

§ 334.360 Chesapeake Bay off Fort Monroe, Virginia; restricted area, U.S. Naval Base and Naval Surface Weapon Center.

(a) *The area.* Beginning at latitude 37°00'30"N, longitude 76°18'05"W; thence to latitude 37°00'38"N, longitude 76°17'42"W; thence to latitude 37°00'39"N, longitude 76°16'11"; thence to latitude 36°59'18"N, longitude 76°17'52"W; thence to latitude 37°00'05"N, longitude 76°18'17"W; and thence north along the seawall to the point of beginning.

* * * * *

Dated: October 4, 1990.

Patrick J. Kelly,

Major General, USA, Director of Civil Works.

[FR Doc. 90-25676 Filed 10-30-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-92-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 51

[AD-FRL-3855-7]

Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of State Implementation Plans; Corrections to PM-10 Areas of Concern

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Technical corrections to the areas of concern for certain Group I and II areas.

SUMMARY: The EPA published national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) and implementation policies for particulate matter (PM) with an aerodynamic diameter of a nominal 10 microns or less (PM-10) on July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24634 and 52 FR 24672). A notice identifying areas with very high probabilities (Group I) and moderate probabilities (Group II) of violating the PM-10 standards was published on August 7, 1987 (52 FR 29383). A notice that the grouping of three of these areas had been modified was published on March 28, 1989 (54 FR 12620). Work to develop State implementation plans (SIP's) to attain the PM-10 NAAQS began immediately for the Group I areas. Ambient PM-10 concentrations have been monitored in the Group II areas since 1987.

The EPA is, by this notice, clarifying the descriptions of certain Group I and Group II areas of concern listed in the August 7, 1987 notice. This action is being taken because the areas were only generally described in 1987 as cities, counties, or planning areas. The States were given guidance in the PM-10 SIP Development Guideline (EPA-450/2-86-002, June 1987) on how to further define

the extent of areas violating the PM-10 standards in the process of developing PM-10 SIP's. Therefore, some States have provided EPA with more detailed descriptions of certain Group I and Group II areas of concern.

DATES: These corrections are effective October 31, 1990.

ADDRESSES: Information supporting the Group I and Group II area descriptions can be obtained from the respective EPA Regional Office which services that particular State. The addresses of the Regional Offices are:

- State Air Programs Branch, EPA Region I, J.F.K. Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203-2211.

- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10278.

- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, PA 19107.

- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region IV, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, GA 30365.

- Air and Radiation Branch, EPA Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, IL 60604.

- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region VI, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733.

- Air Branch, EPA Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, KS 66101.

- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Denver Place—suite 500, Denver, CO 80202-2405.

- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region IX, 1235 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94103.

- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region X, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth R. Woodard, Particulate Matter Programs Section, Air Quality Management Division (MD-15), Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background

On July 1, 1987, the EPA promulgated revised NAAQS for PM (52 FR 24634). The standards incorporate a new indicator of PM that includes only those particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 microns.

Simultaneously, EPA published revised requirements for SIP's to attain and maintain the standards (52 FR 24672). In order to focus Federal and State resources on implementing the PM-10 NAAQS first in those areas of the country believed to be violating the standards, EPA classified all areas of the Nation into one of three groups.

Group I areas were those having a very high probability of violating the PM-10 standards based on ambient air quality data available for 1984 through 1987 for PM-10 and total suspended PM. Group II areas had a moderate probability of violating the standards, and Group III areas were those believed to be currently attaining the standards.

A list of Group I and II areas in each State was published in the *Federal Register* on August 7, 1987 (52 FR 29383). The remaining portion of any State not listed as Group I or II in the August 7 notice was classified in Group III.

A subsequent notice, published on March 28, 1989 (54 FR 12620), announced that three revisions had been made to the list of Group I and II areas. Sandpoint, Idaho, was changed from Group I to Group II, effective August 31, 1987 following a determination that the area lacked sufficient ambient data to justify a Group I classification. Porter County, Indiana, was changed from Group I to Group II, effective November 29, 1988 as a result of a settlement agreement negotiated with Bethlehem Steel Corporation (see *Bethlehem Steel Corporation v. Thomas*), 87-2476 (7th Cir.). Mono Basin, California, was changed from Group III to Group II, effective June 8, 1988 following review of the information used for the initial classification.

