

Mt Pleasant, MI—Mt Pleasant Muni, VOR RWY 27, Amdt. 11
 Tecumseh, MI—Al Meyers, VOR—A, Amdt. 6
 Bemidji, MN—Bemidji-Beltrami County, ILS RWY 31, Amdt. 1
 Grand Rapids, MN—Grand Rapids Itasca County, MLS RWY 34 (Interim), Orig, CANCELLED
 Orr, MN—Orr Regional, NDB RWY 13, Amdt. 5
 Kansas City, MO—Kansas City Downtown, ILS RWY 19, Amdt. 18
 Lovelock, NV—Derby Field, VOR—A, Amdt. 2, CANCELLED
 Reno, NV—Reno Cannon Intl., VOR/DME RWY 34L, Amdt. 1, CANCELLED
 Jamestown, ND—Jamestown Muni, VOR RWY 12, Amdt. 6
 Jamestown, ND—Jamestown Muni, VOR RWY 30, Amdt. 7
 Jamestown, ND—Jamestown Muni, LOC/DME BC RWY 12, Amdt. 6
 Jamestown, ND—Jamestown Muni, NDB RWY 30, Amdt. 5
 Jamestown, ND—Jamestown Muni, ILS RWY 30, Amdt. 6
 Rugby, ND—Rugby Muni, NDB RWY 11, Amdt. 2
 Rugby, ND—Rugby Muni, NDB RWY 29, Amdt. 3
 Willistown, ND—Sloulin Fld Intl, ILS RWY 29, Amdt. 2
 Hebron, OH—Buckeye Executive, VOR—A, Amdt. 3
 Lancaster, OH—Fairfield County, VOR—A, Amdt. 6
 Lancaster, OH—Fairfield County, RNAV RWY 10, Amdt. 4
 Newark, OH—Newark-Heath, NDB RWY 9, Amdt. 3
 Newark, OH—Newark-Heath, RNAV RWY 27, Amdt. 3
 Shelby, OH—Shelby Community, VOR—A, Amdt. 4
 Toledo, OH—Metcalf Field, VOR RWY 4, Amdt. 8
 Toledo, OH—Metcalf Field, VOR/DME RWY 4, Amdt. 1
 Aberdeen, SD—Aberdeen Regional, ILS RWY 31, Amdt. 11
 Kingsville, TX—Kleberg County, NDB RWY 13, Amdt. 3
 Kingsville, TX—Kleberg County, RADAR 1, Amdt. 2
 Appleton, WI—Outagamie County, NDB RWY 29, Amdt. 4
 Appleton, WI—Outagamie County, RNAV RWY 29, Amdt. 4
 LaCrosse, WI—LaCrosse Muni, VOR RWY 13, Amdt. 25
 LaCrosse, WI—LaCrosse Muni, VOR RWY 36, Amdt. 26
 LaCrosse, WI—LaCrosse Muni, NDB RWY 18, Amdt. 13
 LaCrosse, WI—LaCrosse Muni, ILS RWY 18, Amdt. 14
 Ladysmith, WI—Rusk County, NDB RWY 32, Amdt. 1
 Platteville, WI—Grant County, NDB RWY 25, Amdt. 4
 Platteville, WI—Grant County, RNAV RWY 25, Amdt. 4

