

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulation, is amended as follows:

Subpart K—Florida

In § 52.520 paragraph (c)(42) is added as follows:

§ 52.520 Identification of plan.

(c) The plan revisions listed below were submitted on the date specified.

(42) Revised open burning and frost protection rule and ambient lead standard, submitted on December 23, 1981, by the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation.

[FR Doc. 82-9846 Filed 4-9-82; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL-2076-5]

Iowa; Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: Today EPA approves the Iowa Ambient Air Monitoring Strategy (IAAMS) as part of the Iowa State Implementation Plan (SIP). The monitoring strategy describes the methods to be used to measure levels of air pollution at various places around the state. Approval of the IAAMS means the EPA finds the monitoring methods to be acceptable when compared to EPA requirements. The IAAMS contains requirements applicable to the operation of air quality monitors and does not impose any controls or limits on sources of air pollution.

This action will be effective 60 days from today unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1982.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Daniel J. Wheeler, Environmental Protection Agency, 324 East 11th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The state submission is available at the above address and at the Iowa Department of Environmental Quality, Henry A. Wallace Building, 900 East Grand, Des Moines, Iowa 50319; the Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2922, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460; and the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L

Street, NW., Room 8401, Washington, D.C. 20408.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel J. Wheeler at 816-374-3791.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Federal regulations concerning ambient air quality surveillance (40 CFR Part 58) require states to submit plan revisions providing for the establishment and proper operation of air monitors. On July 15, 1981, the Executive Director of the Iowa Department of Environmental Quality submitted the IAAMS and supporting documents.

The IAAMS provides for the designation of certain air monitoring sites as State and Local Air Monitoring Stations, requires that they be operated according to quality assurance criteria, that they use only acceptable monitoring methods and that they be located according to EPA siting criteria. It provides for at least one such station to be operated during emergency episodes, for an annual review of the system and for availability of a network description upon request.

The above plan provisions satisfy the basic requirements of 40 CFR 58.20 Air Quality Surveillance: Plan Content. Therefore, EPA approves the IAAMS as an SIP revision and incorporates it into the existing plan. EPA believes this action is noncontroversial and is taking final action to approve this submission without prior proposal. The public is advised that this action will be effective June 11, 1982. However, if notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments, this action will be withdrawn and two subsequent notices will be published before the effective date. One notice will withdraw final action and another will begin a new rulemaking by announcing a proposal of the action and establishing a comment period.

Pursuant to the provision of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), I hereby certify that the attached rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of today.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons.

(Secs. 110 and 319 of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410 and 7619))

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Iowa was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1981.

Dated: April 5, 1982.

Anne M. Gorsuch,
Administrator.

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart Q—Iowa

1. Section 52.820 is amended by adding a new paragraph (c)(41) to read as follows:

§ 52.820 Identification of plan.

(c) The plan revisions listed below were submitted on the dates specified.

(41) The Iowa Ambient Air Monitoring Strategy was submitted July 15, 1981, by the Department of Environmental Quality (non-regulatory).

[FR Doc. 82-9836 Filed 4-9-82; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-7-FRL-2081-2]

Iowa; Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking (FRM).

SUMMARY: Today EPA approves a revision to the Iowa State Implementation Plan (SIP) which provides for an alternative emission control program at one source of air pollution. This program, which was negotiated between the source and the Iowa Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), provides greater reductions in air pollutant emissions than the generally applicable SIP, but at a significant cost savings to the source.

This action will be effective 60 days from today unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1982.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Daniel J. Wheeler, Environmental Protection Agency, 324 East 11th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The state submission is available at the above

address and at the Iowa Department of Environmental Quality, Henry A. Wallace Building, 900 East Grand, Des Moines, Iowa 50319; the Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2922, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460; and the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 8401, Washington, D.C. 20408.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel J. Wheeler at 816 374-3791.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 8, 1981, the Iowa Department of Environmental Quality submitted a set of source specific emission limits applicable to the Progressive Foundry, Inc., of Perry, Iowa. These limits have been submitted for EPA approval as SIP revisions so that the source will not be subject to the generally applicable SIP limits.

