only HTS numbers 6505.90.1540 and 6505.90.2060; Category 659-H: only HTS numbers 6502.00.9030, 6504.00.9015, 6504.00.9060, 6505.90.5090, 6505.90.6090, 6505.90.7090 and 6505.90.8090.

¹⁵Category 647-W: only HTS numbers 6203.23.0060, 6203.23.0070, 6203.29.2030, 6203.29.2035, 6203.43.2500, 6203.43.3500, 6203.43.4010, 6203.43.4020, 6203.43.4030, 6203.43.4040, 6203.49.1500, 6203.49.2010, 6203.49.2030, 6203.49.2040, 6203.49.2060, 6203.49.3030, 6210.40.1035, 6211.20.1525, 6211.20.3030 and 6211.33.0030; Category 648-W: only HTS numbers 6204.23.0040, 6204.23.0045, 6204.29.2020, 6204.29.2025, 6204.29.4038, 6204.63.2000, 6204.63.3000, 6204.63.3510, 6204.63.3530, 6204.63.3540, 6204.69.2510, 6204.69.2530, 6204.69.2530, 6204.69.2540, 6204.69.2560, 6204.69.3030, 6204.69.9030, 6210.50.1035, 6211.20.1555, 6211.20.6030, 6211.43.0040 and 6217.90.0060.

these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Rita D. Hayes,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 93-24330 Filed 10-1-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Realignment of Travis AFB, CA

Under the 1993 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommendations the United States Air Force (Air Force) will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to assess the potential environmental impacts of the realignment to Travis Air Force Base, California of the 2nd Combat Camera Squadron, 19 KC-10 aircraft, and associated support units. The aircraft will be realigned from March AFB, CA.

This EIS will also address the potential impacts of an additional eight KC-10 aircraft, for a total of 27 and associated military construction and facility siting of associated support activities.

To provide a forum for the community to make oral comments, a scoping meeting is scheduled for October 20, 1993 in the Travis AFB area. Notice of the time and location of the meeting will be made available to public officials, the community and the local news media outlets at a later date. The purpose of this meeting is to identify the environmental issues and concerns that should be analyzed to (1) support the base realignment, (2) solicit comments on the Proposed Action and (3) solicit potential alternatives for consideration in developing the Travis EIS. The Air Force will consider all reasonable alternatives offered by any Federal, state or local government agency and any federally-sponsored or private entity.

To ensure the Air Force will have sufficient time to consider public comments on environmental issues to be included in the EIS, comments should be forwarded to the address listed below at anytime during the environmental impact analysis process.

Please direct written comments or requests for further information concerning the Travis AFB Realignment EIS to: Ms. Jean Reynolds, HQ AMC/

CEVP, 507 A Street, Scott AFB, IL 62225-5022, (618) 256-8332.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 93-24292 Filed 10-1-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0103]

Clearance Request for Procurement Integrity

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0103).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Procurement Integrity.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Fayson, Office of Federal Acquisition Policy, GSA (202) 501-4755.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Public Law 100-679, the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act Amendments of 1988, as amended by section 814 of Public Law 101-189, requires that contractors certify, prior to execution of each contract, modification or extension in excess of \$100,000 with respect to conduct prohibited by the Act.

The information obtained in the certification will be used by the contracting officer to ensure that prohibited conduct specified in the Act is identified and in determining the responsibility of the firm for contract award.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that

reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat, 18th & F Streets, NW, room 4037, Washington, DC 20405, and to the FAR Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 20,000; responses per respondent, 20; total annual responses, 400,000; preparation hours per response, 5 minutes; and total response burden hours, 33,333.

C. Annual Recordkeeping Burden

The annual recordkeeping burden is estimated as follows: Recordkeepers, 20,000; hours per recordkeeper, 40 minutes; and total recordkeeping burden hours, 13,333.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals

Requester may obtain copies of OMB applications or justifications from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), room 4037, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0103, Procurement Integrity, in all correspondence.

Dated: September 27, 1993.

Laurie Frazier,
FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 93-24228 Filed 10-1-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Methodology for Analyzing the Feasibility and Economic Impact of Alternative Fuel Use

AGENCY: Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of availability and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Section 502(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 requires the Secretary of Energy to conduct an analysis of the use of large volumes of replacement fuels in years 2000 and 2010. This notice summarizes work that has been completed and work that is in progress pursuant to the requirement of section 502(b). The methodology that DOE is using is described in a report prepared by Oak Ridge National Laboratory entitled, "A Methodology for Assessing the Market Benefits of Alternative Motor Fuels." DOE invites the public to comment on the methodology that is described in the

Oak Ridge report and on the material presented in this Notice.

