

(1) +EAW	(2) Hazardous materials descriptions and proper shipping names	(3) Hazard class	(3A) Identification number	(4) Label(s) required (if not excepted)	(5) Packaging		(6) Maximum net quantity in one package		(7) Water shipments		
					(a) Exceptions	(b) Specific requirements	(a) Passenger carrying aircraft or railcar	(b) Cargo only aircraft	(a) Cargo vessel	(b) Passenger vessel	(c) Other requirements
	do	Flammable liquid	UN1188	Flammable liquid	173.118	173.128	1 quart	55 gallons	1,2	1	
	Paint	Combustible liquid	UN1263	None	173.118a	None	No limit	No limit	1,2	1,2	
	do	Flammable liquid	UN1263	Flammable liquid	173.118	173.128	1 quart	55 gallons	1,2	1	
	Paint or paint related material	Corrosive material	NA1760	Corrosive	173.244	173.245	do	1 gallon	1,2	1,2	
	Paint related material	Combustible liquid	NA1263	None	173.118a	None	No limit	No limit	1,2	1,2	
	do	Flammable liquid	NA1263	Flammable liquid	173.118	173.128	1 quart	55 gallons	1,2	1	

PART 173—SHIPPERS—GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SHIPMENTS AND PACKAGINGS

3. In § 173.128, the heading and the introductory text of paragraph (a) are revised, paragraph (c) is redesignated paragraph (b), and a new paragraph (c) is added to read as follows:

§ 173.128 Paint and paint related material (flammable liquids).

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this part, the description "Paint" is the proper shipping name for paint, lacquer, enamel, stain, shellac, varnish, liquid aluminum, liquid bronze, liquid gold, liquid wood filler, and liquid lacquer base. The description "Paint related material" is the proper shipping name for a paint thinning, reducing or removing compound. However, if a more specific description is listed in § 172.101, that description must be used. Paint and paint related material must be packaged as follows:

(c) Special exceptions for shipment of paint and paint related material in the ORM-D class are provided in subpart N of this Part.

4. In § 173.132, the heading, introductory text of paragraph (a), and paragraph (b) are revised to read as follows:

§ 173.132 Adhesive; cement; container cement; linoleum cement; pyroxylin cement; rubber cement; tile cement; wallboard cement, and coating solution.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this Part, a flammable liquid which is an adhesive; cement; container cement; linoleum cement; pyroxylin cement; rubber cement; tile cement; wallboard cement, or coating solution must be packaged as follows:

(b) The adhesive and cements identified in paragraph (a) of this section, except any adhesive or cement containing carbon bisulfide (carbon

disulfide), in glass or leakproof packagings consisting of a fiberboard body and metal tops and bottoms of not over 1-quart capacity each, or metal packagings of not over 5 gallons capacity each, further overpacked in a strong outside packaging are excepted from the specification packaging requirements of this Part.

(49 U.S.C. 1803, 1804, 1808; 49 CFR 1.53, App. A to Part 1)

Note.—The Materials Transportation Bureau has determined that this document will not result in a "major rule" under the terms of Executive Order 12291 or a significant regulation under DOT's regulatory policy and procedures (44 FR 11034), nor require an environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (49 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.). Based on information available concerning size and nature of entities likely to be affected by this amendment, I certify that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because the overall economic impact of this amendment will be minimal. A regulatory evaluation and environmental assessment are available for review in the docket.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on April 14, 1983.

L. D. Santman,

Director, Materials Transportation Bureau.

[FR Doc. 83-10031 Filed 4-20-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 658

[Docket No. 30316-39]

Shrimp Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NOAA issues a final rule amending the regulations for the Fishery Management Plan for the Shrimp Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico. NOAA is modifying, temporarily, the boundary of the Tortugas Shrimp Sanctuary to reduce the area closed to trawl fishing. This action will enable fishermen to harvest marketable-sized shrimp from a small area that was previously closed. NOAA also corrects a definition for the phrase "fishery conservation zone".

EFFECTIVE DATES: April 15, 1983.

ADDRESS: A copy of the regulatory impact review may be obtained from Jack T. Brawner, Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, 9450 Koger Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Florida 33702.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack T. Brawner, 813-893-3141.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Fishery Management Plan for the Shrimp Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico (FMP) was prepared by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) and was approved by the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA, on November 7, 1980, under the authority of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson Act). Final regulations implementing the FMP were effective May 20, 1981 (46 FR 27489). The Council prepared an FMP amendment that provides for modification of the closed area, identified in 50 CFR 658.22 as the Tortugas Shrimp Sanctuary (Sanctuary). The FMP amendment was approved on December 28, 1981. A notice of availability and a request for comments on the amendment was published on January 28, 1982 (47 FR 4104). No written comments were received on the FMP amendment during the public comment period which ended on March 15, 1982. A proposed rule which would amend the regulations under the provisions of the

FMP amendment was published for public comment on November 26, 1982 (47 FR 53427).

