

the introductory text of paragraph (b) and paragraphs (b)(3) (i), (iii), (iv), and (v) to read as follows:

Dated: November 25, 1992.

LaVerne Ausman,

Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc. 92-29654 Filed 12-7-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-07-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 20

RIN 3150-AA38

Revised Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Minor Amendments

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; Minor corrective and conforming amendments.

SUMMARY: This final rule makes a number of minor corrective and conforming amendments to the NRC's revised standards for protection against radiation. The final rule is necessary to correct recently discovered errors in the text of the revised standards, to conform portions of regulatory text to the Commission's decision to defer mandatory implementation of the revised standards until 1994, and to reflect the recent OMB approval of the use of NRC Forms 4 and 5.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 8, 1992.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Roecklein, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 492-3740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 21, 1991 (56 FR 23360), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published its revised standards for protection against radiation (10 CFR 20.1001-20.2401) and the associated appendices. The revised standards for protection against radiation incorporated scientific information and reflected changes in the basic philosophy of radiation protection that had occurred since the promulgation of the original regulations. The revised standards for protection against radiation became effective on June 21, 1991. However, NRC licensees were permitted to defer the mandatory implementation of these regulations until January 1, 1993.

On December 3, 1991 (56 FR 61352), the NRC published a final rule in the Federal Register that corrected a number of minor printing errors and omissions in the May 21, 1991, final

rule. Since the publication of the December 3, 1991, correction and the codification of the revised standards for protection against radiation in the 1992 revision of 10 CFR chapter I, additional typographical errors and inadvertent omissions have been discovered in the revised standards for protection against radiation. This amendment is necessary to correct these errors in the text of the revised standards for protection against radiation.

On August 26, 1992 (57 FR 38588), the NRC published a final rule that extended the date by which NRC licensees are required to implement the revised standards for protection against radiation from January 1, 1993, until January 1, 1994. This amendment also makes several conforming amendments to the text of the revised standards for protection against radiation that are necessary to reflect the new mandatory implementation date.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission submitted the information collection requirements contained in this part and in NRC Forms 4 and 5 to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980. OMB has approved the information collection requirements contained in part 20 under control number 3150-0014 and has approved the use of NRC Forms 4 and 5 under control numbers 3150-0005 and 3150-0006. This final rule amends § 20.1009 to reflect these approvals.

Explanation of Changes

This final rule makes minor corrections and conforming changes for the following reasons:

In the § 20.1003 definition of dosimetry processor, the inadvertently repeated parenthetical phrase " $(H_{E,50} = \sum w_T H_{T,50})$ " is removed.

The text of § 20.1009, Reporting, recording, and application requirements: OMB approval, is revised to reflect recent OMB approvals.

In § 20.1202, several misprints in the subscripts are corrected.

In § 20.1302, the word "continually" is changed to "continuously" to better reflect the intent of the provision that an individual would have to be present without interruption in an unrestricted area.

In § 20.1703, the word "are" is corrected.

In § 20.1703, the acronym NIOSH is corrected.

In § 20.1703(d), the title of Regional Administrator is corrected.

In § 20.2104, the word "lifetime" is deleted from the phrase "lifetime cumulative radiation dose" because it is redundant with cumulative

and may be misleading because the new rules do not include a lifetime dose limit.

In § 20.2104, paragraph (d) is revised to better explain the option of using a record of exposure history other than Form 4. The amendment makes it clear that all of the information required on Form 4 would need to be in the optional record.

In § 20.2104(d), footnote 4, the first sentence is amended to make it clearer that licensees do not have to partition doses received prior to implementing the new part 20 into external dose equivalents and internal committed dose equivalents. Also, the phrase "occupational exposure histories obtained and recorded on NRC Form 4 before January 1, 1991, would not have included effective dose equivalent" is changed to "before January 1, 1994, might not." This is more accurate since if licensees do not implement the new regulation until the mandatory compliance date of January 1, 1994, they are not required to measure and record effective dose equivalent.

In § 20.2202, the phrase "five times the occupational annual limit on intake" is amended by deleting the word "occupational" in order to avoid the implication that there is a "nonoccupational" or "public" Annual Limit on Intake (ALI).

