Change in Bank Control; Acquisition of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies; Donald D. Crader

The notificant listed below has applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than November 20, 1987.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis** (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166:

1. *Donald D. Crader and Nancee Y. Crader*, Marble Hill, Missouri; to acquire an additional 13.33 percent of the voting shares of Exlanco, Inc., Sikeston, Missouri, and thereby indirectly acquire Security Bank of Bollinger County, Lutesville, Missouri.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 2, 1987.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 87-25697 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

First Colonial Bankshares Corp.; Application To Engage de Novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than November 27, 1987.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago** (David S. Epstein, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. *First Colonial Bankshares Corporation*, Chicago, Illinois; to engage *de novo* through its subsidiary, First Colonial Trust Company, Pak Park, Illinois, in trust company activities pursuant to § 225.25(b)(3) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 2, 1987.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 87-25698 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Fleet Financial Group, Inc.; Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a) (2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a) (2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than November 27, 1987.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of Boston** (Robert M. Brady, Vice President) 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02106:

1. *Fleet Financial Group, Inc.*, Providence, Rhode Island; to acquire Fleet Real Estate Funding Corp., Columbia, South Carolina, and thereby engage in mortgage origination and servicing activities pursuant to § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 2, 1987.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 87-25699 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Agency Forms Submitted to Office of Management and Budget for Clearance

Each Friday the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) publishes a list of information collection packages it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The following are those packages submitted to OMB since the

last list was published on October 23, 1987.

Office of the Secretary

(Call Reports Clearance Officer on 202–245–0509 for copies of package)

1. Report of Capitalized Non-Expendable Equipment—0990–0081—This form is submitted by contractors when requesting reimbursement of costs incurred in the purchase of fabrication of capitalized nonexpendable equipment, or for reporting of inventory upon contract completion. The information is used by the Department to monitor and assure control of capitalized nonexpendable equipment. Respondents: State or local governments, Businesses or other for-profit, Non-profit institutions, Small businesses or organizations. Number of Respondents: 3,600; Frequency of Response: Occasionally; Estimated Annual Burden: 900 hours.

2. Recordkeeping Requirements for Government-owned/Contractor Held Property—0990–0015—The recordkeeping requirements established by the Department for Government owned/contractor held property are necessary for HHS to monitor Government property as required by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949. Respondents: State or local governments, Businesses or other for-profit, Non-profit organizations, Small businesses or organizations. Number of Respondents: 4,500; Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping; Estimated Annual Burden: 450 hours.

3. Federal Cash Transaction Report—0990–0078—This report, the Department's computerized version of the SF 272 is submitted by recipients of HHS grant awards who are paid through the Payment Management System. The Department uses the information to monitor advances and obtain disbursement information consistent with cash management principles established by OMB and Treasury. Respondents: State or local governments, Businesses or other for-profit, Non-profit institutions. Number of Respondents: 9,000; Frequency of Response: Quarterly; Estimated Annual Burden: 144,000 hours.

4. Request for Advance or Reimbursement—0990–0059—This form, the Department's computerized version of the SF–270 is used by grant recipients to request funds from HHS. Respondents: State or local governments, Businesses or other for-profit, Non-profit institutions. Number of Respondents: 6,997; Frequency of Response: Occasionally; Estimated Annual Burden: 20,991 hours.

Desk Officer: Shanna Koss.

Health Care Financing Administration

(Call Reports Clearance Officer on 301–594–1238 for copies of package)

1. Post Certification Revisit Report—0938–0044—This form provides a uniform formal depicting action accomplished and used as a follow-up to detect deficiencies reported on form HCFA–2567. Information from this form is used to make decisions concerning certification of health care facilities participating in Medicare. Respondents: State or local governments. Number of Respondents: 30,000; Frequency of Response: Annually; Estimated Annual Burden: 2,500 hours.

2. Fire Safety Survey Report Forms—0938–0242—These forms are used by the State agency to record data collected in order to determine compliance with individual conditions during fire safety surveys and report it to the Federal government. Respondents: State or local governments. Number of Respondents: 53; Frequency of Response: Annually; Estimated Annual Burden: 20,637 hours.

3. Information Collection Requirements Contained in 42 CFR 405.1202, 1221, 1223, 1228 and Conditions of Participation for Home Health Agencies—0938–0365—Home Health Agencies participating in Medicare are required to maintain this information in order to show compliance with published health and safety standards. Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit, Small businesses or organizations. Number of Respondents: 5,850; Frequency of Response: Annually; Estimated Annual Burden: 189,950 hours.

4. Hospital Survey Report Form—0938–0382—This survey form is an instrument used by the State agency to record data collected in order to determine compliance with the revised conditions of participation and report it to the Federal government. Respondents: State or local governments. Number of Respondents: 53; Frequency of Response: Annually; Estimated Annual Burden: 4,617 hours.

