GCNM's argument that we cannot consider core analyses taken from wells outside the recommended formation to determine the *in situ* permeability. The core analysis used was taken from the Rudman #1 well, located a mere 790 feet east of the recommended area. The well is located in the tight formation area designated in Docket No. RM79-76 (New Mexico—6) which surrounds the recommended area on three sides. The cross referencing of well cores to determine the geology between such wells is a common industry practice. Furthermore, core samples were never taken from wells in the recommended area. We believe we can consider the best evidence available to determine compliance with the guidelines. The Commission also rejects GCNM's allegation that a pressure build-up analysis should have been performed. There is no such requirement in the Commission's regulations. In light of the location of the well from which the core sample was taken in relation to the location of the recommended area, and its use in conjunction with data from the Brannon Federal 28 #2 well, the Commission finds that the evidence in this particular case is sufficient to meet the requirements of § 271.703(c)(1)(i)(A).

The Commission also finds that Brannon has met the requirement of § 271.703(c)(2)(i)(B) that the stabilized production rate for wells completed in the subject formation, against atmospheric pressure, without stimulation, is not expected to exceed a production rate of 217 Mcf per day. GCNM asserts that the production rate never stabilized. In fact, the prestimulated production rate was zero. Even after acid stimulation was performed, the production rate was substantially below 217 Mcf per day. Production rates are initially above what the stabilized rate would be, so there is no basis to assume that the stabilized rate would exceed the guideline. Accordingly, the Commission rejects GCNM's arguments that the recommended area fails to meet the requirements of § 271.703(c)(2)(i) (A) and (B).

The Commission also rejects GCNM's assertion that incentive pricing for gas produced from tight formations is no longer in the public interest and that the Commission must require proof of necessity for incentive pricing. The Commission has stated before that it will not use the tight formation recommendation proceeding as a vehicle to reopen Order 99.[9] If GCNM seeks Commission review of Order 99, it should request the Commission to institute a rulemaking pursuant to § 385.207 of the Commission's rules of Practice and Procedure.

B. Exclusion of Areas Substantially Developed

New Mexico authorized infill drilling in the recommended area prior to its recommendation.[10] New Mexico's order authorizing infill drilling for the subject area retained the original 320 acre drilling units but allowed the drilling of an additional well on each unit. At present there are 21 drilling units contained in the proposed area. Prior to the issuance of the New Mexico infill order, wells had been completed on 14 of these units representing 66% of the available drilling sites. The Commission finds that this constitutes substantial development of the proposed area at the time the infill order was issued. Because the Commission believes this fact indicates that these portions of the formation could have been, and indeed were developed absent the NGPA section 107 incentive price, the Commission will exclude the 14 drilling units developed prior to July 1, 1979, from the tight formation designation.[11] The Commission otherwise adopts the New Mexico recommendation.[12]

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 271

Natural gas, Incentive price, Tight formations.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 271 of Subchapter H, Chapter I, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

PART 271—[AMENDED]

2. Section 271.703 is amended to read as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 271 reads as follows:

Authority: Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7101, *et seq.*; Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, 15 U.S.C. 3301–3432; Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553.

2. Section 271.703 is amended by adding paragraph (d)(180) to read as follows:

§ 271.703 Tight formations.

* * * * *

(d) *Designated tight formations.*

* * * * *

(180) *Dakota Formation in New Mexico.* RM79–76–218 (New Mexico—26).

(i) *Delineation of formation.* The Dakota Formation is located in San Juan County, New Mexico, in Township 25 North, Range 9 West, Sections 16, 17 E/2, 19, 20 W/2, 28 W/2, 29 E/2, and 30 W/2 NMPM; Township 25 North, Range 10 West, Section 25 W/2, NMPM.

(ii) *Depth.* The Dakota formation is defined as that interval at a depth of approximately 6,234 feet to 6,599 feet on the Induction Spherically Focused Log from the M.J. Brannon 28 No. 2 well. The average depth to the top of the Dakota formation is 6,400 feet.

