

Financial, Inc., the investment adviser of Flagship, agreed to reimburse MUTEF for one-half of these costs.

6. Applicant has filed a certificate of dissolution with the State of Maryland and has been dissolved.

7. As of the date of the application, applicant had no debts or liabilities, and was not a party to any litigation or administrative proceeding.

8. Applicant is neither engaged in nor proposed to engage in any business activities other than those necessary for the winding up of its affairs.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 91-17185 Filed 7-18-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs

[Public Notice 1427]

Suspension of Munitions Export Licenses to Yugoslavia

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that all licenses and approvals to export or otherwise transfer defense articles and defense services to Yugoslavia pursuant to section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act are suspended.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 11, 1991.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rose Biancaniello, Chief, Licensing Division, Office of Defense Trade Controls, Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs, Department of State (703-875-6644).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective immediately, it is the policy of the U.S. Government to deny all applications for licenses and other approvals to export or otherwise transfer defense articles to Yugoslavia. In addition, U.S. manufacturers and exporters and any other affected parties are hereby notified that the Department of State has suspended all licenses and approvals authorizing the export of or other transfers of defense articles or defense services to Yugoslavia.

The licenses and approvals that have been suspended to include manufacturing licenses and technical assistance agreements involving Yugoslavia. This action also precludes the use in connection with Yugoslavia of any exemptions from license or other approval requirements included in the

International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) (22 CFR parts 120-130).

This action has been taken pursuant to sections 38 and 42 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778, 2791) and § 126.7 of the ITAR in furtherance of the foreign policy of the United States.

Dated: July 10, 1991.

Richard A. Clarke,

Assistant Secretary of State for Politico-Military Affairs.

[FR Doc. 91-17185 Filed 7-18-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-25-M

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Possible Drought Emergency Declaration in All or Selected Portions of the Susquehanna River Basin

AGENCY: Susquehanna River Basin Commission (SRBC).

ACTION: Notice of public hearing on possible drought emergency declaration.

DATES: The public hearing will be held on August 19, 1991 at 1:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The hearing will be held in the third floor conference room of the Commission's Headquarters Building at 1721 N. Front St., Harrisburg, Pa. 17102-2391. Written comments should be submitted to Richard A. Cairo, Secretary to the Commission, at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard A. Cairo, Secretary to the Commission (717) 238-0423.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Susquehanna River Basin Commission will hold a public hearing on August 19, 1991 at its Headquarters Building, 1721 N. Front St., Harrisburg, Pa., beginning at 1:30 p.m. The purpose of this hearing will be to receive public comments on the need for a drought emergency declaration in all or selected portions of the Susquehanna River Basin. Article 11, section 11.4(a) of Susquehanna River Basin Compact, Public Law 91-575, provides that, prior to any general or selected drought emergency declaration by the Commission, the Commission must first hold a public hearing and then act by unanimous vote.

A significant deviation from normal precipitation, particularly in the Pennsylvania portion of the Susquehanna River Basin, prompted the Commission, at its July 11, 1991 meeting in Oneonta, N.Y., to order the immediate scheduling of a public hearing on a possible drought emergency declaration. This deviation is running as high as 6-7 inches for some Susquehanna Drainage

Basin counties in Pennsylvania. For the duration of any such declared emergency, the Commission may direct increases or decreases in any allocations, diversions or releases previously granted or required for a limited time to meet the emergency condition.

The hearing will be informal in nature. Interested parties are invited to attend the hearing and to participate by making oral or written statements presenting their data, views, and comments. Those wishing to personally appear to present their views are urged to notify the Commission in advance that they desire to do so. However, any person who wishes to be heard will be given the opportunity to be heard whether or not they have given such notice. Immediately after the hearing or as soon thereafter as practicable, the Commission will convene a conference call meeting to determine whether to declare a drought emergency in all or a portion of the basin.

Additional information on this hearing and the consequences of a drought emergency declaration may be obtained from Richard A. Cairo, Secretary to the Commission, Susquehanna River Basin Commission, 1721 N. Front St., Harrisburg, Pa. 17102-2391 (717) 238-0423.

Authority: Susquehanna River Basin Compact, 84 Stat 1509 et seq.

Dated: July 12, 1991.

Richard A. Cairo,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 91-47155 Filed 7-28-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7040-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Aviation Proceedings; Agreements Filed During the Week Ended July 12, 1991

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days of date of filing.

Docket Number: 47641.

Date filed: July 11, 1991.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: Mail Vote 502 (Cairo-Beirut), TC2 Intermediate/First/Economy Class Fares Within Middle East, R-1-(MV502) 042M/052/062.

