

Comments on this application must be received not later than March 28, 1983.

2. *Tritton Bancshares, Inc.*, St. Robert, Missouri; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 86 percent or more of the voting shares of First National Bank, St. Robert, Missouri. Comments on this application must be received not later than March 28, 1983.

D. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Thomas M. Hoenig, Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

1. *St. Paul Bancorporation, Inc.*, St. Paul, Nebraska; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent or more of the voting shares of St. Paul National Bank, St. Paul, Nebraska. Comments on this application must be received not later than March 28, 1983.

E. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Anthony J. Montelaro, Vice President) 400 South Akard Street, Dallas, Texas 75222:

1. *Bay Bancshares, Inc.*, La Porte, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Bayshore National Bank of La Porte, and Bayport National Bank, both of La Porte, Texas. Comments on this application must be received not later than March 28, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 28, 1983.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-5013 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Bank Holding Companies; Proposed De Novo Nonbank Activities; Old Stone Corp., et al.

The organizations identified in this notice have applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(1)), for permission to engage *de novo* (or continue to engage in an activity earlier commenced *de novo*), directly or indirectly, solely in the activities indicated, which have been determined by the Board of Governors to be closely related to banking.

With respect to these applications, interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue

concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any comment that requests a hearing must include a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of that proposal.

The applications may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Bank indicated. Comments and requests for hearing should identify clearly the specific application to which they relate, and should be submitted in writing and received by the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank not later than the date indicated.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston (Richard E. Randall, Vice President) 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02106:

1. *Old Stone Corporation*, Providence, Rhode Island (commercial lending; United States): To engage through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Guild Loan and Investment Company, in the activities of making and acquiring, for its own account or the account of others, commercial loans and other extensions of commercial credit, providing however, that Guild does not accept demand deposits or similar transaction accounts. These activities would be conducted from existing offices of Guild Loan and Investment Company located in Providence and Warwick, Rhode Island, and serving the continental United States, Alaska and Hawaii. Comments on this application must be received not later than March 28, 1983.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (A. Marshall Puckett, Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045:

1. *Bankers Trust New York Corporation*, New York, New York (finance and factoring activities; southeastern United States): To engage, through its subsidiary, BT Commercial Corporation, in making or acquiring loans or other extensions of credit such as would be made by a commercial finance company, including commercial loans secured by a borrower's accounts receivable, inventory or other assets; purchasing or acquiring accounts receivable and making advances thereon as would be done by a factor; servicing such loans or accounts for others; and acquiring and selling

participations in such obligations. These activities would be conducted from an office in Atlanta, Georgia, serving Georgia, Alabama, Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Virginia and West Virginia. Comments on this application must be received not later than March 28, 1983.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Robert E. Heck, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. *Central Bancshares of the South, Inc.*, Birmingham, Alabama (insurance activities; Alabama): To act through its subsidiary, CSN Underwriters, Inc., as agent or broker for the sale of credit life, accident, health, property and casualty insurance directly related to extensions of credit by a bank or bank holding company; to act as agent or broker with respect to the provision of insurance directly related to the provisions of other financial services by Applicant or its subsidiaries. The activities are permitted under the Garn-St. Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, Pub. L. 97-320, Section 601(D). These activities will be conducted from offices throughout Alabama, serving the State of Alabama. Comments on this application must be received not later than March 28, 1983.

D. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Bruce J. Hedblom, Vice President) 250 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480:

1. *First Manistique Corporation*, Manistique, Michigan (general insurance activities; Michigan): To engage *de novo* through The First Manistique Agency, a division of First Manistique Corporation, in general insurance activities including, but not limited to, life, accident and health, and physical damage insurance. The First National Bank of Manistique will service the counties of Schoolcraft and portions of Luce which have a population of approximately 3,948. The Manistique Lakes Bank of Newberry will service the counties of Mackinac, Alger and portions of Luce which have a population of approximately 2,700. Comments on this application must be received not later than March 23, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 28, 1983.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-5014 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; Request for Information on the Effectiveness of Hazardous Energy Control Procedures (Lockout/Tagout)

AGENCY: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control, Public Health Service, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of request for information.

