

certain specially trained officers and employees of the Forest Service, not exceeding 500, to have authority in the performance of their duties within the boundaries of the National Forest System:

- (i) To carry firearms;
- (ii) To conduct investigations of violations of and enforce section 401 of the Controlled Substance Act (21 U.S.C. 841) and other criminal violations relating to marijuana and other controlled substances that are manufactured, distributed, or dispensed on National Forest lands;
- (iii) To make arrests with a warrant or process for misdemeanor violations, or without a warrant for violations of such misdemeanors that any such officer or employee has probable cause to believe are being committed in that employee's presence or view, or for a felony with a warrant or without a warrant if that employee has probable cause to believe that the person being arrested has committed or is committing such felony;
- (iv) To serve warrants and other process issued by a court or officer of competent jurisdiction;
- (v) To search with or without a warrant or process any person, place, or conveyance according to Federal law or rule of law; and
- (vi) To seize with or without warrant or process any evidentiary item according to Federal law or rule of law.

(30) Authorize the Forest Service to cooperate with the law enforcement officials of any Federal agency; State, or political subdivision, in the investigation of violations of and enforcement of section 401 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 841), other laws and regulations relating to marijuana and other controlled substances, and State drug control laws or ordinances, within the boundaries of the National Forest System.

* * * * *

For Subpart C.

Richard E. Lyng,
Secretary.

Date: August 24, 1987.

For Subpart G.

George S. Dunlop,
Assistant Secretary, Natural Resources and Environment.

Date: August 21, 1987.

[FR Doc. 87-20122 Filed 9-3-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 910

[Lemon Regulation 577]

Lemons Grown in California and Arizona; Limitation of Handling

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Regulation 577 establishes the quantity of fresh California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to market at 283,781 cartons during the period September 6 through September 12, 1987. Such action is needed to balance the supply of fresh lemons with market demand for the period specified, due to the marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

DATES: Regulation 577 (§ 910.877) is effective for the period September 6 through September 12, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James M. Scanlon, Acting Chief, Marketing Order Administration Branch, F&V, AMS, USDA, Room 2523, South Building, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456, telephone: (202) 447-5697.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1 and has been determined to be a "non-major" rule under criteria contained therein.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory action to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act, and rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

This regulation is issued under Marketing Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910) regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona. The order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act (the "Act", 7 U.S.C. 601-674), as amended. This action is based upon the

recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee and upon other available information. It is found that this action will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

This regulation is consistent with the marketing policy for 1987-88. The committee met publicly on September 1, 1987, in Los Angeles, California, to consider the current and prospective conditions of supply and demand and recommended by an 11 to 1 vote a quantity of lemons deemed advisable to be handled during the specified week. The committee reports that the market is fair.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, and engage in further public procedure with respect to this action and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this action until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because of insufficient time between the date when information became available upon which this regulation is based and the effective date necessary to effectuate the declared purposes of the Act. Interested persons were given an opportunity to submit information and views on the regulation at an open meeting. It is necessary to effectuate the declared purposes of the Act to make these regulatory provisions effective as specified, and handlers have been apprised of such provisions and the effective time.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 910

Marketing agreements and orders, California, Arizona, Lemons.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR Part 910 is amended as follows:

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 910 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 910.877 is added to read as follows:

§ 910.877 Lemon Regulation 577.

The quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period September 6 through September 12, 1987, is established at 283,781 cartons.

Dated: September 2, 1987.

Ronald L. Cioffi,

Acting Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 87-20569 Filed 9-3-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

9 CFR Part 91

[Docket No. 87-115]

Ports Designated for Exportation of Animals; Deletion of Alex Nichols Agency

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: We are amending the regulations governing the Inspection and Handling of Livestock for Exportation by removing the Alex Nichols Agency, Glen Head, New York, from the list of ports that have export inspection facilities and are designated as ports of embarkation. This action is necessary because the facility no longer exists.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 5, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. William Parham, Staff Veterinarian, Import-Export Emergency Planning Staff, VS, APHIS, USDA, Room 810, Federal Building, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 20782, (301) 435-8695.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The regulations in 9 CFR Part 91, "Inspection and Handling of Livestock for Exportation," prescribe conditions for exporting animals from the United States. Section 91.14(a) of the regulations lists ports that have export inspection facilities and are designated as ports of embarkation.