In Appendix B to §§ 20.1001-20.2401, in the paragraph under the heading "Introduction," language is added to make it clear that inhalation retention classes (D,W,Y) apply only to the inhalation ALIs and DACs given in Table 1, columns 2 and 3.

In Appendix B, the seventh paragraph under the heading Table 1 "Occupational" which begins "Note that the dose equivalents" is deleted because it was erroneously repeated. The same paragraph occurs as the fourth paragraph under the heading Table 1, "Occupational," where it is correct.

In Appendix B, in the third paragraph under the heading Table 2, the unit "ml" was omitted from the number 2.4×10^2 . The unit is added.

In Appendix B, in the last paragraph under the heading Table 2, in the third sentence, the word "the" in the phrase "presence of the one of the" is deleted, and the words "as being present" are deleted from the phrase "excluded as being present either from"

In Appendix F, III.B.5 and III.D.2., recordkeeping requirements are changed to "until the license is terminated." This change was made to

all recordkeeping requirements, and these two were inadvertently omitted. This change is consistent with the OMB approval.

Administrative Procedures Act: Waiver

Because these amendments make minor corrective and conforming changes to an existing regulation, the NRC has determined that good cause exists to dispense with the notice and comment provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). For the same reason, the NRC has determined that good cause exists to waive the 30-day deferred effective date provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3)).

Environmental Impact: Categorical Exclusion

The NRC has determined that this rule is the type of action described in categorical exclusion 10 CFR 51.22(c)(2). Therefore, neither an environmental impact statement nor an environmental assessment has been prepared for this final rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

This final rule does not contain a new or amended information collection requirement subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). Existing requirements were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0014.

Regulatory Analysis

This final rule is administrative in that it corrects and conforms the text of an existing regulation. These amendments will not have a significant impact. Therefore, the NRC has not prepared a regulatory analysis for this final rule. The final regulatory analysis for the May 21, 1991, final rule examined the costs and benefits of the alternatives considered by the Commission in developing the revised standards for protection against radiation and is available for inspection in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC.

Backfit Analysis

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule, 10 CFR 50.109, does not apply to this final rule, and therefore, that a backfit analysis is not required for this final rule because these amendments are administrative in nature and do not involve any provision which would impose backfits as defined in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1).

List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 20

Byproduct material, Criminal penalties, Licensed material, Nuclear materials, Nuclear power plants and reactors, Occupational safety and health, Packaging and containers, Radiation protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Special nuclear material, Source material, Waste treatment and disposal.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553, the NRC is adopting the following corrective and conforming amendments to 10 CFR part 20.

PART 20—STANDARDS FOR PROTECTION AGAINST RADIATION

1. The authority citation for part 20 continues to read in part as follows:

Authority: Sec. 161, 68 Stat. 948, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2201); Sec. 201, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841) * * *.

2. The undesignated center heading directly preceding Subpart A (§§ 20.1001–20.1009) is revised to read as follows:

Regulations Mandatory as of January 1, 1994, With Earlier Compliance Encouraged

3. In § 20.1003, the term "Dosimetry processor" is revised to read as follows:

§ 20.1003 Definitions.

* * * * *

Dosimetry processor means an individual or organization that processes and evaluates individual monitoring equipment in order to determine the radiation dose delivered to the equipment.

* * * * *

4. Section 20.1009, is revised to read as follows:

* * * * *

§ 20.1009 Reporting, recording, and application requirements: OMB approval.

(a) The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has submitted the information collection requirements contained in this part to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). OMB has approved the information collection requirements contained in this part under control number 3150-0014.

(b) The approved information collection requirements contained in this part appear in §§ 20.1101, 20.1202, 20.1204, 20.1206, 20.1301, 20.1501,

20.1601, 20.1703, 20.1901, 20.1902, 20.1904, 20.1906, 20.2002, 20.2004, 20.2006, 20.2102, 20.2103, 20.2104, 20.2105, 20.2106, 20.2107, 20.2108, 20.2110, 20.2201, 20.2202, 20.2203, 20.2204, 20.2206, and appendix F to 20.1001–20.2401.