2. Today's Action

The EPA is, by this notice, providing technical corrections to clarify the descriptions of several Group I and II areas of concern. The EPA described the areas of concern generally as cities, towns, counties, or planning areas in the August 7, 1987 notice and stated that these descriptions were only initial definitions of the areas to be investigated in the PM-10 SIP development process. The EPA had previously provided guidance on procedures for determining the boundaries of areas not attaining the standards in section 2.5 of the PM-10 SIP Development Guideline and in section 6.3 of Procedures for Estimating Probability of Nonattainment of a PM-10 NAAQS Using Total Suspended Particulate or PM-10 Data, EPA-450/4-86-017, December 1986.

State air pollution control agencies, in developing SIP's for Group I and certain Group II areas over the past 3 years, have been collecting data on source emissions and ambient PM-10 concentrations, identifying control measures, and predicting future PM-10 concentrations using dispersion models. Consequently, EPA can now more clearly define the extent of certain

Group I and II areas. However, many of the area descriptions published in the August 7, 1987 notice remain unchanged.

3. Group I Area Descriptions
The list of Group I areas of concern published in the August 7, 1987 Federal

Register notice is revised to read as follows:

GROUP I AREAS^{1,2,3}

State and counties	Area of concern
Alaska:	
Anchorage.....	Community of Eagle River.
Juneau.....	City of Juneau; Mendenhall Valley area.
Arizona:	
Cochise.....	Paul Spur/Douglas planning area: Township 23 south, Range 25 east (T23S, R25E) T23S, R26E; T23S, R27E; T23S, R28E; T24S, R25E; T24S, R26E; T24S, R27E; T24S, R28E.
Pima.....	Rillito planning area: Townships: T11S, R9E; T11S, R10E; T11S, R11E; T11S, R12E; T12S, R8E; T12S, R9E; T12S, R10E; T12S, R11E; T12S, R12E;
Maricopa and Pinal.....	Phoenix planning area: The rectangle determined by, and including, T6N, R3W; T6N, R7E; T2S, R3W; T2S, R7E; T1N, R8E.
Yuma.....	Yuma planning area: Townships: T7S-R21W, R22W; T8S-R21W, R22W, R23W, R24W; T9S-R21W, R22W, R23W, R24W, R25W; T10S-R21W, R22W, R23W, R24W, R25W.
Pinal and Gila.....	Hayden/Miami planning area: Townships: T4S, R16E; T5S, R16E, T6S, R16E; plus the portion of Township T3S, R16E, that does not lie on the San Carlos Indian Reservation, and the rectangle formed by, and including, Townships T1N, R13E; T6S, R13E; T1N, R15E; T6S, R15E.
California:	
Inyo.....	Owens Valley planning area: Hydrologic Unit # 18090103.
San Bernardino, Inyo, and Kern.....	Searles Valley planning area: Hydrologic Unit # 18090205.
Mono.....	Mammoth Lake planning area: Includes the following sections: a. Sections 1-12, 17, and 18 of Township T4S, R28E; b. sections 25-36 of Township T3S, R28E; c. Sections 25-36 of Township T3S, R27E; d. Sections 1-18 of Township T4S, R27E; and e. Sections 25 and 36 of Township T3S, R26E.
Fresno, Kern, Kings, and Tulare.....	San Joaquin Valley planning area.
Riverside, Los Angeles, Orange and San Bernardino.....	South Coast Air Basin.