Progressive Foundry is located in an area which has been designated as attaining the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for all pollutants. When the cupola operates at its average process weight rate of 8,534 pounds per hour its emission limit under the generally applicable SIP is 14.9 pounds of particulate matter per hour (lb/hr). Its tested emission rate is 16.3 lb/hr., which exceeds the allowable by 1.4 lb/hr.

The foundry has another emission source in the "casting shakeout" area. In this area the gray iron castings are removed from the molds used to form the molten metal into the desired shapes. The molds are made of clay and sand. When the castings have cooled they are shaken out of the molds, which are broken in the process. The state has determined that the shakeout area is in compliance and estimates the emissions from the shakeout area to be 40 lb/hr. The company has proposed to control this emission point to an estimated 12.8 lb/hr. The company will achieve this reduction of 27.2 lb/hr at a cost estimated to be \$250,000 less than would be required to reduce cupola emissions only 1.4 lb/hr.

The state has accepted the company's proposal and issued a conditional permit specifying the above emission limits and has submitted these new limits as part of the Iowa SIP. The company and the state have entered into a consent order setting out a compliance schedule. This schedule was not EPA approved previously but is included in today's action. This schedule and set of revised emission limits were submitted by the state as an alternative emission reduction program (Bubble concept). For a description of the requirements for a

bubble please see the **Federal Register** of December 11, 1979 (44 FR 71780).

EPA is today approving the emission limits and compliance schedules contained in the state submission of December 8, 1981. These limits and schedules are binding on Progressive Foundry, Inc., of Perry, Iowa. The state permit contains provisions allowing the company to submit a demonstration that higher emission rates are acceptable. Any new limit developed under this procedure must be approved by EPA before the limits approved today may be exceeded.

EPA is approving this change without prior proposal because it affects only one source and is noncontroversial. The public is advised that this action will be effective June 11, 1982. However, if notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments, this action will be withdrawn and two subsequent notices will be published before the effective date. One notice will withdraw the final action and another will begin a new rulemaking by announcing a proposal of the action and establishing a comment period.

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), I hereby certify that the attached rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities since it affects only one company.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, judicial review of this action is available only by the filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of today. Under Section 307(b)(2), the requirements which are the subject of today's notice may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by the EPA to enforce these requirements.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead,

Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons.

(Secs. 110 and 301 of the Clean Air Act as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410 and 7601))

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Iowa was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1981.

Dated: April 5, 1982.

Anne M. Gorsuch,
Administrator.

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart Q—Iowa

1. Section 52.820 is amended by adding a new paragraph (c)(42) to read as follows:

§ 52.820 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

(42) A conditional permit containing an alternative emission reduction program for the Progressive Foundry, Inc., of Perry, Iowa, under 400-3.7 and 400-4.6 of the Iowa Administrative Code; and an administrative order setting forth a compliance schedule, were submitted on December 18, 1981, by the Executive Director. The conditional permit specifies particulate emissions not to exceed 16.3 pounds per hour from the gray iron cupola with a process weight rate not to exceed 8534 pounds per hour and specifies the casting shakeout exhaust system shall not exceed 0.05 grains per standard cubic foot nor 12.8 pounds per hour of particulate matter. The compliance schedule specifies a final compliance date of September 1, 1983.

2. Section 52.825 is amended by adding the following compliance schedule at the end of the existing list in § 52.825(c):

§ 52.825 Compliance schedules.