On May 11, 1987, we published in the *Federal Register* (52 FR 17597, Docket Number 87-017) a document proposing to revise § 91.14(a) to remove the Alex Nichols Agency, P.O. Box 283, Glen Head, New York, from the list of ports that have export inspection facilities and are designated as ports of embarkation. This was necessary because the facility no longer exists. We did not receive any comments by the close of the comment period on July 10, 1987. We are therefore adopting the proposal as a final rule.

Executive Order 12291 and Regulatory Flexibility Act

We are issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12291, and we have determined that it is

not a "major rule." Based on information compiled by the Department, we have determined that this rule will have an effect on the economy of less than \$100 million; will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers; individual industries, Federal, state, or local government agencies, or geographical regions; and will not cause a significant adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Because the Alex Nichols Agency facility at Glen Head, New York, does not exist, removing it from the list of ports of embarkation will have no effect on the exporters. Alternate ports of embarkation are available in New York.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Executive Order 12372

This program/activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.025 and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with state and local officials. (See 7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V.)

List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 91

Animal diseases, Animal welfare, Exports, Livestock and livestock products, Transportation.

PART 91—INSPECTION AND HANDLING OF LIVESTOCK FOR EXPORTATION

Accordingly, 9 CFR Part 91 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 91 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 105, 112, 113, 114a, 120, 121, 134b, 134f, 612, 613, 614, 618, 46 U.S.C. 466a, 466b; 49 U.S.C. 1509(d); 7 CFR 2.17, 2.51, and 371.2(d).

§ 91.14 [Amended]

2. In § 91.14, paragraph (a)(8)(ii)(B) is removed.

Done in Washington, DC, this 1st day of September, 1987.

J.K. Atwell,

Deputy Administrator, Veterinary Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 87-20471 Filed 9-3-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 81

[Docket No. 76N-0366]

Provisional Listing of D&C Red No. 33 and D&C Red No. 36; Postponement of Closing Date

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is postponing the closing date for the provisional listing of D&C Red No. 33 and D&C Red No. 36 for use as color additives in drugs and cosmetics. The new closing date will be November 3, 1987. FDA has decided that this brief postponement is necessary to provide time for the preparation of documents that will explain the bases for the agency's decisions concerning the conditions under which these color additives may be safely used.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective September 4, 1987, the new closing date for D&C Red No. 33 and D&C Red No. 36 will be November 3, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gerard L. McCowin, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-330), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5676.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FDA established the current closing date of September 4, 1987, for the provisional listing of D&C Red No. 33 and D&C Red No. 36 by regulation published in the *Federal Register* of July 6, 1987 (52 FR 25209). FDA extended the closing date for these color additives until September 4, 1987, to provide time for completion of the agency's review and evaluation of the data concerning the drug and cosmetic uses of these color additives, and for publication of a regulation in the *Federal Register* regarding the agency's final decision on the petitions for the permanent listing of these color additives. The regulation set forth below will postpone the September 4, 1987, closing date for the provisional listing of these color additives until November 3, 1987.

FDA has essentially completed its review and evaluation of available information relevant to the use of these color additives in drugs and cosmetics. The agency has concluded that the drug and cosmetic uses of D&C Red No. 33 and D&C Red No. 36 are safe. Thus, the agency has decided to permanently list the color additives for these uses. New certification specifications are also being developed for these color additives.

The agency has not yet completed documents fully describing the bases for each of these decisions and setting forth detailed conditions for use. Therefore, FDA believes that it is reasonable to postpone the closing date for these color additives until November 3, 1987, to provide time for the preparation and publication of appropriate *Federal Register* documents. The agency intends to publish these documents as soon as possible. FDA concludes that this short extension is consistent with the public health and the standards set forth for continuation of provisional listing in *McIlwain v. Hayes*, 690 F.2d 1041 (D.C. Cir. 1982).

Because of the shortness of time until the September 4, 1987, closing date, FDA concludes that notice and public procedure on this regulation are impracticable and that good cause exists for issuing the postponement as a final rule and for an effective date of September 4, 1987. This regulation will permit the uninterrupted use of these color additives until further action is taken. In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(b) and (d)(1) and (3), this postponement is issued as a final regulation, effective on September 4, 1987.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 81

Color additives, Cosmetics, Drugs.