Riverside.....	Coachella Valley planning area.
Imperial.....	Imperial Valley planning area.
Colorado:	
Archuleta.....	Pagosa Springs.
Adams, Denver, Arapahoe, Jefferson, Douglas and Boulder.....	Denver Metropolitan area: All of Denver, Jefferson, and Douglas Counties, Boulder County (excluding the Rocky Mountain National Park) and the Colorado automobile inspection and readjustment program portions of Adams and Arapahoe Counties.
San Miguel.....	Telluride.
Prowers.....	Lamar.
Pitkin.....	Aspen.
Fremont.....	Canon City.
Connecticut:	
New Haven.....	City of New Haven.
Idaho:	
Ada.....	Boise: Northern Boundary—Beginning at a point in the center of the channel of the Boise River, where the line between sections 15 and 16 in township 3 north (T3N), range 4 east (R4E), crosses said Boise River; thence west down the center of the channel of the Boise River to a point opposite the mouth of More's Creek; thence, in a straight line north 44 degrees and 38 minutes west until the said line intersects the north line of T5N (12 Ter. Ses. 67); thence west to the northwest corner T5N, R1W; Western Boundary—Thence, south to the northwest corner of T3N, R1W; thence east to the northwest corner of section 4 of T3N, R1W; thence south to the southeast corner of section 32 of T2N, R1W; thence west to the northwest corner of T1N, R1W; thence, south to the southwest corner of section 32 of T2N, R1W, thence, west to the northwest corner of T1N, R1W; thence south to the southwest corner of T1N, R1W; Southern Boundary—Thence, east to the southwest corner of section 33 of T1N, R4E; Eastern Boundary—Thence, north along the north and south center line of Townships T1N, R4E, T2N, R4E, and T3N, R4E, Boise Meridian to the beginning point in the center of the channel of the Boise River.
Shoshone.....	City of Pinehurst.
Bannock and Power.....	City of Pocatello.
Illinois:	
Cook.....	a. Lyons Township; b. The area bounded on the north by 79th Street, on the west by Route 57, on the south by Sibley Boulevard and on the east by the Illinois/Indiana State line.
Madison.....	Granite City Township and Nameoki Township.
Indiana: Lake.....	Cities of East Chicago, Hammond, Whiting, and Gary.
Maine: Aroostook.....	City of Presque Isle.
Michigan: Wayne.....	The area bounded by Michigan Avenue from its intersection with I-75 west to I-94, I-94 southwest to Greenfield Road, Greenfield Road south to Schaefer Road, Schaefer Road south and east to Jefferson Avenue, Jefferson Avenue south (Biddle Avenue through the city of Wyandotte) to Sibley Avenue, Sibley Avenue west to Fort Street, Fort Street south to King Road, King Road east to Jefferson Avenue, Jefferson Avenue south to Helen Road, Helen Road east extended to Trenton Channel, Trenton Channel north to the Detroit River, the Detroit River north to the Ambassador Bridge, Ambassador Bridge to I-75, I-75 to Michigan Avenue.
Minnesota: Ramsey.....	The area bound by the Mississippi River from Lafayette to Route 494, Route 494 east to Route 61, Route 61 north to I-94, I-94 west to Lafayette, and Lafayette south to the Mississippi River.