(c) This part contains information collection requirements in addition to those approved under the control number specified in paragraph (a) of this section. These information collection requirements and the control numbers under which they are approved are as follows:

(1) In § 20.2104, NRC Form 4 is approved under control number 3150-0005.

(2) In §§ 20.2106 and 20.2206, NRC Form 5 is approved under control number 3150-0006.

§ 20.1202 [Amended]

5. In § 20.1202(b)(3), footnote 1, the word "factors" is revised to read "factor,"; the phrase "committed dose equivalent, H_{50} ," is revised to read "committed dose equivalent, $H_{T,50}$,"; the phrase "maximum weighted value of H_{50} " is revised to read "maximum weighted value of $H_{T,50}$,"; and the parenthetical "(i.e., $W_T H_{50,T}$)" is revised to read "(i.e., $W_T H_{T,50}$)."

§ 20.1302 [Amended]

6. In § 20.1302(b)(2)(ii), the word "continually" is revised to read "continuously."

§ 20.1703 [Amended]

7. In the first sentence of § 20.1703(b)(1), "ae" is revised to read "are."

8. In § 20.1703(c), "NOISH" is revised to read "NIOSH."

9. In § 20.1703(d), the title of the addressee is changed from "Director" to "Regional Administrator."

10. In § 20.2104, paragraphs (a)(2) and (c)(2) are amended by removing the word "lifetime," and paragraph (d) is revised to read as follows:

* * * * *

(d) The licensee shall record the exposure history of each individual, as required by paragraph (a) of this section, on NRC Form 4, or other clear and legible record, including all of the information required by NRC Form 4⁴. The form or record must show each period in which the individual received

⁴ Licensees are not required to partition historical dose between external dose equivalent(s) and internal committed dose equivalent(s). Further, occupational exposure histories obtained and recorded on NRC Form 4 before January 1, 1994, might not have included effective dose equivalent, but may be used in the absence of specific information on the intake of radionuclides by the individual.

occupational exposure to radiation or radioactive material and must be signed by the individual who received the exposure. For each period for which the licensee obtains reports, the licensee shall use the dose shown in the report in preparing the NRC Form 4. For any period in which the licensee does not obtain a report, the licensee shall place a notation on the NRC Form 4 indicating the periods of time for which data are not available.

§ 20.2202 [Amended]

11. In § 20.2202(a)(2), the word "occupational" is removed.

Appendix B to §§ 20.1001-20.2401 [Amended]

12. In Appendix B to §§ 20.1001-20.2401, the paragraph under the heading "Introduction" is amended by adding the following sentence after the third sentence:

"The class (D, W, or Y) given in the column headed "Class" applies only to the inhalation ALIs and DACs given in Table 1, columns 2 and 3."

13. In Appendix B to §§ 20.1001-20.2401, the seventh paragraph under the heading Table 1 "Occupational" which begins "Note that the dose equivalents * * *" is removed.

14. In Appendix B to §§ 20.1001-20.2401, in the second sentence of the third paragraph under the heading Table 2 which begins "The air concentration values * * *," the unit "ml" is added after the number 2.4×10^6 .

15. In Appendix B to §§ 20.1001-20.2401, the last sentence of the last paragraph under the heading Table 2 is revised to read as follows:

"The limit for the unknown mixture is defined when the presence of one of the listed radionuclides cannot be definitely excluded either from knowledge of the radionuclide composition of the source or from actual measurements."

Appendix F to §§ 20.1001-20.2401 [Amended]

16. In Appendix F to §§ 20.1001-20.2401, section III.B.5. the phrase "and retain information from generator manifest until disposition is authorized by the Commission; and" is revised to read "and retain information from generator manifest until the license is terminated; and".

17. In Appendix F to §§ 20.1001-20.2401, Section III.D.2., is revised to read

2. Maintain copies of all completed manifests or equivalent documentation until the license is terminated; and

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of November, 1992.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
James M. Taylor,
Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. 92-29721 Filed 12-7-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7540-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 92-NM-150-AD; Amendment 39-8426; AD 92-25-12]

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Industrie Model A300 B2, A300 B4, A310, and A300-600 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Industrie Model A300 B2, A300 B4, A310, and A300-600 series airplanes, that requires revising the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to change the data concerning maximum V_1 speed and accelerate stop distance to be used to determine takeoff performance. This amendment is prompted by results of certification test flights. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the airplane from overrunning the available runway length in the event of a rejected takeoff (RTO).

