

	Approved State NPDES permit program	Approved to regulate Federal facilities	Approved State pretreatment program
Montana	06/10/74	06/23/81	
Nebraska	06/12/74	11/02/79	09/07/84
Nevada	09/19/75	08/31/78	
New Jersey	04/13/82	04/13/82	04/13/82
New York	10/28/75	06/13/80	
North Carolina	10/19/75	09/28/84	06/14/82
North Dakota	06/13/75		
Ohio	03/11/74	01/28/83	07/27/83
Oregon	09/26/73	03/02/79	03/12/81
Pennsylvania	06/30/78	06/30/78	
Rhode Island	09/17/84	09/17/84	09/17/84
South Carolina	06/10/75	09/26/80	04/09/82
Tennessee	12/28/77		08/10/83
Vermont	03/11/74		03/16/82
Virginia Islands	06/30/76		
Virginia	03/31/75	02/09/82	
Washington	11/14/73		09/30/86
West Virginia	05/10/82	05/10/82	05/10/82
Wisconsin	02/04/74	11/26/79	12/24/80
Wyoming	01/30/75	05/18/81	

¹ Denotes Approved State General Permit Program.

IV. Review Executive Order 12291 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the review requirements of Executive Order 12291 pursuant to section 8(b) of that Order.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, EPA is required to prepare a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for all rules which may have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Pursuant to section 605(d) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), I certify that this State General Permits Programs will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Approval of the Minnesota NPDES State General Permits Programs establishes no new substantive requirements, nor does it alter the regulatory control over any industrial category. Approval of the Minnesota NPDES State General Permits Programs merely provides a simplified administrative process.

Frank M. Covington,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 87-28756 Filed 12-14-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6550-50-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Agreement(s) Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, DC Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10325. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days after the date of

the Federal Register in which this notice appears. The requirements for comments are found in § 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 202-009831-006

Title: New Zealand/U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Shipping Lines Rate Agreement

Parties: PACE Line, Columbus Line

Synopsis: The proposed amendment would require the unanimous consent of all parties entitled to vote for any amendment or modification to the agreement and would permit the parties to assess cargo interests for the cost of providing alternate port service at discharge ports. The parties have requested a shortened review period.

Agreements No.:

202-010270-024

202-010656-024

Title:

(1) Gulf-European Freight Association Agreement

(2) North Europe-U.S. Gulf Freight Association Agreement

Parties (1) & (2):

Compagnie Generale Maritime (CGM)
Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc.
Gulf Container Lines (GCL), B.V.
Hapag-Lloyd AG
Sea-Land Service, Inc.
P & OCL (Trans Freight Lines) Limited
Nedlloyd Lijnen, B.V.

Synopsis: The proposed amendments would permit the parties to use loyalty contracts in conformity with U.S. antitrust laws and would provide that no member may use such a contract except as agreed by the associations, whether by exercise of independent action or otherwise.

Agreements No.:

202-010636-028

202-010637-025

Title:

(1) U.S. Atlantic-North Europe Conference

(2) North Europe-U.S. Atlantic Conference

Parties (1) & (2):

Atlantic Container Line, B.V.
Dart-ML Limited
Hapag-Lloyd AG
Sea-Land Service, Inc.
Gulf Container Lines (GCL), B.V.
P & OCL (Trans Freight Lines) Limited
Compagnie Generale Maritime (CGM)
Nedlloyd Lijnen, B.V.

Synopsis: The proposed amendments would permit the parties to use loyalty contracts in conformity with U.S. antitrust laws and would provide that

no member may use such a contract except as agreed by the conferences, whether by exercise of independent action or otherwise.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: December 9, 1987.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87-28693 Filed 12-14-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies; John E. Schilling et al.

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than December 31, 1987.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (James M. Lyon, Vice President) 250 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480:

1. John E. Schilling, Duluth, Minnesota, to acquire 25 percent; Eugene V. Stowell, Cloquet, Minnesota, to acquire 25 percent; Joseph L. Bullyan, Duluth, Minnesota, to acquire 25 percent; and William J. Gravel, Duluth, Minnesota, to acquire 25 percent of the voting shares of Floodwood Agency, Inc., Floodwood, Minnesota, and thereby indirectly acquire First State Bank, Floodwood, Minnesota.

2. James E. Berkely, Stockton, Kansas; to acquire 2.26 percent of the voting shares of Western Bancshares, Inc., Stockton, Kansas, and thereby indirectly acquire Rooks County State Bank, Stockton, Kansas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 9, 1987.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 87-28695 Filed 12-14-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities; U.S. Bancorp

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than December 31, 1987.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Harry W. Green, Vice President) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *U.S. Bancorp*, Portland, Oregon; to acquire Sheppard Financial Services, Inc., Seattle, Washington, and thereby engage in investment advisory services pursuant to § 225.25(b)(4)(ii) and (iii); and providing securities brokerage services pursuant to § 225.25(b)(15) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 9, 1987.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 87-28696 Filed 12-14-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 87F-0329]

Filing of Food Additive Petition; Diversey Wyandotte Corp.

AGENCY: The Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Diversey Wyandotte Corp. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of potassium permanganate, sodium lauryl sulfate, magnesium oxide, trisodium phosphate, and sodium hypochlorite, with potassium bromide as an optional ingredient, as components of a sanitizing solution to be used on food-contact surfaces.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hortense S. Macon, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5)), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B4020) has been filed by Diversey Wyandotte Corp., 1532 Biddle Ave., Wyandotte, MI 48192, proposing that § 178.1010 *Sanitizing solutions* (21 CFR 178.1010) be amended to provide for the safe use of potassium permanganate, sodium lauryl sulfate, magnesium oxide, trisodium phosphate, and sodium hypochlorite, with potassium bromide as an optional ingredient, as components of a sanitizing solution to be used on food-contact surfaces.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the

evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: December 7, 1987.