[FR Doc. 84–25192 Filed 9–21–84; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 84–6717–01–M

[9] See Final Rule, Order No. 226, Docket No. RM79–76 48 FR 20573, May 13, 1982.

[10] New Mexico Order No. R–1670–V, issued May 22, 1979.

[11] The areas developed prior to the issuance of New Mexico Order No. R–1670–V and excluded from this order are found in Township 25 North, Range 9 West, NMPM, Section 17 West ½, Section 18 East all, Section 20 East ½, Section 21 all, Section 28 East ½, Section 29 West ½, and Section 30 East ½; and Township 25 North, Range 10 West, NMPM, Section 13 all, Section 24 all, and Section 25 East ½.

[12] *See* Docket No. RM79–76, (Colorado–1) FERC Stats. & Regs. [Reg. Preambles 1977–1981] ¶30,228 (1981), Order 124; Docket No. RM79–76, (Colorado–3) FERC Stats. & Regs. [Reg. Preambles 1977–1981] ¶30,224 (1981), Order 137; Commission Order Denying Rehearing, Order 137–A, 15 FERC ¶61,277 (1981).

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 1020

[Docket No. 76N–0308]

Diagnostic X Ray Systems and Their Major Components; Amendments to Performance Standard; Correction

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting the document that amended the performance standard for diagnostic x-ray systems and their major components by revising and adding requirements concerning computed tomography x-ray (CT) systems. In that document, a formula was inadvertently omitted in the codified material. This document corrects that error.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 29, 1984.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Sheehan, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ–84), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–443–4874.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 84–23154 appearing on page 34698 in the issue of Friday, August 31, 1984,

the following correction is made on page 34712: In the second column under § 1020.33 *Computed tomography (CT) equipment* in paragraph (b)(1), the following formula is inserted after "that is:"

$$CTDI = \frac{1}{nT} \int_{-7T}^{+7T} D(z)\, dz$$

Dated: September 18, 1984.

William F. Randolph,

Acting Associate Commissioner for Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 84-25202 Filed 9-21-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD 82-025]

Drawbridge Requirements; Correction

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a final rule on drawbridge requirements that appeared at page 17450 in the **Federal Register** of Tuesday, April 24, 1984 (49 FR 17450). The action is necessary to correct typographical errors and omissions and to conform place names to the usage of the Board of Geographic Names.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. A.T. Meschter, (202) 426-1380.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

PART 117—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, the Coast Guard is correcting FR Doc. 84-10537 appearing on page 17450 in the **Federal Register** issue of April 24, 1984, to read as follows:

§ 117.123 [Amended]

1. On page 17457, column three, the section heading "§ 117.12" is corrected to read "§ 117.123."

§ 117.141 [Amended]

2. On page 17458, column three, the section heading "§ 117.14" is corrected to read "§ 117.141."

3. On page 17459:

§ 117.147 [Amended]

In § 117.147(b), "railroad and highway bridge" is corrected to read "railroad bridge."

§ 117.171 [Amended]

In § 117.171(a), "Department Public Works" is corrected to read "Department of Public Works."

In § 117.171(b), "Yardmaster" is corrected to read "yardmaster."

§ 117.193 [Amended]

4. On page 17460, § 117.193 is corrected by changing "Bayfarm Island" in the second sentence to read "Bay Farm Island."

§ 117.215 [Amended]

5. On page 17461, § 117.215(a) is corrected by changing "from 6 p.m., the draw shall open" in the first sentence to read "from 6 p.m. to 6 a.m., the draw shall open."

6. On page 17463:

§ 117.253 [Amended]

In § 117.253(b)(4), column one, "safely" is corrected to read "safety."

§ 117.261 [Amended]

In § 117.261(a), "brige" is corrected to read "bridge."

In § 117.261(b), "small craft expected" in the fourth sentence is corrected to read "small craft are expected."

In § 117.261(g), "passage vessels" is corrected to read "passage of vessels."

7. On page 17464:

In § 117.261(k), "November 1, to May 31" is corrected to read "November 1 to May 31."

In § 117.261(u), "tug" in the third sentence is corrected to read "tugs."

