

Ventura County APCD

Rule 74.2—Architectural Coatings

April 11, 1983 Submittals**El Dorado County APCD**

Rule 214—Transfer of Gasoline into

Stationary Storage Containers

Rule 215—Architectural Coatings

Rule 216—Exemptions

Rule 217—Identification of Coatings

Rule 218—Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Operations

Rule 219—Emission Control Requirements

Rule 220—Exemptions to Rule 218 and Rule 219

Kern County APCD

Rule 414.1—Valves, Pressure Relief Valves and Flanges at Petroleum Refineries and Chemical Plants

Kings County APCD

Rule 410.1—Architectural Coatings

Madera County APCD

Rule 409—Architectural Coatings

Rule 410—Manufactured Metal Parts and Products Surface Coating Emissions

Rule 417—Gasoline Transfer into Stationary Storage Containers

Rule 418—Gasoline Transfer into Vehicle Fuel Tanks

Rule 419—Organic Liquid Loading

July 19, 1983 Submittals**Kern County APCD**

Rule 410.1—Architectural Coatings

Merced County APCD

Rule 409.1—Architectural Coatings

Monterey Bay Unified APCD

Rule 426—Architectural Coatings

Placer County APCD (Mountain Counties Air Basin Portion)

Rule 218—Architectural Coatings

EPA also takes final action to remove the condition of plan approval which required the State of California to submit revised regulations for the testing of gasoline tank trucks.

Regulatory Process

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under the Clean Air Act, any petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by (60 days from today). This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements.

Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of California was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxides, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations.

Authority: Sections 110, 129, 171-178, and 301(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410, 7429, 7501 to 7508, and 7601 (a)).

Dated: April 28, 1984.

William D. Ruckelshaus,
Administrator.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Subpart F of Part 52 Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart F—California

1. Section 52.220 paragraph (c) is amended by adding subparagraphs (127) (i)(C), (ii)(B), (iv)(B), (vii)(B) and (viii)(B), (137) (i)(B), (ii)(B), (ix) and (x), (138) (ii)(B), (v)(B), (viii) and (ix), and (149)(i) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

- (c) * * *
- (127) * * *
- (i) * * *
- (C) Amended Rules 13 and 29.
- (ii) * * *
- (B) Amended Rule 409.1.
- (iv) * * *
- (B) Amended Rule 424.
- (vii) * * *
- (B) New or amended Rules 461, 1102, and 1102.1.
- (viii) * * *
- (B) Amended Rule 74.2.
- (137) * * *
- (i) * * *
- (B) Amended Rule 410.1.
- (ii) * * *
- (B) Amended Rule 409.1.
- (ix) Monterey Bay Unified APCD.
- (A) Amended Rule 426.
- (x) Placer County APCD (Mountain Counties Air Basin portion).
- (A) Amended Rule 218.
- (138) * * *
- (ii) * * *
- (B) Amended Rules 214-220.
- (v) * * *
- (B) Amended Rules 409, 410, and 417-419.
- (viii) Kern County APCD.
- (A) Amended Rule 414.1.
- (ix) Kings County APCD.

(A) Amended Rule 410.1.

(149) Revised regulations for the following APCD's submitted on January 20, 1983 by the Governor's designee.

(i) California State.

(A) New or amended California statewide regulations: Test Procedures for Determining the Efficiency of Gasoline Vapor Recovery Systems at Service Stations; Certification and Test Procedures for Vapor Recovery Systems of Gasoline Delivery Tanks; Test Procedure for Gasoline Vapor Leak Detection Using Combustible Gas Detector.

2. Section 52.232 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (a) (14) (i).

§ 52.232 Part D conditional approval.

(a) * * *

(14) * * *

(i) [Reserved]

[FR Doc. 84-11924 Filed 5-2-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-9-FRL 2580-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: Today's notice takes final action to approve revisions to the rules of several air pollution control districts (APCD's). These revisions were submitted by the California Air Resources Board (ARB) as revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions generally are administrative and retain the previous emission control requirements. EPA reviewed these rules with respect to the Clean Air Act and determined that they should be approved.