Richard J. Ronk,

Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 87-28714 Filed 12-14-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

[Docket No. 87F-0360]

Filing of Food Additive Petition; Union Carbide Corp.

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Union Carbide Corp. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of polyoxyethylene grafted polydimethylsiloxane as an extrusion aid in the production of olefin polymers for use in contact with food.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hortense S. Macon, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5)), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B4041) has been filed by Union Carbide Corp., Bound Brook, NJ 08805, proposing that § 177.1520 *Olefin polymers* (21 CFR 177.1520) be amended to provide for the safe use of polyoxyethylene grafted polydimethylsiloxane as an extrusion aid in the production of olefin polymers for use in contact with food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: December 1, 1987.

Richard J. Ronk,

Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 87-28713 Filed 12-14-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

[Docket No. 87M-0374]

Vision Care/3M; Premarket Approval of 3M Fluoropolymer (Fluorococon A) Contact Lens**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing its approval of the application by VisionCare/3M, St. Paul, MN, for premarket approval, under the Medical Device Amendments of 1976, of the spherical 3M Fluoropolymer (fluorococon A) Contact Lens. After reviewing the recommendation of the Ophthalmic Devices Panel, FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) notified the applicant of the approval of the application.

DATE: Petitions for administrative review by January 14, 1988.

ADDRESS: Written requests for copies of the summary of safety and effectiveness data and petitions for administrative review to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David M. Whipple, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ-460), Food and Drug Administration, 8757 Georgia Ave., Silver Spring, MD 20910, 301-427-7940.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 26, 1987, Vision Care/3M, St. Paul, MN 55144, submitted to CDRH an application for premarket approval of the 3M Fluoropolymer (fluorococon A) Contact Lens. The rigid gas permeable lens is indicated for daily wear for the correction of visual acuity in non-aphakic persons with nondiseased eyes that are myopic and for the correction of refractive or corneal astigmatism of 2.00 diopters (D) or less that does not interfere with visual acuity. The lens ranges in powers from -0.25 D to -7.00 D and is to be disinfected using the chemical lens care system specified in the approved labeling.

On July 24, 1987, the Ophthalmic Devices Panel, an FDA advisory committee, reviewed and recommended approval of the application. On October 30, 1987, CDRH approved the application by a letter to the applicant from the Director of the Office of Device Evaluation, CDRH.

A summary of the safety and effectiveness data on which CDRH based its approval is on file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and is available from that office upon written request. Requests should be identified with the name of the

device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

A copy of all approved labeling is available for public inspection at CDRH—contact David M. Whipple (HFZ-460), address above.

The labeling of the contact lens states that the lens is to be used only with certain solutions for disinfection and other purposes. The restrictive labeling informs new users that they must avoid using certain products, such as solutions intended for use with hard contact lenses only. The restrictive labeling needs to be updated periodically, however, to refer to new lens solutions that CDRH approves for use with approved contact lenses made of polymers other than polymethylmethacrylate, to comply with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*), and regulations thereunder, and with the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41-58), as amended. Accordingly, whenever CDRH publishes a notice in the Federal Register of approval of a new solution for use with an approved lens, each contact lens manufacturer or PMA holder shall correct its labeling to refer to the new solution at the next printing or at any other time CDRH prescribes by letter to the applicant.

Opportunity for Administrative Review

Section 515(d)(3) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(d)(3)) authorizes any interested person to petition, under section 515(g) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(g)), for administrative review of CDRH's decision to approve this application. A petitioner may request either a formal hearing under Part 12 (21 CFR Part 12) of FDA's administrative practices and procedures regulations or a review of the application and CDRH's action by an independent advisory committee of experts. A petition is to be in the form of a petition for reconsideration under § 10.33(b) (21 CFR 10.33(b)). A petitioner shall identify the form of review requested (hearing or independent advisory committee) and shall submit with the petition supporting data and information showing that there is a genuine and substantial issue of material fact for resolution through administrative review. After reviewing the petition, FDA will decide whether to grant or deny the petition and will publish a notice of its decision in the Federal Register. If FDA grants the petition, the notice will state the issue to be reviewed, the form of review to be used, the persons who may participate in the review, the time and place where the review will occur, and other details.

Petitioners may, at any time on or before January 14, 1988, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) two copies of each petition and supporting data and information, identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received petitions may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

This notice is issued under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 515(d), 520(h), 90 Stat. 554-555, 571 (21 U.S.C. 360e(d), 360j(h))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 5.10) and redelegated to the Director, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (21 CFR 5.53).

Dated: December 7, 1987.

John C. Villforth,

Director, Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

[FR Doc. 87-28715 Filed 12-14-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Land Management**

[ID-050-08-4341-14]

Emergency Closure of Public Lands in the Shoshone District; Cowcatcher Ridge East of City of Hailey in Blaine County, ID

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public lands closure.

SUMMARY: Effective immediately through June 30, 1988 all public lands burned in the Friedman Fire east of Hailey, Idaho are closed to motorized vehicles. The affected area includes approximately 424 acres of public lands along the upper west and southwest slopes of Cowcatcher Ridge. Signs have been posted to identify the affected area.

The legal description of the area is:

T. 2N., R. 18E., Boise Meridian
Portions of Sections 12, 13, and 24.
T. 2N., R. 19E., Boise Meridian
Portions of Sections 7 and 18.

The purpose of this closure is to protect soil and vegetation in an area burned in late September as a result of a plane crash.

DATES: December 15, 1987 through June 30, 1988.

ADDRESSES: Shoshone District Office, Monument Resource Area, P.O. Box 2B, Shoshone, ID 83352.