

Vessel	Number	Forward masthead light less than the required height above hull. Annex I, sec. 2(a)(i).	Aft masthead light less than 4.5 meters above forward masthead light. Annex I, sec. 2(a)(ii).	Masthead lights not over all other lights and obstructions. Annex I, sec. 2(f).	Vertical separation of masthead lights used when towing less than required by Annex I, sec. 2(a)(i).	Aft masthead lights not visible over forward light 1,000 meters ahead of ship in all normal degrees of trim. Annex I, sec. 2(b).	Forward masthead light not in forward quarter of ship. Annex I, sec. 3(a).	After masthead light less than 1/2 ship's length aft of forward masthead light. Annex I, sec. 3(a).	Percentage horizontal separation attained.
USS REEVES.....	CG-24						X	X	27

Dated and Approved: February 6, 1987.

John Lehman,

Secretary of the Navy.

[FR Doc. 87-3518 Filed 2-18-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-AE-M

32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972; Amendment; USS STUMP

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Secretary of the Navy has determined that USS STUMP (DD-978) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special functions as a naval destroyer. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 6, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Captain P.C. Turner, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Counsel, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Navy Department, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-2400, Telephone No. (202) 325-9744.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR Part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Secretary of the Navy has certified that USS STUMP (DD-978) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with 72 COLREGS, Annex I, section 3(a), pertaining to the location of the forward masthead light in the forward quarter of the vessel, the placement of the after masthead light, and the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights, without interfering with its special functions as a Navy vessel. The Secretary of the Navy has also certified that the abovementioned lights are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (Water), and Vessels.

PART 706—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, 32 CFR Part 706 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR Part 706 continues to read:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.

§ 706.2 [Amended]

1. Table Five of § 706.2 is amended by adding the following Navy ship to the list of vessels therein to indicate the certifications issued by the Secretary of the Navy:

Vessel	Number	Forward masthead light less than the required height above hull. Annex I, sec. 2(a)(i).	Aft masthead light less than 4.5 meters above forward masthead light. Annex I, sec. 2(a)(ii).	Masthead lights not over all other lights and obstructions. Annex I, sec. 2(f).	Vertical separation of masthead lights used when towing less than required by Annex I, Sec. 2(a)(i).	Aft masthead lights not visible over forward light 1,000 meters ahead of ship in all normal degrees of trim. Annex I, sec. 2(b).	Forward masthead light not in forward quarter of ship. Annex I, sec. 3(a).	After masthead light less than 1/2 ship's length aft of forward masthead light. Annex I, sec. 3(a).	Percentage horizontal separation attained.
USS STUMP.....	D-978						X	X	46

Dated and Approved: February 6, 1987.

John Lehman,

Secretary of the Navy.

[FR Doc. 87-3517 Filed 2-18-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-AE-M

32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea; USS TOWERS et al.

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy

is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Secretary of the Navy has determined that USS TOWERS (DDG-9) and USS COCHRANE (DDG-21) are vessels of the Navy which, due to their special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with certain

provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with their special functions as naval guided missile destroyers. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 6, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Captain P.C. Turner, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Counsel, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Navy Department, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-2400, Telephone No. (202) 325-9744.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR Part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Secretary of the Navy has certified that USS TOWERS (DDG-9) and USS COCHRANE (DDG-21) are vessels of the Navy which, due to their special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with 72 COLREGS, Annex I, section 2(a)(i), pertaining to the placement of the forward masthead light, without interfering with their special functions as naval guided missile destroyers. The Secretary of the Navy has also certified that the aforementioned light is located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Notice is also provided to the effect that USS TOWERS (DDG-9) and USS COCHRANE (DDG-21) are members of the DDG-2 class of vessels for which certain exemptions, pursuant to 72 COLREGS, Rule 38, have been previously authorized by the Secretary of the Navy. The exemptions pertaining to that class, found in the existing tables of § 706.3, are equally applicable to these two vessels.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on these vessels in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform their military functions.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine Safety, Navigation (Water), and Vessels.

PART 706—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, 32 CFR Part 706 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR

Part 706 continues to read:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.

§ 706.2 [Amended]

1. Table One of § 706.2 is amended by adding the following Navy ships to the list of vessels therein to indicate the certifications issued by the Secretary of the Navy:

Vessel	Number	Meters ¹
USS TOWERS.....	DDG-9	2.3
USS COCHRANE.....	DDG-21	1.5

¹ Distance in meters of forward masthead light below minimum required height. Annex I, § 2 (a) (i).

Dated and Approved: February 6, 1987.

John Lehman,

Secretary of the Navy.

[FR Doc. 87-3521 Filed 2-18-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-AE-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[A-1-FRL-3148-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Connecticut; Reasonably Available Control Technology for King Industries, Inc.

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Connecticut. This revision establishes and requires the use of reasonably available control technology (RACT) to control volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from King Industries, Inc. (King) in Norwalk, Connecticut. The required RACT control methods are add-on control equipment, process modifications, and recordkeeping. The intended effect of this action is to approve a source-specific RACT determination submitted by the State in accordance with commitments made in its Ozone Attainment Plan which EPA approved on March 21, 1984 (49 FR 10542). This action is being taken under section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 23, 1987.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the submittal are available for public inspection at Room 2313, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203; Public Information Reference Unit, EPA Library, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; and Air Compliance Unit, Department of Environmental Protection, State Office

Building, 165 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Conroy, (617) 565-3252; FTS 835-3252 or Lynne Naroian, (617) 565-3246; FTS 835-3246.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 11, 1986 (51 FR 25210), EPA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) for the State of Connecticut. The NPR proposed to approved State Order No. 944 as a revision to the Connecticut SIP. The provisions of the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP's) State Order define and impose RACT for King as required by section 22a-174-20, subsection (ee) of the Connecticut Ozone Attainment Plan.

