to the agenda could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of subsections (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), (c)(9)(B), and (c)(10) of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(c)(4), (c)(6), (C)(8), (C)(9)(A)(ii), (c)(9)(B), and (c)(10)).

Dated: December 14, 1989.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,

Deputy Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-29586 Filed 12-15-89; 1:16 pm]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: December 11, 1989, 54 FR 50883.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: December 13, 1989, 10:00 a.m.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following Docket Numbers and Companies have been added to the Agenda of December 13, 1989:

Item No., Docket No., and Company

CP-1
- RP90-16-000, Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company
- CI89-348-001, Natgas U.S. Inc.
- RP87-34-004, Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company
- RP89-109-000, United Gas Pipe Line Company
- CP88-699-000, Northern Natural Gas Company, a Division of Enron Corp.

CP-2
- CP89-1991-000 and CP89-2001-000, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-29626 Filed 12-15-89; 3:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 6717-02-M

BOARD FOR INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING

TIME AND DATE: 9:00 a.m., January 26, 1990.

PLACE: The Willard Intercontinental Hotel, 1401 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004.

STATUS: Closed, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(c)(1) 22 CFR 1302.4 (c) and (h) of the Board's rules (42 FR 9388, March 12, 1977).

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Matters concerning the board foreign policy objectives of the United States Government.

CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Mark G. Pomar, Deputy Executive Director, Board for International Broadcasting, Suite 400, 1201 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Board Meeting

Board for International Broadcasting, Suite 400, 1201 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Certification of Closed Meeting

The Executive Director, in accordance with Section 3 (f)(1) of the government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b (f)(1) and the Board's rules issued under that Act (22 CFR 1302), hereby certifies that the Board meeting of June 26, 1990, at which will be discussed matters concerning the board foreign policy objectives of the United States Government, may properly be closed to the public on the basis of the exemptions set forth in the Board's rules in 22 CFR 1302.4 (c) and (h).

Dated: December 6, 1989.

Mark G. Pomar,

Deputy Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 89-29551 Filed 12-18-89; 11:00 am]

BILLING CODE 6155-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DATE: Weeks of December 18, 25, 1989 and January 1, and 8, 1990.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Open and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of December 18

Tuesday, December 19

10:00 a.m.
Briefing on Risk Communication (Public Meeting)

Wednesday, December 20

2:00 p.m.
Briefing of DOE on Status of Civilian High Level Waste Program (Public Meeting)

Thursday, December 21

2:00 p.m.
Briefing on NRC Actions for Cleanup of Contaminated Sites Under NRC Jurisdiction (Public Meeting)

3:30 p.m.
Affirmation/Discussion and Vote (Public Meeting)
a. Denial of Petitions for Rulemaking that Relate to Emergency Preparedness at Nuclear Power Plants

Friday, December 22

10:00 a.m.
Briefing by Executive Branch (Closed—Ex. 1)

Week of December 25 (Tentative)

There are no Commission meetings scheduled for the Week of December 25.

Week of January 1 (Tentative)

Thursday, January 4

3:30 p.m.
Affirmation/Discussion and Vote (Public Meeting) (if needed)

Week of January 8 (Tentative)

Tuesday, January 9

10:00 a.m.
Briefing on Status of Development of Updated Source Term (Public Meeting)

Thursday, January 11

2:00 p.m.
Periodic Briefing by Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) (Public Meeting)

3:30 p.m.
Affirmation/Discussion and Vote (Public Meeting) (if needed)

Note.—Affirmation sessions are initially scheduled and announced to the public on a time-reserved basis. Supplementary notice is provided in accordance with the Sunshine Act as specific items are identified and added to the meeting agenda. If there is no specific subject listed for affirmation, this means that no item has as yet been identified as requiring any Commission vote on this date.

To verify the status of meetings call (Recording)—(301) 492-0292.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: William Hill (301) 492-1661.

Dated: December 14, 1989.

William M. Hill, Jr.

Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-29566 Filed 12-15-89; 11:24 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

Notice of Agency Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b), notice is hereby given that at 4:10 p.m. on Tuesday, December 12, 1989, the Board of Directors of the Resolution Trust Corporation met in closed session to consider certain matters relating to (1) internal corporate activities and (2) the probable failure of a thrift association.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined, on motion of Director C. C. Hope, Jr. (Appointive), seconded by Director Robert L. Clarke (Comptroller of the Currency), concurred by Director M. Danny Wall, (Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision), and Chairman L. William Seidman, that Corporation business required its consideration of the matters on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of subsections (c)(8) and (c)(9)(B) of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b (c)(8) and (c)(9)(B)).

The meeting was held in the Board Room of the FDIC Building located at 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Dated: December 14, 1989.

Resolution Trust Corporation.

John M. Buckley, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-29587 Filed 12-15-89; 1:17 pm]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Agency Meeting.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meetings during the week of December 18, 1989.

A closed meeting will be held on Tuesday, December 19, 1989, at 3:30 p.m., and an open meeting will be held on Thursday, December 21, 1989, at 10:00 a.m.

The Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters may also be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4), (8), (9)(A) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a) (4), (8), (9)(i) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at a closed meeting.

Commissioner Schapiro, duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the closed meeting in closed session.

The subject matter of the closed meeting scheduled for Tuesday, December 19, 1989, at 3:30 p.m., will be:

Settlement of injunctive actions.

Settlement of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature.

Institution of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature.

Institution of injunctive actions.

The subject matter of the open meeting scheduled for Thursday, December 21, 1989, at 10:00 a.m., will be:

1. Consideration of whether to grant Delta Government Options Corp. registration as a clearing agency pursuant to Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For further information, please contact Richard Konrath at (202) 272-2388 or Gordon K. Fuller at (202) 272-2414.

2. Consideration of whether to propose for public comment amendments to Form N-1A, the registration form for mutual funds, and related rule changes. The proposed Form N-1A amendments include: (i) revisions of the per share table contained in the prospectus; (ii) two alternative disclosure requirements designed to provide investor with information about fund performance that can be easily understood, including a management's discussion and analysis of investment results; and (iii) required disclosure concerning fund portfolio managers. For further information, please contact Larisa E. Dobriansky at (202) 272-2097.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: Anthony Ain at (202) 272-2400.

Johathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

December 14, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-29504 Filed 12-14-89; 4:58 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

Corrections

Federal Register
Vol. 54, No. 242
Tuesday, December 19, 1989

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains editorial corrections of previously published Presidential, Rule, Proposed Rule, and Notice documents. These corrections are prepared by the Office of the Federal Register. Agency prepared corrections are issued as signed documents and appear in the appropriate document categories elsewhere in the issue.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Financial Assistance Award—Intent To Renew Grant With the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC)

Correction

In notice document 89-28498 beginning on page 50427 in the issue of Wednesday, December 6, 1989, make the following correction:

On page 50428, in the first column, in the eighth line, insert "Information" following "Transferring".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TQ90-2-33-000 and TM90-2-33-000]

El Paso Natural Gas Co.; Proposed Change in Rates

Correction

In notice document 89-28914 beginning on page 51064 in the issue of Tuesday, December 12, 1989, make the following correction:

On page 51064, in the third column, in the heading, the docket numbers should read as set forth above.

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Fossil Energy

[FE Docket 89-72-NG]

Poco Petroleum, Inc.; Application To Extend Blanket Authorization To Import Natural Gas From Canada

Correction

In notice document 89-28892 beginning on page 50814 in the issue of Monday, December 11, 1989, make the following correction:

On page 50814, in the second column, under DATE:, in the fifth line, "January 10, 1989" should read "January 10, 1990".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 167

[CGD 89-019]

RIN 2115-AD22

Traffic Separation Scheme; Galveston Bay Approach

Correction

In rule document 89-15648 beginning on page 28061 in the issue of Wednesday, July 5, 1989, make the following correction:

§ 167.350 [Corrected]

On page 28062, in the third column, in § 167.350(b)(7), in the second column, "94°25.95' W." should read "94°25.80'W.".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 89-CE-29-AD; Amdt. 39-6387]

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing of Canada Ltd. deHavilland Models DHC-6-1, DHC-6-100, DHC-6-200, and DHC-6-300 Airplanes

Correction

In rule document 89-26767 beginning on page 47511 in the issue of Wednesday, November 15, 1989, make the following correction:

§ 39.13 [Corrected]

On page 47512, in the third column, in § 39.13(a)(3), in the first line, insert "inspect" following "Visually".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 129

[Docket No. 26020; Amdt. Nos. 129-19, 137-13]

RIN 2120-AD24

Organizational Changes and Delegations of Authority

Correction

In rule document 89-22317 beginning on page 39288 in the issue of Monday, September 25, 1989, make the following correction:

§ 129.11 [Corrected]

1. On page 39294, in the first column, in amendatory instruction 107, in the second line, "paragraph (6)" should read "paragraph (b)".

