

# Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 48, No. 156

Thursday, August 11, 1983

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

#### 7 CFR Part 908

(Valencia Orange Regulation 312)

#### Valencia Oranges Grown in Arizona and Designated Part of California; Limitation of Handling

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes the quantity of fresh California-Arizona Valencia oranges that may be shipped to market during the period August 12-August 18, 1983. Such action is needed to provide for orderly marketing of fresh Valencia oranges for this period due to the marketing situation confronting the orange industry.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 12, 1983.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William J. Doyle, 202-447-5975.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Findings

This rule has been reviewed under USDA procedures and Executive Order 12291 and has been designated a "non-major" rule. William T. Manley, Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, has certified that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action is designed to promote orderly marketing of the California-Arizona Valencia orange crop for the benefit of producers and will not substantially affect costs for the directly regulated handlers.

This regulation is issued under the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and

designated part of California. The agreement and order are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The action is based upon the recommendation and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee and upon other available information. It is hereby found that this action will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

This action is consistent with the marketing policy for 1982-83. The marketing policy was recommended by the committee following discussion at a public meeting on February 22, 1983. The committee met again publicly on August 9, 1983 at Los Angeles, California, to consider the current and prospective conditions of supply and demand and recommended a quantity of Valencia oranges deemed advisable to be handled during the specified week. The committee reports the demand for Valencia oranges is steady.

It is further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking, and postpone the effective date until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553), because of insufficient time between the date when information became available upon which this regulation is based and the effective date necessary to effectuate the declared policy of the Act. Interested persons were given an opportunity to submit information and views on the regulation at an open meeting. It is necessary to effectuate the declared policy of the Act to make this regulatory provision effective as specified, and handlers have been apprised of such provisions and the effective time.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 908

Marketing agreements and orders, California, Arizona, Oranges (Valencia).

#### PART 908—[AMENDED]

1. Section 908.612 is added as follows:

#### § 908.612 Valencia Orange Regulation 312.

The quantities of Valencia oranges grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period August 12, 1983 through August 18, 1983, are established as follows:

- (1) District 1: 376,000 cartons;
- (2) District 2: 424,000 cartons;
- (3) District 3: Unlimited cartons.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: August 10, 1983.

D. S. Kuryloski,

Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

(FR Doc. 83-22185 Filed 8-10-83; 11:26 am)

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

## 7 CFR Part 1124

[Docket No. AO-368-A12]

### Milk in the Oregon-Washington Marketing Area; Order Amending Order

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action amends the diversion provisions and the method used to calculate the daily base and the base milk production of a producer under the Oregon-Washington milk order. The action is based on industry proposals considered at a public hearing held on February 15, 1983. The change is necessary to reflect current marketing conditions and to insure orderly marketing conditions in the Oregon-Washington marketing area.

Cooperative associations representing producers supplying more than two-thirds of the volume of milk produced for sale in the market have approved the issuance of the amended order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 1, 1983.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martin J. Dunn, Marketing Specialist, Dairy Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 202-447-7311.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This administrative action is governed by the provisions of Sections 556 and 557 of Title 5 of the United States Code and, therefore, is excluded from the requirements of Executive Order 12291.

Prior documents in this proceeding:

Notice of Hearing: Issued January 25, 1983; published January 28, 1983 (48 FR 3995).

Recommended Decision: Issued May 16, 1983; published May 19, 1983 (48 FR 22580).

Final Decision: Issued June 22, 1983; published June 27, 1983 (48 FR 29529).



## Findings and Determinations

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of the said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Oregon-Washington marketing area.

Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

(b) *Additional findings.* It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective on September 1, 1983. Any delay beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in the marketing area.

The provisions of this order are known to handlers. The recommended decision of the Deputy Administrator, Marketing Program Operations, was issued May 16, 1983 (48 FR 22580), and the final decision of the Assistant Secretary containing all the amendment

provisions of this order was issued June 22, 1983 (48 FR 29529). The changes effected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order effective September 1, 1983, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this order for 30 days after its publication in the Federal Register.

(Sec. 553(d), Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551-559)

(c) *Determinations.* It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in Sec. 8c(9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) This issuance of this order, amending the order, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order; and

(3) The issuance of the order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area.

## List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1124

Milk marketing order, Milk, Dairy products.

