

standardized and is set forth in its entirety in a sample standardized Hearing Designation Order (HDO) which can be found at 48 FR 22428, May 18, 1983. The issue headings shown below correspond to issue headings contained in the referenced sample HDO. The letter shown before each applicant's name, above, is used below to signify whether the issue in question applies to that particular applicant.

Issue heading	Applicant(s)
1. (See Appendix)	B.
2. Air Hazard	C, D, and E.
3. Comparative	All applicants.
4. Ultimate	All applicants.

3. If there is any non-standardized issue(s) in this proceeding, the full text of the issue and the applicant(s) to which it applies are set forth in an Appendix to this Notice. A copy of the complete HDO in this proceeding may be obtained, by written or telephone request, from the Mass Media Bureau's Contact Representative, Room 242, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554. Telephone, (202) 632-6334.

W. Jan Gay,

Assistant Chief, Audio Services Division,
Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

Issue

1. If a final environmental impact statement is issued with respect to B (Rivera) which concludes that the proposed facilities are likely to have an adverse impact on the quality of the environment, to determine:

(a) Whether the proposal is consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act, as implemented by § 1.1301-1319 of the Commission's rules;

(b) Whether, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to (a) above, the applicant is qualified to construct and operate as proposed.

[FR Doc. 86-2796 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

By the Chief, Video Services Division.

1. The Commission, by the Chief, Video Services Division, acting pursuant to delegated authority, has before it the above-captioned mutually exclusive applications for a new commercial television station to operate on Channel 18, Butte, Montana.

2. The effective radiated visual power, antenna height above average terrain and other technical data submitted by the applicants indicate that there would be a significant difference in the size of the area and population that each proposes to serve. Consequently, the areas and populations which would be within the predicted 64 dBu (Grade B) contour, together with the availability of other television service of Grade B or greater intensity, will be considered under the standard comparative issue, for the purpose of determining whether a comparative preference should accrue to either of the applicants.

3. Each of the applicants proposes to operate from a site located within 250 miles of the Canadian border with maximum visual effective radiated power (ERP) of more than 1000 kilowatts. The proposals pose no interference threat to United States television stations; however they contravene an agreement between the United States and Canada which limits the maximum visual ERP of United States television stations located within 250 miles of Canada to 1000 kilowatts. *Agreement Effectuated by Exchange of Notes, T.I.A.S. 2594 (1952)*. Accordingly, in the event of a grant of either application, the construction permit shall be appropriately conditioned.

4. Except as indicated by the issues specified below, the applicants are qualified to construct and operate as proposed. Since the applications are mutually exclusive, the Commission is unable to make the statutory finding that their grant will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Therefore, the applications must be designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding on the issues specified below.

5. Accordingly, It Is Ordered, That pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the applications Are Designated For Hearing In A Consolidated Proceeding, to be held before an Administrative Law Judge at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine which of the proposals would on a comparative basis, better serve the public interest.

2. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issue, which of the applications should be granted.

6. It Is Further Ordered, That, in the event of a grant of either application, the construction permit shall be conditioned as follows: Subject to the condition that operation with visual effective radiated power in excess of 1000 kW is subject to the consent of Canada.

7. It Is Further Ordered, That to avail themselves of the opportunity to be heard, the applicants herein shall, pursuant to § 1.221(c) of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, within 20 days of the mailing of this Order, file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this Order.

8. It Is Further Ordered, That the applicants herein shall, pursuant to section 311(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 73.3594 of the Commission's rules, give notice of the hearing within the time and in the manner prescribed in such rule, and shall advise the Commission of the publication of such notice as required by § 73.3594(g) of the rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

Roy J. Stewart,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 86-2804 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

L. Lynn Henley et al.; Hearing Designation Order

In re applications of MM Docket No. 86-41:
File No.

L. Lynn Henley BPCT-850822KE
Virginia L. Fruits and BPCT-
Frederic O. Fruits. 851018KO

For Construction Permit, Opelika, Alabama.

Adopted: January 28, 1986.

Released: February 4, 1986.

By the Chief, Video Services Division.

1. The Commission, by the Chief, Video Services Division, acting pursuant to delegated authority, has before it the above-captioned mutually exclusive applications for a new commercial television station to operate on Channel 50, Opelika, Alabama.