DATES: To guarantee consideration, comments must be received by December 1, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Oak Ridge report may be obtained from: Mr. Mark E. Bower, Department of Energy, Office of Energy Demand Policy, PO-50, Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-3891.

Comments on the methodology described in the Oak Ridge report and in this Notice should be sent in 5 copies to the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Benton F. Massell, Department of Energy, Office of Energy Demand Policy, PO-50, Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-3890.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Purpose
- II. Relevance
- III. Methodology

I. Purpose. Section 502(a) of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 requires the Secretary of Energy to establish a program to promote the development and use in light duty vehicles of domestic replacement fuels.

The Department of Energy (DOE) has begun management planning and preliminary implementation of section 502(a) as part of a comprehensive implementation program for Titles III, IV, and V of the Energy Policy Act. The program will begin in fiscal year 1994. Program emphasis will be on the role of alternative fuels, as defined in section 301 of the Energy Policy Act, as replacements for petroleum based motor fuels. On-going DOE programs to promote alternative fuel vehicle acquisitions in Federal and non-Federal fleets, such as those created under the Alternative Motor Fuel Act of 1988, will be coordinated with Energy Policy Act programs. The DOE Clean Cities Program will be used to coordinate Federal, State, and local government alternative fuel vehicle purchases, encourage the manufacture of alternative fuel vehicles, and encourage the development of alternative fuel refueling infrastructure to meet the requirements of section 502(a) and other programs.

Section 502(a) requires the DOE program to promote the use of replacement fuels to the maximum extent practicable. The DOE believes that there may be potential for alternative fuels and other replacement fuels to make a significant contribution to motor fuel supply. The DOE programs are designed to maximize that potential contribution.

Section 502(b) of the Act requires the Secretary, under the program established by section 502(a), to:

- Estimate the production capacity for replacement fuels and alternative fueled vehicles;
- Determine the feasibility of producing sufficient replacement fuels to replace 10 percent of motor fuel use by year 2000 and 30 percent by year 2010, with at least half of such replacement fuels being domestic fuels;
- Determine the most suitable means of encouraging the production, distribution, and use of replacement fuels and alternative fueled vehicles;
- Identify ways to encourage the development in the United States of replacement fuels and alternative fueled vehicle industries;
- Determine the greenhouse gas emission implications of increased use of replacement fuels and estimate the maximum feasible reduction in such emissions.

Section 502(b) also requires the Secretary to publish in the **Federal Register** the results of actions taken under that subsection and to provide for an opportunity for public comment. This Notice is intended to comply with that requirement by summarizing both work that has been completed and work that is in progress to determine the feasibility and the economic implications of meeting the targets established by section 502(b) and to address the specific issues enumerated in that section. We construe "feasibility" in this context to mean that the targets can be met without incurring undue economic cost. The analysis that the Department of Energy is conducting pursuant to section 502(b) will provide estimates of the cost of alternative programs which would meet the targets established by that section.

II. Relevance. The analysis required by section 502(b) has relevance for other sections of Title V of the Act. Section 504 of the Act requires the Secretary periodically to examine the goals established under section 502(b) and, taking account of the determination of feasibility made under section 502(b), to determine if the goals should be modified. If the Secretary determines that the goals described in section 502(b) are not achievable, the Secretary is required by section 504 to establish, by rule, goals that are achievable.

The analysis required by section 502(b) is relevant also to the determination by rulemaking, under section 507, of whether a fleet requirement program is necessary to ensure that the goals of section 502(b), as modified by section 504, are expected to be met by voluntary means or, if

those goals are not expected to be met, whether a fleet requirement program is necessary.

III. Methodology. The Department of Energy has undertaken a comprehensive analysis of alternative fuel use that is designed to address the specific issues enumerated in section 502(b) and summarized above. The Department's study includes the following tasks:

- Development of a simulation model, the Alternative Fuels Trade Model, to provide quantitative information on the implications of achieving the replacement fuel targets enumerated in section 502(b).
- Definition of alternative base-case and replacement fuel scenarios for years 2000 and 2010 for use in the analysis.
- Estimation of the incremental costs of producing alternative fuel vehicles.
- Estimation of production, distribution and retail costs for each alternative fuel.
- Estimation of greenhouse gas emissions associated with the use of conventional motor fuels and each replacement fuel.
- Estimation of substitutability in demand among conventional motor fuels and replacement fuels and among conventional vehicles and alternative fuel vehicles.