DATE: This action is effective July 2, 1984, unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the revisions is available for public inspection during normal business hours at the EPA Region 9 office and at the following locations.

California Air Resources Board, 1102 "Q" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Office of the Federal Register, 1100 "L" Street, NW., Room 8401, Washington, D.C.

Public Information Reference Unit, EPA Library, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Rarick, Chief, State Implementation Plan Section, Air Programs Branch, Air Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 974-7641.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The California Air Resources Board submitted as SIP revisions the following rules on October 27, 1983:

Bay Area Air Quality Management District

REGULATION 6

Rule 6-303.4 Piledrivers

REGULATION 9

Rule 1 Sulfur Dioxide

9-1-100 GENERAL

9-1-101 Description

9-1-110 Conditional Exemption, Area Monitoring

9-1-200 DEFINITIONS

9-1-201 Controlled Sulfur Recovery Plant

9-1-202 Uncontrolled Sulfur Recovery Plant

9-1-203 New Sulfur Recovery Plant or Sulfuric Acid Plant

9-1-204 Start-up

9-1-205 Fresh Fruit Sulfuring Operations

9-1-300 STANDARDS

9-1-301 Limitations on Ground Level Concentrations

9-1-302 General Emission Limitation

9-1-303 Emissions from Ships

9-1-304 Fuel Burning (Liquid and Solid Fuels)

9-1-305 Emission Limitations for Controlled Sulfur Recovery Plants

9-1-306 Emission Limitations for Uncontrolled Sulfur Recovery Plants

9-1-307 Emission Limitations for New Sulfur Recovery Plants

9-1-308 Emission Limitations for Sulfuric Acid Plants

9-1-309 Emission Limitations for New Sulfuric Acid Plants

9-1-310 Emission Limitations for Fluid Catalytic Cracking Units, Fluid Cokers, and Coke Calcining Kilns

9-1-311 Emission Limitations for Catalyst Manufacturing Plants

9-1-312 Emission Limitations for Fresh Fruit Sulfuring Operations

9-1-400 ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

9-1-401 Schedule for January 1, 1984,

Compliance Date

9-1-402 Schedule for January 1, 1989, Final Compliance Date

9-1-403 Schedule for August 1, 1981, Final Compliance Date

9-1-404 Schedule for July 1, 1987, Final Compliance Date

9-1-500 MONITORING AND RECORDS

9-1-501 Area Monitoring Requirements

9-1-502 Emission Monitoring Requirements

9-1-503 Fresh Fruit Sulfuring Recordkeeping Requirements

9-1-600 MANUAL OF PROCEDURES

9-1-601 Sampling and Analysis of Gas Streams

9-1-602 Sulfur Content of Fuels

9-1-603 Averaging Times

9-1-604 Ground Level Monitoring

9-1-605 Emission Monitoring

REGULATION 5

Section 5-401.13 Wildlife Management

El Dorado County APCD

Rule 102 Definitions

Rule 226 Enforcement

Rule 227 Existing Sources

Rule 228 Compliance Tests

Monterey Bay Unified APCD

Rule 407 Open Outdoor Fires

Rule 410 Prescribed Burning

Rule 411 Forest Management Burning

San Diego County APCD

Rule 68 Fuel Burning Equipment

Shasta County APCD

Rule 2.6 Open Burning

South Coast AQMD

Rule 1105 Fluid Catalytic Cracking Units

Rule 1111 Natural-Fired/Fan-Type Central Furnaces

Ventura County APCD

Rule 30 Permit Renewal

These rules revisions are administrative and do not impact current emission control requirements.

The above mentioned rules add new definitions, set emission limits for piledrivers and emission standards for sources emitting sulfur dioxide, limit open burning for wildlife management, and add exemptions and clarifications.