SUMMARY: NIOSH is requesting information (as part of an Interagency Agreement with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)) documenting the effectiveness of specific lockout/tagout procedures designed for worker protection. Lockout/tagout procedures are designed to maintain a process or system in a safe condition during troubleshooting, servicing, maintenance, or re-energization. These lockout/tagout procedures are intended to prevent the release of hazardous energy (including electrical energy, mechanical energy, thermal energy, and chemical energy). The information obtained will be used by NIOSH and OSHA to develop statements of rationale for the selection and use of specific procedures under specific conditions. The information will also be used to develop a scientific study protocol to determine the effectiveness of specific hazardous energy control procedures.

DATE: Comments concerning this notice should be submitted by May 3, 1983.

ADDRESS: Any information, comments, suggestions, or recommendations should be submitted in writing to: Dr. James A. Oppold, Director, Division of Safety Research, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 944 Chestnut Ridge Road, Morgantown, WV 26505.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Murray L. Cohen, Chief, or Dr. Michael B. Moll, Statistician, Standards and Consultation Branch, Division of Safety Research, NIOSH, Morgantown, WV, (304) 291-4575, or FTS 923-4575.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NIOSH conducts research programs aimed at reducing worker injuries by identifying accident causation agent/sequence, as well as identifying/developing effective hazard control practices. On January 31, 1980, NIOSH published in the *Federal Register* (45 FR 7006) a request for information on the use of effective lockout and interlock devices, systems, and procedures. As a continuation of

that research effort, NIOSH is now requesting comments, suggestions, or information related specifically to the effectiveness of lockout/tagout procedures. At this time, NIOSH is particularly interested in information in any of the following areas:

(1) Available data or available sources of data, especially case reports, which identify worker injuries that are related to lockout/tagout procedures.

(2) Methods of enforcing hazardous energy control procedures and methods for obtaining feedbacks on the effectiveness of such procedures.

(3) Documentation of the effectiveness of adherence to lockout/tagout procedures during maintenance and servicing.

(4) Information regarding the rationale(s) used for selection and application of hazardous energy control methods during maintenance and servicing.

The information received in response to this notice, except that designated as trade secret and protected by section 15 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act, will be available for examination and copying at the above address.

Dated: February 23, 1983.

J. Donald Millar,

Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 83-5647 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-18-M

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Review of Program Actions

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control (CDC), Public Health Service (PHS), HHS.

ACTION: List of CDC program actions that are categorically excluded from the NEPA environmental review process.

SUMMARY: This notice provides a list of CDC program actions which normally do not require either an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment (Council on Environmental Quality categorical exclusions, paragraph 1508.4; and the Health and Human Services (HHS) General Administration Manual, Part 30)).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 4, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Frank S. Lisella, Chief, Environmental Affairs Group, Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; telephone (404) 452-4095, or FTS: 236-4095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The HHS environmental review procedures and guidelines, which have been published

as Part 30 of the HHS General Administration Manual (GAM), require that each HHS Operating Division identify those program actions which should be categorically excluded from environmental review requirements. The procedures for determining if a program action can be categorically excluded are found in Section 30-29-40 of the GAM. As part of the PHS program review, components of the CDC have reviewed their actions and have identified the following as suitable for categorical exclusion. These exclusions will apply unless the responsible program official determines that sufficient evidence exists to establish that the proposed action may have a significant environmental effect.

Categorical Exclusions

A. The following CDC program actions are excluded from environmental review requirements under provisions of the HHS GAM Section 30-20-40-B-1:

1. When a law grants an exception.
2. When the courts have found that an action does not require an environmental review.
3. When an action implements activities outside the territorial jurisdiction of the United States and such activities are excluded from review by Executive Order 12114.

B. The Following CDC program actions are excluded from environmental review requirements based on their functional nature as described in HHS GAM Section 30-20-40-B-2:

1. Routine administrative and management support, including legal counsel, public affairs, program evaluation, monitoring, and individual personnel actions. Typical actions include:
 - a. Program planning and development, program management, program evaluation, and budgeting.
 - b. Legal and legislative review/support.
 - c. Communications and correspondence control.
 - d. Processing of individual personnel actions including travel.
 - e. Procurement of office supplies and equipment.
 - f. Extension/renewal of existing leases.
 - g. Other similar administrative support actions.
2. Appellate reviews (when CDC was a plaintiff in the lower court decision).
3. Data processing and systems analysis. Typical actions include:
 - a. Updating of existing data bases.

b. Printing and distributing reports, studies, guidelines, and other health related technical material.

c. Developing new/redesigning existing data systems to meet specific program needs.

d. Acquisition, development, and implementation of ADP systems.