8. On page 17465:

§ 117.273 [Amended]

In § 117.273, the section heading is corrected by changing "Canaveral Harbor Barge Canal" to read "Canaveral Barge Canal."

§ 117.287 [Amended]

In § 117.287(d), "Cortex" is corrected to read "Cortez."

9. On page 17466:

§ 117.291 [Amended]

In § 117.291(a), "from 8 a.m. 6 to p.m." is corrected to read "from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m."

In § 117.291(c), "West Hillsorough" is corrected to read "West Hillsborough."

§ 117.300 [Amended]

In § 117.300, "Laxahatchee" in the first sentence is corrected to read "Loxahatchee."

§ 117.335 [Amended]

10. On page 17467, § 117.335 is corrected by changing "two hours is given" to read "two hours notice is given."

11. On page 17468:

§ 117.355 [Amended]

In § 117.355, third line, "St. Simon's" is corrected to read "St. Simons."

§ 117.367 [Amended]

In § 117.367(b), "opended" is corrected to read "opened."

12. On page 17469:

§ 117.391 [Amended]

In § 117.391(b), column one, "Northwest Expressway Feeder" is corrected to read "Ohio Street."

§ 117.403 [Amended]

In § 117.403, the section heading is corrected by changing "Wasbash River" to read "Wabash River."

13. On page 17470:

§ 117.415 [Amended]

In § 117.415(a), "System Railroad bridge" is corrected to read "System railroad bridge."

In § 117.415(c)(2), "maintained in the position" is corrected to read "maintained in the open position."

§ 117.417 [Amended]

In § 117.417, "vessles" is corrected to read "vessels."

14. On page 17471:

§ 117.451 [Amended]

In § 117.451(c), "East Part Avenue" is corrected to read "East Park Avenue."

§ 117.453 [Amended]

In § 117.453, "S3197" is corrected to read "US90" and "from 9 p.m., to 5 a.m." is corrected to read "from 9 p.m. to 5 a.m."

§ 117.461 [Amended]

In § 117.461, "draws of S14 bridge" is corrected to read "draws of the S14 bridge."

§ 117.477 [Amended]

In § 117.477, "Archafalaya" in the section heading is corrected to read "Atchafalaya."

§ 117.491 [Amended]

In § 117.491(b), "open" is corrected to read "opened."

§ 117.509 [Amended]

15. On page 17472, § 117.509(a)(4) is corrected by changing "Vermillion" to read "Vermilion."

16. On page 17473:

§ 117.527 [Amended]

In § 117.527, in the first and second sentences, "Main" is corrected to read "Maine."

§ 117.529 [Amended]

In § 117.529, "Main" is corrected to read "Maine."

17. On page 17474:

§ 117.559 [Amended]

In § 117.559, "Wright" in the section heading is corrected to read "Wight."

§ 117.567 [Amended]

In § 117.567, "mile 24.4" is corrected to read "mile 18.5."

§ 117.585 [Amended]

In § 117.585, "Achushnet" in the section heading is corrected to read "Acushnet."

§ 117.587 [Amended]

In § 117.587, "from sunrise to 7:30 a.m., 9:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m., 1 p.m., 4:30 p.m., 6:30 p.m., and one hour after sunset" is corrected to read "from sunrise to 7:30 a.m., 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. 1 p.m., to 4:30 p.m., and 6:30 p.m. to one hour after sunset."

18. On page 17475:

§ 117.599 [Amended]

In § 117.599(a), "draws of the Northern" is corrected to read "draw of the Northern."

In § 117.599(b), "the drawn shall" is corrected to read "the draws shall" and "with six months" is corrected to read "within six months."

§ 117.601 [Amended]

In § 117.601, "USI" is corrected to read "S16."

§ 117.645 [Amended]

19. On page 17477, the section heading of § 117.645 is corrected by changing "Rouge River" to read "River Rouge."

20. On page 17478:

§ 117.655 [Amended]

In § 117.655, "US23" is corrected to read "Second Avenue."