Under section 110 of the Clean Air Act as amended, and 40 CFR Part 51, EPA is required to approve or disapprove these regulations as SIP revisions. All rules submitted have been evaluated and found to be in accordance with EPA policy and 40 CFR Part 51. EPA's

detailed evaluation of the submitted rules is available at the EPA Headquarters in Washington, D.C., and the Region 9 office.

It is the purpose of this notice to approve all the rule revisions listed above and to incorporate them into the California SIP. This is being done without prior proposal because the revisions are noncontroversial, have limited impact, and no comments are anticipated. The public should be advised that this action will be effective 60 days from the date of this Federal Register notice. However, if notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments, the approval will be withdrawn and a subsequent notice will be published before the effective date. The subsequent notice will indefinitely postpone the effective date, modify the final action to a proposed action, and establish a comment period.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Administrator has certified that SIP approvals do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. (See 46 FR 8709.)

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by (60 days from today). This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See 307(b)(2).)

Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of California was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Authority: Sections 110 and 301(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410 and 7601(a)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons.

Dated: April 27, 1984.
William D. Ruckelshaus,
Administrator.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Subpart F of Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart F—California

1. Section 52.220 paragraph (c) is amended by adding subparagraph (148) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

- *(*)*(*)
- (c) * * *
- (148) Revised regulations for the following APCDs were submitted on October 27, 1983 by the Governor's designee.
- (i) Bay Area AQMD.
- (A) New or amended Regulations 6-303.4, 9-1-100, 9-1-101, 9-1-110, 9-1-200 through 9-1-205, 9-1-300 through 9-1-312, 9-1-400 through 9-1-404, 9-1-500 through 9-1-503, 9-1-600 through 9-1-605 and 5-401.13.
- (ii) El Dorado County APCD.
- (A) New or amended Rules 102, 226, 227, and 228.
- (iii) Monterey Bay Unified APCD.
- (A) New or amended Rules 407, 410, and 411.
- (iv) San Diego County APCD.
- (A) New or amended Rule 68.
- (v) Shasta County APCD.
- (A) New or amended Rule 2.6.
- (vi) South Coast AQMD.
- (A) New or amended Rules 1105 and 1111.
- (vii) Ventura County APCD.
- (A) New or amended Rule 30.
- *(*)*(*)

[FR Doc. 84-11923 Filed 5-2-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-10-FRL 2579-4]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan; Idaho

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: By this Notice EPA approves the Idaho State Implementation Plan (SIP) adopted pursuant to the requirements of Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (hereinafter referred to as the Act) for maintenance of the lead standard in all parts of the State. This assumes the operational status of all existing lead sources does not change.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 3, 1984.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the materials submitted to EPA may be examined during normal business hours at: Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Air Programs Branch (10A-83-15), Environmental Protection Agency,

1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.
State of Idaho, Department of Health and Welfare, 450 W. State Street, Statehouse, Boise, Idaho 83720.

Copy of the State's submittal may be examined at: The Office of the Federal Register, 110 L Street, N.W., Room 8401, Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard F. White, Air Programs Branch, M/S 532, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, Telephone (206) 442-4016, (FTS) 399-4016.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On December 7, 1983 the State of Idaho Department of Health and Welfare (IDHW) submitted a final draft lead maintenance SIP for EPA's review prior to public hearing and adoption. After a public hearing on January 10, 1984 IDHW finalized the lead maintenance SIP and submitted it to EPA on February 3, 1984. The final SIP was unchanged from the version proposed for approval on December 29, 1983 (48 FR 57330).

Technical Evaluation**Lead SIP**

The requirements for an approvable lead SIP are contained in 40 CFR Part 51 Subpart E. As described in the technical evaluation document (TED), the Idaho maintenance SIP satisfies all requirements for demonstration of attainment and projections of air quality and emissions. In addition, the SIP provides for statewide review of all new or modified lead sources under its Rules and Regulations for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho (Section 1-1003) previously approved by EPA (47 FR 32350).