GROUP I AREAS ^{1,2,3}—Continued

State and counties	Area of concern
Montana:	
Flathead.....	The area bounded by lines from Universal Transmercator (UTM) coordinate 700000mE, 5347000mN, east to 704000mE, 5347000mN, south to 704000mE, 5341000mN, west to 703000mE, 5341000mN, south to 703000mE, 5340000mN, west to 702000mE, 5340000mN, south to 702000mE, 5339000mN, east to 703000mE, 5339000mN, south to 703000mE, 5338000mN, east to 704000mE, 5338000mN, south to 704000mE, 5336000mN, west to 702000mE, 5336000mN, south to 702000mE, 5335000mN, west to 700000mE, 5335000mN, north to 70000mE, 5340000mN, west to 695000mE, 5340000mN, north to 695000mE, 5345000mN, east to 700000mE, 5345000mN, north to 700000mE, 5347000mN.
Lincoln.....	Libby.
Lake.....	Ronan, Polson.
Missoula.....	Township T13N, R19W, sections 2, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, East 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, East 1/2 30, East 1/2 31, 32, 33, 34, and T12N, R19W, section 4, 5, 6, 7.
Rosebud.....	Lame Deer.
Silver Bow.....	Butte
Nevada:	
Washoe.....	Reno planning area: Hydrographic area 87.
Clark.....	Las Vegas planning area: Hydrographic Area 212.
New Mexico: Dona Ana.....	The area bounded by Anthony Quadrangle, Anthony, New Mexico—Texas, SE/4 La Mesa 15 ¹ Quadrangle, N3200—W10630/7.5, Township 26S, Range 3E, Sections 35 and 36 as limited by the New Mexico—Texas State line on the south.
Ohio:	
Cuyahoga.....	County.
Jefferson.....	The portion of the City of Steubenville south to Market Street, plus the area bounded on the north by the southern boundary of the City of Steubenville, on the west by Ohio Route #7, on the south by the southern border of Steubenville Township, and on the east by the Ohio/West Virginia border.
Oregon:	
Jackson.....	Medford-Ashland air quality maintenance area (including White City)
Josephine.....	Grants Pass: The area within the urban growth boundary.
Lane.....	Eugene/Springfield: The area within the urban growth boundary.
Klamath.....	Klamath Falls: The area within the urban growth boundary.
Texas: El Paso.....	City of El Paso.
Utah:	
Salt Lake.....	County.
Utah.....	County.
West Virginia: Brooke.....	Follansbee area bounded on the north by the Market Street Bridge, on the east by West Virginia Route #2, on the south by the extension of the southern boundary of Steubenville Township in Jefferson County, Ohio, and on the west by the Ohio/West Virginia border.
Washington:	
King.....	The portion of the City of Seattle bounded on the east by I-5/East Duwamish Greenbelt, on the south by 104th Street, on the west by the West Duwamish Greenbelt north to Fairmont Avenue, SW., north on Fairmont to Elliott Bay, and Dearborn Street from Elliott Bay to I-5; The city of Kent and a portion of the Green River valley bounded on east and west by the 100-foot contour, on the north by South 212th Street, and on the south by Highway 516.
Pierce.....	Tacoma metropolitan area bounded on the north by Marine View Drive from Commencement Bay east to the 100-foot contour, southeast along the 100-foot contour to 64th Avenue East, south along 64th Avenue East extended to I-5, I-5 west to the 100-foot contour near Pacific Avenue, and north along the 100-foot contour to Commencement Bay.
Spokane.....	The area bounded on the south by a line from Universal Transmercator (UTM) coordinate 489000mE, 5271000mN, west to 458000mE, 5271000mN, thence north along a line to coordinate 458000mE, 5288000mN, thence east to 463000mE, 5288000mN, thence north to 463000mE, 5292000mN, thence east to 481000mE, 5292000mN, thence south to 481000mE, 5288000mN, thence east to 489000mE, 5288000, thence south to the beginning coordinate 489000mE, 5271000mN.
Yakima.....	The area bounded on the south by a line from Universal Transmercator (UTM) coordinate 694000mW, 5157000mN, west to 681000mW, 5157000mN, thence north along a line to coordinate 681000mN, 5172000mN, thence east to 694000mW, 5172000mN, thence south to the beginning coordinate 694000mW, 5157000mN.
Thurston.....	Cities of Olympia, Tumwater, and Lacey.
Walla Walla.....	Walla Walla.
Wyoming: Sheridan.....	City of Sheridan.

¹ For convenience, the entire list of Group I areas, as revised is published above.

² When cities or towns are shown, the area of concern is defined by the municipal boundary limits as of the date of this notice.

³ When a planning area is shown, the area of concern includes the entire planning area unless the area is further defined (e.g., by township, range, and/or section).

