

SIAPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting these SIAPs are unnecessary, impracticable, and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, that good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97

Approaches, Standard Instrument, Incorporation by reference.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 7, 1991.

Thomas C. Accardi,

Director, Flight Standards Service.

#### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 g.m.t. on the dates specified, as follows:

#### PART 97—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 97 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354(a), 1421 and 1510; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2).

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

§§ 97.23, 97.25, 97.27, 97.29, 97.31, 97.33, 97.35 [Amended]

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs, identified as follows:

#### Effective July 25, 1991

Fort Payne, AL—Isbell Field, NDB—A, Orig.  
Huntsville, AR—Huntsville-Madison County Regional, VOR/DME RWY 12, Orig.  
San Francisco, CA—San Francisco Intl, ILS RWY 28L, Amdt. 19  
Gunnison, CO—Gunnison County, LOC RWY 6, Amdt. 1, Cancelled  
Gunnison, CO—Gunnison County, ILS RWY 6, Amdt. 3  
Atlanta, GA—DeKalb-Peachtree, RADAR-1, Amdt. 2  
Augusta, GA—Daniel Field, RADAR-1, Amdt. 5  
Cartersville, GA—Cartersville, NDB RWY 18, Amdt. 2  
Valdosta, GA—Valdosta Regional, ILS RWY 35, Amdt. 5  
Valdosta, GA—Valdosta Regional, RADAR-1, Orig.  
Idaho Falls, ID—Fanning Field, ILS RWY 20, Amdt. 9  
Elkhart, IN—Elkhart Muni, SDF RWY 27R, Amdt. 6, Cancelled  
Flemingsburg, KY—Fleming-Mason, VOR/DME—A, Amdt. 4  
Okolona, MS—Okolona Muni-Richard Stoval Field, VOR/DME RWY 18, Amdt. 5  
Picayune, MS—Picayune Pearl River County, VOR—A, Amdt. 11  
Las Vegas, NV—MC Carran Intl, VOR RWY 25L/R, Orig.  
Las Vegas, NV—MC Carran Intl, ILS RWY 25R, Amdt. 15  
Binghamton, NY—Edwin A. Link Field/Broome County, ILS RWY 34, Orig. Cancelled  
Binghamton, NY—Edwin A. Link Field/Broome County, ILS RWY 16, Amdt. 5  
Binghamton, NY—Edwin A. Link Field/Broome County, ILS RWY 34, Orig.  
Mocksville, NC—Twin Lakes, NDB RWY 9, Amdt. 5  
Beaufort, SC—Beaufort County, RADAR-1, Amdt. 2  
Chester, SC—Chester Muni, VOR/DME—A, Amdt. 1  
Dillon, SC—Dillon County, VOR/DME RWY 7, Amdt. 5  
Dillon, SC—Dillon County, NDB RWY 7, Amdt. 5  
Plano, TX—Dallas North, VOR—A, Amdt. 1, Cancelled  
Renton, WA—Renton Muni, NDB RWY 15, Amdt. 2

#### Effective June 4, 1991

MC Gregor, TX—MC Gregor Muni, VOR RWY 17, Amdt. 6  
San Marcos, TX—San Marcos Muni, VOR/DME—A Amdt. 4  
San Marcos, TX—San Marcos Muni, NDB RWY 12 Amdt. 3  
San Marcos, TX—San Marcos Muni, ILS RWY 12 Amdt. 4

#### Effective June 3, 1991

Lakeland, FL—Lakeland Regional, VOR RWY 27, Amdt. 5  
Sarasota (Bradenton), FL—Sarasota-Bradenton, VOR RWY 32, Amdt. 8  
Hyannis, MA—Barnstable Muni-Boardman/Polando Field, ILS RWY 15, Amdt. 1

#### Effective May 31, 1991

Chico, CA—Chico Muni, VOR/DME RWY 13L, Amdt. 6  
Chico, CA—Chico Muni, VOR RWY 31R, Amdt. 8  
Chico, CA—Chico Muni, NDV RWY 13L, Amdt. 4  
Chico, CA—Chico Muni, ILS RWY 13L, Amdt. 7  
Orland, CA—Haigh Field, VOR—A, Amdt. 5

#### Effective May 30, 1991

Elkhart, IN—Elkhart Muni, VOR RWY 9, Amdt. 5  
Elkhart, IN—Elkhart Muni, VOR RWY 27, Amdt. 12