§ 137.77 [Corrected]

2. On the same page, in the third column, in amendatory instruction 126, in the first line, "Section 137.77(c) is amended" should read "Section 137.77 is amended".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

federal register

Tuesday
December 19, 1989

Part II

Department of Transportation

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

UMTA Fiscal Year 1990 Formula Grant Apportionments; Notice

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

UMTA Fiscal Year 1990 Formula Grant Apportionments

AGENCY: Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation (DOT) and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1990, signed into law by President Bush on November 21, 1989, provides Fiscal Year 1990 appropriations for the formula grant programs under Sections 9 and 18 and for the Section 16(b)(2) elderly and handicapped program of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended (the UMT Act). This Notice includes the distribution of these funds. Limitations on the use of operating assistance also are included in this Notice, as well as other pertinent information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward R. Fleischman, Director, Office of Capital and Formula Assistance, Department of Transportation, Urban Mass Transportation Administration, Office of Grants Management, 400 Seventh Street SW., Room 9301, Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366–1662.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Funds appropriated to the Section 9 program are apportioned on a formula basis to provide capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas. Funds appropriated to the Section 18 program are apportioned on a formula basis to provide capital and operating assistance in nonurbanized areas. Funds appropriated to the Section 16(b)(2) program are allocated to the States to provide capital assistance for transportation for elderly and disabled persons.

Formula Program Appropriations

This Notice provides the Fiscal Year 1990 apportionment of sections 9 and 18 funds for urbanized and nonurbanized areas and provides Fiscal Year 1990 allocations for the Section 16(b)(2) program, based on the most recent U.S. Census data. Section 9 apportionments for urbanized areas over 200,000 in population also are based on operating and financial data submitted as part of the Section 15 Reporting System.

Under Title I of the Department of Transportation (DOT) and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1990, a total of $1,630,000,000 has been appropriated for Fiscal Year 1990 for the Sections 9 and 18 programs. Of this amount, $5,000,000 is available for the Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP). The Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act directs that, before apportionment of the $1,625,000,000 in formula funds, $16,554,033 shall be made available to the Section 18 program. Of the remaining amount, 97.07 percent is being made available to the Section 9 program and 2.93 percent is being made available to the Section 18 program.

An additional $70,000,000 has been made available under section 9B (the capital only formula program funded from the Mass Transit Account). A total of $35,000,000 was appropriated for Fiscal Year 1990 for the Section 16(b) (2) program. These funds are allocated by an administrative formula.

Part of the Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act, Title IV, Emergency Drug Funding, sets aside 0.3 percent of DOT funding in support of efforts to fight the war on drugs. The budgets of other Federal agencies also reflect reductions for the drug program. Therefore, the total amount appropriated for Sections 9 and 18 is reduced to $1,620,125,000. The total amount allocated under section 9B is reduced to $69,790,000. The total amount appropriated for RTAP is reduced to $4,985,000, and the total amount appropriated for the Section 16(b)(2) program is reduced to $34,895,000.

These programs are not affected by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Gramm-Rudman-Hollings), Public Law 100–119.

Additional Section 9 Formula Funding

In addition to the new appropriated Fiscal Year 1990 formula funds of $1,554,590,000, the Section 9 apportionment also includes $9,754,123 in deobligated Sections 5 and 9 funds and Section 9 funds that were never obligated and have become available for reapportionment under the Section 9 Program as provided for under Section 9(o). Included also is $230,532 in Fiscal Year 1986 and Fiscal Year 1987 Section 9 project management oversight funds that were deobligated in Fiscal Year 1989 and also have become available for reapportionment. Thus, the total additional funding amount being apportioned under Section 9 is $9,984,655.

Section 9B Formula Program—Distribution of Mass Transit Account (Trust Fund)

The Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (the STURA Act) established the Section 9B Program. The Act states that beginning in Fiscal Year 1988, in any year in which the obligation limitation for the Discretionary Grants Program exceeds $1 billion, the funds in excess of that amount are to be allocated half on a discretionary basis and half under a new Section 9B formula program—essentially a capital-only Section 9 program. The obligation limitation for Fiscal Year 1990 is $1,140,000,000. Thus, $70,000,000 has been allocated for Section 9B. However, Title IV, Emergency Drug Funding, reduces the obligation limitation to $69,790,000. These Section 9B funds cannot be used for operating assistance, but are otherwise treated as Section 9 funds. In grant applications, amounts applied for under each Section should be clearly shown.

Project Management Oversight Set Aside

Section 23(a) of the UMT Act allows the Secretary of Transportation to use not more than one-half of one percent of the funds made available for Fiscal Year 1990 under sections 3, 9, 9B, 18, the National Capital Transportation Act of 1969 (Stark Harris), and section 103(e)(4) of title 23, United States Code, (Interstate Transfer) to contract with any person to oversee the construction of any major project under such programs. The Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act added section 23(h) to the UMT Act expanding this authority to include safety, procurement, management and financial reviews and audits. Therefore, one-half of one percent of the funds appropriated for Fiscal Year 1990 under section 9 (including section 9B), or $6,121,900, has been reserved for this purpose. The remaining amount of Fiscal Year 1990 funds is apportioned in this Notice. Funds appropriated under section 18 have not been reserved for project management oversight since adequate funds are available from prior year reservations.

New York Metropolitan Transportation Authority Safety Review

The Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act directs the Secretary to conduct a thorough independent safety review of the New York Metropolitan Transportation Authority, including the New York City Transit Authority, the Long Island Railroad and Metro-North commuter railroads, using available funds or funds withheld from formula money allocated to the New York portion of the New York-Northeast New Jersey urbanized area. The Section 9 apportionment for this area contained in this notice has not been reduced for this review. However, funds may be withheld at a future date.

Total Section 9 Fiscal Year 1990 Apportionments

This Notice provides tables which reflect both the amounts apportioned under the Section 9 program (General Fund) and the Section 9B program (Trust Fund). The amounts appropriated under Section 9 ($1,554,590,000) and Section 9B ($69,790,000) together total $1,624,380,000. The project management oversight reservation of $8,121,900 has been subtracted from this total. Reapportioned funds in the amount of $9,984,655 were then added to the remainder. Thus, the total amount being apportioned for Section 9 (including Section 9B) is $1,626,242,755.

Section 9 Fiscal Year 1989 Apportionment Adjustments

Adjustments have been made to the apportionments for certain urbanized areas because of corrections to data that were used to compute the Fiscal Year 1989 formula grant apportionments published in the **Federal Register** of October 13, 1988 (53 FR 40168). Differences between corrected apportionments and previously published apportionments have been resolved and necessary adjustments have been made by adding to or subtracting, as appropriate, from the apportionments for Fiscal Year 1990. The dollar amounts published in this Notice contain these adjustments, and the affected urbanized areas have been so advised.

Section 15 Data Used for Section 9 Apportionments

Data submitted for the Section 15 Annual Report for 1987 has been used to calculate the Section 9 apportionments for urbanized areas over 200,000 in population.

Passenger Mile Data

Passenger mile data submitted for the 1987 Section 15 Report underwent a special analysis since a large percentage of reporters' passenger mile data was not collected and/or reported in accordance with UMTA definitions and requirements. Some agencies misread UMTA Circular 2710.5A and implemented a procedure that did not meet the statistical requirements. Many reporters implemented alternative techniques prior to receiving written confirmation from UMTA that the technique would result in data that satisfy the required confidence and precision levels.

To assist in resolving reporting problems in the future, self-certification of passenger mile data will be effective for the 1990 Section 15 report year. Specific instructions will be contained in the 1990 Reporting Manual and Sample Forms. The requirement that passenger mile data meet the 95 percent confidence and 10 percent precision levels will not change.

Based on the policy reflected in this new certification process, those agencies that submitted complete Section 15 reports (including passenger mile data) and responded to UMTA's communications on their 1987 data have had their submittals included in the database that is being used to calculate the Fiscal Year 1990 Section 9 apportionments. This inclusion will continue through the 1989 Section 15 report year to allow these reporters additional time to comply with the statistical requirements.