The proposed rulemaking discussed in detail the reason for the management measure (i.e., temporary geographic modification of the Sanctuary through August 14, 1983, and correction of the definition of the fishery conservation zone). This information is not repeated here.

Response to Comments

The Council was the only commenter on the proposed regulations during the 45-day public comment period which ended January 10, 1983. The Council requested that the proposed termination date for the temporary geographic modification of the Sanctuary be extended from August 14, 1983, to August 14, 1984. NOAA has complied with this request because there would not be sufficient time to evaluate the modification if the termination date remained August 14, 1983.

Classification

The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA, has determined that this amendment to the regulations complies with the national standards, other provisions of the Magnuson Act, and other applicable law.

The Administrator, NOAA, has determined that this amendment is not a major rule requiring the preparation of a regulatory impact analysis under Executive Order 12291. The regulatory impact review indicated that potential benefits are significantly greater than expected costs. The rule reduces a restriction on fishermen, slightly reduces enforcement requirements and costs, and is expected to increase shrimp landings.

The Assistant Administrator has determined that there is good cause to

waive the 30-day period of delayed effectiveness required under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). The regulations re-define the geographic scope of the Sanctuary and permit fishing within a portion of the Sanctuary as it is currently defined. The primary purpose of this modification is to obtain data on the migration patterns of shrimp as they move out of the Sanctuary. It is necessary to implement this geographic modification as quickly as possible for fishing to take place during the peak spring season this year. Since the modification to the geographic scope of the Sanctuary will be in effect only through August 14, 1984, information collected this spring will significantly increase the effectiveness of this action.

The Coastal Zone Office for the State of Florida, which is the only State adjacent to the management area, was provided a copy of coastal zone consistency statement for review as to consistency with its approved Coastal Zone Management Program. NOAA concluded that, to the maximum extent practicable, implementation of these rules is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Program of Florida.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 658

Fish, fisheries, Fishing.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Roland Finch,

Director, Office of Fisheries Management,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

PART 658—[AMENDED]

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 50 CFR Part 658 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 658 reads as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

2. The definition of fishery conservation zone in § 658.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 658.2 Definitions.

Fishery conservation zone (FCZ) means that area adjacent to the United States which, except where modified to accommodate international boundaries, encompasses all waters from the seaward boundary of each of the coastal States to a line on which each point is 200 nautical miles from the baseline from which the territorial sea of the United States is measured.

3. Section 658.22 and Figure 1 are revised to read and appear as follows:

§ 658.22 Tortugas shrimp sanctuary.

(a) The area commonly known as the "Tortugas Shrimp Sanctuary," off the State of Florida, is closed to all trawl fishing. The area is that part of the fishery conservation zone shoreward of a line connecting the following points (see Figure 1):

Point	Latitude	Longitude	Common name
N	25°52.9'N	81°37.95'W	Coon Key Light
F	24°50.7'N	81°51.3'W	
G	24°40.1'N	82°26.7'W	New Grounds Shoals Light
H	24°34.7'N	82°35.1'W	Rebecca Shoals Light
P	24°35'N	82°08'W	Marquessa Keys

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a), effective through August 14, 1984, trawl fishing is allowed within that portion of the Sanctuary circumscribed by lines connecting the following points:

Point	Latitude	Longitude
F	24°50.7'N	81°51.3'W
O	24°48.0'N	81°52.4'W
R	24°44.8'N	82°11.3'W
F	24°50.7'N	81°51.3'W

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M




FIGURE 1. LOCATION OF TORTUGAS SHRIMP SANCTUARY.

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 48, No. 78

Thursday, April 21, 1983

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule-making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Implementation of Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs; Public Meeting and Reopening of Comment Period

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget; in conjunction with the following Departments: Agriculture, Commerce, Defense (including the Corps of Engineers), Education, Energy, Health and Human Services, Housing and Urban Development, Interior, Justice, Labor, State, Transportation, and Treasury; and in conjunction with the following agencies: ACTION, Environmental Protection Agency, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Federal Emergency Management Agency, General Services Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, National Endowment for the Arts, National Endowment for the Humanities, National Science Foundation, Office of Personnel Management, Postal Service, Small Business Administration, Tennessee Valley Authority, and the Veterans Administration.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting and reopening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: This document announces reopening of the public comment period for 28 documents previously published concerning the implementation of Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs." A second public meeting has been scheduled.