#### Effective May 23, 1991

Little Rock, AR—Adams Field, NDB RWY 4L, Amdt. 17  
Little Rock, AR—Adams Field, NDB RWY 22R, Amdt. 5  
Little Rock, AR—Adams Field, ILS RWY 4L, Amdt. 23  
Little Rock, AR—Adams Field, ILS RWY 22R, Amdt. 7  
Little Rock, AR—Adams Field, RADAR-1, Amdt. 14  
Little Rock, AR—Adams Field, RNAV RWY 22R, Amdt. 9  
Little Rock, AR—Adams Field, RNAV RWY 36, Amdt. 9

[FR Doc. 91-14303 Filed 6-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

### Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner

#### 24 CFR Part 203

[Docket No. R-91-1498; FR-2713-F-02]

#### RIN 2502-AE84

### Mutual Mortgage Insurance and Rehabilitation Loans—Waiver of Seven Unit Rule for Certain Rehabilitation Loans

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule removes the "seven-unit" requirement of 24 CFR 203.42, in certain circumstances. Generally, under § 203.42 a property cannot be insured under the Single Family Mortgage Insurance program if a mortgagor has a financial interest in more than seven other units in projects, subdivisions or other rental properties close in proximity. This amendment exempts mortgagors of single-family properties insured under the section 203(k) rehabilitation loan program in

circumstances where State or local governments have targeted a specific area or neighborhood for redevelopment and have committed "substantial" efforts to this end. The purpose of this rule is to encourage and facilitate rehabilitation activity in the targeted areas.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 17, 1991.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Morris E. Carter, Director Single Family Development Office of Single Family Housing, Development of Housing and Urban Development, room 9272, 451 Seventh Street, SW. Washington, DC 20410-0500, (202) 708-2700. Hearing- or speech-impaired individuals may call the Office of Housing's TDD number (202) 708-4594. (These are not toll-free numbers.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Paperwork Burden**

The information collection requirements contained in this rule have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under section 3504(h) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3504(h)), and assigned OMB control number 2502-0453.

**Background**

In enacting the National Housing Act (the Act), Congress provided the Department with the authority to insure, and make commitments to insure, rehabilitation loans made by financial institutions. In section 203(k) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1709(k)), Congress defined "rehabilitation loans" as those made for the purpose of rehabilitating existing one- to four-family structures used primarily for residential purposes. The Department promulgated 24 CFR 203.50, which made rehabilitation loans eligible for insurance under the Single Family Mortgage Insurance program.

To prevent misuse of this program by leaders who may want to circumvent the requirements of the Multifamily Mortgage Insurance program, and to preclude insurance of a concentration of rental units for one investor, § 203.42 was promulgated. Section 203.42 had the effect of severely limiting the use of the section 203(k) insurance program because it limited mortgage insurance coverage to no more than seven units per mortgagor in a particular geographic area. (This limitation is commonly referred to as the "seven unit rule.") Since its inception ten years ago, only 7,000 mortgages have been insured under section 203(k).

The seven unit rule, as applied to rehabilitation loans, can limit expansion of affordable housing and home ownership opportunities. This runs

counter to the Department's objective of increasing such opportunities. For this reason, the Department determined that changes were required to the rule. Several lenders and developers agreed that a successful rehabilitation program must include all, or nearly all, the vacant and deteriorated properties in a neighborhood. Since such an approach may include developers who have an interest in more than seven units, little is gained by applying the limitation of § 203.42 to rehabilitation loans.

**Proposed Rule**

On March 4, 1991 (56 FR 8941), the Department published, for public comment, a proposed rule that would permit increased use of section 203(k). The Department proposed to achieve this objective by expressly exempting rehabilitation loans from the seven unit rule, provided that the loans are to be used for the rehabilitation of property located in a specific area or neighborhood targeted by a State or local government for redevelopment, in accordance with a specific program that involves substantial public or private commitments in support of the neighborhood redevelopment. Accordingly, the Department proposed to amend 24 CFR 203.42 to require a State or local government to submit a plan to the Department describing the program of neighborhood redevelopment, before the Department exempts a section 203(k) rehabilitation loan from the seven unit rule. The Department also proposed to revise and update the language of § 203.42.

By the end of the comment period on May 3, 1991, the Department had not received any comments on the March 4, 1991 proposed rule. The Department is adopting the proposed amendments to 24 CFR 203.42 without change.

**Other Matters**

*Impact on Economy*

This rule does not constitute a "major rule" as that term is defined in section 1(b) of the Executive Order on Federal Regulation issued by the President on February 17, 1981. Analysis of the rule indicates that it does not (1) have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; (2) cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State or local government, or geographic regions; or (3) have a significant adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

*Impact on Small Entities*

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 605(b) (the Regulatory Flexibility Act), the undersigned hereby certifies that this rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule is limited to exempting certain rehabilitation loans from the multifamily mortgage insurance program requirements. Any entity, regardless of size, may benefit from this exemption.