OMB Desk Officer: Allison Herron

Public Health Services

(call Reports Clearance Officer on 202–245–2100 for copies of package)

Health Resources Services Administration

1. Uncompensated Services Reporting and Recordkeeping—0915–0077—Health Care Facilities which have received funds under Titles VI and XVI of the PHS Act are required to provide prescribed amounts of care to persons unable to pay and to submit to the Secretary data and information which reasonably demonstrates compliance with this requirement. Individuals denied such care have a right to appeal that denial to the Secretary. Respondents: Individuals or households, State or local governments, Non-profit institutions. Number of Respondents: 4,041; Frequency of Response: Occasionally; Estimated Annual Burden: 1,649,948 hours.

2. HRSA Noncompeting Training Grant Application—0915–0061—Approval is requested to use the HRSA Continuation Training Grant Application for HSRA training grants and cooperative agreements to apply for noncompeting continuation awards. Respondents: State or local governments, Non-profit institutions. Number of Respondents: 680; Frequency of Response: Occasionally; Estimated Annual Burden: 17,170 hours.

Food and Drug Administration

1. Allocation of Color Additives—NEW—The regulation concerning presentation of data about the specific provisionally and permanently listed uses of color additives requests information which the Secretary will base (1) the determination of which use or uses of the additive shall remain or be listed, (2) allocation of allowable safe tolerance of additive. Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit, Small businesses or organizations. Number of Respondents: 230; Frequency of Response: Occasionally; Estimated Annual Burden: 230 hours.

2. Request for Certification of an Insulin Batch—0910–0181—Analytical data prerequisite for batch certification without which the batch cannot legally be distributed. Program is mandated by statute and is self-supporting through fees. Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit. Number of Respondents: 3; Frequency of Response: Occasionally; Estimated Annual Burden: 533 hours.

OMB Desk Officer: Shanna Koss

As mentioned above, copies of the information collection clearance packages can be obtained by calling the Reports Clearance Officer, on one of the following numbers: OS: 202–245–0509; HCFA: 301–594–1238; PHS: 202–245–2100.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections should be sent directly to the appropriate OMB Desk Officer designated above at the following address: OMB Reports Management Branch, New Executive Office Building, Room 3208, Washington, DC 20503, Attn.: (Name of OMB desk officer).

Date: November 2, 1987.

Raffie Shahrigian,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Administrative and Management Services.

[FR Doc. 87-25741 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 87F-03321

Filing of Food Additive Petition; Ausimont USA, Inc.

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Ausimont USA, Inc., has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of ethylene/chlorotrifluoroethylene copolymer in repeated use articles intended for use in contact with food.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vir Anand, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B4040) has been filed by Ausimont USA, Inc., Fluoropolymer Division, P.O. Box 2332 R, Morristown, NJ 07960, proposing that § 177.1380 *Fluorocarbon resins* (21 CFR 177.1380) be amended to provide for the safe use of ethylene/chlorotrifluoroethylene copolymer in repeat use articles intended for use in contact with food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: October 29, 1987.

Richard J. Ronk,

Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 87-25689 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

[Docket No. 87F-0326]

Filing of Food Additive Petition; Ciba-Geigy Corp.

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Ciba-Geigy Corp. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of 3,3'-[(2,5-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene)bis[imino(1-acetyl-2-oxo-2,1-ethanediyl)azo]]bis[4-chlor-*N*-(5-chloro-2-methylphenyl)-benzamide] as a colorant for polymers intended to contact food.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marvin D. Mack, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B4033) has been filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp., Three Skyline Dr., Hawthorne, NY 10532, proposing that § 178.3297 *Colorants for polymers* (21 CFR 178.3297) be amended to provide for the safe use of 3,3'-[(2,5-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene)bis[imino(1-acetyl-2-oxo-2,1-ethanediyl)azo]]bis[4-chloro/*N*-(5-chloro-2-methylphenyl)-benzamide] as a colorant for polymers intended to contact food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: October 23, 1987.

Fred R. Shank,

Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 87-25690 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

[Docket No. 87F-0331]

Filing of Food Additive Petition; Mitsui Toatsu Chemicals, Inc.

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Mitsui Toatsu Chemicals, Inc., has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of bis(p-ethylbenzylidene) sorbitol for use as a clarifying agent in propylene homopolymer and copolymer articles intended for contact with food.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew D. Laumbach, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b) (5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B4042) has been filed by Mitsui Toatsu Chemicals, Inc., 140 East 45th St., New York, NY 10017, proposing that § 178.3295 *Clarifying agents for polymers* (21 CFR 178.3295) be amended to provide for the safe use of bis(p-ethylbenzylidene) sorbitol for use as a clarifying agent in propylene homopolymer and copolymer articles intended for contact with food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: October 23, 1987.

Fred R. Shank,

Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 87-25691 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

[Docket No. 87F-0338]

Filing of Food Additive Petition; PQ Corp.