Idaho has no significant point sources of lead in operation (i.e., those sources that emit from discrete points rather than from wide areas) and is currently attaining the lead standard in all areas of the State. The only potential point source of lead is the presently shutdown Bunker Limited lead smelter in Shoshone County. A separate plan is being developed by EPA to limit emissions from this source in the event that it resumes operation. Action on this plan will be taken at a later date.

Automobiles are the major contributors to lead emissions in the State. Federal regulations that limit the lead content of gasoline have resulted, and will continue to result, in a gradual decrease in lead emissions. The TED and the December 29, 1983 Federal

Register fully describe the effect of the Federal regulation on future lead levels.

Air Quality Monitoring

The SIP also contains a description of the current statewide lead monitoring network. EPA has reviewed the network and finds that it conforms with the EPA requirements in 40 CFR Parts 51 and 58. In addition the lead analysis technique meets the requirements of 40 CFR Part 50.

EPA Response to Comments

The only comments received were from the State of Idaho Department of Health and Welfare. One comment suggested that EPA's proposal should approve the SIP for the entire State, and not exclude a portion of Shoshone County. EPA agrees that this maintenance lead SIP should be approved for the entire State, with the assumption that the Bunker Limited Smelter remain closed. At the same time it should be clear that EPA is developing its own lead plan for emissions from the smelter, should it resume operation.

The other comment dealt with EPA's technical analysis of the effect of the lead-in-gasoline phase down on maintenance of the lead standard in Idaho. IDHW felt EPA should have used a baseline concentration of 1.02 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, instead of 1.64 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Their argument was that 1.02 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ represented the highest valid (based on at least 75 percent of scheduled samples in the quarter) quarterly mean, while 1.64 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ was based on less than 75 percent of scheduled samples.

EPA's response is two fold: First, for purposes of control strategy development any data representative of the situation should be used, even if it does not meet all sampling and analysis criteria (40 CFR 51.82). Second, in this case, regardless of which base value is used to demonstrate attainment and continued maintenance, they are both far less than the value (5.05 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) used in the simplified procedure to demonstrate attainment by 1983. Therefore, the technical analysis will remain unchanged.

EPA Action

Based on evaluation of IDHW's final submittal, the Administrator has determined that the Idaho lead SIP revision and lead monitoring program meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Parts 50, 51, and 58.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petition for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 2, 1984. This action may not be

challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirement. (See section 307(b)(2) of the Act.)

Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether or not a regulation is "major" and therefore subject to the requirements of regulatory impact analysis. This regulation is not judged to be major, since it merely approves actions taken by the State and does not establish any new requirements.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations.

(Secs. 110, 129, 171-178, and 301(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 7410, 7429, 7501 to 7508, and 7601(a))

Dated: April 27, 1984.

William D. Ruckelshaus,
Administrator.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the Implementation Plan for the State of Idaho was approved by the Director of the Office of Federal Register in July 1982.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart N—Idaho

1. In § 52.670 paragraph (c)(22) is added as follows:

§ 52.670 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

(22) On February 3, 1984 the State of Idaho Department of Health and Welfare submitted a revision to add a lead maintenance strategy to the Idaho Implementation Plan.

2. In § 52.679 revise the listing of Chapters in the "Implementation Plan for the Control of Air Pollution in the State of Idaho" by inserting the following entries after "Chapter VIII" and before "Appendix A".

§ 52.679 Contents of Idaho State Implementation Plan.

* * *

"Chapter IX—(reserved)

"Chapter X—Plan for Maintenance of National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Lead."