... Effective November 20, 1986

Bakersfield, CA—Meadows Field, VOR RWY 30R Amdt. 5

Miami, FL—Dade-Collier Training and Transition, NDB RWY 9, Amdt. 11
 Athens, GA—Athens Muni, LOC RWY 27, Orig
 Athens, GA—Athens Muni, NDB RWY 27, Orig
 Cairo, GA—Cairo-Grady County, NDB RWY 12, Amdt. 2
 Dalton, GA—Dalton Muni, LOC RWY 14, Amdt. 2
 Dalton, GA—Dalton Muni, NDB RWY 14, Amdt. 3
 Nantucket, MA—Nantucket Memorial, VOR RWY 24, Amdt. 10
 Nantucket, MA—Nantucket Memorial, NDB RWY 24, Amdt. 8
 Beatrice, NE—Beatrice Muni, VOR RWY 35, Amdt. 2
 Beatrice, NE—Beatrice Muni, NDB—A, Orig
 Lexington, NE—Lexington Muni, VOR RWY 14, Orig
 Lexington, NE—Lexington Muni, NDB RWY 14, Orig
 Islip, NY—Long Island MacArthur, ILS RWY 24, Orig, CANCELLED
 Islip, NY—Long Island MacArthur, ILS RWY 24, Orig
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, VOR RWY 4L/R, Amdt. 15
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, VOR RWY 13L/13R, Amdt. 17
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, VOR/DME or TACAN RWY 22L, Amdt. 4
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, ILS RWY 4L, Amdt. 6
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, ILS RWY 4R, Amdt. 28
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, ILS RWY 13L, Amdt. 13
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, ILS RWY 22L, Amdt. 21
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, ILS RWY 22R, Amdt. 6
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, ILS RWY 31L, Amdt. 6
 New York, NY—John F Kennedy Intl, ILS RWY 31R, Amdt. 12
 Shirley, NY—Brookhaven, VOR RWY 6, Amdt. 2
 Shirley, NY—Brookhaven, NDB—A, Amdt. 4
 Charlotte, NC—Charlotte/Douglas Intl, RADAR—1, Amdt. 18
 Clinton, NC—Sampson County, NDB RWY 6, Amdt. 4
 Raleigh-Durham, NC—Raleigh-Durham, ILS RWY 23R, Amdt. 2
 Wadesboro, NC—Anson County, VOR/DME—A, Amdt. 1
 Athens/Albany, OH—Ohio University, LOC RWY 25, Orig
 Athens/Albany, OH—Ohio University, NDB RWY 25, Amdt. 6
 Philadelphia, PA—Philadelphia Intl, ILS RWY 27L, Amdt. 5
 Washington, PA—Washington County, VOR—A, Amdt. 4
 Washington, PA—Washington County, VOR—B, Amdt. 5
 Moneta, VA—Smith Mountain Lake, VOR/DME RWY 23, Orig

... Effective October 10, 1986

Merced, CA—Merced Muni, VOR RWY 30, Amdt. 13

Merced, CA—Merced Muni, ILS RWY 30, Amdt. 8

... Effective October 2, 1986

Jacksonville, NC—Albert J. Ellis, NDB RWY 5, Amdt. 5

Jacksonville, NC—Albert J. Ellis, ILS RWY 5, Amdt. 5

Note at the end of Part 97:

§ 97.31 [Corrected]

The FAA published two amendments in Docket No. 25072, Amdt. No. 1329 to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (VOL 51 FR No. 179 Page 32783; dated September 16, 1986) under § 97.31 effective October 23, 1986, which is hereby amended as follows: Salt Lake City, UT—Salt Lake City Intl, RADAR—1, Amdt. 15 and Salt Lake City Muni 2, RADAR—2, Orig, are rescinded.

[FR Doc. 86-24136 Filed 10-24-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

15 CFR Part 399

[Docket No. 60851-6151]

Bromine Chemicals; Reduction in Export Control

AGENCY: Export Administration International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Export Administration maintains the Commodity Control List (CCL), which lists those items subject to Department of Commerce export controls. The following bromine chemicals are currently controlled for national security reasons under Export Control Commodity Number (ECCN) 5799D on the CCL: Dibromoethyldibromocyclohexane, dibromoneopentyl glycol, ethylenebis(dibromonorbornane-dicarboximide, methyl bromide, pentabromodiphenyl oxide, pentabromoethylbenzene, tribromocumene, bromine, bromine chloride, and zinc bromide.

In response to an exporter's petition, the Department of Commerce undertook a review of the rationale for the controls and decided to terminate the control of these chemicals on the grounds that the availability of these chemicals to controlled countries would no longer make a significant contribution to the military potential of those countries. This decision was made in consultation with the Departments of Defense, Energy and State pursuant to section 5(a) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended. These chemicals remain subject to export controls to Country Groups S and Z for foreign

policy reasons. To implement this decision, these chemicals are now being added to 15 CFR 399.2, Supp. No. 1, Interpretation 24, and, as a result, will be covered by ECCN 6799G.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Black or Patricia Muldonian, Office of Technology and Policy Analysis, Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230 (Telephone: (202) 377-2440).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Rulemaking Requirements

1. Because this rule concerns a foreign and military affairs function of the United States, it is not a rule or regulation within the meaning of section 1(a) of Executive Order 12291, and it is not subject to the requirements of that Order. Accordingly, no preliminary or final Regulatory Impact Analysis has to be or will be prepared.