## Order Relative to Handling

It is therefore ordered, That on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the Oregon-Washington marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, as follows:

## PART 1124—MILK IN THE OREGON-WASHINGTON MARKETING AREA

1. In § 1124.11, paragraphs (a) and (b) are revised to read as follows:

### § 1124.11 Producer.

(a) A cooperative association may divert for its account to a nonpool plant the milk of any producer whose milk has been received previously at a pool plant and from whom at least one delivery per month during each of the months of September, October and November is received at a pool plant, except that in the case of any producer whose milk has

not been received at a pool plant for at least one day during each of the preceding months of September-November such producer shall be required to have at least one delivery of his milk received at a pool plant in any month to qualify his milk diversion during such month. This delivery requirement for diversion purposes shall continue until such producer's milk has been received at a pool plant for three consecutive months beginning during or after the September-November period. The aggregate quantity diverted may not exceed 60 percent of the producer milk which the association or its agent causes to be delivered to pool plants, or diverted therefrom. Two or more cooperative associations may have their allowable diversions computed on the basis of the combined deliveries of milk by their member producers if each association has filed such a request in writing with the market administrator on or before the first day of the month such agreement is effective. This request shall specify the basis for assigning any over-diverted milk to the producer members of each cooperative association according to a method approved by the market administrator.

(b) A handler in his capacity as the operator of a pool plant may divert for his account to a nonpool plant the milk of any producer whose milk had been received previously at a pool plant and from whom at least one delivery per month during each of the months of September, October and November is received at his pool plant(s) and who is not a member of a cooperative association which is diverting milk pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section during the month, except that in the case of any producer whose milk has not been received at a pool plant for at least one day during each of the preceding months of September-November such producer shall be required to have at least one delivery of his milk received at a pool plant in any month to qualify his milk for diversion during such month. This delivery requirement for diversion purposes shall continue until such producer's milk has been received at a pool plant for three consecutive months beginning during or after the September-November period. The aggregate quantity diverted may not exceed 60 percent of the producer milk received at or diverted from such handler's pool plant(s) and for which the operator of such plant(s) is the handler during the month:

2. In § 1124.19 paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:



**§ 1124.19 Base, base milk, and excess milk.**

(b) "Base milk" means milk delivered by a producer during the month which is not in excess of:

(1) His daily base computed pursuant to § 1124.65(a) multiplied by the number of days in the month except that if milk is received from a producer for only part of a month, base milk shall be milk received from such producer which is not in excess of the amount computed by multiplying his daily base times the number of days in the month less the number of days for which no producer milk is delivered; or

(2) His monthly base computed pursuant to § 1124.65(b).

3. In § 1124.65 paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 1124.65 Computation of producer bases.**

(a) The daily base of each producer whose milk was received at a pool plant(s) or diverted as producer milk from a pool plant during the four months in each January-December period in which the average daily receipts of total producer milk are lowest shall be an amount computed by dividing such producer's total pounds of milk delivered in such base-earning period by the number of days in such period: *Provided*, that a producer who delivers producer milk for only part of such period, but not less than 90 days, shall have a daily base computed by dividing such producer's total deliveries of producer milk by the number of days in the four-month period less the number of days for which no producer milk is delivered. The base so computed shall be recomputed each year, shall become effective on the first day of February next following, and shall remain in effect through January of the next succeeding year.

(1) Any dairy farmer for whom information concerning deliveries during the base-earning period is available to the market administrator and who becomes a producer as a result of the plant to which his milk was delivered during the base-earning period subsequently being qualified as a pool plant, a daily base shall be computed pursuant to this paragraph; and

(2) A dairy farmer who qualified as a producer-handler pursuant to § 1124.12 for not less than 90 days during the period specified in paragraph (a) of this section shall upon becoming a producer

have a base computed as if he had been a producer during such period.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674).

Effective date: September 1, 1983.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on: August 4, 1983.

C. W. McMillan,

Assistant Secretary, Marketing and Inspection Services.

[FR Doc. 83-21906 Filed 8-10-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Immigration and Naturalization Service****8 CFR Part 103****Powers and Duties of Service Officers; Availability of Service Records**

**AGENCY:** Immigration and Naturalization Service, Justice.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule removes an obsolete fee reference from 8 CFR 103.3(a). The correct fee for filing an appeal to a Regional Commissioner is now \$50.00. This rule also removes any reference to a specific amount for the fee as the prescribed amount of fee for this type of appeal is published at 8 CFR 103.7(b). This eliminates the need for further revision of this paragraph each time the fee is changed.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 11, 1983.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

For General Information: Loretta J. Shogren, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions, Immigration and Naturalization Service, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20536. Telephone: (202) 633-3048.

For Specific Information: Ronald R. Lindquist, Immigration Examiner, Immigration and Naturalization Service, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20536. Telephone: (202) 633-5015.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Compliance with 5 U.S.C. 553 as to notice of proposed rulemaking and delayed effective date is unnecessary because the amendment in this order is merely technical in nature.

This order is not a rule within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 601(2) since it is merely a technical amendment and the Regulatory Flexibility Act does not apply.

This rule is not a rule within the meaning of Section 1(b) of E.O. 12291.

**List of Subjects in 8 CFR Part 103**

Administrative practice and procedure, Archives and records, Authority delegations (Government Agencies), Bonding, Fees, Forms, Freedom of Information Act, Organization and functions (Government Agencies), Privacy, Surety bonds.