(c) * * *

IOWA

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date adopted	Variance expiration date	Final compliance date
Progressive Foundry, Inc., cupola and casting shakeout area	Perry	400-4.6	11/6/81		09/01/83

[FR Doc. 82-9639 Filed 4-9-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-9-FRL 2082-5]

California Rule Revisions for Three Sacramento Valley Air Pollution Control Districts

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Revisions to rules of the Sutter, Tehama, and Yuba County Air Pollution Control Districts (APCDs) were forwarded to EPA by the State of California. These revisions generally are administrative and retain equivalent emission control requirements. EPA reviewed these rules with respect to the Clean Air Act and determined that they should be approved.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1982.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the revisions are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations:

Public Information Reference Unit,
Environmental Protection Agency
Library, 401 "M" Street, S.W., Room
2404, Washington, D.C. 20460
Office of the Federal Register, 1100 "L"
Street, N.W., Room 8401, Washington,
D.C. 20408

California Air Resources Board, 1102
"Q" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812

Sutter County Air Pollution Control
District, 142 Garden Way, Yuba City,
CA 95991

Tehama County Air Pollution Control
District, 1760 Walnut Street, Red Bluff,
CA 96080

Yuba County Air Pollution Control
District, 938 14th Street, Marysville,
CA 95901

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Douglas Grano, Chief, State
Implementation Plan Section, Air
Management Division, Environmental
Protection Agency, Region 9, 215
Fremont Street, San Francisco, CA
94105, (415) 974-8058.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
California Air Resources Board
submitted the following rules and
regulations on the indicated dates:

Sutter

January 28, 1981.

Rule

- 1.0 Title.
- 1.1 Definitions.
- 1.2 Validity.
- 1.3 Effective Date.
- 2.1 Exceptions to Rule 2.0 (Open Fires).
- 2.2 No title (Authorization to Issue Burning Permits).
- 2.3 Burning on "No-Burn" Days.
- 2.4 Exception to Rule 2.3.

- 2.5 Permit Regulations.
- 2.6 Burning Hours.
- 2.7 Agricultural Burning Requirement.
- 2.8 Range Improvement and Property Being Developed for Commercial or Residential Purposes.
- 2.9 Prohibited Burning.
- 2.10 Exceptions (To No-Burn Day Restrictions).
- 2.11 Fire Prevention.
- 2.12 Designated Agencies.
- 2.15 Orchard and Citrus Heaters.
- 2.16 Cost of Putting Out a Fire.
- 3.0 Visible Emissions.
- 3.1 Exceptions to Rule 3.0.
- 3.2 Particulate Matter Concentration.
- 3.3 Dust and Fumes.
- 3.4 Separation of Emissions.
- 3.5 Combination of Emissions.
- 3.6 Sand Blasting.
- 3.7 Reduction of Animal Matter.
- 3.9 Incinerator Burning.
- 3.10 Sulfur Oxides.
- 3.12 Organic Solvents.
- 3.13 Circumvention.
- 4.0 General Requirements (Stationary Source Permits).
- 4.1 Permits Required.
- 4.2 Existing Emission Sources.
- 4.3 Exemptions From Permit.
- 4.4 Standards for Granting Applications.
- 4.5 Conditional Approval.
- 4.7 Denial of Applications.
- 4.8 Public Information.
- 4.9 Action on Application.
- 4.10 Appeals.
- 4.12 Implementation Plans.
- 4.13 Alteration of Permit.
- 4.14 Posting of Permit.
- 4.15 Transfer of Permit.
- 5.0 General (Hearing Board and Procedures).
- 5.1 Hearing Board.
- 5.2 Procedures.
- 5.3 Hearings.
- 5.4 Contents of Petitions for Hearing.
- 5.5 Request for Variances.
- 5.6 Appeal from Denial.
- 5.7 Failure to Comply with Rules.
- 5.8 Answers.
- 5.9 Dismissal of Request for a Hearing.
- 5.10 Place of Hearing.
- 5.11 Notice of Hearing.
- 5.12 Evidence.
- 5.13 Preliminary Matters.
- 5.14 Official Notice.
- 5.15 Continuances.
- 5.16 Decision.
- 5.17 Effective Date of Decision.
- 5.18 Lack of Permit.
- 5.19 Record of Hearing.
- 6.0 Variance Applicability.
- 6.1 Interim Variances.
- 6.2 Limitation on Granting Variance.
- 6.3 Board's Authority to Impose Requirements on Variances.
- 6.4 Cash Bond.
- 6.5 Modifying or Revoking Variances.
- 6.6 Variance Time Period.
- 6.7 Variance Action.
- 7.0 Hearing Board Fee.
- 7.1 Analysis Fee.
- 7.2 Technical Report Fee.
- 8.0 Penalties.
- 8.1 Arrest, Notice to Appear.