2. Section 13(a) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. App. 2412(a)), exempts this rule from all requirements of section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553), including those requiring publication of a notice of proposed rulemaking, an opportunity for public comment, and a delay in effective date. This rule is also exempt from these APA requirements because it involves a foreign and military affairs function of the United States. Further, no other law requires that a notice of proposed rulemaking and an opportunity for public comment be given for this rule. Accordingly, it is being issued in final form. However, as with other Department of Commerce rules, comments from the public are always welcome. Written comments (six copies) should be submitted to: Joan Maguire, Regulations Branch, Export Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 273, Washington, DC 20044.

3. Because a notice of proposed rulemaking and an opportunity for public comment are not required to be given for this rule by section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), or by any other law, under sections 603(a) and 604(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 603(a) and 604(a)) no initial or final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has to be or will be prepared.

4. This rule removes a burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* by eliminating the requirement for a validated license to Country Groups Q, W, Y, PRC and Afghanistan. The reporting requirement for validated licenses has been approved by the Office of Management

and Budget under control number 0625-0001.

List of Subjects in 15 CFR Part 399

Exports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

PART 399—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, Part 399 of the Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR Parts 368 through 399) is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 15 CFR Part 399 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Pub. L. 96-72, 93 Stat. 503, 50 U.S.C. App. 2401 *et seq.*, as amended by Pub. L. 97-145 of December 29, 1981, and by Pub. L. 99-64 of July 12, 1985; E.O. 12525 of July 12, 1985 (50 FR 28757, July 16, 1985); Pub. L. 95-223, 50 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*; E.O. 12532 of September 9, 1985 (50 FR 36861, September 10, 1985), as affected by notice of September 4, 1986 (51 FR 31925, September 8, 1986).

§ 399.2 [AMENDED]

2. In Supplement No. 1 to § 399.2, Interpretation 24 is amended as follows:

A. In the list entitled "Organic Chemicals" add the following chemicals in alphabetical order:

Dibromoethyldibromocyclohexane
Dibromoneopentyl glycol
Ethylenebis(dibromonorbornanedicarboximide)
Methyl bromide
Pentabromodiphenyl oxide
Pentabromoethylbenzene
Tribromocumene

B. In the list entitled "Inorganic Chemicals Elements, Acids, Oxides, Hydroxides, Peroxides, and Halogen Salts, as follows" add the following chemicals in alphabetical order:

Bromine
Bromine chloride

C. In the list entitled "Other Inorganic Chemicals, N.E.S., as follows" and "Zinc bromide" in alphabetical order.

Dated: October 22, 1986.

Vincent F. DeCain,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.

[FR Doc. 86-24254 Filed 10-24-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 74

[Docket No. 84N-0150]

Color Additives; D&C Green No. 6; Uniform Specifications

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending its color additive regulations to establish a single set of specifications for all regulated uses of D&C Green No. 6. The agency is also removing the provision in the medical device regulations that bars the migration of D&C Green No. 6 from a suture to the surrounding tissues.

DATES: Effective November 28, 1986, except as to any provisions that may be stayed by the filing of proper objections; objections by November 26, 1986.

ADDRESS: Written objections to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rudolph Harris, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of March 21, 1986 (51 FR 9843), FDA proposed to establish a uniform set of specifications for D&C Green No. 6 for all of its regulated uses by rescinding the specifications (21 CFR 74.3206(b)(2)) that were established before April 1982 for the use of this color additive in sutures and by making that use subject to the specifications that the agency has established for all other uses of the color additive. As discussed in the proposal, FDA adopted the new specifications because they are more specific, based on improved methods, and more likely to assure the safe use of this color additive, and because it is more efficient for the agency and industry to operate under a single set of specifications.

FDA also proposed to remove the provision (21 CFR 74.3206(c)(3)) that bars the migration of D&C Green No. 6 from a suture to the surrounding tissues under conditions of use. FDA proposed this action because the restriction is not necessary to assure the safety or suitability of the use of D&C Green No. 6 in sutures. The agency gave interested persons until May 20, 1986, to submit written comments on the proposal.

No comments were received in response to the proposal. The agency is, thus, adopting the changes that it discussed in the March proposal.

The agency has previously considered the environmental effects of this rule as announced in the proposed rule (51 FR 9845). No new information or comments have been received that would affect the agency's previous determination that

there is no significant impact on the human environment and that an environmental impact statement is not required.