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[A-FRL 2580-7; KY-021]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans, Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Kentucky: Marshall County TSP

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is today announcing approval of Kentucky's Part D total suspended particulate (TSP) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Marshall County, and redesignation of the county to attainment for the secondary TSP national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). (The area is attainment for the primary TSP NAAQS.) To qualify for redesignation, the area must have an approved Part D plan; therefore, these actions are concurrent. EPA's actions are based upon: (1) the State's submittal of information which clarifies visible emission (VE) test procedures for State Regulation 401 KAR 61:070—Existing Ferroalloy Production Facilities, and (2) the State's submittal of attainment data and request for EPA to redesignate the area to attainment for the secondary TSP NAAQS. EPA's approval actions provide Kentucky with a fully approved Part D plan for Marshall County and make the area attainment for both the TSP primary and secondary standards.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These actions will be effective July 2, 1984 unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Melvin Russell of EPA Region IV's Air Management Branch (see EPA Region IV address below). Copies of the materials submitted by Kentucky may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations.

Public Information Reference Unit,
Library Systems Branch,
Environmental Protection Agency, 401
M Street, SW., Washington, D.C.
20460.

Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L
Street, N.W., Room 8401, Washington,
D.C.

Environmental Protection Agency,
Region IV, Air Management Branch,
345 Courtland Street, N.E., Atlanta,
Georgia 30365.

Kentucky Natural Resources and
Environmental Protection Cabinet,
Division of Air Pollution Control, 18
Reilly Road, Bldg. #2, Fort Boone
Plaza, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melvin Russell of the EPA Region IV Air Management Branch, at the above address, phone 404/881-3286 (FTS 257-3286).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following information is presented in two parts: (1) Information related to approval of the Part D TSP plan and (2) redesignation to attainment for the secondary TSP NAAQS.

I. Part D TSP SIP for Marshall County, KY

In the December 24, 1980, Federal Register (45 FR 84999), EPA conditionally approved Kentucky's Part D TSP SIP for all TSP nonattainment areas except Marshall County. EPA, at pages 85001 and 85002, deferred action with regard to Marshall County because EPA believed that the affected State regulation was approvable as written only if the State would provide adequate clarification of test procedures to be followed in implementing the VE limits established in State Regulation 401 KAR 61:070. The Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection (KDEP), on March 15, 1982, submitted additional information including clarification of applicable VE test procedures used in enforcement of the VE limits. Section 3, Standard for Particulate Matter, is the applicable section of the regulation, and its relevant parts are as follows:

1. Section 3(1)(a) applies to electric submerged arc furnace gaseous emissions which exist from a control device and exhibit an opacity equal to or greater than three (3) percent, where the control device has dispersed discharge.

2. Section 3(2) applies to dust handling equipment emissions emitted from a stack and exhibit an opacity equal to or greater than fifteen (15) percent.

3. Section 3(1)(b) applies to electric submerged arc furnace emissions which exit from any building opening and exhibit an opacity equal to or greater than:

1. Fifteen (15) percent for those gases which are the result of routine smelting/melting operations where no auxiliary operations will occur.

2. Twenty (20) percent for those gases which are from a furnace associated with metallurgical treatment while no auxiliary operations are occurring.

3. Twenty-five (25) percent for those gases which are the result of tapping operations;

4. Forty (40) percent for those gases which occur only during a metallurgical treatment; or

5. Forty (40) percent for those gases which occur during the pouring of metal from slag ladles into castbeds or molds. (For full view of this regulation, please see the attached Technical Support Document (TSD), Attachment A.)

4. Section 4 requires that Reference Method 9 in Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 60 be used to determine compliance with the Section 3 opacity standards.

The State's March 15, 1982 submittal clarifies the related test procedures as follows:

(1) Baghouse emissions with dispersed discharge—401 KAR 61:070 Section 3(1)(a). For baghouses having a dispersed discharge, the emissions are measured using EPA Method 9, 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Section 2.1. The procedure which is followed requires a qualified observer to " * * * stand at a distance sufficient to provide a clear view of the emissions with the sun oriented in the 140° sector to his back. Consistent with maintaining the above requirement, the observer shall * * * make his observations from a position such that his line of vision is approximately perpendicular to the plume direction and * * * approximately perpendicular to the longer axis of the outlet." The most dense portion of the plume being emitted from the building is used for the evaluation. (Paraphrase is from EPA Method 9.)