Therefore, under the Transitional Provisions of the Color Additive Amendments of 1960 to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Part 81 is amended as follows:

PART 81—GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND GENERAL RESTRICTIONS FOR PROVISIONAL COLOR ADDITIVES FOR USE IN FOODS, DRUGS, AND COSMETICS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 81 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 701, 706, 52 Stat. 1055–1056 as amended, 74 Stat. 399–407 as amended (21 U.S.C. 371, 376); Title II, Pub. L. 86–618; sec. 203, 74 Stat. 404–407 (21 U.S.C. 376, note); 21 CFR 5.10.

§ 81.1 [Amended]

2. In § 81.1 *Provisional lists of color additives* by revising the closing dates for "D&C Red No. 33" and "D&C Red No. 36" appearing in the table in paragraph (b) to read "November 3, 1987."

§ 81.27 [Amended]

3. In § 81.27 *Conditions of provisional listing* by revising the closing dates for "D&C Red No. 33" and "D&C Red No. 36" in paragraph (d), introductory text table, to read "November 3, 1987."

Dated: August 19, 1987.

John M. Taylor,

Associate Commissioner for Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 87–20380 Filed 9–3–87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–M

21 CFR Part 177

[Docket No. 86F-0075]

Indirect Food Additives; Polymers

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of Nylon 6/66 copolymer resins as the non-food-contact layer in multilayer film structures intended for use in the cooking and holding of food. This action responds to a petition filed by Allied Corp.

DATES: Effective September 4, 1987; objections by October 5, 1987.

ADDRESS: Written objections to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward J. Machuga, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–472–5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a notice published in the *Federal Register* of March 14, 1986 (51 FR 8898), FDA announced that a petition (FAP 6B3913) had been filed by Allied Corp., Morristown, NJ 07960, proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of Nylon 6/66 copolymer resins as the non-food-contact layer in film structures in which ionomer resins complying with 21 CFR 177.1330 of the food additive regulations are the food-contact surface. The multilayer film structure is intended for cooking and holding food.

FDA has evaluated the data in the petition and other relevant material. The agency concludes that the proposed food additive use is safe, and that the regulations should be amended as set forth below.

Because the Nylon 6/66 copolymer resin evaluated in this petition may only be used as the non-food-contact layer in multilayer film structures, and because it has significantly different specifications than the Nylon 6/66 that is currently approved for use in direct contact with food, FDA is amending § 177.1500 *Nylon resins* (21 CFR 177.1500) to clearly differentiate between the two types of Nylon 6/66. Nylon 6/66 resins that comply with § 177.1500, item 4.1, may be used in direct contact with food. Nylon 6/66 resins that comply with § 177.1500, item 4.2, may be used only as the non-food-contact layer in multilayer film structures.

FDA is also establishing a new food additive regulation, § 177.1395 *Laminate structures for use at temperatures between 120 °F and 250 °F* (21 CFR 177.1395), to specify the conditions of use for the new multilayer film structure with Nylon 6/66. The agency is also changing the section heading of § 177.1390 *High-temperature laminates* (21 CFR 177.1390) to read § 177.1390 *Laminate structures for use at temperatures of 250 °F and above*, to clearly differentiate the temperatures under which these packaging materials may be used. The agency also wishes to make it clear that adoption of new § 177.1395 does not revoke prior FDA opinions stating that other multilayer film structures may be safely used in contact with food.

The filing notice for this petition specified that the food-contact layer of the petitioned laminate would be ionomer resins complying with 21 CFR 177.1330. FDA determined, during the review of this petition, that the inclusion in new § 177.1395 of a limitation on the migration of residual *epsilon*-caprolactam monomer from the Nylon 6/66 resin to food obviates the need to list in the regulation the specific material that is to be used as the food-contact surface. Therefore, in addition to ionomer resins, other approved food-contact materials may be used as the food-contact layer with Nylon 6/66 in multilayer structures (see § 177.1395(b)).

In accordance with § 171.1(h) (21 CFR 171.1(h)), the petition and the documents that FDA considered and relied upon in reaching its decision to approve the petition are available for inspection at the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (address above) by