DATES: Effective January 12, 1993.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 12, 1993.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, Airbus Support Division, Avenue Didier Daurat, 31700, Blagnac, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Greg Holt, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (206) 227-2140; fax (206) 227-1320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal

Aviation Regulations to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Industrie Model A300 B2, A300 B4, A310, and A300-600 series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on September 24, 1992 (57 FR 44139). That action proposed to require revising the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to change the data concerning maximum V_1 speed and accelerate stop distance to be used to determine takeoff performance.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the two comments received.

Both commenters support the proposed rule.

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

The FAA estimates that 127 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 0.5 work hour per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$55 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$3,492, or \$27 per airplane. This total cost figure assumes that no operator has yet accomplished the requirements of this AD.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption "ADDRESSES."

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

92-25-12. Airbus Industrie: Amendment 39-8426. Docket 92-NM-150-AD.

Applicability: Model A300 B2, A300 B4, A310, and A300-600 series airplanes; equipped with Messier Bugatti carbon brakes; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent overrunning the available runway length during a rejected takeoff (RTO), accomplish the following:

(a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, revise the Limitations Section of the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to change the data concerning the accelerated stop distance to be used during a RTO, in accordance with either Model A300 AFM Temporary Revision 5.02.00/1, dated December 4, 1991; Model A310 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/3, dated February 13, 1992; Model A310 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/1, dated October 22, 1991; Model A310 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/4, dated March 6, 1992; Model A300-600 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/1, dated November 4, 1991; or Model A300-600 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/2, dated December 4, 1991; as applicable.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

Note: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate the airplane to a location where the

requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) The AFM revision shall be done in accordance with Model A300 AFM Temporary Revision 5.02.00/1, dated December 4, 1991; Model A310 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/3, dated February 13, 1992; Model A310 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/1, dated October 22, 1991; Model A310 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/4, dated March 6, 1992; Model A300-600 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/1, dated November 4, 1991; or Model A300-600 AFM Temporary Revision 5.04.00/2, dated December 4, 1991; as applicable.

(Note: The issue date of the AFM Temporary Revisions are indicated only on "page 1"; no other pages of these documents are dated.) This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, Airbus Support Division, Avenue Didier Daurat, 31700, Blagnac, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on January 12, 1993.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 18, 1992.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 92-29662 Filed 12-7-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 91-NM-274-AD; Amendment 39-8424; AD 92-25-09]

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 737 series airplanes, that currently requires external inspections of the circumferential fuselage splices and internal inspections of certain bonded doublers for delamination, cracking, and corrosion, and repair, if necessary. This amendment clarifies the terminating action, expands the area of inspection, and updates the inspection procedures. This amendment is prompted by reports from operators of additional skin cracks, corrosion, and delamination between the skin and doubler. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent rapid decompression of the airplane.

DATES: Effective January 12, 1993.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 12, 1993.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Thomas Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (206) 227-2779; fax (206) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by superseding AD 91-09-10, Amendment 39-6978 (56 FR 18689, April 24, 1991), which is applicable to certain Boeing Model 737 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on March 18, 1992 (57 FR 9392). (A correction of the rule was published in the Federal Register on June 30, 1992 (57 FR 29120).) That action proposed to clarify the terminating action, expand the area of inspection, and update the inspection procedures.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

One commenter supports the proposed rule.

One commenter requests clarification as to what type of terminating action the AD requires if solid belly skins have been installed on the airplane in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-53A1042. The FAA concurs that clarification is needed. The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53A1042, Revision 9, dated July 25, 1991 (and the previous revisions to this service bulletin), that describe procedures for inspection of the fuselage lower lobe hot-bonded skin panels, application of corrosion inhibitor, and replacement with solid belly skin panels. The FAA has determined that this solid belly skin installation constitutes terminating action for the corrosion and fatigue inspection requirements of this AD in the area of the solid belly skin. A new