4. Group II Area Descriptions

As a result of additional information acquired by the States the descriptions

of certain areas of concern published in the August 7, 1987 Federal Register notice are revised to read as follows:

GROUP II AREAS^{1, 2, 3}

State and counties	Area of concern
Alabama: Jefferson.....	North Birmingham and Leeds.
Alaska: Fairbanks.....	Fairbanks.
Arizona:	
Coconino.....	Flagstaff planning area.
Graham.....	Safford planning area.
Navajo.....	Show Low and Joseph City planning areas.
Apache.....	Show Low planning area.
Santa Cruz.....	Nogales planning area: * The portions of the following Townships which are within the State of Arizona and lie east of 111° longitude: T23S, R13E; T23S, R14E; T24S, R13E; T24S, R14E.
Pima.....	Ajo planning area: † Township T12S, R6W, and the following sections of Township T12S, R5W: a. Sections 6-8, b. Sections 17-20, and c. Sections 29-32.
Pima.....	Tuscon planning area.
Cochise.....	Tuscon planning area.
Pinal.....	Casa Grande planning area.
California:	
Santa Clara.....	County.
San Joaquin and Stanislaus.....	San Joaquin Valley planning area.*
Kern.....	Southeast Desert Air Basin.
Los Angeles.....	Southeast Desert Air Basin.
Mono.....	Mono Basin.
Colorado:	
Adams.....	Brighton.
Boulder.....	Longmont.
Delta.....	Delta.
Eagle.....	Vail.
El Paso.....	Colorado Springs.
Garfield.....	Glenwood Springs, Rifle.
Gunnison.....	Crested Butte.
Routt.....	Steamboat Springs.
Mesa.....	Grand Junction, Fruita.
Weld.....	Greeley.
Guam: Piti.....	County.
Idaho:	
Bonner.....	County.*
Caribou.....	Conda.
Illinois:	
LaSalle.....	Oglesby * including the following townships, ranges, and sections: T32N, R1E, S1; T32N, R2E, S6; T33N, R1E, S24; T33N, R1E, S25; T33N, R2E, S31; and T33N, R1E, S36.
Randolph.....	Baldwin.
Macon.....	Decatur.
Rock Island.....	Rock Island, Moline.
Will.....	Joliet.
St. Clair.....	East St. Louis.
DuPage.....	Addison.
Indiana:	
Marion.....	Subpart of Indianapolis.
Vigo.....	Terre Haute.
Porter.....	County.
Iowa:	
Cerro Gordo.....	Mason City.
Linn.....	Cedar Rapids.
Polk.....	Des Moines.
Kansas: Wyandotte.....	Kansas City.
Kentucky: Boyd.....	Cattlettsburg and Ashland.
Maryland: Baltimore.....	Baltimore.
Michigan:	
Saginaw.....	Carrollton.
Monroe.....	Monroe.
Minnesota:	
Hennepin.....	Minneapolis.
St. Louis.....	Duluth and Iron Range.
Itasca.....	Iron Range.
Lake.....	Two Harbors Township.
Stearns.....	St. Cloud Township.
Montana:	
Blaine.....	Hays.
Flathead.....	Columbia Falls: * Township T30N, R20W, Sections 7, 8, 9, 16, and 17.
Deer Lodge.....	Anaconda.
Lewis & Clark.....	Helena.
Sanders.....	Thompson Falls.
Lincoln.....	Eureka.
Nebraska:	
Cass.....	Weeping Water.
Douglas.....	Omaha.
Nevada:	
Lander, Humboldt, Elko, & Eureka.....	Battle Mountain area.
New Jersey:	
Hudson.....	Jersey City.
Camden.....	Camden.
New York: Onondaga.....	Solvay.

