

Dated: August 28, 1992.

**Marvin R. Bagley,**  
*Associate District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 92-21464 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-66-M

[UT-020-02-4320-02]

### Salt Lake District; Grazing Advisory Board Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Grazing Advisory Board meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given in accordance with Public Law 92-463 that the Salt Lake District Grazing Advisory Board will be meeting on October 15, 1992. The meeting will begin at 8:30 a.m. at the Salt Lake District, Bureau of Land Management, office at 2370 South 2300 West, Salt Lake City, Utah.

The purpose of the meeting will be to: (1) Elect New Board officers; (2) review FY 1992 range improvement accomplishments; (3) review proposed range improvement work for the upcoming year (FY 1993).

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements at the meeting between 9 a.m. and 9:30 a.m., or file a written statement for the Board's consideration. Those wishing to make statements to the Board are requested to contact Glade Anderson at (801) 977-4300 by October 5th so that adequate time can be included on the agenda.

**Deane H. Zeller,**

*Salt Lake District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 92-21510 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-DQ-M

### National Park Service

#### Amendment to the Federal Register Notice for the Draft Comprehensive River Conservation Study and Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Amendment to the Federal Register Notice for the Draft Comprehensive River Conservation Study and Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Reach of the Columbia River.

**DATES:** Public comments will be accepted until Friday, October 9, 1992.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to Kristen Sycamore, National Park Service, Pacific Northwest Regional Office, 83 South King Street, suite 212, Seattle, Washington 98104.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Public hearings to receive oral and written comments on this draft have been scheduled as follows:

Tuesday, September 8, 1992, at 7:00 p.m.,  
Basin City Firehall, Road #170, Basin City,  
Washington

Wednesday, September 9, 1992, at 7:00 p.m.,  
Federal Building Auditorium, 825 Jadwin  
Avenue, Richland, Washington

Monday, September 14, 1992, at 7:00 p.m.,  
Henry M. Jackson Federal Building, South  
Auditorium, 915 Second Avenue, Seattle,  
Washington

Dated: August 27, 1992.

**Willie R. Taylor,**

*Acting Director, Office of Environmental  
Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 92-21550 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

### Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Review Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Review Committee.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. Appendix (1988), that the third meeting of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act Review Committee will be held on October 8, 9, and 10, 1992 in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida.

The Committee will meet at the Sheraton Yankee Trader Hotel, 321 North Atlantic Blvd, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33304. Meetings will begin each day at 9 a.m. and conclude not later than 5 p.m.

The Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act Review Committee was established by Public Law 101-601 to monitor, review, and assist in implementation of the inventory and identification process and repatriation activities required under the statute.

The matters to be discussed at this meeting include development of proposed regulations implementing the statute.

The meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space for accommodating members of the public are limited and persons will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. Any member of the public may file a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed with Dr. Francis P. McManamon, Department Consulting Archeologist.

Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting, or who wish to submit written statements may contact

Dr. Francis P. McManamon,  
Departmental Consulting Archeologist,  
Archeological Assistance Division,  
National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127,  
Washington, DC 20013-7127, Telephone  
(202) 343-4101. Draft summary minutes  
of the meeting will be available for  
public inspection about eight weeks  
after the meeting of the office of the  
Departmental Consulting Archeologist,  
room 210, 800 North Capitol Street,  
Washington, DC.

Dated: September 2, 1992.

**Francis P. McManamon,**

*Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Chief,  
Archeological Assistance Division.*

[FR Doc. 92-21551 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before August 29, 1992. Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127. Written comments should be submitted by September 23, 1990.

**Antoinette J. Lee,**

*Acting Chief of Registration, National  
Register.*

#### California

##### Fresno County

Tower Theatre, 1201 N. Wishon Ave., Fresno,  
92001276

##### Napa County

First National Bank, 1026 First St., Napa,  
92001277

Yount, Eliza G., House, 423 Seminary St.,  
Napa, 92001279

##### San Diego County

Kuchamaa, SE of San Diego at the US-  
Mexico border, Tecate vicinity, 92001268

##### Santa Cruz County

Letunich Building, 406 Main St., Watsonville,  
92001278

#### Florida

##### Palm Beach County

Aiken, Fred C., House, 801 Hibiscus St., Boca  
Raton, 92001271

#### Maryland

##### Anne Arundel County

Bates, Wiley H., High School, 1029 Smithville  
Street, Annapolis, 92001267

## New York

## Hamilton County

Hamilton County Courthouse Complex, Jct. of NY 8 and S. Shore Rd., Lake Pleasant, 92001280

## Virginia

## Accomack County

Onancock Historic District, Roughly bounded by Joynes Branch, Onancock Cr. and Lake, Kerr, Jackson, Market, Justice, Johnson and Holly Sts., Onancock, 92001266