(2) Dust handling equipment emissions emitted from a stack—401 KAR 61:070 Section 3(2). Emissions from a stack are evaluated by having a qualified observer stand at a distance sufficient to provide a clear view of emissions, with the sun oriented in the 140° sector to his back. The inspector makes his observations from a position such that his line of vision is approximately perpendicular to the plume direction as specified in EPA Method 9. (Procedure as in 1 above.)

(3) Building emissions—401 KAR 61:070 Section 3(1)(b)(15). The production of ferroalloy includes a variety of furnace operations such as: melting, tapping and metallurgical treatment. The procedure followed for reading building emissions for these various operations requires two inspectors with their watches synchronized. One inspector records the beginning and end of each type of operation. The other inspector records visible emissions from a suitable point outside the building following the procedure contained in EPA Method 9, specifically Sections 2.1 and 2.3. A furnace tapping operation is considered to begin with the initiation of opening the tap hole and is concluded when the process of plugging the tapped hole is

completed. The metallurgical treatment process is considered to begin with the pouring of additives into the reaction ladle (or with the tapping of the supporting furnace into the ladle if molten additive is not poured into the reaction ladle but is added cold), and is concluded at the end of the pouring of the reaction product into the holding ladle.

Castbed pouring occurs inside a building, therefore, two inspectors are again required to evaluate building emissions resulting from this operation and the same procedure is used for this operation as described above. Because of the proximity of a melt furnace to the castbed area, emissions emanating only from castbed pouring can never be measured separately from emissions emanating from an adjacent melt furnace. The opacity standard for castbed pouring accommodates the combination of these emissions. EPA finds the KDEP clarifications adequate and therefore approves 401 KAR 61:070, and the Part D TSP SIP for Marshall County.

II. Redesignation of Marshall County to Attainment for Secondary TSP NAAQS

In the March 3, 1978 Federal Register (43 FR 8962), at page 8996, EPA designated Marshall County nonattainment for the secondary TSP NAAQS. On November 21, 1983, Kentucky submitted a request for redesignation of Marshall County to attainment of the secondary TSP NAAQS.

KDEP's November 21, 1983 submittal was based upon eight (8) quarters of air quality data (October 1, 1981–September 30, 1983), during which time the secondary TSP NAAQS were not violated.

The following table summarizes the twenty-four (24) months of data. Note: 1981–82 means 10/1/81–9/30/82, and 1982–83 means 10/1/82–9/30/83.

Site	Year	Annual geom. mean	First max. 24-hr.	Sec.-and max. 24-hr.	Times > 260	Times > 150
18-2600-010	1981-82	53	107	103	0	0
	1982-83	51	103	102	0	0

The EPA Standards are:

*Primary: 75 mg/m³ annual geometric mean

260 mg/m³ hour concentration

*Secondary: 60 mg/m³ annual geometric mean

150 mg/m³ 24-hour concentration*

*Not to be exceeded more than once per year.

EPA has reviewed the relevant data for quality and quantity and found it to be valid. Based upon the data and the Part D SIP for the county, EPA redesignates Marshall County, Kentucky to attainment of the secondary TSP NAAQS.

Action: Based on the foregoing discussions, I and II above, EPA today takes the following action: (1) Approves Kentucky's Part D TSP SIP revision for Marshall County including Regulation 401 KAR 61:070—Existing Ferroalloy Production Facilities, and (2) redesignates Marshall County, Kentucky to attainment of the secondary TSP NAAQS.

These actions will be effective 60 days from the date of this Federal Register notice. However, if we receive notice within 30 days that someone wishes to submit critical comments, we will withdraw this action and will publish two subsequent notices before the effective date. One notice will withdraw the final action and the other will begin a new rulemaking by announcing a proposal of the action and establishing a comment period.

Under 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Administrator has certified that SIP approvals do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. (See 46 FR 8709.)

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of these actions must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 2, 1984.

These actions may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce their requirements (See Section 307(b)(2) of the CAA.)