Proposed Effective Date: July 30, 1991.

Docket Number: 47642.

Date filed: July 11, 1991.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: TC12 Reso/P 1337 Dated June 11, 1991. North Atlantic-Israel—R-1 To R-8, TC12 Fares 0357 dated June 12, 1991—Fares Tables, TC12 Meet/P 0483 dated July 4, 1991—Minutes.

Proposed Effective Date: August 19, 1991.

Phyllis T. Kaylor,

Chief, Documentary Services Division.

[FR Doc. 91-17241 Filed 7-18-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-M

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart Q During the Week Ended July 12, 1991

The following applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity and foreign air carrier permits were filed under subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 *et seq.*). The due date for answers, conforming application, or motion to modify scope are set forth below for each application. Following the answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket Number: 47643.

Date filed: July 11, 1991.

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: August 8, 1991.

Description: Application of Conifair Aviation Inc., pursuant to section 402 of the Act and subpart Q of the Regulations requests a foreign air carrier permit for authority to provide charter foreign air transportation of persons and accompanying baggage between points in the United States and points in Canada and between points in Canada to points in the United States.

Phyllis T. Kaylor,

Chief, Documentary Services Division.

[FR Doc. 91-17242 Filed 7-18-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-M

Federal Railroad Administration

Petition for Waivers of Compliance

In accordance with 49 CFR 211.9 and 211.41, notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has received a request for a waiver of compliance with certain requirements of the Federal safety laws and regulations. The petition is described below, including the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being

requested and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

CSX Transportation, Inc.

Docket Number SA-91-6

CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) seeks a waiver of compliance from certain sections of 49 CFR part 231, Railroad Safety Appliance Standards. CSXT railroad is requesting that it be permitted to operate open top coal hopper cars equipped with roof covers. The Chessie System Railroads purchased 338 fiberglass covers in 1978 and applied them to 100-ton coal hoppers for the purpose of providing an economical means to meet the seasonal demands of export grain movements to the eastern ports. At the present time 231 cases are equipped with covers. The car series are:

CSXT		Date built
801460-801458	(2)	1966
801460-802764	(19)	1967
802765	(1)	1967
802768-803904	(54)	1968
803913-805111	(37)	1969
805112-805853	(7)	1969
805859-807124	(17)	1969
807209-807245	(1)	1969
807247-807441	(1)	1969
807442-808962	(8)	1970/71
808964-810872	(16)	1970
810877-812883	(18)	1971
812892-814749	(45)	1971
814749-814803	(4)	1971
832211-832704	(1)	1980

CSXT states that those cars equipped with covers have operated safely for the past 12 years.

The roof covers have hatches and are equipped with running boards and roof handholds. Box and other house cars with roof hatches built or placed in service after October 1, 1966, are required by 49 CFR 231.28 to be equipped with end platforms, end platform handholds, 16 end handholds, and 16 side handholds.

The cars subject to this waiver request were built between 1966 and 1980 and presently conform to the requirements specified in 49 CFR 231.2 for hopper cars and high side gondolas cars with fixed ends.

CSXT requests that the requirements of § 231.28 pertaining to side handholds, end handholds, end platform handholds, and end platforms be waived for the subject cars.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written reviews, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they

should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g., Waiver Petition Docket Number—SA-91-6 and must be submitted in triplicate to the Docket Clerk, Chief Counsel, Federal Railroad Administration, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Communications received before August 30, 1991 will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) in room 8201, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on July 8, 1991.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Associate Administrator for Safety.

[FR Doc. 91-17243 Filed 7-18-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-M

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 91-10-IP-NO. 2]

General Motors Corp.; Grant of Petition for Determination of Inconsequential Noncompliance

This notice grants the petition of General Motors Corporation of Warren, Michigan, to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 1381 *et seq.*) for an apparent noncompliance with 49 CFR 571.101, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 101, "Controls and Displays." The basis of the petition was that the noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety.

Notice of receipt of the petition was published on March 8, 1991, and an opportunity afforded for comment (56 FR 10007).

Paragraph S5.3.4(a) of Standard No. 101 requires that means be provided which are capable of making the telltales and their identification visible to the driver under all driving conditions. GM determined that 9,681 of its 1990 Oldsmobile Toronado vehicles failed to comply with S5.3.4(a) of Standard No. 101. The high beam telltale on these vehicles shares its electrical ground with some of the other vehicle interior systems, one of which is the cigar lighter circuit. The application of

the cigar lighter reduces current flow to the high beam telltale, causing it to dim or extinguish temporarily.