*Regulatory Agenda*

This rule was listed as sequence number 1292 in the Department's Semiannual Agenda published on April 22, 1991 (56 FR 17360, 17386) under Executive Order 12291 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

*Environmental Review*

At the time of publication of the proposed rule, a Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the environment was made in accordance with HUD regulations in 24 CFR part 50 that implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332). The proposed rule is adopted by this final rule without change. Accordingly, the initial Finding of No Significant Impact remains applicable, and is available for public inspection between 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. weekdays in the office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, room 10276, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410.

*Executive Order 12612, Federalism*

The General Counsel, as the Designated Official under section 6(a) of Executive Order 12612, Federalism, has determined that the policies contained in this rule do not have Federalism implications and, thus, are not subject to review under the Order. This rule is limited to exempting certain rehabilitation loans from the multifamily mortgage insurance program requirements. No programmatic or policy changes result from promulgation of this rule which would affect existing relationships between Federal, State or local governments.

*Executive Order 12606, the Family*

The General Counsel, as the Designated Official under Executive Order 12606, The Family, has determined that this rule does not have a potential significant impact on family formation, maintenance, and general well-being, and, thus is not subject to review under the Order. No significant change in existing HUD policies or

programs will result from promulgation of this rule, as those policies and programs relate to family concerns.

(The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number is 14.108, Rehabilitation Mortgage Insurance)

#### List of Subjects in 24 CFR Part 203

Hawaiian Natives, Home improvement, Indians: lands, Loan programs—housing and community development, Mortgage insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Solar energy.

Accordingly, 24 CFR part 203 is amended as follows:

#### PART 203—MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND REHABILITATION LOANS

1. The authority citation for part 203 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 203, 211 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1709, 1715b); sec. 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)). In addition, Subpart C is also issued under sec. 230, National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715u).

2. Section 203.42 is revised to read as follows:

##### § 203.42 Rental properties.

(a) A mortgage on property upon which there is a dwelling to be rented by the mortgagor shall not be eligible for insurance if the property is a part of, or adjacent or contiguous to, a project, subdivision or group of similar rental properties in which the mortgagor has a financial interest in eight or more dwelling units.

(b) Paragraph (a) of this section shall not apply where:

(1) A mortgage qualifies as a rehabilitation loan under § 203.50 of this part;

(2) The mortgage is to be used for the rehabilitation of property located in a specific area or neighborhood that has been targeted by a State or local government for redevelopment, in accordance with a specific program that involves substantial public or private commitments in support of neighborhood improvement or redevelopment; and

(3) The State or local government has approved, and has submitted to the Commissioner a plan describing the program of neighborhood redevelopment and revitalization, including the geographic area targeted for redevelopment, and the nature and proportion of public or private commitments that have been made in support of the redevelopment program.

(c) No two-, three-, or four-family dwelling, and no single-family dwelling,

if it is part of a group of five or more single-family dwellings held by the same mortgagor, or any part or unit thereof, shall be rented or offered for rent for transient or hotel purposes, as defined in § 203.16, so long as the dwelling is subject to any insured mortgage.

Dated: June 10, 1991.

Arthur J. Hill,

Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 91-14326 Filed 6-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Coast Guard

##### 33 CFR Part 117

[CGD7-91-41]

##### Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, FL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule—Revocation.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment revokes the regulations for the Dodge Island drawbridges, mile 1089.4, because a high-level fixed bridge has been completed for vehicular use at this same location. Notice and public procedures have been omitted for this action due to the alternative access available.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** This rule becomes effective on June 17, 1991.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brodie Rich, (305) 536-4103.

**Drafting Information:** The drafters of this rule are Mr. Brodie E. Rich, project officer, and Lt. Genelle Tanos, project attorney.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This action has no economic consequences. It merely revokes regulations that are no longer needed to accommodate the needs of vehicular traffic. Consequently, this action is considered to be non-major under Executive Order 12291 and nonsignificant under Department of Transportation regulatory policies and procedures (44 FR 11034, February 28, 1979). Since there is no economic impact, a full regulatory evaluation is unnecessary.

Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required under 5 U.S.C. 553, this action is exempt from the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)). However, this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

##### Federalism

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and

criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that the rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

In consideration of the foregoing, part 117 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended to read as follows:

#### PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g).

##### § 117.26 [Amended]

2. In § 117.261 paragraph (pp) is removed and reserved.

Dated: May 29, 1991.