## Albemarle County

Enniscorthy, VA 627 .5 mi. S of jct. with VA 712, Keene vicinity, 92001273

## Loudoun County

Douglass High School, 408 E. Market St., Leesburg, 92001274

## Northumberland County

Ditchley, VA 607 N side, 2000 ft. E of jct. with VA 669, Kilmarnock vicinity, 92001272  
Hurstville, VA 605 E side, 3500 ft. S of jct. with VA 606, Kilmarnock vicinity, 92001264

## Alexandria Independent City

Rosemont Historic District, Roughly bounded by Commonwealth Ave., W. Walnut St., Russell Rd., Rucker Pl. and King St., Alexandria (Independent City), 92001275

## Salem Independent City

Salem Post Office, 103 E. Main St., Salem (Independent City), 92001265

[FR Doc. 92-21552 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-622 (Preliminary)]

## Dry Film Photoresist From Japan

## Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigation, the Commission determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports from Japan of dry film photoresist, provided for in subheadings 3702.39.00, 3702.42.00, 3702.43.00, 3702.44.00, 3702.95.00, and 3707.90.30 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Burnsdales dissenting; Vice Chairman Watson not participating.

## Background

On July 16, 1992, a petition was filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, DE; Morton International, Inc., Tustin, CA; and Hercules Incorporated, Wilmington, DE, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured and threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of the subject products from Japan. Accordingly, effective July 16, 1992, the Commission instituted antidumping investigation No. 731-TA-622 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of July 23, 1992 (57 FR 32810). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on August 6, 1992, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on August 31, 1992. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 2555 (August 1992), entitled "Dry Film Photoresist from Japan: Determination of the Commission in Investigation No. 731-TA-622 (Preliminary) Under the Tariff Act of 1930, Together With the Information Obtained in the Investigation.

Issued: September 1, 1992.

By order of the Commission.

Paul R. Bardos,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-21548 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

[Investigation No. 731-TA-623 (Preliminary)]

## Hairbrushes and Certain Parts and Components Thereof From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of withdrawal of petition in antidumping investigation.

SUMMARY: On August 21, 1992, the U.S. Department of Commerce and the U.S. International Trade Commission received a letter from petitioner in the subject investigation (Goody Products, Inc., Kearny, NJ) withdrawing its petition. Commerce has not initiated an investigation as provided in section

732(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673a(c)). Accordingly, the Commission gives notice that its antidumping investigation concerning hairbrushes and certain parts and components thereof from the People's Republic of China (investigation No. 731-TA-623 (Preliminary)) is discontinued.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 21, 1992.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Seiger (202-205-3183), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Issued: September 1, 1992.

By order of the Commission.

Paul R. Bardos,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-21549 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

[Investigation No. 337-TA-337]

## Certain Integrated Circuit Telecommunication Chips and Products Containing Same, Including Dialing Apparatus; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Designating the Investigation More Complicated; Setting of Administrative Deadline

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) designating the above-captioned investigation "more complicated." The deadline for completion of the investigation has been extended by one month, i.e., from April 8, 1993, to May 10, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for public inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Hopen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW.,

Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3108.

Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information about this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 27, 1992, respondents Hualon Microelectronics Corporation (Taiwan), Hualon Microelectronics Corporation (California), and United Microelectronics Corporation (collectively "respondents") filed a joint motion to designate this investigation more complicated. The motion was supported by the Commission investigative attorneys, but opposed by complainant SGS-Thomson Microelectronics, Inc. The presiding ALJ issued an ID on August 5, 1992, designating the investigation "more complicated" and extending the deadline for issuance of his final ID by one month. The investigation was designated "more complicated" because of the large number of chips at issue involving complex technology and the short amount of time available for newly-added respondent Tranbon to prepare for the Commission hearing. No petitions for review or government agency comments were received.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and section 210.53 of the Commission's Interim Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.53).

Issued: August 31, 1992.

By order of the Commission.

Paul R. Bardos,  
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-21547 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 321381]

### William E. Gardner and Railroad Acquisition Corporation—Control Exemption—Wisconsin & Calumet Railroad Co., Inc.

William E. Gardner and Railroad Acquisition Corporation (RAC), (applicants), have filed a notice of exemption to acquire control of Wisconsin & Calumet Railroad Company, Inc. (WICT), a class III rail carrier operating over 408.6 miles of rail line between Clearing Yard, IL, and Prairie Du Chien, WI, and between various points in Wisconsin. Mr. Gardner controls RAC, a noncarrier. In addition, Mr. Gardner separately

controls Wisconsin & Southern Railroad Company (W&S), a class III rail carrier operating over 148 miles of rail home in Wisconsin. Under the terms of the transaction RAC will purchase 100 percent of WICT's stock, and, after consummation, Mr. Gardner will be in control of two non-connecting class III rail carriers.<sup>1</sup> The parties planned to consummate the transaction on or after the effective date, August 21, 1992.