General Motors supported its petition with the following: "The telltale extinguishes only when the shared circuit is subjected to heavy electrical current flows (above 5 amps). The circuit is normally subjected to loads of this level only during the heating of the cigar lighter which draws over 5 amps. However, the specified heating time for the cigar lighter is a maximum of 20 seconds at room temperature and a maximum of 25 seconds at lower temperatures. Therefore, the high beam telltale would extinguish for a maximum of 25 seconds. Typical accessories (e.g., cellular telephones) which use the cigar lighter circuit draw much less current (approximately 2 amps) and would not dim the telltale to the point that it is not visible."

GM was not aware of field complaints indicating concern resulting from the subject condition. Warranty records were also reviewed for the vehicle population in question and no evidence of activity which might relate to the subject condition was found.

Because the telltale would extinguish only under specific circumstances and any suppression of the high beam telltale illumination level would persist only for a short period of time, GM argued that this noncompliance with FMVSS 101 was inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

One comment was received on the petition, from Capt. J.P. Henries, Safety Officer for the Department of State Police, Virginia. Capt. Henries recommended denial of the petition on the grounds that the inability of the operator to monitor upper beam use at all times is hazardous. In addition, Virginia law requires that the upper beam telltale be visible whenever the upper beams are activated. For the reasons expressed below, the agency has concluded that the petitioner has met its burden of persuasion under Federal law, and that the situation presented is not hazardous. Virginia is requested to take NHTSA's views into consideration in enforcing State law.

The agency notes that a specific set of circumstances would have to occur before the noncompliance would have more than an inconsequential effect upon safety. The noncompliance could only manifest itself during upper beam use when the cigar lighter was also in use. But only a comparatively small portion of driving occurs at night, the time of headlamp activation. Because of State and local laws prohibiting upper beam use, only a very small percentage of nighttime driving is performed using

the upper beam. The 25-second use of the cigar lighter would comprise only a limited amount of the time the upper beam is in use. The safety hazard most likely to be created by the noncompliance is glare in the eyes of an oncoming driver on a two or three-lane road, but, if discomforted, the instinctive reaction of that driver would be to flash the upper beams, alerting the driver of the noncompliant vehicle to lower that vehicle's upper beams. The probability of all these facts occurring simultaneously is low. In addition, the likelihood of the driver of the offending vehicle failing to remember that the upper beams were on and ignoring the oncoming vehicle's flashing lights is also low. Therefore, the agency has concluded that the telltale noncompliance has an inconsequential effect upon safety.

For the foregoing reasons, it is hereby found that the petitioner has met its burden of persuasion that the noncompliance herein described is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, and its petition is granted.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 1417; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 49 CFR 501.8.

Issued on: July 18, 1991.

Stanley R. Scheiner,
Acting Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 91-17245 Filed 7-18-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-50-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to OMB for Review

Dated: July 12, 1991.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Public Law 96-511. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Office listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, room 3171 Treasury Annex, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

U.S. Customs Service

OMB Number: New.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: New collection.

Title: 1991 ADP User Survey.

Description: These surveys are developed jointly by Customs and the trade groups. Customs then surveys its employees, while the trade group surveys its members. The purpose of the surveys is to get user viewpoints to help make improvements to the Automated Commercial System.

Respondents: Individuals and businesses or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5,100.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Responder: 15 minutes.

Frequency of Response: Biennially.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 1,275 hours.

Clearance Officer: Ralph Meyer, (202) 566-4019, U.S. Customs Service, Paperwork Management Branch, room 6316, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229.

OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf, (202) 395-6880, Office of Management and Budget, room 3001, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dale A. Morgan,

Departmental Reports, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 91-17179 Filed 7-18-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-M

Performance Review Board, Departmental Offices

AGENCY: Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the membership to the Departmental Offices' Performance Review Board (PRB) and supersedes the list published in 54 FR 161 dated August 22, 1989, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4). The purpose of the PRB is to review the performance of members of the Senior Executive Service and make recommendations regarding performance ratings, performance awards, and other personnel actions.

The names and titles of the PRB members are as follows:

David M. Nummy—Acting Assistant Secretary (Management) Chairperson
Jeanne S. Archibald—General Counsel
John H. Auten—Director, Office of Financial Analysis
William E. Barreda—Deputy Assistant Secretary (Trade and Investment Policy)
Ralph L. Bayrer—Director, Office of Synthetic Fuels
Steven W. Broadbent—Deputy Assistant Secretary (Information Systems)
Mary E. Chaves—Director, Office of International Debt Policy
R. Blair Downing—Executive Secretary (Policy Management)