§ 117.681 [Amended]

In § 117.681, "US90" is removed.

§ 117.705 [Amended]

21. On page 17479, § 117.705 is corrected by changing "shall be open" in the second sentence to read "shall open."

22. On page 17480:

§ 117.713 [Amended]

In § 117.713(b), "District Commander to so" is corrected to read "District Commander to do so."

§ 117.723 [Amended]

In § 117.723, "and Overpeck Creek" in the section heading is removed.

In § 117.723(a)(1), "Snake Hill" is corrected to read "Little Snake Hill."

In § 117.723(a)(3), "must shall open or signal" is corrected to read "shall open on signal."

§ 117.727 [Amended]

In § 117.727, "Brielle Township" is corrected to "Riviera Beach."

§ 117.815 [Amended]

23. On page 17484, § 117.815 is corrected by changing "mile 1.3" to read "mile 1.7."

24. On page 17485:

§ 117.821 [Amended]

In § 117.821(b), "Wrightsville Beach" is corrected to read "Wrightsville."

§ 117.835 [Amended]

In § 117.835, "Herford" is corrected to read "Hertford."

§ 117.841 [Amended]

In § 117.841, "Smiths" in the section heading is corrected to read "Smith" and "US117–S133" is corrected to read "S117–S133."

25. On page 17486:

§ 117.863 [Amended]

In § 117.863, "notice given" is corrected to read "notice is given."

§ 117.871 [Amended]

In § 117.871, second sentence, "ever 10 seconds" is corrected to read "every 10 seconds."

In § 117.889, "Siuslaw" is corrected to read "Suislaw."

§ 117.895 [Amended]

26. On page 17487, the section heading for § 117.895 is corrected by changing "Walluski" to read "Wallooskee."

§ 117.937 [Amended]

27. On page 17488, § 117.937 is corrected by changing "bridge tender's" in the last sentence to read "drawtender's."

28. On page 17490:

§ 117.991 [Amended]

In § 117.991(c), "on quarter mile" is corrected to read "one quarter mile."

In § 117.991(d), "detector bream" is corrected to read "detector beam."

§ 117.1003 [Amended]

In § 117.1003, "if a least 12 hours" is corrected to read "if at least 12 hours."

Dated: September 17, 1984.

T.J. Wojnar,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Chief, Office of Navigation.

[FR Doc. 84-25311 Filed 9-21-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

---

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Wilmington, NC Reg. 84-01]

Safety Zone Regulations: Upper Cape Fear River, NC

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: At the request of Old Wilmington Riverfront, Inc., the Coast Guard will close the Cape Fear River to all traffic from the Hilton Bascule Bridge down river to Cape Fear Towing during the period of the annual Riverfest raft race on Sunday, 7 October 1984. The regulations are intended to reduce river congestion and ensure the safety of the participants in the Riverfest raft race.

DATES: This rule becomes effective at 1200, on Sunday, 7 October 1984, and will remain in effect until 1600, on Sunday, 7 October 1984.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Kevin C. Olds, Chief, Operations Department, Coast Guard Marine Safety Office, Wilmington, North Carolina, Phone: (919) 343–4892.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 4, 1984, the Coast Guard published a proposed rule, COTP Reg. 84–01, concerning this amendment. In this notice interested persons were given until June 18, 1984, to submit comments. No comments were received. This is an annual event and no adverse comments were anticipated.

Drafting Information

The drafter of this notice is Lieutenant Kevin C. Olds, project officer for the Captain of the Port.

Economic Assessment and Certification

This proposed regulation is considered to be non-significant in accordance with DOT Policies and Procedures for Simplification, Analysis and Review of Regulations (DOT Order 2100.5). Its economic impact is expected to be minimal since the period of closure to river traffic will only be four hours. Vessel movement records indicate that commercial river traffic in this area of the Cape Fear River would be minimal during the period of closure and scheduling of commercial vessel movements can be adjusted if necessary to avoid the area during the closure times. The most impact, though non-

economic, will be to the pleasure boat operators who have in past years lined the riverbanks during past raft races. They have in the past created safety hazards and much congestion in this area of the river during the raft race. Based upon this assessment, it is certified in accordance with section 805(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), that this regulation, if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Also, the regulation has been reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12291 of February 17, 1981, on Federal Regulation and has been determined not to be a major rule under the terms of that order.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Security measures, Vessels, Waterways.