Restored Commuter Rail Service

The Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act adds a new provision to the law which requires the inclusion of directional route miles for restored commuter rail service in the calculation of the Fiscal Year 1990 Section 9 apportionments. The Act states that when a commuter rail service has been suspended for safety reasons, and when a statewide or regional agency or instrumentality commits to restoring such service by the end of 1989, and when the improvements needed to restore such service are funded without Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) funding, the directional route miles of such service shall be included for the purpose of calculating the Fiscal Year 1990 Section 9 apportionment, as well as the apportionment for subsequent years. If such service is not restored by the end of 1989, the money received as a result of the inclusion of the directional route miles shall be returned to UMTA. This provision of the Act has been taken into account and appropriate directional route miles for restored commuter rail service have been included in the calculation of the Fiscal Year 1990 Section 9 apportionment.

Section 9 Fiscal Year 1990 Apportionments to the Governors

For all urbanized areas under 200,000 in population within each State, one figure is provided for the Governor's apportionment. In accordance with section 9 of the UMT Act, these apportionments are not made to individual urbanized areas but are made to the Governors for use within all urbanized areas between 50,000 and 200,000 in population, as needed. UMTA has administered the Section 9 program in this fashion from its inception, and it parallels UMTA's procedures under the Section 5 program. For technical assistance purposes, and in compliance with the STURA Act, this Notice also contains the amount attributable to each urbanized area above 50,000 in population within the State.

Designation of Davis, California, as an Urbanized Area

The Census Bureau has designated Davis, California, as an urbanized area based upon a May 5, 1989, Special Census of Davis and vicinity. Thus, Davis, California, has been included in the Fiscal Year 1990 Section 9 apportionment calculation. Similarly, the Section 18 apportionment calculation has been adjusted to delete the population attributable to Davis.

Section 9 Operating Assistance Limitations

In addition to the Fiscal Year 1990 apportionments, this Notice includes a listing of the Fiscal Year 1990 limitations on the amount of Section 9 funds that may be used for operating assistance.

The STURA Act made a number of changes in the Section 9 operating assistance limitations. In addition to the changes which were made previously in the limitations, the Act added section 9(k)(2)(B). This section states that on each October 1 after October 1, 1987, the operating assistance limitations for all urbanized areas under 200,000 in population shall be increased to reflect the increase in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for all urban consumers during the most recent calendar year. The CPI Detailed Report, December 1988, published by the Department of Labor, indicates the calendar year 1988 CPI increase for all urban consumers is 4.4 percent.

This 4.4 percent increase was applied against the base operating assistance limitation calculated under section 9(k)(2)(A). This base was the Fiscal Year 1987 authorized operating assistance limitation of $124,019,004 for urbanized areas under 200,000 in population. The resulting increase in the operating assistance limitation, $5,456,836, sets the overall national Fiscal Year 1990 operating assistance limitation authorized by the UMT Act at $923,914,307.

However, the Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act limits the nationwide availability for operating assistance to a maximum of $804,691,89[illegible] (for funds under the Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act).

Title IV, Emergency Drug Funding, further reduces the nationwide availability for operating assistance limitation to $802,278,000.

Accordingly, the operating assistance limitations published in this Notice take into account both the UMT Act and the Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act. That is, the higher operating assistance limitation of the UMT Act, $923,914,307, has been reduced to the $802,278,000 required by the Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act by taking a pro rata reduction across all categories of grantees.

Statewide Operating Assistance Limitations

The Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriations Act adds a new provision regarding operating assistance limitations. The Act states that in any case in which a statewide agency or instrumentality is responsible under State laws for the financing, construction and operation, directly by lease, contract or otherwise, of public transportation services, and when such statewide agency or instrumentality is the designated recipient of UMTA funds, and when the statewide agency or instrumentality provides service among two or more urbanized areas, the statewide agency or instrumentality shall be allowed to apply for operating assistance up to the combined total permissible amount of all urbanized areas in which it provides service, regardless of whether the amount for any particular urbanized area is exceeded. In doing so, UMTA will not reduce the amount of operating assistance allowed for any other state, or local transit agency or instrumentality within the urbanized areas affected. In short, this permits the statewide agency to combine all of the operating assistance limitations within the State. This provision takes effect with the Fiscal Year 1990 Section 9 apportionments.

Thus, the operating assistance limitations tables are presented differently from previous years. The tables show the full operating assistance limitations for each urbanized area or State without regard to the availability of general funds for any one particular urbanized area or State.

Section 18 Program

The Fiscal Year 1990 Section 18 apportionment totals $65,867,497. This Notice provides a table which contains the State apportionments.

In addition to the appropriated Fiscal Year 1990 formula funds of $65,535,000, the Section 18 Fiscal Year 1990 apportionment also includes $332,497 in prior year funds which had lapsed to the States to which they were originally apportioned. $323,194 in Fiscal Year 1988 Section 18 funds which had been set aside for project management oversight and have not been used are remaining in reserve for possible use in Fiscal Year 1990. No additional Fiscal Year 1990 Section 18 funds are being set aside for this purpose

The Fiscal Year 1990 Rural Transit Assistance Program (RTAP) allocations to the States totalling $4,247,563 are also included in this Notice. Of the $4,985,000 appropriated for the RTAP program in Fiscal Year 1990, eighty-five percent, or $4,237,250, is allocated to the States to undertake research, training, technical assistance, and other support services to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. In addition, $10,313 in unobligated Fiscal Year 1987 RTAP funds is being reallocated with the Fiscal Year 1990 RTAP funds. These funds are to be used in conjunction with the States' administration of the Section 18 formula assistance program. The remainder of the RTAP funds are made available by UMTA in direct contracts to carry out the RTAP National Program.

Section 16(b)(2) Elderly and Handicapped Program

A total of $35,002,087 is allocated to the States for Fiscal Year 1990 under the Section 16(b)(2) program. This capital assistance program provides funds to nonprofit organizations to provide transportation for elderly and handicapped persons. This Notice includes a table which reflects these state allocations. The allocations include $34,895,000 of the Fiscal Year 1990 appropriation, and $107,087 in funds not obligated in prior fiscal years.

Period of Availability of Funds

The funds apportioned to urbanized areas under section 9 in this notice will remain available to be obligated by UMTA to recipients for three (3) fiscal years following Fiscal Year 1990. Any of these apportioned funds unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 1993, will revert to UMTA for reapportionment under Section 9. Funds apportioned to nonurbanized areas under Section 18, including RTAP funds, will remain available for two (2) fiscal years following Fiscal Year 1990. Any such funds remaining unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 1992, will revert to UMTA for reapportionment among the States. Funds allocated to States under section 16(b)(2) in this Notice must be obligated by September 30, 1990. Any such funds remaining unobligated as of this date will revert to UMTA for reallocation among the States.

Approval of Grants

The Urban Mass Transportation Administration has established quarterly and bimonthly cycles for processing formula grants. Section 9, Interstate Transfer, and Federal-Aid Urban Systems (FAUS) grants are processed on a quarterly basis. Sections 8, 16(b)(2), and 18 grants are processed on a bimonthly basis. Applicants should submit completed applications to the appropriate UMTA Regional Office by the first day of each review cycle. Remaining Fiscal Year 1990 quarterly review cycles begin on January 2, April 2, and July 2. If the application is complete, UMTA will approve and release the grant by the end of the cycle. The only factor which would delay UMTA's approval of the project would be a failure by the Department of Labor (DOL) to issue a 13(c) certification where such certification is a prerequisite to grant approval. Incomplete applications will not be processed but, if the missing components are supplied, will be reconsidered in the next review cycle.

For an application to be considered complete, all appropriate ancillary activities such as inclusion of the project in a locally approved Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), intergovernmental reviews, environmental reviews, all applicable civil rights and 504 program requirements, and submission of all requisite certifications and documentation must be completed, including certification of compliance with UMTA's anti-drug rule by December 21, 1989, for those operators in areas greater than 200,000 in population. The application must be in approvable form with all required documentation and submissions on hand, except for the 13(c) certification which is issued by DOL.

The application submission and approval dates for Fiscal Year 1990 for Section 9, Interstate, and FAUS projects are: for completed applications submitted to UMTA no later than the first business day of the fiscal year quarter, UMTA will award grants by the last business day of the fiscal year quarter. For Sections 8, 16(b)(2), and 18 projects, if completed applications are submitted to UMTA no later than the first business day of the bimonthly periods beginning November, January, March, May and July, UMTA will award grants by the last business day of the bimonthly period.