- 8.2 Orders for Abatement.
- 9.0 Enforcement.
- 9.1 Emission Monitoring.
- 9.2 Records and Reports.
- 9.3 Tests.
- 9.4 Field Inspection.
- 9.5 Air Pollution Equipment—Scheduled Maintenance.
- 9.6 Equipment Breakdown.
- 9.7 Permit Actions.
- 9.8 Variance Action.

Deletions:

- 2.1 Control of Emissions.
- 2.7 Wet Plumes.
- 2.15 Fuel Burning Equipment.
- 2.20 Payment of Order Charging Costs.
- 3.7 Information.
- 4.5 Standards for Granting Applications.
- 4.6 Permits, Daily Limits.
- 4.8 Permit Forms.

Tehama

May 23, 1979.

Rule

- 2.1 General Requirements (Stationary Source Permits).
 - 2.9 Action on Applications (Deletion).
- February 25, 1980.
- Rule
- 2.5A Standards for Granting Applications.
 - 2.5B Conditional Approval.
- December 15, 1980.

Rule

- 1.2 Definitions.
- 1.3 Enforcement.
- 2.7 Denial of Applications.
- 2.8 Appeals.
- 2.9 Variance and Permit Fees.
- 3.1 Definitions.
- 3.2 Burning on No-Burn Days.
- 3.3 Exceptions (To No-Burn Day Restrictions).
- 3.4 Fire Prevention.
- 3.5 Burning Permits.
- 3.6 Preparation of Agricultural Wastes.
- 3.7 Ignition Methods.
- 3.8 Ignition Devices.
- 3.9 Burning Hours.
- 3.10 Restricted Burning Days.
- 3.11 Restricted Burning.
- 3.12 Range Improvement Burning.
- 3.13 Forest Management Burning.
- 3.14 Penalties.
- 4.1 Visible Emissions.
- 4.2 Orchard Heaters.
- 4.6 Open Burning.
- 4.7 Incinerator Burning.
- 2.8 Further Information (Deletion).

Yuba

October 15, 1979.

Rule

- 2.3 Burning on "No-Burn" Days.
 - 2.4(a) Exception to Rule 2.3.
- March 30, 1981.

Rule

- 1.3 Effective Date.
- 3.0 Visible Emissions.
- 3.1 Exceptions to Rule 3.0.
- 3.2 Particulate Matter Concentration.
- 3.3 Dust and Fumes.

- 3.4 Separation of Emissions.
- 3.5 Combination of Emissions.
- 3.6 Sand Blasting.
- 3.7 Reduction of Animal Matter.
- 3.9 Storage of Petroleum Products.
- 3.10 Sulfur Oxides.
- 3.13 Circumvention.
- 4.0 General Requirements (Stationary Source Permits).
- 4.1 Permits Required.
- 4.2 Existing Emission Sources.
- 4.3 Exemptions from Permit.
- 4.4 Standards for Granting Applications.
- 4.5 Conditional Approval.
- 4.7 Denial of Applications.
- 4.8 Public Information.
- 4.9 Action on Application.
- 4.10 Appeals.
- 4.12 Implementation Plans.
- 5.4 Content of Petitions for Hearings.
- 6.0 Variances.
- 8.0 Penalties.
- 8.2 Orders for Abatement.
- 9.0 Enforcement.
- 9.1 Emission Monitoring.
- 9.2 Records and Reports.
- 9.3 Tests.
- 9.4 Field Inspection.
- 9.5 Air Pollution Equipment—Scheduled Maintenance.
- 9.7 Permit Actions.
- 9.8 Variance Actions.