Accordingly, Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 103—POWERS AND DUTIES OF SERVICE OFFICERS; AVAILABILITY OF SERVICE RECORDS**

1. Section 103.3 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

**§ 103.3 Denials, appeals, and precedent decisions.**

(a) *Denials and appeals.* Whenever a formal application or petition filed under § 103.2 is denied, the applicant will be given written notice setting forth the specific reasons for the denial. If the notification is made on Form I-292, the signed duplicate constitutes the order of denial. When the applicant is entitled to appeal to another Service officer, the notice will advise the applicant that there is an appeal from the decision, and that appeal must be taken within 15 days after the service of the notification of the decision. The appeal must be taken by filing Form I-290B (Notice of Appeal), which must be furnished with the written notice. The Form I-290B must be accompanied by the appropriate fee and, if desired, a supporting brief. For good cause shown, the time within which the brief may be submitted may be extended. The party taking the appeal may, prior to appellate decision, file a written withdrawal of the appeal. The appeal, cross-appeal, answers to the appeal and accompanying briefs, if any, will become part of the record of proceeding and, if filed by an officer of the Service, a copy must be served on the party affected.

(Sec. 103 of the I & N Act, as amended; 8 U.S.C. 1103)

Dated: August 4, 1983.

Andrew J. Carmichael, Jr.,

Associate Commissioner, Examinations, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

[FR Doc. 83-21907 Filed 8-10-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M



## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 83-AGL-8]

## Alteration of Transition Area

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.**ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The nature of this Federal action is to correct the currently published Marysville, Ohio, transition area description. The intended effect of this action is to ensure accuracy of the charted transition area and of the published definition. The accurate description of the transition area is presented in the text of this rule.

**DATES:** Effective date: August 29, 1983. Comments must be received on or before August 25, 1983.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to FAA Office of Regional Counsel, AGL-7, Attention: Rules Docket Clerk, Docket No. 83-AGL-8, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

An informal docket will also be available for examination during normal business hours in the Airspace, Procedures and Automation Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edward R. Heaps, Airspace, Procedures and Automation Branch, Air Traffic Division, AGL-530, FAA, Great Lakes Region, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018, telephone (312) 694-7360.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The currently defined and published transition area for Marysville, Ohio, incorrectly references designated airspace "8 miles west of the airport" and should read "8 miles east of the airport." This action corrects that portion of the description.

## Request for Comments on the Rule

Although this action is in the form of a final rule, which corrects a portion of the current Marysville, Ohio, transition area, and, thus, was not preceded by notice and public procedure, comments are invited on the rule. When the

comment period ends, the FAA will use the comments submitted, together with other available information, to review the regulation. After the review, if the FAA finds that changes are appropriate, it will initiate rulemaking proceedings to amend the regulation. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in evaluating the effects of the rule and determining whether additional rulemaking is needed. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest the need to modify the rule.

## The Rule

The purpose of this amendment to § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is to correct the currently published Marysville, Ohio, transition area.

Section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations was published in Advisory Circular AC 70-3A dated January 3, 1983.

Therefore, I find that notice or public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) is contrary to the public interest and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days after its publication in the *Federal Register*.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Transition areas, Aviation safety.

## Adoption of the Amendment

## PART 71—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is amended, effective 0901 GMT, August 29, 1983, as follows:

## Marysville, OH

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Union County Airport (latitude 40°13'29" N., longitude 83°21'00" W.); and within 2 miles on each side of the 088° bearing from the airport extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8 miles east of the airport. (Secs. 313(a), 314(a), 601 through 610, and 1102 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 through 1430, and 1502); 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised, Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983))

**Note.**—The FAA determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, it is certified that this—(1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant

preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on July 26, 1983.

Monte R. Belger,

Acting Director, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 83-21704 Filed 8-10-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## 14 CFR Part 91

[Docket No. 21022A; Reg. Notice No. 91-100]

## Emergency Air Traffic Regulations

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Update of emergency air traffic regulations.

**SUMMARY:** Section 91.100 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) (14 CFR 91.100) requires aircraft operators to comply with emergency air traffic regulations issued under that section and covered by Notices to Airmen (NOTAMs) that are also issued under that section. This document provides notice of regulations already adopted that were immediately effective under § 91.100, for which the FAA has also issued NOTAMs. It adds, to Notice 91-100, emergency regulations implementing Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) No. 44, as amended, that were necessary to respond to a shortage in air traffic control personnel.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** As stated in each regulation listed.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the listed regulations, in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rules Docket (AGC-204), Docket No. 21022A, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may be examined in the Rules Docket, Room 915, weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** B. Keith Potts, Airspace, Rules and Aeronautical Information Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, telephone (202) 426-3731.