It is the policy of UMTA to expedite grant application reviews and maximize program delivery by reducing the number of grant actions. To this end, UMTA strongly encourages grant applicants to submit only one application per fiscal year formula per program (i.e., Section 9, Section 18, etc.). The single application should contain the fiscal year's capital, planning and operating elements. During recent years, most grantees have adopted the one grant per fiscal year approach; however, there are a number of grantees who still submit more than one application per fiscal year. While UMTA recognizes that there may be extenuating circumstances which would necessitate an applicant to submit more than one application per year and will process these applications on a case-by-case basis, applicants are encouraged to comply with the one grant per fiscal year approach.

Application Procedures

Applications for Sections 9, 18 and 16(b)(2) funds should be submitted to the appropriate UMTA Regional Office. Section 9 applications should be in conformance with UMTA Circular 9030.1A, published September 18, 1987. Section 18 applications should be in conformance with UMTA Circular 9040.1B, published July 1, 1988. Section 16(b)(2) applications should be in conformance with Circular 9070.1B, published July 1, 1988. Copies of the circulars are available from each UMTA Regional Office.

Discretionary Section 3 Capital Grants

Formula funding is the primary Federal resource for transit capital assistance needs. However, critical bus and rail modernization capital projects that cannot be accommodated under the formula grant program will be considered for funding under the Section 3 discretionary program.

Applications for these discretionary bus and rail modernization capital projects should be submitted to the appropriate UMTA Regional Office by January 15, 1990, for consideration in early 1990.

Issued on December 8, 1989.

Brian W. Clymer,

Administrator.

BILLING CODE 4910-57-M

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO URBANIZED AREAS OVER 1,000,000 IN POPULATION

URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
Atlanta, Georgia	$20,225,940	$905,090	$21,131,030
Baltimore, Maryland	18,267,741	816,178	19,083,919
Boston, Massachusetts	46,141,855	2,066,611	48,208,466
Buffalo, New York	7,569,795	337,634	7,907,429
Chicago, Illinois-Northwestern Indiana	124,060,136	5,477,189	129,537,325
Cincinnati, Ohio-Kentucky	8,222,052	366,633	8,588,685
Cleveland, Ohio	16,144,095	720,769	16,864,864
Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas	17,257,243	769,561	18,026,804
Denver, Colorado	12,246,689	546,140	12,792,829
Detroit, Michigan	24,571,376	1,095,403	25,666,779
Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood, Florida	6,506,643	290,031	6,796,674
Houston, Texas	19,671,400	877,212	20,548,612
Kansas City, Missouri-Kansas	5,700,091	254,074	5,954,165
Los Angeles-Long Beach, California	96,878,177	4,322,427	101,200,604
Miami, Florida	17,063,822	761,904	17,825,726
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	11,150,570	497,192	11,647,762
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota	13,406,255	598,043	14,004,298
New Orleans, Louisiana	11,347,132	508,335	11,855,467
New York, N.Y.-Northeastern New Jersey	376,341,709	17,142,584	393,484,293
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-New Jersey	70,806,296	3,169,875	73,976,171
Phoenix, Arizona	7,844,431	349,644	8,194,075
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	21,806,654	973,974	22,780,628
Portland, Oregon-Washington	11,816,252	527,347	12,343,599
St. Louis, Missouri-Illinois	13,588,843	585,830	14,174,673
San Diego, California	17,018,440	760,212	17,778,652
San Francisco-Oakland, California	61,522,969	2,754,095	64,277,064
San Jose, California	14,054,120	627,901	14,682,021
San Juan, Puerto Rico	9,040,077	403,344	9,443,421
Seattle-Everett, Washington	22,798,578	1,019,123	23,817,701
Washington, D.C.-Maryland-Virginia	49,495,859	2,216,563	51,712,422
TOTAL	$1,152,565,240	$51,740,918	$1,204,306,158

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO URBANIZED AREAS 200,000 TO 1,000,000 IN POPULATION

URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
Akron, Ohio	$3,152,554	$140,505	$3,293,059
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, New York	4,428,760	197,439	4,626,199
Albuquerque, New Mexico	2,519,881	112,312	2,632,193
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, Pa.-N.J.	2,230,603	99,434	2,330,037
Ann Arbor, Michigan	1,978,910	88,197	2,067,107
Augusta, Georgia-South Carolina	994,720	44,338	1,039,058
Austin, Texas	4,276,587	190,582	4,467,169
Bakersfield, California	1,698,696	75,711	1,774,407
Baton Rouge, Louisiana	1,858,753	82,874	1,941,627
Birmingham, Alabama	3,042,257	135,602	3,177,859
Bridgeport, Connecticut	3,484,309	155,950	3,640,259
Canton, Ohio	1,257,731	56,055	1,313,786
Charleston, South Carolina	1,629,145	72,641	1,701,786
Charlotte, North Carolina	2,544,881	113,479	2,658,360
Chattanooga, Tennessee-Georgia	1,493,828	66,598	1,560,426
Colorado Springs, Colorado	1,850,621	82,516	1,933,137
Columbia, South Carolina	1,681,981	74,980	1,756,961
Columbus, Georgia-Alabama	1,067,429	47,578	1,115,007
Columbus, Ohio	6,516,423	290,508	6,806,931
Corpus Christi, Texas	1,683,765	75,047	1,758,812
Davenport-Rock Island-Moline, Iowa-Illinois	1,832,411	81,673	1,914,084
Dayton, Ohio	7,594,717	339,686	7,934,403
Des Moines, Iowa	1,670,652	74,472	1,745,124
El Paso, Texas	3,491,590	155,739	3,647,329
Fayetteville, North Carolina	818,105	36,465	854,570
Flint, Michigan	1,997,079	89,010	2,086,089
Fort Wayne, Indiana	1,606,963	71,621	1,678,584
Fresno, California	2,617,160	116,670	2,733,830
Grand Rapids, Michigan	2,304,746	102,729	2,407,475
Greenville, South Carolina	1,170,780	52,181	1,222,961
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania	1,490,541	66,449	1,556,990
Hartford, Connecticut	4,458,288	198,759	4,657,047
Honolulu, Hawaii	12,195,700	544,430	12,740,130
Indianapolis, Indiana	4,772,171	212,735	4,984,906
Jackson, Mississippi	1,204,077	53,665	1,257,742
Jacksonville, Florida	3,943,856	175,837	4,119,693
Knoxville, Tennessee	1,317,139	58,704	1,375,843
Lansing, Michigan	1,701,187	75,822	1,777,009
Las Vegas, Nevada	2,389,814	106,561	2,496,375
Lawrence-Haverhill, Mass.-New Hampshire	2,009,209	89,819	2,099,028
Little Rock-North Little Rock, Arkansas	1,672,152	74,548	1,746,700
Lorain-Elyria, Ohio	611,499	27,251	638,750
Louisville, Kentucky-Indiana	6,318,629	281,734	6,600,363
Madison, Wisconsin	2,682,832	119,645	2,802,477
Melbourne-Cocoa, Florida	1,125,455	50,172	1,175,627

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO URBANIZED AREAS 200,000 TO 1,000,000 IN POPULATION

URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
Memphis, Tennessee-Arkansas-Mississippi....	$4,950,596	$220,643	$5,171,239
Mobile, Alabama..........................	1,410,309	62,861	1,473,170
Nashville-Davidson, Tennessee.............	2,662,406	118,690	2,781,096
New Haven, Connecticut....................	3,855,845	172,547	4,028,392
Newport News-Hampton, Virginia............	1,786,488	79,647	1,866,135
Norfolk-Portsmouth, Virginia..............	4,916,180	219,132	5,135,312
Ogden, Utah...............................	1,451,048	64,685	1,515,733
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma...................	2,754,267	122,750	2,877,017
Omaha, Nebraska-Iowa......................	3,665,156	163,360	3,828,516
Orlando, Florida..........................	4,986,452	222,749	5,209,201
Oxnard-Ventura-Thousand Oaks, California...	1,787,102	79,653	1,866,755
Pensacola, Florida........................	1,066,535	47,536	1,114,071
Peoria, Illinois..........................	1,437,802	64,075	1,501,877
Providence-Pawtucket-Warwick, R.I.-Mass....	9,595,325	429,197	10,024,522
Raleigh, North Carolina...................	1,246,931	55,583	1,302,514
Richmond, Virginia........................	3,843,625	171,369	4,014,994
Rochester, New York.......................	4,703,441	209,675	4,913,116
Rockford, Illinois........................	1,124,776	50,132	1,174,908
Sacramento, California....................	6,343,773	283,004	6,626,777
St. Petersburg, Florida...................	5,200,610	231,820	5,432,430
Salt Lake City, Utah......................	6,027,080	268,741	6,295,821
San Antonio, Texas........................	10,422,204	464,727	10,886,931
San Bernardino-Riverside, California.......	4,431,596	197,511	4,629,107
Sarasota-Bradenton, Florida...............	1,529,915	68,186	1,598,101
Scranton-Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania........	2,269,843	101,178	2,371,021
Shreveport, Louisiana.....................	1,531,726	68,274	1,600,000
South Bend, Indiana-Michigan..............	1,456,382	64,914	1,521,296
Spokane, Washington.......................	2,709,996	120,804	2,830,800
Springfield-Chicopee-Holyoke, Mass.-Conn...	3,966,872	176,826	4,143,698
Syracuse, New York........................	3,463,467	154,382	3,617,849
Tacoma, Washington........................	4,021,305	179,366	4,200,671
Tampa, Florida............................	4,412,581	196,748	4,609,329
Toledo, Ohio-Michigan.....................	3,328,480	148,366	3,476,846
Trenton, New Jersey-Pennsylvania...........	2,416,044	107,877	2,523,921
Tucson, Arizona...........................	4,203,174	187,376	4,390,550
Tulsa, Oklahoma...........................	2,161,134	96,309	2,257,443
West Palm Beach, Florida..................	2,583,213	115,141	2,698,354
Wichita, Kansas...........................	1,763,084	78,586	1,841,670
Wilmington, Delaware-New Jersey-Maryland...	2,387,114	106,402	2,493,516
Worcester, Massachusetts..................	2,102,140	93,691	2,195,831
Youngstown-Warren, Ohio...................	1,798,605	80,157	1,878,762
	------------	-----------	------------
TOTAL	$260,163,208	$11,603,393	$271,766,601

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
ALABAMA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$3,761,331	$168,655	$3,929,986
Anniston	326,606	14,645	341,251
Auburn-Opelika	203,104	9,107	212,211
Decatur	237,852	10,665	248,517
Dothan	208,504	9,349	217,853
Florence	319,430	14,323	333,753
Gadsden	301,081	13,500	314,581
Huntsville	671,706	30,119	701,825
Montgomery	1,001,740	44,917	1,046,657
Tuscaloosa	491,308	22,030	513,338
ALASKA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$762,708	$34,199	$796,907
Anchorage	762,708	34,199	796,907
ARIZONA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$328,273	$14,720	$342,993
Yuma, Ariz.-Calif	328,273	14,720	342,993
ARKANSAS:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,108,190	$49,690	$1,157,880
Fayetteville-Springdale	263,705	11,824	275,529
Fort Smith, Ark.-Okla	394,238	17,678	411,916
Pine Bluff	361,297	16,200	377,497
Texarkana, Tex.-Ark	88,950	3,988	92,938
CALIFORNIA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$12,110,802	$543,038	$12,653,840
Antioch-Pittsburg	631,109	28,298	659,407
Chico	292,403	13,111	305,514
Davis	515,970	23,136	539,106
Fairfield	398,140	17,852	415,992
Hemet	304,570	13,657	318,227

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
CALIFORNIA--Continued:			
Lancaster	255,064	11,437	266,501
Merced	351,964	15,782	367,746
Modesto	1,195,623	53,611	1,249,234
Napa	416,628	18,681	435,309
Palm Springs	283,207	12,699	295,906
Redding	233,943	10,490	244,433
Salinas	772,816	34,652	807,468
Santa Barbara	1,099,107	49,283	1,148,390
Santa Cruz	626,110	28,074	654,184
Santa Maria	353,948	15,871	369,819
Santa Rosa	874,088	39,193	913,281
Seaside-Monterey	811,060	36,367	847,427
Simi Valley	544,608	24,420	569,028
Stockton	1,428,233	64,041	1,492,274
Visalia	354,247	15,884	370,131
Yuba City	366,511	16,434	382,945
Yuma, Ariz.-Calif	1,453	65	1,518
COLORADO:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,484,833	$111,418	$2,596,251
Boulder	615,787	27,612	643,399
Fort Collins	457,713	20,523	478,236
Grand Junction	297,398	13,335	310,733
Greeley	437,437	19,614	457,051
Pueblo	676,498	30,334	706,832
CONNECTICUT:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$10,664,210	$479,389	$11,143,599
Bristol	433,392	19,433	452,825
*Danbury, Conn.-N.Y	1,724,854	77,644	1,802,498
Meriden	352,753	15,818	368,571
New Britain	871,511	39,078	910,589
New London-Norwich	715,162	32,067	747,229
*Norwalk	1,926,911	86,705	2,013,616
*Stamford	2,394,028	107,650	2,501,678
*Waterbury	2,245,599	100,994	2,346,593

*An appropriate amount for commuter rail from UZA's above 200,000 has been included.

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
DELAWARE:			
FLORIDA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$5,197,560	$233,054	$5,430,614
Daytona Beach	858,660	38,502	897,162
Fort Myers	682,057	30,582	712,639
Fort Pierce	321,746	14,427	336,173
Fort Walton Beach	403,123	18,076	421,199
Gainesville	573,217	25,703	598,920
Lakeland	553,509	24,819	578,328
Naples	230,263	10,324	240,587
Ocala	229,487	10,290	239,777
Panama City	368,615	16,528	385,143
Tallahassee	616,753	27,655	644,408
Winter Haven	360,130	16,148	376,278
GEORGIA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,894,700	$129,796	$3,024,496
Albany	417,635	18,726	436,361
Athens	308,545	13,835	322,380
Macon	743,080	33,319	776,399
Rome	235,275	10,550	245,825
Savannah	898,899	40,306	939,205
Warner Robins	291,266	13,060	304,326
HAWAII:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$741,439	$33,245	$774,684
Kailua-Kaneohe	741,439	33,245	774,684
IDAHO:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,076,347	$48,262	$1,124,609
Boise City	777,212	34,850	812,062
Pocatello	299,135	13,412	312,547

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
ILLINOIS:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$7,138,236	$320,072	$7,458,308
Alton	470,164	21,082	491,246
Aurora	943,128	42,289	985,417
Beloit, Wis.-Ill	33,675	1,510	35,185
Bloomington-Normal	613,645	27,515	641,160
Champaign-Urbana	882,587	39,574	922,161
Danville	307,822	13,802	321,624
Decatur	632,082	28,342	660,424
Dubuque, Iowa-Ill	12,842	576	13,418
Elgin	704,246	31,578	735,824
Joliet	1,014,130	45,473	1,059,603
Kankakee	415,951	18,651	434,602
Round Lake Beach	328,161	14,714	342,875
Springfield	779,803	34,966	814,769
INDIANA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$4,396,944	$197,155	$4,594,099
Anderson	400,005	17,936	417,941
Bloomington	451,161	20,230	471,391
Elkhart-Goshen	453,579	20,337	473,916
Evansville, Ind.-Ky	1,018,577	45,672	1,064,249
Kokomo	413,513	18,542	432,055
Lafayette-West Lafayette	646,055	28,969	675,024
Muncie	581,003	26,051	607,054
Terre Haute	433,051	19,418	452,469
IOWA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,453,337	$110,005	$2,563,342
Cedar Rapids	767,056	34,394	801,450
Dubuque, Iowa-Ill	411,777	18,464	430,241
Iowa City	326,040	14,619	340,659
Sioux City, Iowa-Nebr.-S. Dak	407,793	18,285	426,078
Waterloo	540,671	24,243	564,914

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
KANSAS:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,054,390	$47,278	$1,101,668
Lawrence	347,071	15,562	362,633
St. Joseph, Mo.-Kans	5,745	258	6,003
Topeka	701,574	31,458	733,032
KENTUCKY:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,230,359	$100,007	$2,330,366
Clarksville, Tenn.-Ky	123,796	5,551	129,347
Evansville, Ind.-Ky	133,403	5,982	139,385
Huntington-Ashland, W.Va.-Ky.-Ohio	314,796	14,115	328,911
Lexington-Fayette	1,217,139	54,575	1,271,714
Owensboro	441,225	19,784	461,009
LOUISIANA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,606,207	$116,860	$2,723,067
Alexandria	463,028	20,762	483,790
Houma	301,210	13,506	314,716
Lafayette	687,564	30,829	718,393
Lake Charles	594,656	26,664	621,320
Monroe	559,749	25,099	584,848
MAINE:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,125,410	$50,462	$1,175,872
Bangor	239,018	10,717	249,735
Lewiston-Auburn	283,211	12,699	295,910
Portland	549,186	24,625	573,811
Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, N.H.-Me.	53,995	2,421	56,416
MARYLAND:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$987,561	$44,282	$1,031,843
Annapolis	357,559	16,033	373,592
Cumberland, Md.-W. Va	286,484	12,846	299,330
Hagerstown, Md.-Pa	343,518	15,403	358,921