4. The awarding of funds through grants and cooperative agreements for training, research, investigations, and technical assistance. Typical actions include:

a. Occupational safety and health research and training.

b. Childhood immunization.

c. Venereal disease control, research, demonstration, and public information and education.

d. Health programs for refugees under the Immigration and Nationality Act.

e. State-based diabetes control or other programs.

f. Preventive health and health services block grants.

g. Investigations and technical assistance.

5. Actions associated with the conduct of liaison functions with other government and nongovernmental entities. CDC is represented on a wide range of groups such as:

a. Intergovernmental task forces.

b. Ad hoc committees.

c. Work groups.

d. National code setting organizations.

e. International committees.

f. Interdepartmental groups.

6. Actions related to routine maintenance, repair, or replacement of equipment or structural components (doors, windows, roof, etc.) of CDC controlled facilities and improvements to those facilities. (Note: This exclusion does not apply to facilities listed or eligible for listing on National Register of Historic Places.)

7. Actions associated with data collection, storage, and dissemination. These actions typically involve:

a. Surveillance of health, population, and other indices and analysis for program management and budget justification purposes.

b. Identification and definition of preventable health problems including conducting research and demonstrations.

c. Surveillance of diseases through epidemiologic, laboratory, and field investigations and data collection, analysis, and distribution.

d. Planning, developing, and producing the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report and various other surveillance reports.

8. Technical assistance by CDC program personnel. These actions typically consist of:

a. Technical assistance to other Federal agencies, other HHS components, State and local governments, universities, nonprofit organizations, foreign governments, and international organizations.

b. The assignment of CDC personnel to Federal, State, and local governmental agencies, universities, nonprofit organizations, foreign governments, and international organizations for technical assistance.

9. Actions related to the adoption of regulations and guidelines pertaining to the above activities (except technical assistance and those resulting in population changes).

C. The following CDC program actions are excluded from environmental review requirements under provisions of HHS GAM Section 30-20-40 based on the determination that they will not normally: (a) significantly affect the human environment (as defined in NEPA), or (b) affect an asset (as defined in the related acts) regardless of location or magnitude:

1. Direct delivery of medical, laboratory, or other related health services by CDC staff or by contract providers.

2. Utilization of health professionals or paraprofessionals to supplement existing manpower resources in medically underserved areas or during health emergencies.

3. Application of pesticides which are not classified for restricted use under provisions of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act when used for routine pest control purposes.

4. Relocation of employees into existing owned or office space currently leased within the same metropolitan area.

D. The following CDC program actions are partially excluded from environmental review requirements under provisions of HHS GAM Section 30-20-40 based on the determination that they may cause a significant environmental effect or impact an asset at some but not all locations and/or levels of magnitude or they may have an effect/impact associated with some but not all environmental and related acts:

1. Actions associated with the construction of 10,000 square feet or less of occupiable space are excluded except when such construction impacts properties: (a) listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places; (b) with possible archeological, prehistoric, or scientific importance; and/or (c) located where natural asset

review is mandated (see GAM Section 30-50).

2. Program actions with similar or related actions subject to previous environmental review if the effects of the action have been determined environmentally insignificant and the historic/natural asset implications are the same as for the action(s) previously reviewed.

Dated: February 23, 1983.

William H. Foege,

Director, Centers for Disease Control.

[FR Doc. 83-5648 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45]

BILLING CODE 4160-18-M

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 83F-0037]

EMS-CHEMIE AG; Filing of Food Additive Petition

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that the EMS-CHEMIE AG has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of Nylon 12T in food-contact articles.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Julia L. Ho, Bureau of Foods (HFF-334), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 2B3670) has been filed by EMS-CHEMIE AG, CH-7013 Domat/Ems Switzerland, proposing that Part 177 (21 CFR Part 177) of the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of Nylon 12T manufactured by polymerization of *omega*-lauro lactam, isophthalic acid and bis(4-amino-3-methylcyclohexyl)methane in food-contact articles.

The agency has carefully considered the potential environmental effects of this action and has concluded that the action will not have a significant impact on the human environment and that an environmental impact statement is not required. The agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md 20857, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.