A description of the revision and EPA's rationale for approving it were provided in the NPR and will not be restated here. However, EPA proposed approval with the understanding that King would submit additional emission reduction estimates and data as required by the DEP. The estimated percent of VOC emission reductions, from actual operating conditions of 1985, achieved by adding on control equipment and modifying processes are as follows:

- Install a condenser on Neutralization Reactors No. 1 and No. 3..... 79%
- Install scrubber on Sulfonation Reactors S101, S102, S120..... 60-65%
- Initiate certain modifications to Neutralization Reactor No. 2—addition of a condenser and discontinuation of the Lithium Carbonate Process..... 72-79%
- Install a continuous distillation process as a replacement of batch stills S-112 and S122..... 25%
- Initiate modifications to certain listed vessels and in process storage tanks—addition of condensers..... 58-96%

After extensive review of these estimated emission reductions by the State and EPA, EPA has determined that State Order No. 944 imposes RACT for the VOC emission points identified at King. EPA's analysis of these estimated reductions is provided in the technical support document prepared for this SIP revision. Copies of that document are available at the EPA Regional Office listed in the Addresses section of this notice. No public comments were received on the NPR.

Final Action: EPA is approving Connecticut State Order No. 944 as a revision to the Connecticut SIP. The Order was issued to King Industries, Inc. on April 18, 1986 by the Connecticut

DEP and requires VOC RACT controls at the facility.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by April 20, 1987. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, and Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Note: Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Connecticut was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Dated: January 27, 1987.

Lee M. Thomas,
Administrator.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart H—Connecticut

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642.

2. Section 52.370, is amended by adding paragraph (c)(37) as follows:

§ 52.370 Identification of plan.

* * *

(c) * * *

(37) Revisions to the State Implementation Plan submitted by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection on April 18, 1986 and February 3, 1987.

(i) Incorporation by Reference.

(A) State Order No. 944 for King Industries, Inc. dated April 18, 1986 which establishes and requires reasonably available control technology for the control of volatile organic compounds from this facility.

(B) A letter from the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection dated February 3, 1987 which states that the effective date of State Order No. 944 is May 28, 1986.

[FR Doc. 87-1958 Filed 2-18-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5580-50-M

40 CFR Part 60

[AD-FRL-3141-4]

Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources; Appendix A, Reference Methods; Miscellaneous Clarifications and Addition of Concentration Calculation Equations to Method 18

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Revisions and additions to Method 18 of Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 60 were proposed in the Federal Register on March 5, 1986 (51 FR 7585). This action promulgates the revisions and additions in order to clarify previous procedural and equipment requirements, and to include concentration calculation equations. The intended effect of these revisions and additions is to enhance the reliability of data produced by Method 18.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 19, 1987.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, judicial review of the actions taken by this notice is available only by the filing of a petition for review in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit within 60 days of today's publication of this rule. Under section 307(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act, the requirements that are the subject of today's notice may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by EPA to enforce these requirements.

ADDRESS: Docket. A docket, number A-85-23, containing information considered by EPA in development of the promulgated standards, is available for public inspection between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, at EPA's Central Docket Section (LE-131), West Tower Lobby, Gallery 1, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. William Grimley or Mr. Roger T. Shigehara, Emission Measurement Branch, Emission Standards and Engineering Division (MD-19), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone (919) 541-2237.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. The Rulemaking

This rulemaking provides revisions and additions to an existing test method in order to improve the reliability of data produced by the method. The rulemaking does not impose emission measurement requirements beyond

those specified in the current regulations, nor does it change any emission standard.

II. Public Participation

The proposed revisions and additions to Method 18 were published in the Federal Register on March 5, 1986 (51 FR 7585). Public comments were solicited at the time of proposal. To provide interested persons the opportunity for oral presentation of data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed procedures, a public hearing was scheduled for April 9, 1986, beginning at 10:00 a.m. The hearing was not held because one requested to speak. The public comment period was from March 5, 1986, to May 19, 1986. One comment letter was received concerning issues related to the proposed revisions and additions. The comments therein have been carefully considered and, where determined to be appropriate by the Agency, changes have been made to the proposed rulemaking.

III. Comments and Changes to the Proposed Rulemaking

The only comment letter received on the proposed revisions and additions was from a chemical manufacturing facility. The comments therein are addressed directly in this preamble, and no separate document to summarize those comments and responses has been produced. The following summary of those comments and their respective responses serves as the basis for the changes which have been made to the rulemaking between proposal and promulgation.

The first comment dealt with Section 5.1.7 of Method 18. The commenter suggested that the number and sizes of the syringes not be limited to those specified.

The Agency believes that the sizes specified will cover all method requirements. However, the Agency agrees that other sizes may also be appropriate, and the section has been revised with wording to that effect.

The second comment dealt with Section 5.1.19. The commenter felt that the specification should be changed to also allow probe placement at any point not less than 1 meter from the stack walls.

The Agency agrees with the comment, and the section has been revised accordingly. Similar wording in Sections 5.3.1.1, 5.3.1.2, 7.1.1.2, 7.2.2, 7.3.2, and 7.4.2 has also been revised in consideration of this comment.

The third comment dealt with Section 5.2.4. The commenter suggested that in order to eliminate controversy, the term