GROUP II AREAS ^{1, 2, 3}—Continued

State and counties	Area of concern
New Mexico:	
Bernalillo.....	County. ⁵
Grant.....	County.
Santa Fe.....	County.
Sandoval.....	County.
Taos.....	County.
Ohio:	
Wyandot.....	Carey.
Scioto.....	New Boston.
Trumbull.....	Warren, Howland Township.
Lorain.....	Sheffield Township.
Butler.....	Middletown.
Seneca.....	Thompson Township.
Sandusky.....	Jackson Township.
Belmont.....	Martins Ferry.
Columbianna.....	East Liverpool.
Franklin.....	Columbus.
Hamilton.....	Cincinnati.
Mahoning.....	Youngstown.
Montgomery.....	Dayton.
Richland.....	Mansfield.
Stark.....	Canton.
Summit.....	Akron.
Oklahoma: Comanche.....	County.
Oregon:	
Deschutes.....	Bend.
Multnomah.....	Portland.
Union.....	LaGrande: ⁴ the area within the urban growth boundary.
Lane.....	Oakridge: ⁵ the area within the urban growth boundary.
Pennsylvania:	
Allegheny.....	a. The triangular area bounded on the north by an east-west line passing through the North Braddock monitor (at 600 Anderson Street) and intersecting the center line of the Monongahela River, and on the east by a north-south line passing through the East Pittsburgh monitor (at the intersection of Cliff Street and Draper Street) and intersecting the center line of the Monongahela River; b. The area including Liberty, Lincoln, Port Vue, and Glassport Boroughs and the City of Clairton. ⁴
Philadelphia.....	Bridesburg—Port Richmond.
Erie.....	County.
Lawrence.....	County.
Mercer.....	County.
Puerto Rico: San Juan.....	San Juan.
South Dakota: Pennington.....	Rapid City.
Texas:	
Dallas.....	That portion of the City of Dallas enclosed by Loop 12 highway.
Harris.....	The area bounded on the north side by a line extending eastward from Bennet Street starting at the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks at the intersection of Bennet Street and Clinton Drive and ending at the intersection of Bennet and Legget Streets, on the east side, along Legget Street southward to Clinton Drive, thence eastward to the intersection of Mayo Shell Road, and thence southward again to the Ship Channel, on the south side, westward along the south edge of the Ship Channel, including Brady Island, to East Erath Street and connecting with the Southern Pacific railroad, on the west side, northward along the southern Pacific Railroad to the intersection of Clinton Drive and Bennet Street.
Lubbock.....	That portion of the City of Lubbock ⁴ enclosed by Loop 289 highway.
Nueces.....	That portion of the City of Corpus Christi, bounded by Nueces Bay on the north, Ocean Drive on the east, Highway 44 on the south, and a line due north from Highway 44 at the intersection of Highway 358 to Nueces Bay on the west.
Virginia: Buchanan.....	County.
Washington:	
Benton.....	County. ⁵
King.....	Bellevue.
West Virginia:	
Hancock.....	City of Wierton. ⁵
Brooke.....	Remainder of county.
Wisconsin:	
Brown.....	DePere.
Milwaukee.....	Milwaukee.
Waukesha.....	Waukesha.
Douglas.....	Superior.
Dane.....	Madison.
Wyoming: Fremont.....	Lander.

¹ For convenience, the entire list of Group II areas, as revised, is published above.

² When cities or towns are shown, the area of concern is defined by the municipal boundary limits as of the date of this notice.

³ When a planning area is shown, the area of concern includes the entire planning area unless the area is further defined (e.g., by township, range, and/or section).

⁴ The State has recorded violations of the PM-10 NAAQS based upon air quality data through December 31, 1988.

⁵ The State has recorded violations of the PM-10 NAAQS based upon air quality data through December 31, 1989.

Authority: Sections 110 and 301 of the Clean Air Act give the Administrator authority to adopt policies necessary to implement NAAQS.

Dated: October 22, 1990.

William G. Rosenberg,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 90-25518 Filed 10-30-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 61

[AD-FRL-3856-4]

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Benzene Emissions From Chemical Manufacturing Process Vents, Industrial Solvent Use, Benzene Waste Operations, Benzene Transfer Operations, and Gasoline Marketing System; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document clarifies the applicability of § 61.300(a) of the National Emission Standard for Benzene Transfer Operations which was promulgated in the *Federal Register* on Wednesday, March 7, 1990 (55 FR 8341). This action is necessary to clarify that the benzene transfer rule does not apply to the loading of crude oil, natural gas liquids or petroleum distillates such as fuel oil, diesel or kerosene. It was never EPA's intent that the benzene transfer rule apply to crude oil, natural gas liquids or petroleum distillates. The benzene content of these materials is well below the 70 percent cutoff in the regulation and will never approach this cutoff.