The model has been benchmarked to data for years 2000 and 2010 contained in the 1993 Annual Energy Outlook, published by the Energy Information Administration. The model will be used to examine the substitution for conventional motor fuels of ethanol from grain, ethanol from cellulosic feedstock, methanol from natural gas, compressed natural gas, liquefied petroleum gases, and electric vehicles. A number of replacement fuel scenarios will be examined and, for each scenario, estimates will be presented of:

- the difference between the cost of conventional gasoline-powered motor vehicles and of alternative fuel vehicles;
- the difference between the retail price of motor gasoline and of each replacement fuel;
- the net change in the imports of petroleum and of all fuel resulting from the substitution of replacement fuels for conventional motor fuels;
- the net effect on greenhouse gas emissions;
- the net effect on the world price of crude oil;
- the vehicle and fuel subsidies, if any, required to induce motorists to purchase the requisite number of each type of vehicle and each replacement fuel;
- the net cost of meeting the targets enumerated by section 502(b).

This work is scheduled for completion in the spring of 1994 and will be available to the public.

DOE has also contracted with Oak Ridge National Laboratory to develop and illustrate the methodology being employed in the analysis of alternative fuel use. The Oak Ridge report describes the Alternative Fuels Trade Model, which serves as the integrating framework for the section 502(b) analysis. The report uses preliminary data to generate illustrative model runs. Specifically, for the year 2010, a base case scenario is compared with a scenario in which 20 percent of projected motor fuel use in light duty vehicles is replaced by the following replacement fuels: ethanol produced from grain, compressed natural gas, methanol produced from natural gas, and electric vehicles. The study shows how the model can be used to examine the effect that such replacement fuel use has on net imports of petroleum and other fuels and on domestic prices of conventional and replacement motor fuels. The Oak Ridge report also contains an estimate of the cost of the illustrative replacement fuel program, including the incremental costs of the fuels and the alternative fuel vehicles.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 28, 1993.

Susan F. Tierney,

Assistant Secretary, Office of Policy, Planning, and Program Evaluation.

[FR Doc. 93-24309 Filed 10-1-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Floodplain/Wetlands Involvement for the Fort Morgan North 115-kilovolt Tapline Project

AGENCY: Western Area Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of floodplain/wetlands involvement.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy, Western Area Power Administration (Western), proposes to build 3 miles of new 115-kilovolt (kV) tapline to connect its existing Beaver Creek-Weld 115-kV transmission line with Morgan County Rural Electric Association's (MCREA) new Fort Morgan North Substation. The new transmission line may require structures in the floodplain of the South Platte River in Morgan county, Colorado. In accordance with 10 CFR part 1022, Western will prepare a floodplain and wetlands assessment and will perform this proposed action in a manner so as to avoid or minimize potential harm to or within the effect floodplain and wetlands.

DATES: Public comments or suggestions concerning the floodplain involvement of Western's proposed actions are invited. Written comments are due no later than October 19, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Comments or suggestions should be sent to: Mr. Stephen A. Fausett, Area Manager, Loveland Area Office, Western Area Power Administration, P.O. Box 3700, Loveland, CO 80539-3003 (303) 490-7200 FAX (303) 490-7213.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For further information on the proposed action, contact: Mr. Rodney D. Jones, Environmental Specialist, Loveland Area Office, Western Area Power Administration, P.O. Box 3700, Loveland, CO 80539-3003 (303) 490-7371 FAX (303) 490-7213.

For further information on general DOE floodplain/wetlands environmental review requirements, contact: Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Oversight, EH-25, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585 (202) 586-4600 or (800) 472-2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The construction of a new 115-kV tapline is necessary to deliver electric power to MCREA's new Fort Morgan North Substation, near Fort Morgan, Colorado. MCREA's new substation and Western's existing Beaver Creek-Weld 115-kV transmission line are located on opposite sides of the South Platte River, approximately 3 miles apart. The proposed tapline must cross the South Platte River and floodplain to connect the two facilities. Because of the width of the floodplain, it may be necessary to locate some transmission line structures within the South Platte River floodplain. The Fort Morgan North Tapline would be designed and constructed by MCREA, but would be owned, operated, and maintained by Western.

In accordance with DOE regulations for compliance with floodplain and wetlands environmental review requirements (10 CFR part 1022), Western will prepare a floodplain and wetlands assessment for this proposed action. After Western issues the assessment, a floodplain statement of findings will be published in the Federal Register.

Issued at Golden, Colorado, September 21, 1993.

William H. Clagett,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 93-24312 Filed 10-1-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M