2. On June 26, 1985, the Commission issued a Public Notice (Mimeo No. 5421) requiring all applicants for new broadcast stations to certify that they have obtained reasonable assurance that their specified transmitter sites will be available to them. The Fruits application does include a certification

Community Christian Television; Hearing Designation Order

In re applications of MM Docket No. 86-39:
File No.

Rosemary Wuenschel and BPCT-850924KJ
Kristen A. Kincaid d/b/
a Community Christian
Television.

Montana Western Corpo- BPCT-851107KF
ration.

For Construction Permit, Butte, Montana.

Adopted: January 28, 1986.

Released: February 4, 1986.

of site availability. However, the certification is qualified and the applicant indicates that additional agreements or understanding must be obtained before the applicant can give reasonable assurance comporting with the requirements of the Commission. Accordingly, the applicant will be given 20 days after the release of this Order to submit a clarifying amendment to the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

3. Except as indicated by the issues specified below, the applicants are qualified to construct and operate as proposed. Since the applications are mutually exclusive, the Commission is unable to make the statutory finding that their grant will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Therefore, the applications must be designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding on the issues specified below.

4. Accordingly, It Is Ordered, That pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the applications Are Designated For Hearing In a Consolidated Proceeding, to be held before an Administrative Law Judge at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine which of the proposals would on a comparative basis, better serve the public interest

2. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issue, which of the applications should be granted.

5. It Is Further Ordered, That Virginia L. Fruits and Frederic O. Fruits shall submit a clarifying amendment regarding the availability of its transmitter site, to the presiding Administrative Law Judge, within 20 days after this Order is released.

6. It Is Further Ordered, That to avail themselves of the opportunity to be heard, the applicants herein shall, pursuant to § 1.221(c) of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, within 20 days of the mailing of this Order, file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this Order.

7. It Is Further Ordered, That the applicants herein shall, pursuant to section 311(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 73.3594 of the Commission's rules, give notice of the hearing within the time and in the manner prescribed in such rule, and shall advise the Commission of the

publication of such notice as required by § 73.3594(g) of the rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

Roy J. Stewart,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 86-2803 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

[PRB-2]

Request by Lee Shoblom, London Bridge Broadcasting, Inc., Lake Havasu City, Arizona for Waiver of Certain Amateur Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Wavier Denied.

SUMMARY: The Chief, Private Radio Bureau, FCC, by letter denied the request wavier of §§ 97.110 and 97.113(b) of the Commission's Rules in order to permit use of petitioner's amateur station to gather news for broadcast over petitioner's low power television station. The wavier request was denied since its grant would set an undesirable precedent and because the proposed use of amateur frequencies as an adjunct to a television station operation did not comport with the character of the Amateur Radio Service.

DATE: January 31, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maurice J. DePont, Private Radio Bureau, Washington, DC 20554, (202) 632-4964.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 85-2788 Filed 2-7-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Agency Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Clearance

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget the following information collection package for clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

TYPE: Extension of 3067-0113.

TITLE: Declaration of Emergency of Major Disaster

ABSTRACT: Requests for a Presidential declaration of a major disaster or an emergency must be made by the Governor. The request must contain certain information to comply with statutory requirements. Affected public includes families, individuals, and public facilities of State and local governments.

Type of Respondents: Individuals or households; Non-Profit Institutions; State or local governments

Number of Respondents: 57

Burden Hours: 400

Copies of the above information collection request and supporting documentation can be obtained by calling or writing the FEMA Clearance Officer, Linda Shiley, (202) 646-2624, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472.

Comments should be directed to Mike Weinstein, Desk Officer for FEMA, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Rm. 3235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: January 31, 1986.

Walter A. Girstantas,

Director Administrative Support.

[FR Doc. 86-2809 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-01-M

Delegation of Authority to the Department of Labor

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

ACTION: Delegation of Authority to the Department of Labor.

Pursuant to the authority vested in me to exercise certain of the powers and authorities of the President with respect to Federal disaster assistance, I hereby delegate to the Secretary of Labor, subject to the general policy guidance and coordination of the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency:

1. The authority, functions and powers granted by section 407(a) of the Disaster Relief Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 5177(a), to provide assistance to individuals unemployed as a result of a major disaster;

2. The authority, functions and powers granted by section 407(b) of the Disaster Relief Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 5177(b), to provide re-employment assistance services under other laws administered by the Department of Labor to individuals who are unemployed as a result of a major disaster; and

3. With the concurrence of the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the authority to issue such rules and regulations as may

be necessary and appropriate to effectuate this delegation.