This notice also changes the applicability date of § 61.300(e) of the regulation as it applies to the loading of benzene into marine vessels. This change is necessary to allow facilities adequate time to design, purchase and install vapor control systems that comply with the U.S. Coast Guard standards that were issued June 21, 1990 (55 FR 25396) and that are effective July 23, 1990. This correction is consistent with EPA's intent to provide an industry-wide waiver of 1 year so that facilities can take into consideration the Coast Guard standards that address safe design, installation and operation of marine vessel vapor control systems.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 1990.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Doug Bell at (919) 541-5568 or Ms. Laura Butler, (919) 541-5267, Standards Development Branch, ESD (MD-13), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,

Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

Dated: October 23, 1990.

Michael Shapiro,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

For reasons set out in the preamble, title 40, chapter I, part 61 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 61—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 61 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 101, 112, 114, 116, 301 of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7401, 7412, 7414, 7416, 7601).

2. Section 61.300 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (e) to read as follows:

§ 61.300 Applicability.

(a) The affected facility to which this subpart applies is the total of all loading racks at which benzene is loaded into tank trucks, railcars, or marine vessels at each benzene production facility and each bulk terminal. However, specifically exempted from this regulation are loading racks at which only the following are loaded: Benzene-laden waste (covered under subpart FF of this part), gasoline, crude oil, natural gas liquids, petroleum distillates (e.g., fuel oil, diesel, or kerosene), or benzene-laden liquid from coke by-product recovery plants.

(e) The owner or operator of an affected facility, as defined in § 61.300(a) that loads a marine vessel shall be in compliance with the provisions of this subpart on and after July 23, 1991. If an affected facility that loads a marine vessel also loads a tank truck or railcar, the marine vessel loading racks shall be in compliance with the provisions of this subpart on and after July 23, 1991, while the tank truck loading racks and the railcar loading racks shall be in compliance as required by § 61.12.

[FR Doc. 90-25731 Filed 10-30-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 761

[OPTS-62035J; FRL 3801-2]

Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Electrical Transformers; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: EPA issued a final rule on polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in electrical transformers published in the *Federal Register* issue of July 19, 1988 (53 FR 27322). In the codified text of § 761.30(a)(1)(v), EPA inadvertently omitted subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C). This document corrects that error by reinserting these subparagraphs.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This correction is effective October 31, 1990.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael M. Stahl, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (TS-799), Office of Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554-1404, TDD: (202) 554-0551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

EPA issued a final rule on PCBs in electrical transformers published in the *Federal Register* issue of July 19, 1988 (53 FR 27322), in which § 761.30(a)(1)(v) was revised. It was not EPA's intent to delete subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) from paragraph (a)(1)(v) when the *Federal Register* notice was published. EPA intended only to revise the introductory text of paragraph (a)(1)(v). This document corrects § 761.30(a)(1)(v) by reinserting subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 761

Environmental protection, Hazardous substances, Labeling, Polychlorinated biphenyls, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 10, 1990.

Charles L. Elkins,

Director, Office of Toxic Substances.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 761 is amended as follows:

PART 761—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 761 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2605, 2607, 2611, 2614, and 2616.

2. In § 761.30 by correctly revising paragraph (a)(1)(v) to read as follows:

§ 761.30 Authorizations.

(a) * * *
(1) * * *
(v) As of October 1, 1990, all radial PCB Transformers with higher secondary voltages (480 volts and above, including 480/277 volt systems)

in use in or near commercial buildings must, in addition to the requirements of paragraph (a)(1)(iv)(A) of this section, be equipped with protection to avoid transformer ruptures caused by sustained low current faults.

(A) Pressure and temperature sensors (or other equivalent technology which has been demonstrated to be effective in early detection of sustained low current faults) must be used in these transformers to detect sustained low current faults.