Applicants indicate that: (1) The lines operated by W&S do not connect with the lines operated by WICT; (2) the involved transaction is not a part of a series of anticipated transactions that would connect the railroads with each other; and (3) the transaction does not involve a class I carrier. The transaction is therefore exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11343. See 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees adversely affected by the transaction will be protected by the conditions set forth in *New York Dock Ry.—Control—Brooklyn Eastern Dist.*, 360 I.C.C. 60 (1979).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2). Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: David A. Hirsh, Pepper, Hamilton & Scheetz, 1300 Nineteenth St., NW., Washington, DC, 20036.

Dated: September 1, 1992.

By the Commission, Joseph H. Dettmar,  
Acting Director, Office of Proceedings,  
Sidney L. Strickland, Jr.,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-21537 Filed 9-4-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Finance Docket No. 32106]

### Bristol Industrial Terminal Railway—Lease and Operation Exemption—Frank A. Greek & Son, Inc.

Bristol Industrial Terminal Railway (Bristol) has filed a notice of exemption to lease and operate over approximately 2.2 miles of rail line extending between a connection with tracks owned by National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) at Grundy<sup>1</sup> and a

point within the Bristol Industrial Park, in Bristol Township, Bucks County, PA.<sup>2</sup> The proposed transaction was scheduled to be consummated on July 27, 1992, the notice's effective date.<sup>3</sup>

Any comments must be filed with the Commission and served on: Edward J. Rodriguez, P.O. Box 537, Old Saybrook, CT 06475.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1150.31. If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time.<sup>4</sup> The filing of a

<sup>2</sup> The line is owned in contiguous segments by Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Company (3M) and Frank A. Greek & Son, Incorporated (Greek). Greek also owns an easement to operate over 3M's segment. Bristol has entered into a 5-year lease agreement with Greek; it will operate the entire rail line to serve businesses in the Bristol Industrial Park.

<sup>3</sup> In a concurrently filed motion, Bristol requests dismissal of the notice. It asserts that the line is an industrial spur, located entirely in one State, and therefore exempt from the Commission's licensing jurisdiction under 49 U.S.C. 10907(b).

There is no single test for determining whether a line segment is an exempt spur or a line of railroad subject to regulation. Over the years, the Commission has adopted a case-by-case analysis that considers the line's intended use, history, and physical characteristics. See *Texas & Pac. Ry. v. Gulf, Etc., Ry.*, 270 U.S. 266 (1926); *New Orleans Terminal Co. v. Spencer*, 366 F.2d 160 (5th Cir. 1966), cert. denied, 386 U.S. 942 (1967); *Illinois Commerce Com'n v. United States*, 779 F.2d 1270 (7th Cir. 1985); and *Nicholson v. ICC*, 711 F.2d 364 (D.C. Cir. 1983). Factors considered include the amount of traffic over the line, the availability of regularly scheduled service, the number of shippers being served, the existence of stations, and the weight of the rail used to construct the line. See *CNW—Aban. Exemp.—In McHenry County, IL*, 3 I.C.C.2d 366 (1987), rev'd on other grounds, *Illinois Commerce Com'n v. ICC*, 879 F.2d 917 (D.C. Cir. 1989); *United States v. Idaho*, 298 U.S. 105 (1936); and *New York Central R. Co. v. Chicago & Eastern Ill. R. Co.*, 222 F.2d 828 (7th Cir. 1955).

Bristol does not address these criteria in detail. It simply notes that the track is industrially owned and currently carries no freight. Bristol proposes, however, to provide switching services for businesses located, or to be located, in the Bristol Industrial Park and to interchange their traffic with Conrail at Grundy. Thus, the evidence presented is ambiguous; it is impossible to find that the track will be an exempt spur. Moreover, it is not controlling that the line could formerly have been characterized as a spur. Indeed, it is well established that a line's status can change from an "exempt spur" to a "line of railroad" subject to Commission regulation under 49 U.S.C. 10901-10906 because of an expansion of service. See Docket No. AB-52 (Sub-No. 71X), *Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry. Co.—Aband. Exemp.—in Lyon County, KS* (not printed), served June 17, 1991 (*Santa Fe*).

<sup>4</sup> If, after evaluating its proposed operations against the criteria discussed above, Bristol still feels that its lease and operation is exempt under section 10907(b) it should file a petition to revoke this exemption, accompanied by specific evidence to support its position. See *Santa Fe, supra*.

<sup>1</sup> By decision served August 21, 1992, the Commission's Office of the Secretary granted a motion filed by applicants for a protective order covering their stock purchase agreement.

<sup>2</sup> Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail) provides freight service over the Amtrak line and maintains a station at Grundy.