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
MASSACHUSETTS:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$4,920,169	$220,616	$5,140,785
Brockton	1,168,170	52,380	1,220,550
Fall River, Mass.-R.I	929,195	41,664	970,859
Fitchburg-Leominster	343,505	15,402	358,907
Lowell, Mass.-N.H	998,392	44,767	1,043,159
New Bedford	1,005,750	45,097	1,050,847
Pittsfield	262,696	11,779	274,475
Taunton	212,461	9,527	221,988
MICHIGAN:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$4,378,974	$196,350	$4,575,324
Battle Creek	394,204	17,676	411,880
Bay City	452,281	20,280	472,561
Benton Harbor	331,725	14,874	346,599
Jackson	469,943	21,072	491,015
Kalamazoo	854,302	38,306	892,608
Muskegon-Muskegon Heights	563,306	25,258	588,564
Port Huron	340,190	15,254	355,444
Saginaw	973,023	43,630	1,016,653
MINNESOTA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,487,659	$66,705	$1,554,364
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis	444,035	19,910	463,945
Fargo-Moorhead, N. Dak.-Minn	210,278	9,429	219,707
Grand Forks, N. Dak.-Minn	49,878	2,236	52,114
La Crosse, Wis.-Minn	21,328	956	22,284
Rochester	405,608	18,187	423,795
St. Cloud	356,532	15,987	372,519
MISSISSIPPI:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,411,497	$63,290	$1,474,787
Biloxi-Gulfport	855,488	38,359	893,847
Hattiesburg	260,801	11,694	272,495
Pascagoula-Moss Point	295,208	13,237	308,445

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
MISSOURI:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,739,325	$77,990	$1,817,315
Columbia	316,531	14,193	330,724
Joplin	248,430	11,139	259,569
St. Joseph, Mo.-Kans	408,219	18,305	426,524
Springfield	766,145	34,353	800,498
MONTANA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,258,184	$56,416	$1,314,600
Billings	497,773	22,320	520,093
Great Falls	433,843	19,453	453,296
Missoula	326,568	14,643	341,211
NEBRASKA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,184,068	$53,093	$1,237,161
Lincoln	1,125,018	50,445	1,175,463
Sioux City, Iowa-Nebr.-S. Dak	59,050	2,648	61,698
NEVADA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$952,515	$42,710	$995,225
Reno	952,515	42,710	995,225
NEW HAMPSHIRE:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,424,415	$63,870	$1,488,285
Lowell, Mass.-N.H	3,472	156	3,628
Manchester	630,471	28,270	658,741
Nashua	431,575	19,351	450,926
Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, N.H.-Me.	358,897	16,093	374,990

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
NEW JERSEY:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,117,015	$50,086	$1,167,101
Atlantic City	782,458	35,085	817,543
Vineland-Millville	334,557	15,001	349,558
NEW MEXICO:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$540,102	$24,218	$564,320
Las Cruces	288,076	12,917	300,993
Santa Fe	252,026	11,301	263,327
NEW YORK:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$3,560,133	$159,633	$3,719,766
Binghamton	996,026	44,661	1,040,687
Danbury, Conn.-N.Y	11,389	511	11,900
Elmira	434,238	19,471	453,709
Glens Falls	257,014	11,524	268,538
Newburgh	318,749	14,292	333,041
Poughkeepsie	701,593	31,459	733,052
Utica-Rome	841,124	37,715	878,839
NORTH CAROLINA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$6,102,743	$273,642	$6,376,385
Asheville	461,220	20,681	481,901
Burlington	327,866	14,701	342,567
Concord	327,063	14,665	341,728
Durham	902,332	40,459	942,791
Gastonia	503,448	22,574	526,022
Goldsboro	255,351	11,450	266,801
Greensboro	1,011,652	45,362	1,057,014
Hickory	272,310	12,210	284,520
High Point	479,882	21,517	501,399
Jacksonville	320,796	14,384	335,180
Wilmington	390,174	17,495	407,669
Winston-Salem	850,649	38,144	888,793

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
NORTH DAKOTA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,069,525	$47,957	$1,117,482
Bismarck-Mandan....................	340,899	15,286	356,185
Fargo-Moorhead, N. Dak.-Minn......	413,854	18,557	432,411
Grand Forks, N. Dak.-Minn.........	314,772	14,114	328,886
OHIO:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$3,464,690	$155,354	$3,620,044
Hamilton..........................	627,681	28,145	655,826
Huntington-Ashland, W.Va.-Ky.-Ohio	181,942	8,158	190,100
Lima..............................	399,267	17,903	417,170
Mansfield.........................	395,427	17,731	413,158
Middletown........................	446,312	20,012	466,324
Newark............................	268,588	12,043	280,631
Parkersburg, W.Va.-Ohio...........	44,333	1,988	46,321
Sharon, Pa.-Ohio..................	26,623	1,194	27,817
Springfield.......................	620,463	27,821	648,284
Steubenville-Weirton,Ohio-W.Va.-Pa.	244,793	10,976	255,769
Wheeling, W. Va.-Ohio.............	209,261	9,383	218,644
OKLAHOMA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$754,700	$33,840	$788,540
Enid..............................	242,270	10,863	253,133
Fort Smith, Ark.-Okla.............	9,177	411	9,588
Lawton............................	503,253	22,566	525,819
OREGON:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,410,576	$108,088	$2,518,664
Eugene............................	1,239,429	55,575	1,295,004
Longview, Wash.-Oreg..............	6,350	284	6,634
Medford...........................	303,184	13,595	316,779
Salem.............................	861,613	38,634	900,247

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
PENNSYLVANIA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$6,902,090	$309,484	$7,211,574
Altoona	532,658	23,884	556,542
Erie	1,360,149	60,988	1,421,137
Hagerstown, Md.-Pa	4,413	198	4,611
Johnstown	560,297	25,123	585,420
Lancaster	951,345	42,658	994,003
Monessen	329,641	14,781	344,422
Reading	1,269,238	56,912	1,326,150
Sharon, Pa.-Ohio	292,295	13,106	305,401
State College	397,898	17,841	415,739
Steubenville-Weirton,Ohio-W.Va.-Pa.	1,218	55	1,273
Williamsport	367,475	16,477	383,952
York	835,463	37,461	872,924
PUERTO RICO:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$4,821,534	$216,193	$5,037,727
Aquadilla	385,863	17,301	403,164
Arecibo	442,038	19,821	461,859
Caguas	1,035,033	46,410	1,081,443
Mayaguez	724,697	32,495	757,192
Ponce	1,702,529	76,340	1,778,869
Vega Baja-Manati	531,374	23,826	555,200
RHODE ISLAND:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$383,788	$17,209	$400,997
Fall River, Mass.-R.I	83,590	3,748	87,338
Newport	300,198	13,461	313,659
SOUTH CAROLINA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,206,494	$54,098	$1,260,592
Anderson	247,935	11,117	259,052
Florence	261,215	11,713	272,928
Rock Hill	233,779	10,482	244,261
Spartanburg	463,565	20,786	484,351

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
SOUTH DAKOTA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$766,099	$34,351	$800,450
Rapid City	278,418	12,484	290,902
Sioux City, Iowa-Nebr.-S.Dak	8,017	359	8,376
Sioux Falls	479,664	21,508	501,172
TENNESSEE:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$1,313,048	$58,876	$1,371,924
Bristol, Tenn.-Bristol, Va	127,375	5,711	133,086
Clarksville, Tenn.-Ky	241,100	10,811	251,911
Jackson	232,859	10,441	243,300
Johnson City	358,912	16,093	375,005
Kingsport, Tenn.-Va	352,802	15,820	368,622
TEXAS:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$12,072,513	$541,321	$12,613,834
Abilene	449,075	20,137	469,212
Amarillo	798,184	35,790	833,974
Beaumont	605,977	27,172	633,149
Brownsville	623,655	27,964	651,619
Bryan-College Station	429,129	19,242	448,371
Galveston	349,017	15,650	364,667
Harlingen-San Benito	335,519	15,045	350,564
Killeen	511,456	22,933	534,389
Laredo	819,449	36,743	856,192
Longview	321,849	14,431	336,280
Lubbock	939,052	42,106	981,158
McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg	975,596	43,745	1,019,341
Midland	394,883	17,706	412,589
Odessa	596,117	26,729	622,846
Port Arthur	538,300	24,137	562,437
San Angelo	403,892	18,110	422,002
Sherman-Denison	249,835	11,202	261,037
Temple	230,607	10,340	240,947
Texarkana, Tex.-Ark	220,477	9,886	230,363
Texas City-La Marque	444,462	19,929	464,391
Tyler	405,779	18,195	423,974
Victoria	316,870	14,208	331,078

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
TEXAS--Continued:			
Waco	607,741	27,251	634,992
Wichita Falls	505,592	22,670	528,262
UTAH:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$978,307	$43,867	$1,022,174
Provo-Orem	978,307	43,867	1,022,174
VERMONT:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$381,565	$17,109	$398,674
Burlington	381,565	17,109	398,674
VIRGINIA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,734,626	$122,618	$2,857,244
Bristol, Tenn.-Bristol, Va	99,143	4,445	103,588
Charlottesville	405,796	18,196	423,992
Danville	286,071	12,827	298,898
Kingsport, Tenn.-Va	19,167	859	20,026
Lynchburg	400,527	17,959	418,486
Petersburg-Colonial Heights	549,246	24,628	573,874
Roanoke	974,676	43,704	1,018,380
WASHINGTON:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,304,587	$103,336	$2,407,923
Bellingham	279,610	12,537	292,147
Bremerton	343,369	15,396	358,765
Longview, Wash.-Oreg	273,786	12,276	286,062
Olympia	345,790	15,505	361,295
Richland-Kennewick	564,084	25,293	589,377
Yakima	497,948	22,329	520,277
WEST VIRGINIA:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$2,517,518	$112,883	$2,630,401
Charleston	916,856	41,111	957,967

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

AMOUNTS APPORTIONED TO STATE GOVERNORS FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE/URBANIZED AREA	GENERAL FUND	TRUST FUND	TOTAL APPORTIONMENT
WEST VIRGINIA--Continued:			
Cumberland, Md.-W. Va.............	13,626	611	14,237
Huntington-Ashland, W.Va.-Ky.-Ohio	593,469	26,611	620,080
Parkersburg, W. Va.-Ohio..........	390,347	17,503	407,850
Steubenville-Weirton,Ohio-W.Va.-Pa	154,872	6,944	161,816
Wheeling, W. Va.-Ohio.............	448,348	20,103	468,451
WISCONSIN:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$5,724,137	$256,665	$5,980,802
Appleton..........................	957,438	42,931	1,000,369
Beloit, Wis.-Ill..................	249,651	11,194	260,845
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis........	112,324	5,037	117,361
Eau Claire........................	372,959	16,723	389,682
Green Bay.........................	724,341	32,478	756,819
Janesville........................	302,490	13,563	316,053
Kenosha...........................	691,809	31,020	722,829
La Crosse, Wis.-Minn..............	401,514	18,004	419,518
Oshkosh...........................	359,597	16,124	375,721
Racine............................	880,892	39,498	920,390
Sheboygan.........................	375,425	16,834	392,259
Wausau............................	295,697	13,259	308,956
WYOMING:			
Governor's apportionment for areas 50,000 to 200,000 in population:	$718,874	$32,234	$751,108
Casper............................	382,972	17,172	400,144
Cheyenne..........................	335,902	15,062	350,964
TOTAL	$143,724,307	$6,445,689	$150,169,996
OVER 1,000,000 IN POPULATION	$1,152,565,240	$51,740,918	$1,204,306,158
200,000-1,000,000 IN POPULATION	260,163,208	11,603,393	271,766,601
50,000-200,000 IN POPULATION	143,724,307	6,445,689	150,169,996
NATIONAL TOTALS	$1,556,452,755	$69,790,000	$1,626,242,755

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 OPERATING ASSISTANCE LIMITATIONS

LIMITATION FOR URBANIZED AREAS

OVER 1,000,000 IN POPULATION

URBANIZED AREA	LIMITATION
Atlanta, Georgia	$6,178,877
Baltimore, Maryland	9,889,793
Boston, Massachusetts	18,568,034
Buffalo, New York	6,094,728
Chicago, Illinois-Northwestern Indiana	51,425,457
Cincinnati, Ohio-Kentucky	5,357,046
Cleveland, Ohio	9,801,617
Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas	8,789,549
Denver, Colorado	6,000,559
Detroit, Michigan	21,760,171
Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood, Florida	3,854,208
Houston, Texas	9,235,968
Kansas City, Missouri-Kansas	4,539,143
Los Angeles-Long Beach, California	58,038,466
Miami, Florida	8,525,117
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	5,554,521
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota	7,406,114
New Orleans, Louisiana	6,718,410
New York, N.Y.-Northeastern New Jersey	134,413,023
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-New Jersey	32,356,789
Phoenix, Arizona	4,785,207
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	9,658,458
Portland, Oregon-Washington	4,475,270
St. Louis, Missouri-Illinois	9,750,445
San Diego, California	7,427,186
San Francisco-Oakland, California	19,775,755
San Jose, California	6,718,883
San Juan, Puerto Rico	7,636,525
Seattle-Everett, Washington	6,275,340
Washington, D.C.-Maryland-Virginia	17,167,268

	$508,177,927

LIMITATION FOR URBANIZED AREAS

200,000 TO 1,000,000 IN POPULATION

URBANIZED AREA	LIMITATION
Akron, Ohio	$2,337,596
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, New York	2,267,212
Albuquerque, New Mexico	1,567,541
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, Pa.-N.J	2,370,445
Ann Arbor, Michigan	993,937
Augusta, Georgia-South Carolina	791,775
Austin, Texas	1,491,054
Bakersfield, California	972,218
Baton Rouge, Louisiana	1,299,178
Birmingham, Alabama	2,386,495
Bridgeport, Connecticut	2,071,917
Canton, Ohio	1,144,743
Charleston, South Carolina	1,085,333
Charlotte, North Carolina	1,308,389
Chattanooga, Tennessee-Georgia	986,345
Colorado Springs, Colorado	979,153
Columbia, South Carolina	1,116,531
Columbus, Georgia-Alabama	830,196
Columbus, Ohio	4,410,955
Corpus Christi, Texas	871,246
Davenport-Rock Island-Moline, Iowa-Illinois	1,133,627
Dayton, Ohio	2,935,145
Des Moines, Iowa	1,104,090
El Paso, Texas	1,805,843
Fayetteville, North Carolina	746,697
Flint, Michigan	1,535,835
Fort Wayne, Indiana	1,095,129
Fresno, California	1,473,756
Grand Rapids, Michigan	1,557,701
Greenville, South Carolina	752,915

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 OPERATING ASSISTANCE LIMITATIONS

LIMITATION FOR URBANIZED AREAS 200,000 TO 1,000,000 IN POPULATION--(CONTINUED)