(B) Disconnect equipment must be provided to insure complete deenergization of the transformer in the event of a sensed abnormal condition (e.g., an overpressure or overtemperature condition in the transformer), caused by a sustained low current fault. The disconnect equipment must be configured to operate automatically within 30 seconds to 1 minute of the receipt of a signal indicating an abnormal condition from a sustained low current fault, or can be configured to allow for manual deenergization from a manned on-site control center upon the receipt of an audio or visual signal indicating an abnormal condition caused by a sustained low current fault. Manual deenergization from a manned on-site control center must occur within 1 minute of the receipt of the audio or visual signal indicating an abnormal condition caused by a sustained low current fault. If automatic operation is selected and a circuit breaker is utilized for disconnection, it must also have the capability to be manually opened if necessary.

(C) The enhanced electrical protective system required for the detection of sustained low current faults and the complete and rapid deenergization of transformers must be properly installed, maintained, and set sensitive enough (in accordance with good engineering practices) to detect sustained low current faults and allow for rapid and total deenergization prior to PCB Transformer rupture (either violent or non violent rupture) and release of PCBs.

[FR Doc. 90-25720 Filed 10-30-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

43 CFR Public Land Order 6812

[AZ-930-4214-10; A-22647]

Withdrawal of National Forest System Land for the Smithsonian Institution—Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory; Arizona

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Public land order.

SUMMARY: This order withdraws 40 acres of National Forest System land from entry and location under the mining laws for a period of 20 years for use by the Smithsonian Institution as a base camp site for its Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory. The area described in this order is located entirely within the boundaries of the Coronado National Forest. The land has been and will remain open to land laws applicable to National Forest System land and to mineral leasing.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 31, 1990.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Mezes, BLM, Arizona State Office, P.O. Box 16563, Phoenix, Arizona 85011, 602-640-5509.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 90 Stat. 2751; 43 U.S.C. 1714, it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described National Forest System land is hereby withdrawn from entry and location under the mining laws (30 U.S.C. ch. 2), and reserved for use by the Smithsonian Institution to protect the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory Base Camp Site:

Gila and Salt River Meridian

Coronado National Forest

T. 20 S., R. 14 E.,

Sec. 19, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The area described contains 40 acres in Santa Cruz County.

2. The withdrawal made by this order does not alter the applicability of those land laws governing the use of National Forest System land under lease, license, or permit, or governing the disposal of its mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws.

3. This withdrawal will expire 20 years from the effective date of this order unless, as a result of a review conducted before the expiration date pursuant to section 204(f) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f), the Secretary

determines that the withdrawal shall be extended.

Dated: October 23, 1990.

Dave O'Neal,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 90-25708 Filed 10-30-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-M

43 CFR Public Land Order 6813

[AK-932-00-4214-10; AA-6994]

Partial Revocation of Public Land Order No. 829, as Amended; Alaska

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Public Land Order.

SUMMARY: This order revokes a public land order insofar as it affects approximately 100 acres of National Forest System land which had been withdrawn for the Ward Cove Water Supply System. The land is no longer needed for the purpose for which it was withdrawn. The land has either been conveyed out of Federal ownership or is within an overlapping withdrawal. The land within the overlapping withdrawal will continue to be closed to mining, but has been and will remain open to mineral leasing.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 31, 1990.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra C. Thomas, BLM Alaska State Office, 222 W. 7th Avenue, No. 13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7599, 907-271-3342.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (1988) it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 829, as amended, which withdrew land in the Tongass National Forest is hereby revoked insofar as it affects the following described land:

Copper River Meridian (Partially Surveyed)

T. 74 S., R. 90 E.

That portion within secs. 26, 27, 34, and 35 which overlaps Public Land Order No. 3795 and U.S. Survey No. 3400.

The area described, including both public and non-public land, contains a total of approximately 100 acres.

2. The land described in paragraph 1 which is within Public Land Order No. 3795 remains withdrawn from appropriation under the mining laws and is subject to any other withdrawals of record.