The comment period is being reopened to allow the public greater time to review the various policies set forth in the proposed rules and to consider several areas of proposed change to the rules resulting from the amending of the Executive Order to include an additional statutory reference.

DATES: The public meeting will be held beginning at 9:30 A.M. on May 5, 1983. The reopened comment period on the

notices of proposed rulemaking and the notices of proposed program exclusions will close on May 19, 1983.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held at the GSA Auditorium, 18th and F Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C. Comments on the proposed rules during the reopened period should be sent to the addresses which agencies listed for receipt of comments in their previously published notices.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Walter S. Groszyk Jr., Office of the Deputy Associate Director for Management Reform, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10208, 726 Jackson Place N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503. Telephone (202) 395-3050. (Note: The Office of the Deputy Associate Director was recently reorganized and retitled from the Office of the Deputy Associate Director for Intergovernmental Affairs that appeared in earlier notices.)

The individual department and agency notices previously published also contain the names and addresses of individuals who can be contacted for further information on the notices.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," was signed by President Reagan on July 14, 1982. On January 24, 1983, all but two of the federal departments and agencies listed above published in the Federal Register either a notice of proposed rulemaking or a notice proposing their programs not be subject to the provisions of the Executive Order. The Department of Housing and Urban Development published a notice of proposed rulemaking on February 23, 1983 and the Tennessee Valley Authority published its notice of proposed rulemaking on March 4, 1983. A public meeting on the proposed rules was held on March 2, 1983. The Executive Order established a date of April 30, 1983 for implementing the policies of the Order. This effective date was extended by the President to September 30, 1983; 48 FR 15587, April 11, 1983.

Reopening of the Comment Period

While the public comment period of most agencies ended on March 10, 1983, the public comment periods for the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Tennessee Valley Authority ended on April 11, and April

4, 1983, respectively. The Department of the Interior on March 24, 1983 extended the public comment period on part of its notice until April 1, 1983. The federal departments and agencies listed above are reopening the comment period for all notices effective immediately. The comment period will now end on May 19, 1983. Any comments that were received subsequent to the end of the comment period and prior to this reopening of the comment period will be included in the agency dockets and considered.

Date, Time, and Location of Public Meeting

A public meeting will be held on May 5, 1983 to discuss possible changes to the proposed policies presented in the notices of proposed rulemaking. The meeting will be held in the Auditorium of the General Services Administration Building, 18th and F Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C., beginning at 9:30 A.M. The public meeting will be structured to allow federal officials to outline proposed changes, to discuss these changes with parties attending the public meeting, and to receive the views of the public on the proposed rules and changes contemplated to the proposed rules. If any additional federally-prepared material on proposed changes is given to those attending the public meeting, that material will also be provided to all parties previously submitting comments on the content of the proposed rules. Any additional material can also be obtained by requesting such from Walter Groszyk whose address appears above.

Areas of Change to the Proposed Rules

These changes to the proposed rules are intended to reflect the April 8, 1983, amendment of the Executive Order that cites additional statutory authority for the policies of the Order. These changes also respond to numerous commenters who sought clarification of whether and how the proposed rules would implement the provisions of Section 401 of the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. 4231, and Section 204 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, 42 U.S.C. 3334. The changes would encompass the entirety of Section 401. These changes do not represent final decisions on how best to carry out the amended Executive Order or to respond

to the public comments, but are possible solutions to concerns raised by the commenters and to the citation of additional statutory authority. Further public comment is sought. Alternatives to these possible solutions are also welcome. The section of the proposed rules affected by these possible solutions is identified in parentheses.

A number of other changes to the proposed rules are contemplated based on the many public comments received to date. These contemplated changes are not discussed in this notice. Public comment continues to be solicited on all of the previously published notices. Specific suggestions on the most effective means for linking assistance applications with comments by state, local, regional, or areawide entities would be particularly helpful.

