

issue such a suspension if it appears to the Commission that any registration statement containing a designation pursuant to this section is incomplete or inaccurate in any material respect, whether or not such registration statement has become effective, or that the registrant has not complied with the conditions of this section. Following such action by the Commission, the registrant may file with the Commission at any time a petition for review of the suspension. The Commission will order a hearing on the matter if a request for such a hearing is included in the petition.

#### PART 239—FORMS PRESCRIBED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

II. Part 239 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by:

1. Amending the facing sheet of Form S-6; and
2. Amending the signature page of Form S-6 as follows:

##### § 239.16 Form S-6, for unit investment trusts registered on Form N-8B-2.

- \* \* \* \*
1. Amendment to facing sheet. Insert the following after "Approximate date of proposed public offering":  
 Check box if it is proposed that this filing will become effective on (date) at (time) pursuant to Rule 487.
  2. Amendment to signature page. Insert the following after the first textual paragraph under "SIGNATURES":

##### Alternative Form of Signature for Filings Under Rule 487

The registrant, \* \* \*, hereby identifies series (number(s) and type) of the trust for purposes of the representations required by rule 487 and represents the following:

- (1) That the portfolio securities deposited in the series as to the securities of which this registration statement is being filed do not differ materially in type or quality from those deposited in such previous series;
- (2) That, except to the extent necessary to identify the specific portfolio securities deposited in, and to provide essential financial information for, the series with respect to the securities of which this registration statement is being filed, this registration statement does not contain disclosures that differ in any material respect from those contained in the registration statement(s) for such previous series as to which the effective date was determined by the Commission or the staff; and
- (3) That it has complied with rule 460 under the Securities Act of 1933.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant has duly caused this registration statement to be

signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, and its seal to be hereunto affixed and attested, all in the city of \_\_\_\_\_, and State of \_\_\_\_\_, on the day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_.

#### PART 200—ORGANIZATION; CONDUCT AND ETHICS; AND INFORMATION AND REQUESTS

##### Subpart A—Organization and Program Management

III. Part 200 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. By amending § 200.30-5 by adding paragraph (b-3) to read as follows:

##### § 200.30-5 Delegation of authority to Director of Division of Investment Management.

\* \* \* \*

(b-3) With respect to registration statements filed pursuant to paragraph (a) of rule 487 under the Act (17 CFR 230.487(a)):

- (1) To suspend the operation of said paragraph (a) and to issue written notices to registrants of such suspensions.

##### Statutory Authority, Effective Date

The Commission hereby adopts rule 487 and amendments to Form S-6 pursuant to the provisions of 7, 8 and 19(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77g, 77h and 77s(a)). It finds that any changes in the rule from the proposal published in Securities Act Release No. 6356 have already been generally subject to comment and pertain to matters of form or are less burdensome than those proposed. Further notice and rulemaking procedures under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) are, therefore, unnecessary. Further, the Commission hereby amends rule 30-5 pursuant to authority contained in the Act of August 30, 1962, Pub. L. 87-592, 76 Stat. 394 (15 U.S.C. 78d-1, 78d-2) and Section 38 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-37). These actions are effective immediately pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1)).

By the Commission,  
 George A. Fitzsimmons,  
 Secretary.

May 7, 1982.

[FR Doc. 82-12968 Filed 5-11-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

##### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM81-7]

##### 18 CFR Part 4

#### Exemption From the Licensing Requirements of Part I of the Federal Power Act of Certain Categories of Small Hydroelectric Power Projects With an Installed Capacity of 5 Megawatts or less; Correction

Issued: May 4, 1982.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** On January 19, 1982, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued a Final Rule and Additional Notice of Finding of No Significant Impacts in Docket No. RM81-7 implementing "Exemption from the Licensing Requirements of Part I of the Federal Power Act of Certain Categories of Small Hydroelectric Power Projects With an Installed Capacity of 5 Megawatts or less." (47 FR 4232, January 29, 1982).

This document corrects an unintentional omission.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Hoecker, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 357-8033.

##### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### §§ 4.112, 4.113 [Corrected]

In §§ 4.112(b)(2) and 4.113(b)(2), shown at 47 FR 4245 and 4246 respectively, following "U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service", insert ", National Marine Fisheries Service,".

In §§ 4.112(c)(5)(ii) and 4.113(c)(5)(ii) shown at 47 FR 4245 and 4246 respectively, following the phrase "[identify which office]", insert ", National Marine Fisheries Service,".

Kenneth F. Plumb,  
 Secretary.

[FR Doc. 82-12832 Filed 5-11-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## 18 CFR Part 294

[Docket No. RM79-52-000]

**Interim Procedures for Shortages of Electric Energy and Capacity Under Section 206 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 Extension of Interim Rule**

Issued: May 5, 1982.

**AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

**ACTION:** Extension of interim rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission amends its rules to extend, through a period ending April 30, 1983, the interim rule implementing reporting procedures for shortages of electric energy and capacity, under section 206 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. Under the rule, each public utility now serving firm power wholesale customers must report to the Commission, the utility's customers, and appropriate State authorities, any shortages of electric energy or capacity anticipated to occur prior to April 30, 1983. Also, the rule requires each public utility to report any modifications of its plans for accommodating shortages which may occur before April 30, 1983.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 5, 1982.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Bernard B. Chew, Office of Electric Power Regulation, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Room 504RB, Washington, D.C. 20426 (202) 357-9264;

Kenneth J. Malloy, Rulemaking and Legislative Analysis Section, Office of General Counsel, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Room 8602-A, Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 357-8033.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) is amending § 294.101 of its regulations (18 CFR 294.101), an interim rule which requires electric utilities to report to the Commission anticipated shortages of electric energy and capacity as required by section 206 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA). The amendment extends the effectiveness of the rule through April 30, 1983.

**I. Discussion**

Section 206 of PURPA amended the Federal Power Act by adding a new subsection (g) to section 202, under which the Commission is, by rule, to require that each public utility (1) provide prompt notice to the Commission and the appropriate state regulatory authority of any anticipated

shortages of electric energy or capacity which would affect the utility's capability of serving its wholesale customers, (2) prepare and submit to the Commission and to any appropriate state regulatory authority contingency plans that would outline what circumstances might give rise to such shortages, and (3) accommodate such shortages while giving due consideration to the public health, safety, and welfare, and assuring that all persons served directly and indirectly are treated without undue prejudice or disadvantage.

On June 15, 1979, the Commission issued, on an interim basis, 18 CFR 294.101 to implement section 206 of PURPA.<sup>1</sup> The Commission also issued a Notice of Inquiry requesting comments on various issues relating to the implementation of section 206 of PURPA.<sup>2</sup>

The interim rule requires, in paragraph (b), that each public utility serving firm power wholesale customers to submit by July 23, 1979, "a brief statement indicating how it would accommodate any shortages of electric energy or capacity affecting its firm power customers, if such shortages were to occur prior to September 30, 1979."<sup>3</sup>

Paragraph (c) of the interim rule requires each utility to report immediately any shortage of electric energy or capacity which the utility anticipates will occur. In that report the utility must provide the Commission with certain information, including information regarding any procedures for accommodating a particular shortage, if they differ from the procedures set out in the utility's statement submitted pursuant to paragraph (b) of the interim rule.

**II. Revision to Interim Rule**

Prior to this amendment, paragraph (a) defined "anticipated shortages of electric capacity or energy" as those situations which would result in

<sup>1</sup>Interim Regulations, 18 CFR 294.101 (1979), Docket No. RM79-52 (issued June 15, 1979).

<sup>2</sup>Inquiry Implementing Section 206 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, Continuation of Service, Docket No. RM79-52, (issued April 22, 1980), 45 FR 28,162 (April 28, 1980). The Commission staff is reviewing the comments received in order to determine whether there are additional appropriate means of implementing section 206 of PURPA.

<sup>3</sup>This language was adopted by an Amendment to Interim Regulations, Docket No. RM79-52 (issued August 1, 1979), 44 FR 46,455 (August 8, 1979), in order to make clear the Commission's intent that all public utilities serving firm customers must submit statements concerning the methods by which they accommodate possible shortages. Before this amendment, the language appeared to suggest that only those utilities which anticipated shortages prior to September 30, 1979, were obliged to file accommodation reports under section 206(a) of PURPA.

shortages "anticipated to occur prior to April 30, 1982." This amendment will extend the definition to cover shortages anticipated to occur prior to April 30, 1983, so that the Commission will be advised of any anticipated shortages which may occur during the coming year. Accordingly, the Commission is amending the interim rule.

Additionally, the interim rule is amended to extend to April 30, 1983, the requirement of paragraph (c) that a utility file a supplemental statement setting forth changes in the contingency plan on file with the Commission. A utility need not file a statement of its plan to accommodate shortages prior to April 30, 1983, if that plan does not vary from that set out in the statement already filed pursuant to paragraph (b).

**III. Effective Date**

Since the Commission is required by statute to have rules in effect regarding the continuance of electric service, good cause exists to make this amendment effective immediately and to do so without prior notice and comment under 5 U.S.C. 553(b).

**List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 294**

Electric utilities, Reporting requirements.

(Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, Pub. L. 95-617, 92 Stat. 3117 (1978); Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792-828c))

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 294 of Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below, May 5, 1982.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,  
Secretary.