This delegation of authority supersedes the delegation of authority from James T. Lynn, Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to Peter J. Brennan, Secretary of Labor, appearing September 13, 1974, in 39 FR 33020.

DATE: The delegation of authority is effective on December 1, 1985.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen J. Keefer, Individual Assistance Division, Disaster Assistance Programs, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472; (202) 646-3658.

Dated: January 30, 1986.

Julius W. Becton, Jr.,

Director, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 86-2808 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-01-M

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

Great West Savings and Loan Association, Las Vegas, NV; Appointment of Receiver

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in 406(c)(1)(B) of the National Housing Act, as amended, 12 U.S.C. 1729(c)(1)(B) (1982), the Federal Home Loan Bank Board appointed the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation as sole receiver for Great West Savings and Loan Association, Las Vegas, Nevada on January 31, 1986.

Dated: February 5, 1986.

John F. Ghizzoni,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-2849 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6720-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Application for Certificate [Casualty]; Epirotiki Lines et al.

Notice is hereby given that the following persons have applied to the Federal Maritime Commission for a Certificate of Financial Responsibility to Meet Liability Incurred for Death or Injury to Passengers or Other Persons on Voyages pursuant to the provisions of section 2, Pub. L. 89-777 (80 Stat. 1356, 1357) and Federal Maritime Commission General Order 20, as amended (46 CFR Part 540):

Cosmos Cruises Maritime Company S.A. (d/b/a Epirotiki Lines), c/o Epirotiki Lines, Inc., 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10176

Vacation Travel Concepts, Inc., 955 N.E. 125th St., No. Miami, FL 33161

Dated: February 5, 1986.

John Robert Ewers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-2886 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

Application for Certificate [Performance]; Epirotiki Lines et al.

Notice is hereby given that the following persons have applied to the Federal Maritime Commission for a Certificate of Financial Responsibility for Indemnification of Passengers for Nonperformance of Transportation pursuant to the provisions of section 3, Pub. L. 89-777 (80 Stat. 1357, 1358) and Federal Maritime Commission General Order 20, as amended (46 CFR Part 540):

Cosmos Cruises Maritime Company S.A. (d/b/a Epirotiki Lines), c/o Epirotiki Lines, Inc., 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10176

Vacation Travel Concepts, Inc., 955 N.E. 125th St., No. Miami, FL 33161

Dated: February 5, 1986.

John Robert Ewers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-2889 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

[Docket No. 86-5]

Compagnie Generale Maritime and Intercontinental Transport (ICT), B.V. v. S.E.L. Maduro (Florida), Inc.; Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint filed by Compagnie Generale Maritime; and Intercontinental Transport (ICT), B.V. was served February 4, 1986. Complainants allege that respondent, a marine terminal operator, has violated sections 10(ds) (1) and (2) and sections 10(b) (11) and (12) of the Shipping Act of 1984, and sections 15, 16 First, and 17 of the Shipping Act, 1916 through misapplication of terminal tariff rates and charges for freight handling at its terminal facilities in Miami and Port Everglades, Florida.

This proceeding has been assigned to Administrative Law Judge Norman D. Kline. Hearing in this matter, if any is held shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 501.61. The hearing shall include oral testimony and cross-examination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the

matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and cross-examination are necessary for the development of an adequate record. Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be denied issued by February 4, 1987, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by June 4, 1987.

John Robert Ewers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-2779 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants; West Coast Air Freight, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that the following person has filed with the Federal Maritime Commission an application for license as an ocean freight forwarder pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act, 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718) and 46 CFR Part 510.

Persons knowing of any reason why the following person should not receive a license are requested to communicate with the Director, Bureau of Tariffs, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573. West Coast Air Freight, Inc., 105-107 Eucalyptus Drive, El Segundo, CA 90245. Officers: Josef S. Goralik, President; Tomas S-E Edlund, Director.

Dated: February 5, 1986.

By the Federal Maritime Commission.

John Robert Ewers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-2844 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Mahaska Investment Co.; et al.; Applications To Engage De Novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.