Federal Agency Programs and Activities to be Covered: Agencies proposed program exclusions for public comment based on a set of government-wide criteria. Although some commenters were satisfied with the proposed exclusions and inclusions, many commenters wanted all programs included or fewer exclusions. —The scope of programs and activities covered by the Executive Order would be broadened to reflect the provisions of section 401 of the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act and Section 204 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act. (—3)

Federal Agency Responsibilities for Programs or Activities not Selected for Review: A few commenters wanted local governments to be able to select programs not included in the state process. —The manner of selecting programs or activities would be clarified, including an indication of federal responsibilities to local, regional, or areawide entities where programs or activities are not selected for inclusion under the state process. (—5)

The Role of Areawide Agencies in Intergovernmental Review: Several commenters sought clarification on whether the proposed rules implemented all of Title IV of the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act and Section 204 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act. —The rules would be changed to implement the applicable provisions of these two Acts. Section 204 allows areawide agencies established by state or local law to review and comment on applications for federal assistance for planning or construction of certain type facilities or utilities. For those programs or activities subject to areawide review which the state has included under its process, the

state process would be required to pass through all comments from areawide agencies that differed from the state process recommendation. In the absence of a state process and for those programs and activities not included within a state process, applicants must provide a 60 day period for areawide agency review and comment, with comments then considered and taken into account by the appropriate federal agency. The federal agency would "accommodate or explain" any consensus comments from an areawide agency that were sent through the state single point of contact, even for programs and activities not included under the state process. (—6)

Role of the Single Point of Contact: Many commenters did not understand the proposed role of the single point of contact or wanted it changed. —The role and responsibility of the single point of contact would be clarified. The single point of contact would transmit official, priority state process views. (The concept of priority views is being proposed as a means of highlighting for federal agency attention those recommendations involving areas of importance to state and local elected officials.) In addition, to assure federal agency awareness of views differing from a state process recommendation, the single point of contact would pass through to the federal agency all differing views, of state, local, regional, or areawide entities and officials. The single point of contact could also transmit a consensus of state, local, areawide, or regional views, as appropriate. (—6)

Consensus Building between State and Local Officials: Comments were received suggesting that the state process foster consensus building between state and local officials. —A federal department or agency would be required to accommodate or explain (in cases of nonaccommodation) only those views transmitted by a single point of contact that represent either a state process recommendation or a consensus of state, local, areawide, or regional views, as appropriate, in the absence of a state process recommendation. Differing views passed through by the single point of contact would be considered, but the "accommodate or explain" obligation would not apply. (—7)

Office of Management and Budget

Notices published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3074 and 48 FR 3079.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Harold I. Steinberg,

Associate Director for Management.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

7 CFR Parts 3015, 1901, 1942, 1944, 1946, and 1980, 36 CFR 219, 7 CFR Parts 225, 227, 246, 247, 250, 253, and 282.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3082; proposed rule related notice published on January 25, 1983, 48 FR 3375.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Richard E. Lyng,

Deputy Secretary, Department of Agriculture.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Office of the Secretary

13 CFR Parts 303, 307, and 309, 15 CFR Parts 13, 905, 920, 921, 923, 930, 931, and 2301, 50 CFR Part 401.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3096.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Malcolm Baldrige,

Secretary of Commerce.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

32 CFR Part 243.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3106.

Dated: April 13, 1983.

M. S. Healy,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

Department of the Army;

Corps of Engineers

33 CFR Part 384.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3111.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Paul F. Kavanaugh,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, Executive Director of Civil Works.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Parts 75, 76, and 79.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3120.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

T. H. Bell,

Secretary of Education.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

10 CFR Parts 600 and 1005.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3130.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Eric J. Fygi,

Deputy General Counsel.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

42 CFR Parts 51c, 52b, 55a, 56, and 122, 45 CFR parts 100, 224, and 1351.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3140.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Margaret M. Heckler,

Secretary of Health and Human Services.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Secretary

24 CFR Parts 50, 52, 570, 590, 590, 720, 841, 870, 881, 883, 883, 885, and 891.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on February 23, 1983, 48 FR 7688.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Donald I. Hovde,

Under Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

43 CFR Part 9.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3152; extension of comment period published on March 24, 1983, 48 FR 12409.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Richard R. Hite,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of the Attorney General

28 CFR Part 30.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3162.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Edward C. Schmults,

Acting Attorney General.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

29 CFR Part 17, 30 CFR Part 46.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3172.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Raymond J. Donovan,

Secretary of Labor.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Notice published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3183.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Davis R. Robinson,

Legal Adviser.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

14 CFR Part 152, 23 CFR Parts 420, 650, and 740, 49 CFR Parts 17, 25, 266, and 450.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3186.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Rosalind A. Knapp,

Deputy General Counsel.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

Notice published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3197.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Peter J. Wallison,

General Counsel.

ACTION

45 CFR Part 1233.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3200.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Thomas W. Pauken,

Director, ACTION.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 29, 30, 35, 40, 51, and 255.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3208.

Dated: April 13, 1983.

Lee M. Thomas,

Acting Deputy Administrator.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Notice published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3219.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Clarence Thomas,

Chairman.

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Parts 4, 9, 59, 60, 76, 300, and 302.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3222.

Dated: April 11, 1983.

George W. Jett,

General Counsel.

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Part 101-6.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3232.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Charles S. Davis III,

Acting Administrator.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

14 CFR Part 1204.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3240.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

James M. Beggs,

Administrator.

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts

45 CFR 1152

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3248.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Francis S. M. Hodsoll,

Chairman.

National Endowment for the Humanities

Notice published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3258.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

William J. Bennett,

Chairman.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

45 CFR Part 660.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3262.

Dated: April 12, 1983.

Charles H. Herz,

General Counsel.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Notice published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3270.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

Loretta Cornelius,

Deputy Director, Office of Personnel Management.

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Parts 775, 776, 778.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3274.

Dated: April 13, 1983.

Fred Eggleston,

Assistant General Counsel, Legislative Division.

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

13 CFR Part 135.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3282.

Dated: April 15, 1983.

Heriberto Herrera,
Deputy Administrator.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

18 CFR Part 1311

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on March 4, 1983, 48 FR 9496.

Dated: April 14, 1983.

W. F. Willis,
General Manager.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

38 CFR Part 40

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on January 24, 1983, 48 FR 3290.

Dated: April 13, 1983.

Everett Alvarez, Jr.,
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 83-10615 Filed 4-20-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Parts 1120, 1126, 1132, and 1138

[Docket Nos. AO-231-A50, et al.]

Milk in Texas and Certain Other Marketing Areas; Rescheduling of Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreements and Orders

7 CFR Parts	Marketing area	AO Numbers
1126	Texas	AO-231-A50.
1120	Lubbock-Plainview, Texas	AO-328-A24.
1132	Texas Panhandle	AO-262-A34.
1138	Rio Grande Valley	AO-335-A29.

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Rescheduling of public hearing on proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice reschedules for June 27, 1983, a public hearing to consider industry proposals relative to the orders regulating the handling of milk in the marketing areas listed above. One of the proposals would merge the marketing areas under one order and expand the merged area to include additional territory in the States of Texas, New Mexico, and Arkansas. The hearing was initially scheduled to begin on April 26, 1983. A cooperative association and proprietary handlers requested the rescheduling, indicating

that they need additional time to prepare for the hearing.

DATE: The hearing will convene at 1:30 p.m. on June 27, 1983.

ADDRESS: The hearing will be held at the Sheraton Grand Hotel, Dallas-Ft. Worth Airport, Highway 114 and Esters Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75261.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert F. Groene, Marketing Specialist, Dairy Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, 202-447-4824.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Prior document in this proceeding: Notice of Hearing—Issued March 30, 1983, published April 5, 1983 (48 FR 14613).

A notice was issued on March 30, 1983, giving notice of a public hearing to be held April 26, 1983, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders regulating the handling of milk in the aforesaid specified marketing areas.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the rules of practice applicable to these proceedings (7 CFR Part 900), that the said hearing is rescheduled to be held at the Sheraton Grand Hotel, Dallas-Ft. Worth Airport, Highway 114 and Esters Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75261, beginning at 1:30 p.m., local time, on June 27, 1983.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Parts 1120, 1126, 1132, and 1138

Milk marketing orders, Milk, Dairy products.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on April 15, 1983.

William T. Manley,

Deputy Administrator, Marketing Program Operations.

[FR Doc. 83-10634 Filed 4-20-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 33

[Docket No. 82-ANE-49, Notice No. SC-83-1-NE]

Special Conditions; General Electric Company CT7 Series Turboprop Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed special conditions.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes special conditions for type certification of the General Electric Company CR7 series turboprop engines. These engines will

have novel or unique design features associated with a propeller brake for which the applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards. This notice proposes the safety standards which the Administrator finds necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established in the regulations.

DATE: Comments must be received by June 1, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this proposal may be mailed in duplicate to Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attn: Rules Docket No. 82-ANE-49, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803. Comments in the Rules Docket may be examined weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald F. Perrault, Engine and Propeller Standards Staff, ANE-110, Federal Aviation Administration, New England Region, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803; telephone (617) 273-7330.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to comment on these special conditions by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or notice number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments specified above will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on this proposal. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available both before and after the closing date in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

The applicable airworthiness standards for the General Electric Company CT7 series turboprop engines are those regulations designated in accordance with § 21.21 and are known as the "Type Certification Basis" for the engine design. Special conditions may be issued and amended, as necessary, as part of the type certification basis if the Administrator finds that the airworthiness standards designated in accordance with § 21.17(a)(1) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards because of novel or unusual design features of the engine. Special Conditions are now being proposed in accordance with § 21.16, to become part