Robert E. Kramek,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 91-14190 Filed 6-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### Bureau of Land Management

##### 43 CFR Public Land Order 6862

[NV-930-91-4214-10; Nev-051742]

##### Public Land Order No. 6849, Correction; Mineral Withdrawal of a Portion of the Sheldon National Wildlife Refuge, NV

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Public Land Order.

**SUMMARY:** This order will correct three errors in the land description in Public Land Order No. 6849.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 17, 1991.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vienna Wolder, BLM Nevada State Office, P.O. Box 12000, Reno, Nevada 89520, 702-785-6526.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 90 Stat. 2751; 43 U.S.C. 1714, it is ordered as follows:

The legal description in Public Land Order No. 6849, 56 FR 16278-16280, April 22, 1991, is hereby corrected as follows:

1. Page 16279, first column, line 18 from the bottom, "R. 23 E.," should read "R. 23½ E.,".

2. Page 16279, first column, line 13 from the bottom, "R. 23 E.," should read "R. 23½ E.,".

3. Page 16279, second column, line 19 from the bottom, "ENW¼," should read "E½NW¼,".

Dated: June 6, 1991.

Dave O'Neal,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 91-14312 Filed 6-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M

## Bureau of Land Management

### 43 CFR Public Land Order 6863

[CO-932-4214-10; COC-28566]

#### Withdrawal and Jurisdictional Transfer of Public Land for the Leadville Fish Hatchery; CO

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Public Land Order.

**SUMMARY:** This order withdraws 79.74 acres of public land from surface entry and mining for 40 years and transfers administrative jurisdiction to the Fish and Wildlife Service for use in conjunction with the Leadville Fish Hatchery. The land has been and remains open to mineral leasing.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 17, 1991.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Doris Chelius, BLM Colorado State Office, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80215-7076, 303-239-3706.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 90 Stat. 2751; 43 U.S.C. 1714, it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described public land is hereby withdrawn from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the general land laws, including the mining laws (30 U.S.C. ch. 2), and is transferred to the Fish and Wildlife Service for management as a part of the Leadville Fish Hatchery:

**Sixth Principal Meridian**

T. 9 S., R. 81 W.,

Sec. 36, lots 17, 18, 21, 22, and 24.

The area described contains approximately 79.74 acres of public land in Lake County.

2. Effective on date of publication of this order, the above-described land will be subject to the provisions of the National Wildlife Refuge System

Administrative Act, 16 U.S.C. 668dd, as part of the Leadville Fish Hatchery.

3. This withdrawal will expire 40 years from the effective date of this order unless, as a result of a review conducted before the expiration date pursuant to section 204(f) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f), the Secretary determines that the withdrawal shall be extended.

Dated: June 6, 1991.

Dave O'Neal,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 91-14315 Filed 6-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 90-437; RM-7284]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Marion and Orrville, AL

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document reallocates Channel 248A from Marion to Orrville, Alabama, substitutes Channel 247A for Channel 248A at Orrville, and modifies the construction permit of Marion Radio, Inc. for Station WJAM-FM, as requested, to specify operation on Channel 247A, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules. The allotment of Channel 247A to Orrville will provide the community with its first local aural transmission service without depriving Marion of local aural service. See 55 FR 41705, October 15, 1990. Coordinates used for Channel 247A at Orrville are 32-18-00 and 87-09-30. With this action, the proceeding is terminated.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 29, 1991.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 90-437, adopted May 31, 1991, and released June 12, 1991. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

## List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

### PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303.

#### § 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Alabama, is amended by removing Channel 248A at Marion and adding Channel 247A, Orrville.

Federal Communications Commission.

Andrew J. Rhodes,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 91-14365 Filed 6-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 89-597; RM-7118 and RM-7321]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Wiggins and D'Iberville, MS

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document substitutes Channel 250C2 for Channel 250A at Wiggins, Mississippi, and modifies the construction permit for Station WOTC, Channel 250A, to specify operation on the higher class channel in response to a petition filed by John F. White. See 55 FR 326, January 4, 1990. The coordinates for Channel 250C2 at Wiggins are 30-40-23 and 89-09-48. John F. White filed a counterproposal (RM-7321) (Public Notice given March 19, 1990, Report No. 1811) requesting the reallocation of Channel 250C2 from Wiggins to D'Iberville, Mississippi. A Request for Supplemental Information has been issued affording petitioner an opportunity to submit information with regard to this proposal.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 29, 1991.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's First Report and Order, MM Docket No. 89-597, adopted May 30, 1991, and released June 12, 1991. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased