345–153	Form 515f	REA Specifications and Drawings for Construction of Pole Lines and Aerial Cables.
345–154	Form 515g	REA Specifications and Drawings for Service Entrance and Station Protection Installation.

* * * * * * * *

Dated: September 28, 1988.

Jack Van Mark,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 88–22831 Filed 10–3–88; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–15–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 240

[Release No. 34–26136, File No. S7–11–88]

Registration Requirements for Foreign Broker-Dealers

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; publication of comment letter.

SUMMARY: The Commission is publishing a comment letter presenting an alternative formulation of proposed Securities Exchange Act Rule 15a–6, which the Commission received from a committee of the American Bar Association ("ABA") in response to the Commission's earlier request for comments on the proposed rule in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 25801 (June 14, 1988). The Commission is taking this step so that interested persons will have an opportunity to comment on this alternative formulation of the proposed rule.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before October 31, 1988.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Room 6184, 450 Fifth Street NW., Mail Stop 6–9, Washington, DC 20549, and should refer to File No. S7–11–88. All comment letters will be available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert L.D. Colby, Chief Counsel, (202) 272–2844, on John Polanin, Jr., Attorney, (202) 272–2848, Office of Legal Policy, Division of Market Regulation, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Mail Stop 5–1, Washington, DC 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission recently published for comment proposed Rule 15a–6 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), [1] which would exempt from the broker-dealer registration requirements of section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [2] foreign entities that deal with specified U.S. institutional investors under certain limited conditions.[3] In response to the Commission's request for comments, the Committee on Federal Regulation of Securities of the Section of Business Law of the ABA submitted a comment letter including an alternative formulation of proposed Rule 15a–6.[4] This formulation generally reflects in the proposed rule the staff interpretive positions on which the Commission had requested comment when proposing the rule.[5].

The Commission believes that the ABA's alternative proposed rule deserves serious consideration. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to publish the ABA's proposal for public comment. Comments are requested to be submitted by October 31, 1988, when the comment period for proposed Rule 15a–6 will expire. The full text of the ABA's comment letter, including the alternative formulation of proposed Rule 15a–6, is set forth below.[6]

September 14, 1988.

Mr. Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Re: File No. S7–11–88

Dear Mr. Katz: This is in response to the Commission's request for comments on proposed Rule 15a-6, contained in Release No. 25801 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Release"). The Release relates generally to certain exclusions from registration for foreign broker-dealers.

This letter and its enclosure have been prepared by members of the Subcommittees on Broker-Dealer Matters and International Matters, both Subcommittees being part of the Committee on Federal Regulation of Securities, which in turn is part of the Section of Business Law of the American Bar Association (the "Association"). A draft of the enclosure was circulated for comment among members of the Subcommittees and certain members of the Committee, and the approach embodied therein has received the general concurrence of the majority of those who responded to the draft. Neither this letter nor its enclosure, however, represents the official view of the Association, the Section or the Committee, nor does it necessarily reflect the views of all the members who have seen it.

After reviewing proposed Rule 15a-6, we have concluded that it would be more efficient and practical if the various exclusions from registration for foreign broker-dealers catalogued in the Release were embodied in the rule itself rather than in a series of no-action and interpretive letters. Among other things, such a "codification" would spell out clearly in one place the ground rules to which foreign broker-dealers are subject. While some have suggested that placing all exclusions in a rule could make it more difficult to secure future interpretive relief as new fact patterns emerge, on balance we believe the expanded rule approach is more consistent with orderly development of the law in this area. Accordingly, we have drafted an expanded version of proposed Rule 15a-6 that would incorporate most of the exclusions currently contained in the letters.

Based on informal discussion of this approach with members of the Commission's staff, we thought it desirable to submit the draft formally with the request that the Commission publish it for comment. Publication will give interested parties an opportunity to analyze our approach as an alternative to that set forth in the Release.

There are some additional substantive exclusions that we believe should be contained in any rule the Commission may adopt on this subject, but we have omitted them from the current proposal, at the staff's suggestion, in an attempt to render the suggested approach more substantively neutral. Our suggestions in this regard will be contained in a comment letter to be submitted prior to the end of the comment period.

We request that the Commission publish the enclosed version of proposed Rule 15a-6 as an alternative to the version of the proposed rule promulgated in the Release.

Sincerely,

John M. Liftin.

For the drafting committee:

George J. Forsyth
Guy P. Lander
John M. Liftin
William J. Williams, Jr.

cc: Chairman David S. Ruder

---

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78a–78jj.

[2] 15 U.S.C. 78*o*(a).

[3] Securities Exchange Act Release No. 25801 (June 14, 1988), 53 FR 23645 ("Proposing Release").

[4] Letter from John M. Liftin, Committee on Federal Regulation of Securities, Section of Business Law, ABA, to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, SEC (Sept. 14, 1988).

[5] *See* Proposing Release, Part III.

[6] When the Commission proposed Rule 15a–6, the Chairman, pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 605(b), certified that the proposed rule, if adopted, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. *See* Proposing Release, Part VI. The Commission takes the same position with respect to the ABA's alternative formulation of the proposed rule, for the reasons already stated in the Proposing Release. *Id.*

Commissioner Charles C. Cox
Commissioner Edward H. Fleischman
Commissioner Joseph A. Grundfest
Richard G. Kethcum, Director, Division of Market Regulation
Robert L. D. Colby, Chief Counsel, Division of Market Regulation
Larry E. Bergmann, Associate Director, Division of Market Regulation

REGISTRATION OF BROKERS AND DEALERS

§ 240.15a–6—Certain Foreign Brokers and Dealers [1]

(a) A foreign broker or dealer is not subject to the registration requirements of Section 15(a)(1) or 15B(a)(1) of the Act [2] by reason of its:

(1) effecting transactions in securities with, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of securities by:

(i) U.S. brokers and dealers, whether acting as principal for their own account or as agent for others;

(ii) the African Development Bank, the Asian Development Bank, the Inter-American Development Bank, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Monetary Fund, the United Nations and their agencies, affiliates and pension funds;

(iii) any agency or branch of a U.S. person located outside the United States that operates for valid business reasons;

(iv) U.S. citizens resident outside the United States, provided that the foreign broker or dealer does not direct its selling efforts toward identifiable groups of U.S. citizens resident abroad;

(v) foreign persons temporarily present in the United States with whom the foreign broker or dealer had a bona fide pre-existing relationship; and

(vi) subject to compliance with the requirements of paragraph (b), U.S. institutional investors; and

(2) execution of transactions in securities for customers who have not been solicited by the foreign broker or dealer; and

(3) provision of research reports to U.S. investors, provided that the research reports are distributed to U.S. investors by or on behalf of a U.S. broker or dealer, the U.S. broker or dealer states in writing prominently on the research report that it has accepted responsibility for the content of the research report, the research report prominently indicates that any U.S. person wishing to effect transactions in any security discussed therein should do so with the U.S. broker or dealer, and transactions in the securities discussed therein are effected with or through the U.S. broker or dealer and not with the foreign broker or dealer.

(b) A foreign broker or dealer may induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of securities by U.S. institutional investors, provided that:

(1) the foreign broker or dealer:

(i) effects the transaction with the U.S. institutional investor through a U.S. broker or dealer in the manner contemplated by clause (3) below; and

(ii) provides the Commission (upon request or, if applicable, pursuant to agreements reached between any foreign jurisdiction or any foreign securities authority and the Commission or the U.S. Government) with any information, documents or records within the possession, custody or control of the foreign broker or dealer, any testimony of foreign associated persons, and any assistance in taking the evidence of other persons, wheresoever located, that the Commission requests and that directly or indirectly relates to transactions covered by paragraph (b) of this section with a U.S. institutional investor or with the U.S. broker or dealer that executes the transactions;

(2) the foreign associated person of the foreign broker or dealer effecting the transaction with the U.S. institutional investor:

(i) does so entirely from outside the United States; and

(ii) is not subject to a statutory disqualification specified in Section 3(a)(39) of the Act or been found to have violated any substantially equivalent foreign statute or regulation; and

(3) the U.S. broker or dealer through which the transaction with the U.S. institutional investor is effected:

(i) is responsible for:

(A) issuing all required confirmations and statements to the U.S. institutional investor;

(B) as between the foreign broker or dealer and the U.S. broker or dealer, extending or arranging for the extension of any credit to the U.S. institutional investor in connection with the purchase of the securities;

(C) maintaining required books and records relating to the transactions, including those required by Rules 17a–3 and 17a–4 under the Act (17 CFR 240.17a–3 and 17a–4); and

(D) receiving, delivering and safeguarding funds and securities on behalf of the U.S. institutional investor in compliance with Rule 15c3–3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.15c3–3);

(ii) participates through an associated person in all oral communications between the foreign associated person and the U.S. institutional investor, unless the U.S. institutional investor has, or has under management, total assets in excess of $100 million;

(iii) has obtained from the foreign broker or dealer, with respect to the foreign associated person, the informnation specified in Rule 17a–3(a)(12) under the Act (17 CFR 240.17a–3(a)(12)); provided that the information required by paragraph (a)(12)(d) of such Rule shall include sanctions imposed by foreign securities authorities, exchanges or associations.

(iv) has obtained from the foreign broker or dealer and such foreign associated person written consent to service of process for any civil action brought by or proceeding before the Commission or a self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Act) relating to transactions covered by paragraph (b) of this section, stating that process may be served on the U.S. broker or dealer as provided on the U.S. broker or dealer's current Form BD; and

(v) maintains a written record of the information and consents required by paragraphs (b)(3) (iii) and (iv) of this section, and all records in connection with trading activities of the U.S. institutional investor involving the foreign broker or dealer conducted pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, in an office of the U.S. broker or dealer located in the United States, and makes such records available to the Commission upon request.

(c) When used in this Rule,

(1) the term "family of investment companies" shall mean:

(i) except for insurance company separate accounts, any two or more separately registered investment companies under the Investment Company Act of 1940 that share the same investment adviser or principal underwriter and hold themselves out to investors as related companies for purposes of investment and investor services; and

(ii) with respect to insurance company separate accounts, any two or more separately registered separate accounts under the Investment Company Act of 1940 that share the same investment adviser or principal underwriter and function under operational or accounting or control systems that are substantially similar.

(2) the term "foreign associated person" shall mean any natural person resident outside the United States who is an associated person, as defined in Section 3(a)(18) of the Act, of the foreign broker or dealer and who participates in the solicitation of a U.S. institutional investor pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section.

(3) the term "foreign broker or dealer" shall mean any non-U.S. resident entity (including any U.S. entity engaged in business as a broker or dealer entirely outside the United States except as otherwise permitted by this section) that is neither an office nor a branch of a U.S. broker or dealer, whose securities activities, if conducted in the United States, would be described by the definition of "broker" or "dealer" in Sections 3(a)(4) and 3(a)(5) of the Act.

(4) the term "U.S. broker or dealer" shall mean (i) any broker or dealer registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 15(b) (for the purpose of transactions in all securities), Section 15(b) or 15B(a)(2) (for the purpose of transactions in municipal securities) and Section 15(b) or 15C(a)(2) (for the purpose of transactions in government securities) of the Act, and (ii) for the purpose of paragraph (a)(1)(i) but not paragraph (b) of this section, any bank acting in a broker or dealer capacity (including acting as a municipal or government securities broker or dealer).

(5) the term "U.S. institutional investor" shall mean a person that is:

(i) an investment company registered with the Commission under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (for purposes of determining whether such an investment company has total assets in excess of $100 million, the investment company may include the assets of other

---

[1] Accompanying Release would state that to a very large extent Rule codifies prior staff interpretations as to activities not requiring registration as a broker or dealer.

[2] Consideration should be given to the adoption by the Secretary of the Treasury of a similar exemption, to the extent relevent, from the registration provisions of Section 15C(a)(1) of the Act.

members of the investment company's "family");

(ii) an investment adviser registered with the Commission under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940; or

(iii) an accredited investor, as defined in 17 CFR 230.501(a) (1), (2), (3) or (7).

the term "United States" shall mean the United States of America (including the States and the District of Columbia), its territories, its possessions and other areas subject to its jurisdiction.

By the Commission.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

September 30, 1988.

[FR Doc. 88-22976 Filed 10-3-88; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

46 CFR Part 580

[Docket No. 88-19]

Effective Date of Tariff Changes

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; Enlargement of time to comment.

SUMMARY: The Commission initiated this proposed rulemaking by **Federal Register** Notice of August 30, 1988 (53 FR 33153), and established October 14, 1988, as the date comments were due. Counsel for the South Europe/U.S.A. Freight Conference ("SEUSA") has filed a request to extend the time for filing comments until November 1, 1988. In support of the request, it is stated that SEUSA members will not be able to meet as a group until the end of October and therefore will not have an opportunity to discuss the proposed rule collectively until that time. Therefore, for good cause shown, the request for an enlargement of time is granted.

DATE: Comments due on or before November 1, 1988.

ADDRESS: Comments (Original and fifteen (15) copies) to: Joseph C. Polking, Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20573.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert G. Drew, Director, Bureau of Domestic Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20573, (202 523-5796.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 88-22753 Filed 10-3-88; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Findings on Petitions To List the Oklahoma Salamander, Eleven New Mexico Mollusks, and Four Puerto Rican Waterbirds.

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of findings on petitions.

SUMMARY: The Service announces four 12-month petition findings for petitions to amend the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. For petitions to list the Oklahoma salamander, ten New Mexico mollusks, the white-cheeked pintail in Puerto Rico, and three other waterbirds in Puerto Rico the actions requested have been determined to be warranted but precluded by other actions to amend the lists. For the New Mexico ramshorn snail *(Pecosorbis kansasensis)* listing has been determined to be not warranted on the basis of a recently completed status survey.

DATES: The findings reported in this notice were made during the period from November 1987 to May 1988. Comments and information may be submitted until further notice.

ADDRESSES: Information, comments, or questions regarding the petition findings for the Oklahoma salamander and the New Mexico mollusks may be submitted to the Endangered Species Division, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 (telephone 505/766-3972, FTS 474-3972). Information, comments, or questions regarding the petition findings for the Puerto Rican waterbirds may be submitted to the Caribbean Field Office, P.O. Box 491, Boquerón, Puerto Rico 00622 (telephone 809/851-7297). The petitions, findings, supporting data, and comments are available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the addresses listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Steve Chambers at the Albuquerque Regional Office or Hilda Diaz-Soltero at the Caribbean Field Office (telephone numbers are listed above under "**ADDRESSES**").

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended in 1982 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), requires that, for any petition to revise the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants that contains substantial scientific or commercial information, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) should make a finding within 12 months of the date of receipt of the petition on whether the petitioned action is (a) not warranted, (b) warranted, or (c) warranted, but precluded from immediate proposal by other pending proposals. Section 4(b)(3)(C) requires that petitions for which the action requested is found to be "warranted but precluded by other actions to amend the lists" should be treated as though resubmitted on the date of such finding, i.e. requiring a subsequent finding to be made within 12 months. Such 12-month findings are to be published promptly in the **Federal Register.**

A petition from Mr. Tom R. Johnson representing the Missouri Department of Conservation was dated March 10, 1986, and received by the Service on March 19, 1986. It requested the Service to list the Oklahoma salamander, *Eurycea tynerensis,* as a threatened species. Status review for the Oklahoma salamander had already been initiated by a notice of review published September 18, 1985 (50 FR 37958). The petition cited a status survey conducted in Missouri, and provided some additional observations about distribution and status in Arkansas and Oklahoma in support of the action requested. A 90-day determination that the action requested may be warranted was reported in the **Federal Register** for January 21, 1987 (52 FR 2240). A 12-month finding that the action was considered warranted but precluded by other listing activity was made in 1987 and reported in the **Federal Register** for July 7, 1988 (53 FR 25511).

The information available concerning the Oklahoma salamander was reviewed again by the Service in March 1988. On the basis of the best scientific and commercial information presently available, the Service has determined that the action requested by this petitioner is warranted but precluded by other actions to amend the lists. Surveys by the Arkansas Heritage Program have failed to reveal the occurrence of the salamander from at least two sites where it formerly occurred in Arkansas. Uncertainties remain in the data from Oklahoma. A study projected for completion in late 1988 is being conducted in Oklahoma to examine the status and habitat needs of this salamander. Listing priority for this species remains low because of the existence of many higher priority species.

A petition dated November 20, 1985, from Mr. Harold F. Olson, Director of the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish, was received by the Service on November 22, 1985. It requested the Service to add the following eleven taxa of New Mexico mollusks to the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife:

(1) Socorro spring snail *(Fontelicella neomexicana)*
(2) Chupadera spring snail *(Fontelicella* sp.)
(3) Roswell spring snail *(Fontelicella* sp.)
(4) Alamosa spring snail *Tryonia* sp.)
(5) Pecos assiminea snail *Assiminea* sp.)
(6) Gila spring snail *(Fontelicella* sp.)
(7) New Mexico hot spring snail *(Fontelicella* sp.)
(8) Pecos spring snail *(Fontelicella* sp.)
(9) Koster's spring snail *Tryonia* sp.)
(10) New Mexico Ramshorn snail *Pecosorbis kansasensis)*
(11) Sangre de Cristo pea-clam *(Pesidium* sp.)

The Service determined that the petition presented substantial information that the requested action may be warranted, and reported the 90-day finding in the **Federal Register** for August 20, 1986 (51 FR 29671). That publication initiated formal status review for the last six species listed above, the first five having been subjects of the Service's earlier comprehensive invertebrate notice of review on May 22, 1984 (49 FR 21664). A 12-month finding concluded that the action requested was warranted but precluded, and was reported in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24485)

The Service has continued to review the status of these species and new information has become available. The action requested by this petitioner now appears to be warranted for all of the species except one, but precluded by work on other species having higher priority for listing. The exception is the New Mexico ramshorn snail *(Pecosorbis kansasensis)*, shown in a recently completed status survey to be more abundant than originally believed. The action requested by this petitioner in respect to the New Mexico ramshorn snail has been determined to be not warranted. According to the best scientific information available, the action requested by this petitioner has been determined to be warranted for the other ten of the species mentioned but precluded by work on species having higher priority for listing.

The third petition was contained in a memorandum from the refuge staff of Caribbean Islands National Wildlife Refuge. It was dated November 21, 1985, and was accepted for consideration on November 22, 1985. It requested that the Puerto Rican population of the white-cheeked pintail, *Anas bahamensis*, be added to the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife. The petition contained documentation of a serious island-wide decline in this species in Puerto Rico since the 1950's, from a former condition of being one of the most abundant waterfowl there. Habitat losses and illegal taking were suggested as causes for the decline. The Service found at 90 days that the petition presented substantial information that the requested action may be warranted, and the finding was reported in the **Federal Register** for August 20, 1986 (51 FR 29671). That publication also initiated formal status review for the white-cheeked pintail. A 12-month finding for this species determined that the action requested was warranted but precluded, and was reported in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24485).

Review of the available evidence by Service biologists continues to indicate that listing of this species is warranted, but precluded by work on species having higher priority for listing. The status of this duck is generally comparable to that of the three other waterfowl species now under petition for Federal listing from the Puerto Rican Department of Natural Resources (see next petition finding below). The action requested by this petition for the white-cheeked pintail has been determined to be warranted according to the best information available, but precluded by work on other species having higher priority for listing.

In a fourth petition, dated December 27, 1984, and received January 3, 1985, the Service was requested by the Department of Natural Resources of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to list the Puerto Rican populations of the following three species of water birds:
Caribbean coot, *Fulica caribea*
Ruddy duck, *Oxyura jamaicensis*
West Indian whistling duck, *Dendrocygna arborea.*

All three of the above waterbird species have declined significantly in Puerto Rico, but information on their status throughout the rest of their respective ranges and the relationships between various island stocks is still sketchy. An administrative finding that the action requested may be warranted was announced in a **Federal Register** notice published on July 5, 1985 (50 FR 27637). Subsequent 12-month findings that the requested action was warranted but precluded by work on other species having higher priority for listing were reported in the **Federal Register** for August 20, 1986 (51 FR 29671) and for July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24485). The action requested by this petition for the three Puerto Rican water bird species was again determined to be warranted according to the best information available, but precluded by work on other species having higher priority for listing.

Section 4(b)(3)(B)(iii) of the Act states that petitioned actions may be found to be warranted but precluded by other listing actions when it is also found that the Service is making expeditious progress in revising the lists. Expeditious progress is being made in listing endangered and threatened species, and is reported annually in the **Federal Register.** The most recent progress report was published on July 7, 1988 (53 FR 25511). The Service also wishes to mention a recent petition finding of "warranted" for a petition to list five Texas cave invertebrate species. Dated February 8, 1985, and received February 12, 1985, the petition was from Mr. Patrick Hartigan on behalf of Travis Audubon Society. Twelve-month findings of warranted but precluded by other listing activities were announced in the **Federal Register** of August 20, 1986 (51 FR 29671) and July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24485). The warranted finding was incorporated in a proposed rule to list the species approved March 25, 1988, and published April 19, 1988 (53 FR 12787).

Author

This notice was prepared by Dr. George Drewry, Division of Endangered Species and Habitat Conservation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC 20240 (703/235–1975 or FTS 235–1975).

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*: Pub. L. 93–205, 87 Stat. 884; Pub. L. 94–359, 90 Stat. 911; Pub. L. 95–632, 92 Stat. 3751; Pub. L. 96–159, 93 Stat. 1225; Pub. L. 97–304, 96 Stat. 1411); Pub. L. 99–625, 100 Stat. 3500 (1986), unless otherwise noted.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened wildlife, Fish, Marine mammals, Plants (agriculture).

Dated: September 26, 1988.

Susan Recce,

Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 88–22828 Filed 10–3–88; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–M

Notices

Federal Register
Vol. 53, No. 192
Tuesday, October 4, 1988

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

National Animal Damage Control Advisory Committee; Renewal

In accordance with section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committtee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Agriculture has renewed the National Animal Damage Control Advisory Committee for a 2-year period.

The purpose of the Committee is to advise the Secretary concerning policies, program issues, and research needed to conduct the Animal Damage Control Program. The Committee also serves as a public forum enabling those affected by the Animal Damage Control Program to have a voice in the program's policies.

The Secretary has determined that the work of the Committee is in the public interest and is in connection with the duties of the Department of Agriculture.

For further information, contact C. Joe Packham, Acting Deputy Administrator, Animal Damage Control, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Department of Agriculture, Room 1624, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, 20090–6464, (202) 447–2054.

Done in Washington, DC, this 29th day of September, 1988.

John J. Franke, Jr.,

Assistant Secretary, for Administration.

[FR Doc. 88–22833 Filed 10–3–88; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–M

Soil Conservation Service

Lincolnshire Park Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Virginia

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of a finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Lincolnshire Park Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Tazewell County, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. George C. Norris, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 400 North Eighth Street, Richmond, Virginia 23240–9999, telephone 804–771–2455.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. George C. Norris, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The measure concerns a plan for controlling surface runoff of 2 acres in Lincolnshire Park in Tazewell County, Virginia.

The Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to Various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. George C. Norris.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular A–95 regarding State and local clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Date: September 19, 1988.

George C. Norris,

State Conservationist.

[FR Doc. 88–22744 Filed 10–3–88; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–16–M

Pulaski County Road Bank Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Virginia

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of a finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Pulaski County Road Bank Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Pulaski County, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. George C. Norris, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 400 North Eighth Street, Richmond, Virginia 23240, telephone 804–771–2455.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. George C. Norris, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The measure concerns a plan for seeding eroding road banks in Pulaski County, Virginia. The planned work will include the establishment of 34.6 acres of permanent vegetative cover by hydro-seeding and mulching.

The Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on