URBANIZED AREA	LIMITATION
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania	$1,137,097
Hartford, Connecticut	2,307,556
Honolulu, Hawaii	2,857,857
Indianapolis, Indiana	3,839,903
Jackson, Mississippi	907,743
Jacksonville, Florida	2,034,549
Knoxville, Tennessee	904,908
Lansing, Michigan	1,168,124
Las Vegas, Nevada	1,386,639
Lawrence-Haverhill, Mass.-New Hampshire	858,383
Little Rock-North Little Rock, Arkansas	1,041,190
Lorain-Elyria, Ohio	788,514
Louisville, Kentucky-Indiana	3,921,718
Madison, Wisconsin	1,001,792
Melbourne-Cocoa, Florida	707,611
Memphis, Tennessee-Arkansas-Mississippi	3,634,605
Mobile, Alabama	1,012,834
Nashville-Davidson, Tennessee	1,685,148
New Haven, Connecticut	1,869,302
Newport News-Hampton, Virginia	1,145,514
Norfolk-Portsmouth, Virginia	3,112,948
Ogden, Utah	703,686
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	2,332,325
Omaha, Nebraska-Iowa	2,391,956
Orlando, Florida	1,760,054
Oxnard-Ventura-Thousand Oaks, California	1,364,990
Pensacola, Florida	762,822
Peoria, Illinois	1,062,845
Providence-Pawtucket-Warwick, R.I.-Mass	$4,777,970
Raleigh, North Carolina	735,056
Richmond, Virginia	1,946,946
Rochester, New York	3,121,004
Rockford, Illinois	978,072
Sacramento, California	3,529,943
St. Petersburg, Florida	3,357,188
Salt Lake City, Utah	2,468,474
San Antonio, Texas	4,644,776
San Bernardino-Riverside, California	2,552,398
Sarasota-Bradenton, Florida	1,274,252
Scranton-Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	1,751,160
Shreveport, Louisiana	1,061,294
South Bend, Indiana-Michigan	1,159,367
Spokane, Washington	1,125,001
Springfield-Chicopee-Holyoke, Mass.-Conn	2,043,930
Syracuse, New York	1,916,204
Tacoma, Washington	1,566,293
Tampa, Florida	1,940,251
Toledo, Ohio-Michigan	2,262,934
Trenton, New Jersey-Pennsylvania	1,997,996
Tucson, Arizona	1,674,019
Tulsa, Oklahoma	1,584,986
West Palm Beach, Florida	1,668,220
Wichita, Kansas	1,371,199
Wilmington, Delaware-New Jersey-Maryland	2,027,993
Worcester, Massachusetts	1,170,600
Youngstown-Warren, Ohio	1,803,364
TOTAL	$150,636 500

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 9 OPERATING ASSISTANCE LIMITATIONS

STATE LIMITATION FOR URBANIZED AREAS 50,000 TO 200,000 IN POPULATION

STATE	LIMITATION	STATE	LIMITATION
ALABAMA	$3,974,156	NEBRASKA	$1,181,288
ALASKA	762,891	NEVADA	835,658
ARIZONA	305,621	NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,396,118
ARKANSAS	1,198,812	NEW JERSEY	1,751,944
CALIFORNIA	10,693,099	NEW MEXICO	511,475
COLORADO	2,491,367	NEW YORK	4,172,356
CONNECTICUT	7,301,806	NORTH CAROLINA	6,160,399
DELAWARE	0	NORTH DAKOTA	1,034,999
FLORIDA	4,792,485	OHIO	3,686,084
GEORGIA	3,123,285	OKLAHOMA	812,292
HAWAII	702,678	OREGON	2,142,185
IDAHO	993,261	PENNSYLVANIA	7,535,231
ILLINOIS	8,142,236	PUERTO RICO	4,492,135
INDIANA	4,592,647	RHODE ISLAND	365,357
IOWA	2,673,664	SOUTH CAROLINA	1,183,104
KANSAS	1,138,945	SOUTH DAKOTA	783,462
KENTUCKY	2,242,217	TENNESSEE	1,324,038
LOUISIANA	2,639,629	TEXAS	11,997,115
MAINE	1,213,113	UTAH	807,809
MARYLAND	927,385	VERMONT	360,877
MASSACHUSETTS	5,877,925	VIRGINIA	2,843,687
MICHIGAN	4,730,837	WASHINGTON	2,149,330
MINNESOTA	1,643,420	WEST VIRGINIA	2,726,688
MISSISSIPPI	1,355,890	WISCONSIN	5,900,727
MISSOURI	1,812,008	WYOMING	681,041
MONTANA	1,298,797		
TOTAL			$143,463,573

OVER 1,000,000 IN POPULATION	$508,177,927
200,000-1,000,000 IN POPULATION	150,636,500
50,000-200,000 IN POPULATION	143,463,573
NATIONAL TOTAL	$802,278,000

FISCAL YEAR 1990

UMTA SECTION 18 FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS AND

RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (RTAP) ALLOCATIONS

TO THE STATES FOR NONURBANIZED AREAS

STATE	SECTION 18 APPORTIONMENT	RTAP ALLOCATION	STATE	SECTION 18 APPORTIONMENT	RTAP ALLOCATION
ALABAMA	$1,574,903	$89,633	NEBRASKA	692,341	67,423
ALASKA	171,373	54,313	NEVADA	151,934	53,823
AMERICAN SAMOA	23,898	10,601	NEW HAMPSHIRE	464,342	61,685
ARIZONA	595,376	64,983	NEW JERSEY	794,118	69,984
ARKANSAS	1,274,977	82,085	NEW MEXICO	575,356	64,479
CALIFORNIA	2,819,071	120,942	NEW YORK	2,786,381	120,120
COLORADO	645,892	66,254	NORTH CAROLINA	2,870,766	122,243
CONNECTICUT	586,590	64,762	NORTH DAKOTA	352,116	58,861
DELAWARE	167,800	54,223	NORTHERN MARIANAS	12,416	10,312
FLORIDA	1,715,048	93,159	OHIO	3,151,708	129,313
GEORGIA	2,116,117	103,252	OKLAHOMA	1,301,128	82,743
GUAM	78,418	11,973	OREGON	1,009,925	75,415
HAWAII	204,605	55,149	PENNSYLVANIA	3,474,484	137,436
IDAHO	559,160	64,071	PUERTO RICO	1,092,897	77,503
ILLINOIS	2,317,958	108,332	RHODE ISLAND	115,686	52,912
INDIANA	2,137,726	103,796	SOUTH CAROLINA	1,429,667	85,978
IOWA	1,466,521	86,905	SOUTH DAKOTA	408,490	60,280
KANSAS	1,116,659	78,101	TENNESSEE	1,853,267	96,638
KENTUCKY	1,796,639	95,213	TEXAS	3,774,300	144,980
LOUISIANA	1,483,012	87,320	UTAH	304,405	57,660
MAINE	647,809	66,302	VERMONT	321,820	58,099
MARYLAND	795,881	70,028	VIRGIN ISLANDS	71,454	11,796
MASSACHUSETTS	956,252	74,064	VIRGINIA	1,703,596	92,874
MICHIGAN	2,575,219	114,806	WASHINGTON	1,117,822	78,130
MINNESOTA	1,496,177	87,651	WEST VIRGINIA	1,144,140	78,792
MISSISSIPPI	1,429,477	85,973	WISCONSIN	1,752,988	94,114
MISSOURI	1,699,316	92,763	WYOMING	260,340	56,550
MONTANA	427,736	60,764			
TOTAL				$65,867,497	$4,247,563

FISCAL YEAR 1990 UMTA SECTION 16(b)(2) ALLOCATIONS

AMOUNTS ALLOCATED TO STATES

STATE	ALLOCATION	STATE	ALLOCATION
ALABAMA	$625,523	NEBRASKA	339,745
ALASKA	139,089	NEVADA	196,692
AMERICAN SAMOA	50,934	NEW HAMPSHIRE	233,352
ARIZONA	460,154	NEW JERSEY	1,061,307
ARKANSAS	476,410	NEW MEXICO	253,247
CALIFORNIA	2,776,864	NEW YORK	2,488,051
COLORADO	392,353	NORTH CAROLINA	804,884
CONNECTICUT	511,433	NORTH DAKOTA	207,518
DELAWARE	189,446	NORTHERN MARIANAS	50,489
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	208,139	OHIO	1,405,542
FLORIDA	1,919,539	OKLAHOMA	532,384
GEORGIA	715,045	OREGON	445,453
GUAM	127,950	PENNSYLVANIA	1,766,460
HAWAII	208,218	PUERTO RICO	430,615
IDAHO	224,476	RHODE ISLAND	262,266
ILLINOIS	1,500,046	SOUTH CAROLINA	453,250
INDIANA	748,436	SOUTH DAKOTA	219,362
IOWA	529,848	TENNESSEE	712,481
KANSAS	444,542	TEXAS	1,632,599
KENTUCKY	593,800	UTAH	241,874
LOUISIANA	582,695	VERMONT	187,166
MAINE	275,244	VIRGIN ISLANDS	129,421
MARYLAND	564,535	VIRGINIA	679,800
MASSACHUSETTS	910,540	WASHINGTON	586,006
MICHIGAN	1,134,826	WEST VIRGINIA	386,720
MINNESOTA	626,007	WISCONSIN	712,268
MISSISSIPPI	452,532	WYOMING	163,734
MISSOURI	817,027		
MONTANA	213,750		
TOTAL			$35,002,087

[FR Doc. 89-29185 Filed 12-13-89; 3:57 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-C