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administraion (FDA) is announcing that the PQ Corp. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of zeolite A in the manufacture of paper and paperboard for use in contact with food.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rudolph Harris, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B4030) has been filed by

PQ Corp., P.O. Box 258, Lafayette Hill, PA 19444, proposing that § 176.170 *Components of paper and paperboard in contact with aqueous and fatty foods* (21 CFR 176.170) be amended to provide for the safe use of zeolite A in the manufacture of paper and paperboard for use in contact with food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: October 29, 1987.

Richard J. Ronk,

Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 87-25692 Filed 11-5-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

Health Care Financing Administration

Medicaid Program; Hearing; Reconsideration of Disapproval of Portions of a California State Plan Amendment

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS.

ACTION: Notice of hearing.

SUMMARY: This notice announces an administrative hearing on December 8, 1987 in San Francisco, California to reconsider our decision to disapprove portions of California State Plan Amendment 85-19.

Closing Date: Requests to participate in the hearing as a party must be received by the Docket Clerk November 23, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Docket Clerk, Hearing Staff, Bureau of Eligibility, Reimbursement and Coverage, 300 East High Rise, 6325 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21207, Telephone: (301) 594-8261.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This notice announces an administrative hearing to reconsider our decision to disapprove portions of a California State Plan Amendment.

Section 1116 of the Social Security Act and 45 CFR Parts 201 and 213 establish Department procedures that provide an administrative hearing for reconsideration of a disapproval of a State plan or plan amendment. HCFA is required to publish a copy of the notice to a State Medicaid Agency that informs the agency of the time and place of the hearing and the issues to be considered. (If we subsequently notify the agency of additional issues which will be considered at the hearing, we will also publish that information in a notice.)

Any individual or group that wants to participate in the hearing as a party must petition the Hearing Officer within 15 days after publication of this notice, in accordance with the requirements contained in 45 CFR 213.15(b)(2). Any interested person or organization that wants to participate as amicus curiae must petition the Hearing Officer before the hearing begins in accordance with the requirements contained in 45 CFR 213.15(c)(1).

If the hearing is later rescheduled, the Hearing Officer will notify all participants.

The issue in this matter is whether California SPA 85-19, portions of which relate to the methods and standards proposed for determining whether an individual's resources are within program limits, violates section 1902(a)(10)(A) and 1902(a)(10)(C)(i)(III) of the Social Security Act.

In general, the Medicaid statute requires States to use the eligibility rules of the Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program in determining Medicaid eligibility of all aged, blind and disabled individuals and to use the rules of the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program in determining Medicaid eligibility of AFDC-related individuals (sections 1902(a)(10)(A) and 1902(a)(10)(C)(i)(III) of the Act. The only applicable exception to this requirement with regard to California's SPA 85-19 is that section 2373(c) of the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 (DEFRA) and the recently enacted Medicare and Medicaid Patient and Program Protection Act of 1987 provide that where a State used more liberal eligibility criteria than the cash assistance program in determining eligibility for individuals under its medically needy program, and three specified optional categorically needy groups, HCFA can take no adverse action against the State in the form of disallowances, penalties, quality control errors, etc., during a period beginning October 1, 1981 and ending February 17, 1989. This is known as the DEFRA moratorium.

On August 18, 1987 Pub. L 100-93 amended the moratorium established by section 2373(c) the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984. Among othe things, the amendment makes clear that the moratorium affords protection to State plan amendments (whether or not approved) as well as existing approved State plans. The moratorium is limited to the medically needy and the optional categorically needy groups described in sections 1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(IV), (V), and (VI). It also applies only to provisions which do not make *any individual* ineligible who would be eligibile except for that provision. Thus, provisions which are more restrictive in any respect than the cash assistance rules are not protected. However, the moratorium does not preclude HHS from disapproving State plan submittals which do not satisfy the requirements of the Medicaid statute, but prevents HHS from penalizing States for adhering to the terms of the material protected by the moratorium. Thus, although certain portions of California's amendment may be covered under a amended moratorium, the disapproval of these provisions remains proper. The State may, however, implement those provisions the Secretary determines to be covered by the moratorium during the period in which the moratorium remains in effect. The Secretary will be issuing instructions advising States of procedures for submitting moratorium issues.

In SPA 85-19, California submitted a Supplement 4 to Attachment 2.6A of its State plan. Supplement 4 sets forth the various exemptions from counting items as resources the State proposed to use in determining eligibility for the medically needy. Most of Supplement 4 was approved, however several items were not because they are either more liberal or more restrictive than allowed under the SSI program. A discussion of the specific items which were not approved follows.

Item (a)(1)(C) of Supplement 4

This item of SPA 85-19 proposes to inlcude as a principal place of residence, and therefore not countable as a resource, property in which the individual's spouse, minor child, or dependent relative continue to reside during the individual's absence. SSI policy property in which a spouse or dependent relative resides to be considered the principal place of residence, but specifically provides that such property will be the principal place of residence only if the individual is absent because he or she is institutionalized. HCFA has determined California's proposal is thus more liberal than SSI policy because it considers the property in question to be the individual's principal place of residence regardless of the reason for his or her absence.

Item (a)(1)(C) of Supplement 4 is protected by the amended DEFRA moratorium. However, because it