PART 165—[AMENDED]

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 165 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding a new § 165.T501 to read as follows:

§ 165.T501 Safety Zone.

(a) *Location:* The following area is a safety zone: The Northeast Cape Fear River from the Hilton Bascule Bridge down river to Point Peter and the Cape Fear River from Point Peter down river to Cape Fear Towing.

(b) *Regulations:* (1) In accordance with General Regulation in § 165.20 of this part, entry into or remaining in this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port.

(33 U.S.C. 1225 and 1231; 33 CFR 160.5. 49 CFR 1.46)

Dated: September 5, 1984.

D.L. McCord,

Acting CDR, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 84-25313 Filed 9-21-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

38 CFR Part 21

Post-Vietnam Era Veterans Educational Assistance Program; Change of Program

AGENCY: Veterans Administration and Department of Defense.

ACTION: Final regulation.

SUMMARY: This regulation, issued jointly by the VA (Veterans Administration) and the Department of Defense, states that all of the provisions of 38 CFR 21.4234 apply to veterans who are receiving educational assistance under VEAP (the Post-Vietnam Era Veterans' Educational Assistance Program) and who wish to change their program of education. This should eliminate confusion which has arisen in this area.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 27, 1984.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: June C. Schaeffer (225), Assistant Director for Policy and Program Administration, Education Service, Department of Veterans Benefits, Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20420 (202-389-2092).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On pages 13892 and 13893 of the **Federal Register** of April 9, 1984 there was published a notice of intent to amend part 21 to clarify the regulation governing changes of program for VEAP recipients.

Interested people were given 30 days to submit comments, suggestions or objections. The VA received no comments, suggestions or objections. Accordingly, the agency is making the proposal final without change.

The VA and the Department of Defense have determined that this regulation is not a major rule as that term is defined by Executive Order 12291, entitled "Federal Regulation". The annual effect on the economy will be less that $100 million. The regulation will not result in any major increases in costs or prices for anyone. It will have no significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

The Administrator of Veterans' Affairs and the Secretary of Defense hereby certify that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601-612. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this regulation, therefore, is exempt from the initial and final analyses requirements of sections 603 and 604.

This certification can be made because this regulation contains a technical change which does not affect basic VA policy. It will have no significant economic impact on small entitites, i.e., small businesses, small private and nonprofit organizations and small governmental jurisdictions.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for the program affected by this regulation is 64.120.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 21

Civil rights, Claims, Grant programs—education, Loan programs—education, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Schools, Veterans, Vocational education, Vocational rehabilitation.

Approved: August 8, 1984.

By direction of the Administrator.

Everett Alvarez, Jr.,

Deputy Administrator.

Approved: August 27, 1984.

Edgar A. Chavarrie,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense.

PART 21—VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AND EDUCATION

The Veterans Administration is amending 38 CFR Part 21 as set forth below:

Section 21.5232 is revised as follows:

§ 21.5232 Change of program.

In the administration of benefits payable under Chapter 32, title 38, United States Code, the Veterans Administration will apply § 21.4234 in the same manner as it is applied in the administration of Chapters 34 and 36. (38 U.S.C. 1641)

[FR Doc. 84-25237 Filed 9-21-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 10

Express Mail International Service to Norway

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Final action on Express Mail International Service to Norway.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to an agreement with the postal administration of Norway, the Postal Service intends to begin Express Mail International Service with Norway at postage rates indicated in the tables below. Service is scheduled to begin on October 23, 1984.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 23, 1984.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leon W. Perlinn [202] 245-4414.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By a notice published in the **Federal Register** on August 20, 1984 (49 FR 33025), the Postal Service announced that it was proposing to begin Express Mail International Service to Norway. Comments were invited on published