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Incorporation by reference of the Kentucky State Implementation Plan was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 52 and 61

Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, National parks, Wilderness areas.

(Secs. 107, 110, 172, and 301 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7407, 7410, 7502, and 7601).)

Dated: April 27, 1984.
William D. Ruckelshaus,
Administrator.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart S—Kentucky

1. Section 52.920 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(42) as follows.

§ 52.920 Identification of plan.

(c) The plan revisions listed below were submitted on the dates specified.

(42) Regulation 401 KAR 81:070, Existing Ferroalloy Production Facilities, for the Marshall County Part D TSP area, submitted on June 29, 1979, by the Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection.

PART 81—[AMENDED]

Part 81 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Section 107 Attainment Status Designations

§ 81.318 [Amended]

2. In § 81.318, the "Kentucky TSP" table is amended by removing the entry for Marshall County.

[FR Doc. 84-11915 Filed 5-2-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 81

[EPA Action MO 1117; A-7-FRL 2579-7]

Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, provides for the designation of areas as either attainment, nonattainment, or unclassified with respect to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). EPA today takes final action to redesignate a portion of the Kansas City, Missouri, metropolitan area from nonattainment to attainment with respect to the primary NAAQS for total suspended particulates (TSP). This portion will remain designated nonattainment for the secondary TSP standard. This redesignation action is based on a request from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources

(MDNR). The request was supported with recent air monitoring data.

DATE: This designation is effective May 3, 1984.

ADDRESSES: The state submittal is available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, 324 East 11th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2922, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 1101 Rear Southwest Boulevard, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Larry A. Hacker at (816) 374-3791, or FTS 758-3791.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In response to Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, EPA and the State of Missouri have designated all areas of the State as attaining the NAAQS, not attaining the NAAQS, or having insufficient data to make a determination. An attainment area is one in which the air quality does not exceed the standards. A nonattainment area is one in which the air quality is worse than the standards. An unclassified area is one for which there are insufficient data to determine whether the area is attainment or nonattainment. EPA's Section 107 designation policy is summarized in an April 21, 1983 memorandum from EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. At 40 CFR Part 81, Subpart C, the areas of the State which are nonattainment for one or more pollutants are identified.

On January 20, 1983, the MDNR submitted a request to redesignate the attainment status of a portion of the Kansas City, Missouri, metropolitan area for TSP. EPA reviewed this request and determined that it complied with agency redesignation policy. On October 13, 1983, EPA published a proposal to redesignate this area from primary nonattainment to secondary nonattainment (48 FR 46553).

The 30 day public comment period ended on November 14, 1983. No public comments were received. Subsequent to the proposal, EPA's review of recent air quality data indicates that this area continues to show attainment of the primary TSP standard.

Action: EPA takes final action to remove the primary nonattainment designation and retain the secondary nonattainment designation for the

Kansas City, Missouri, TSP nonattainment area.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of today. This action may not be challenged in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See Section 307(b)(2).)

This notice of final rulemaking is issued under the authority of Sections 107 and 301 of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7407 and 7601).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 81

Intergovernmental relations, Air pollution control, National parks, Wilderness areas.

Dated: April 27, 1984.

William D. Ruckelshaus,
Administrator.

PART 81—DESIGNATION OF AREAS FOR AIR QUALITY PLANNING PURPOSES

Part 81 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Section 107 Attainment Status Designation

§ 81.326 [Amended]

1. In § 81.326 in the table "Missouri-TSP", under Metropolitan Kansas City Interstate AQCR (094), remove the first entry, which reads as follows:

Kansas City (an area extending approximately from the Kansas State line east along 55th St. to I-435, then north to I-70, east to Maland Rd., north to I-35, southwest to I-29, northwest to I-635, and southwest to the state line)..... X X.....

[FR Doc. 84-11926 Filed 5-2-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 81

[A-5-FRL 2579-5]

Designations of Areas for Air Quality Planning Process; Attainment Status Designations; Wisconsin

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This rulemaking revises the Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) designation for a portion of the City of