**PART 294—INTERIM PROCEDURES FOR SHORTAGES OF ELECTRIC ENERGY AND CAPACITY UNDER SECTION 206 OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY REGULATORY POLICIES ACT OF 1978**

**§ 294.101 [Amended]**

1. Section 294.101 is amended in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (b)(4) to remove the date "1982" each time it appears and to insert in lieu thereof the date "1983".

2. Section 294.101 is further amended by adding parenthetically immediately

<sup>4</sup>This date was initially September 30, 1978, but was extended to April 30, 1980, by Interim Regulations, Docket No. RM79-52 (issued Oct. 23, 1979), 44 FR 61,953 (Oct. 29, 1979). It was extended to April 30, 1981, by Amendment to Extend Interim Regulations, Docket No. RM79-52 (issued April 1, 1980), 45 FR 23,684 (April 8, 1980), and to April 30, 1982, by Amendment to Extend Interim Regulations, Docket No. RM79-52 (issued April 23, 1981), 46 FR 24,550 (May 1, 1981).

following the text of the section the following statement:

(The reporting requirements contained in this section are not subject to OMB approval under section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520.)

[FR Doc. 82-12971 Filed 5-11-82; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 21 CFR Part 561

[FAP 1H5289/T83; PH FRL 2120-5]

#### Methamidophos; Tolerances for Pesticides

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule establishes a feed additive regulation to permit residues of the insecticide methamidophos in or on safflower meal resulting from its use in a proposed experimental program involving application of the insecticide on the growing crop safflower. This regulation, to permit the marketing of the commodity while further data on methamidophos are being collected, was requested by Chevron Chemical Co.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 12, 1982.

**ADDRESS:** Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 3708 (A-110), 401 M St, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William Miller, Product Manager (PM) 16, Registration Division (TS-787C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 211 CM No. 2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-2600).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA issued a notice published in the Federal Register of April 22, 1981 (46 FR 22983) which announced that Chevron Chemical Co., 940 Hensley St., Richmond, CA 94804, had submitted a feed additive petition (FAP 1H5289) proposing that 21 CFR Part 561 be amended by the establishment of a regulation permitting the residues of the insecticide methamidophos (*O,S*-dimethyl phosphoramidothioate) in or on the feed commodity safflower meal at 0.6 part per million (ppm) resulting from its use in a proposed experimental program involving application of the insecticide to the growing crop safflower.

There were no comments received in response to this notice of filing.

The scientific data submitted and other relevant material have been evaluated, and it has been determined that the pesticide may be safely used in accordance with the provisions of the experimental use permit which is being concurrently issued under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended.

The toxicology data considered in support of the regulation were acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> studies on the rat and mouse; an acute dermal LD<sub>50</sub> study on the rabbit; an acute inhalation LC<sub>50</sub> and cholinesterase activity study on the rat; a primary eye irritation study on the rabbit; 90-day rat and dog feeding studies; a teratology study (rabbit); an acute delayed neurotoxicity (hen) study; and an interim report of an oncogenic study (mouse).

Desirable data that are currently lacking and the projected dates of completion of these studies are as follows: a chronic feeding/oncogenic study (rat)—August 1984; the final report of the oncogenic study (mouse)—January 1983; a teratology study (rat)—October 1982; a reproduction study (rat)—June 1984; and mutagenic study (dominant lethal)—November 1982.

Although there are significant data gaps for the chemical, the available toxicity data are adequate to support the proposed temporary tolerance because the proposed experimental use will not significantly increase the current theoretical maximum residue contribution (TMRC) to the human diet. The TMRC from this use would be less than 0.003 percent (0.0000045 milligram (mg) per day). No detectable residues (less than 0.01 ppm) were found in the refined safflower oil. A related document establishing a temporary tolerance for residues of the insecticide in or on safflower seed at 0.3 ppm appears elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register.

The currently established tolerances for the combined residues of acephate and methamidophos in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs are adequate to cover any secondary residues of methamidophos in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs resulting from the proposed experimental use on safflower.

The metabolism of the insecticide is adequately understood and an adequate analytical method (gas chromatography equipped with a cesium bromide thermionic detector) is available for enforcement purposes. There are presently no actions pending against continued registration of this chemical.

Based on the above information considered by the Agency, it is concluded that the pesticide may be safely used in accordance with the

prescribed manner when such uses are in accordance with the label and labeling registered pursuant to FIFRA, as amended, (86 Stat. 973; 89 Stat 751; U.S.C. 136(a) *et seq.*). Therefore, 21 CFR Part 561 is amended as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, on or before June 11, 1982, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk (A-101), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing and the grounds for the objections. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulation from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-534, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new food or feed additive levels, or conditions for safe use of additives, or raising such food or feed additive levels do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24945).

Effective on: May 12, 1982.

#### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 561

Animal feeds, Pesticides and pests.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 346(c)(1)))

Dated: April 27, 1982.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

#### PART 561—TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDES IN ANIMAL FEEDS ADMINISTERED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Therefore, 21 CFR Part 561 is amended by adding a new § 561.277 to read as follows:

##### § 561.277 Methamidophos.

(a) A feed additive regulation is established for residues of the insecticide methamidophos (*O,S*-dimethyl phosphoramidothioate) to read as follows:

Feed	Parts per million	Company	Expiration date
Safflower meal.....	0.6	Chevron Chemical.	Aug. 31, 1982

(b) Residues not in excess of the tolerance limitation indicated above resulting from the use of the insecticide remaining after the expiration of the experimental use program will not be considered actionable if the pesticide is legally applied during the term of, and in accordance with, the provisions of the experimental use permit and feed additive tolerance.

(c) The company shall immediately notify the Environmental Protection Agency of any findings from the experimental use that have a bearing on safety. The firm shall also keep records of production, distribution, and performance and on request make the records available to any authorized officer or employee of the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food and Drug Administration.

[FR Doc. 82-12530 Filed 5-11-82; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

### Office of the Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity

#### 24 CFR Part 120

[Docket No. R-82-959]

#### Community Housing Resource Board Program; Disbursement of Funds

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice of effective date for interim rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces the effective date for the interim rule published in the *Federal Register* on March 25, 1982 (47 FR 12926) which governed the disbursement of funds to Community Housing Resource Boards to carry out activities that would enhance the effectiveness of the Voluntary Affirmative Marketing Agreements Program. The effective date provision of the rule stated that the rule would become effective upon expiration of the first period of 30 calendar days of continuous session of Congress after publication, subject to waiver, and announced that future notice of the effectiveness of the rule would be published in the *Federal Register*.

Thirty calendar days of continuous session of Congress have expired since the rule was published.

**DATE:** The effective date for the interim rule published March 25, 1982, 47 FR 12926, is May 12, 1982.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Lasner, Assistant General Counsel for Regulations, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 5218, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410, Telephone No. (202) 755-6207. This is not a toll-free number.

Dated: May 6, 1982.  
Richard Lasner,  
Assistant General Counsel for Regulations.  
[FR Doc. 82-12736 Filed 5-11-82; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4210-29-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

#### 27 CFR Part 9

[T.D. ATF-101; Ref: Notice No. 369]

#### Edna Valley Viticultural Area

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Final rule. Treasury decision.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule establishes a viticultural area in San Luis Obispo County, California, to be named "Edna Valley." The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) believes the establishment of Edna Valley as a viticultural area and its subsequent use as an appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements will allow wineries in the area to better designate where their wines come from and will enable consumers to better identify the wines from this area.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 11, 1982.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert L. White, Research and Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Washington, DC 20226 (202-566-7626).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 23, 1978, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-53 (43 FR 37672, 54624) revising regulations in 27 CFR Part 4 allowing the establishment of definite viticultural areas. These regulations also allow the name of an approved viticultural area to be used as an appellation of origin in wine labeling and advertising.

Section 4.25a(e)(1) defines an American viticultural area as a delimited grape-growing region

distinguishable by geographic characteristics. Section 4.25a(e)(2) outlines the procedures for proposing an American viticultural area. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area.

Edna Valley Vineyard, Paragon Vineyard Co., Inc., Chamisal Vineyard, Lawrence Winery, and MacGregor Vineyards petitioned ATF to establish a viticultural area in San Luis Obispo County, California, to be named "Edna Valley."

In response to this petition, ATF published a notice of proposed rulemaking, Notice No. 369, in the *Federal Register* on April 9, 1981 (46 FR 21195), proposing the establishment of the Edna Valley viticultural area.

#### Comments

Ten comments were received during the comment period. Six of the comments were from wineries and vineyards located in Edna Valley. The remaining four comments were from a wine consumer, a farm advisor at the University of California, a consulting enologist in the state of California, and a Chairman of the Board of a vineyard located outside of Edna Valley. All ten comments were in full support of the petition. ATF has received no information from any source indicating opposition to the petition.

#### Evidence of the Name

The name of the area, Edna Valley, was well documented in the petition. The valley derives its name from the small community of Edna founded about 1883. The name "Edna" was first used in a recorded lease document in 1899. Over the ensuing years the valley became locally known as Edna Valley. After evaluating the petition and the comments received, ATF believes that the Edna Valley viticultural area has a unique historical identity and that the name "Edna Valley" is the most appropriate name for the area.

#### Geographical Evidence

In accordance with 27 CFR 4.25a(e)(2), a viticultural area should possess geographical features which distinguish the viticultural features of the area from surrounding areas.

The petition and attached documents show that Edna Valley is a natural, elongated valley consisting of approximately 35 square miles. It is oriented along a northwest-southeast axis and is well defined by the Santa Lucia Mountains on the northeast side; by a low, hilly complex on the southeast; and by the San Luis Range on