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the agency previously considered the potential effects that this rule would have on small entities, including small businesses. In accordance with section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the agency has determined that no significant impact on a substantial number of small entities would derive from this action. FDA has not received any new information or comments that would alter its previous determination.

In accordance with Executive Order 12291, FDA has previously analyzed the potential economic effects of this final rule. As announced in the proposal, the agency has determined that the rule is not a major rule as determined by the Order. The agency has not received any new information or comments that would alter its previous determination.

The agency's findings of no major economic impact and of no significant impact on a substantial number of small entities, and the evidence supporting these findings, are contained in a threshold assessment which may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (address above).

Any person who will be adversely affected by this regulation may at any time on or before November 26, 1986 file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written objections thereto. Each objection shall be separately numbered, and each numbered objection shall specify with particularity the provisions of the regulation to which objection is made and the grounds for the objection. Each numbered objection on which a hearing is requested shall specifically so state. Failure to request a hearing for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. Each numbered objection for which a hearing is requested shall include a detailed description and analysis of the specific factual information intended to be presented in support of the objection in the event that a hearing is held. Failure to include such a description and analysis for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on the objection. Three copies of all documents shall be submitted and shall be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Any objections received in response to the regulation may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. FDA will publish notice

of the objections that the agency has received or lack thereof in the Federal Register.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 74

Color additives, Cosmetics, Drugs, Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Part 74 is amended as follows:

PART 74—LISTING OF COLOR ADDITIVES SUBJECT TO CERTIFICATION

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 74 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 701, 706, 52 Stat. 1055-1056 as amended; 74 Stat. 399-407 as amended (21 U.S.C. 371, 376); 21 CFR 5.10.

2. Section 74.3206 is amended by removing paragraph (c)(3) and by revising paragraph (b), to read as follows:

§ 74.3206 D&C Green No. 6.

(b) *Specifications.* The color additive D&C Green No. 6 for use in medical devices shall conform to the specifications of § 74.1206(b).

Dated: October 15, 1986.

John M. Taylor,
Acting Associate Commissioner for
Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 86-24215 Filed 10-24-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

21 CFR Parts 172 and 189

[Docket No. 81N-0292]

Food Additives; Cinnamyl Anthranilate; Prohibition of Use in Human Food

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule; denial of request for stay of effective date; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is denying a request to stay the effect of a final rule that prohibits the use of cinnamyl anthranilate in human foods. This request was submitted as part of an objection to the final rule. FDA has concluded that the public interest would not be served by a stay of the final rule. In addition, the agency has evaluated the objection and finds it to be without merit. Therefore, this document confirms November 25, 1985, as the effective date of the final rule.

DATE: Effective date confirmed is November 25, 1985.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl L. Giannetta (HFF-334), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-426-5487.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of October 23, 1985 (50 FR 42929), FDA published a final rule that prohibits the use of cinnamyl anthranilate in human food. FDA received one objection to this final rule. This objection, from the Flavor and Extract Manufacturer's Association (FEMA), argued that an additional study on cinnamyl anthranilate was underway, and that scientific interest " * * * in establishing the context of biological thresholds for carcinogenicity is sufficient to merit further pursuit." FEMA requested that the final rule be stayed for 1 year. It did not, however, submit any new information, nor did it request a hearing.

I. Response to Request for Stay

Under section 409 (e) and (h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 348 (e) and (h)), an action to repeal a food additive regulation is effective upon publication. FDA may stay the effect of the action, however, if it receives a request for a hearing. Under the agency's procedural regulations (21 CFR 10.35(d)(1)), the agency may grant a stay of a challenged action when it finds that it is in the public interest to do so.

FDA decided to prohibit the use of cinnamyl anthranilate in foods after determining, based on all available evidence, that the addition of cinnamyl anthranilate to food would cause the food to be adulterated and subject to regulatory action. Because promulgation of the final rule constituted a finding by the agency that the action is in the public interest, a substantial showing to the contrary must be made to justify a stay (40 FR 40682, 40687; September 3, 1975). Under these circumstances, a stay of the final rule would be appropriate only upon a determination by FDA that the objection creates significant doubt as to the soundness of the agency's decision. If that were the case, the agency could find that a stay would be in the public interest.

In requesting a stay, FEMA does not allege that FDA's decision was inconsistent with the evidence before it. Instead, FEMA points out that there is no current industrial use of this ingredient, and that FEMA is conducting a metabolic study on cinnamyl