Under section 110 of the Clean Air Act as amended, and 40 CFR Part 51, the administrator is required to approve or disapprove these regulations as State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions. All rules submitted have been evaluated and found to be in accordance with EPA policy and 40 CFR Part 51. EPA's detailed evaluation of the submitted rules is available at the EPA Library in Washington, D.C., and the Region 9 Office.

It is the purpose of this notice to approve all the rule revisions listed above and to incorporate them into the California SIP. EPA's approval of the above revisions to the California SIP is being done without prior proposal because the revisions are not controversial. The public should be advised that this approval action will be effective June 11, 1982. However, if notice is received by EPA within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments, the approval action will be withdrawn and a subsequent notice will indefinitely postpone the effective date, modify the final action to a proposed action and establish a comment period.

Sutter County Rule 3.12, "Organic Solvents," applies to sources also regulated by the following Federal Regulations: § 52.246 "Control of dry cleaning solvent vapor losses," § 52.252 "Control of degreasing operations," § 52.253 "Metal surface coating thinner and reducer," and § 52.254 "Organic solvent usage." Since the submitted rule adequately controls those sources

covered by the above Federal Regulations, and since the District's regulation is presently in effect, EPA is rescinding the Federal Regulations applicable to these sources in Sutter County.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under the Clean Air Act, any petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 11, 1982. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements.

Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of California was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1981.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons.

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. section (b), I hereby certify that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

(Sec. 110, and 301(a), Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410 and 7601(a)))

Dated: April 5, 1982.

Anne M. Garsuch,
Administrator.

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Subpart F of Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart F—California

1. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(51)(xix), (52)(xvi)(B), (54)(ix), (85)(vi), (89)(iii)(B), and (98)(i) (B) and (C).

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

- (c) * * *
- (51) * * *
- (xix) Tehama County APCD.
- (A) Amended Rule 2.1 and previously approved and now deleted Rule 2.9 (Action on Applications).

- (52) * * *
- (xvi) * * *
- (B) New or amended Rules 2.3 and 2.4(a).

- (54) * * *

(ix) Tehama County APCD.

(A) Amended Rules 2.5A and 2.5B.

(85) * * *

(vi) Tehama County APCD.

(A) New or amended Rules 1.2, 1.3, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3–3.14, 4.1, 4.2, 4.6, and 4.7.

(B) Previously approved and now deleted rule 2.8 (Further Information).

(89) * * *

(iii) * * *

(B) New or amended rules 1.3, 3.0–3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 3.13, 4.0–4.5, 4.7–4.10, 4.12, 5.4, 6.0, 8.0, 8.2, 9.0–9.5, 9.7 and 9.8.

(98) * * *

(i) * * *

(B) New or amended Rules 1.0–1.3, 2.1–2.12, 2.15, 2.16, 3.0–3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 3.12, 3.13, 4.0–4.5, 4.7–4.10, 4.12–4.15, 5.0–5.19, 6.0–6.7, 7.0, 7.1, 7.2, 8.0, 8.1, 8.2, 9.0–9.7, and 9.8.

(C) Previously approved and now deleted rules 2.1 (Control of Emissions), 2.7 (Wet Plumes), 2.15 (Fuel Burning Equipment), 2.20 (Payment of Order Charging Costs), 3.7 (Information), 4.5 (Standards for Granting Applications), 4.6 (Permits, Daily Limits), and 4.8 (Permit Forms).

2. Section 52.246 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(1)(iv) as follows:

§ 52.246 Control of dry cleaning solvent vapor losses.

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(iv) Sutter County APCD.

3. Section 52.252 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(1)(iv) as follows:

§ 52.252 Control of degreasing operations.

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(iv) Sutter County APCD.

4. Section 52.253 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(1)(v) as follows:

§ 52.253 Metal surface coating thinner and reducer.

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(v) Sutter County APCD.

5. Section 52.254 is amended by adding paragraphs (a)(3)(vi) as follows:

§ 52.254 Organic solvent usage.

- (a) * * *
- (3) * * *
- (vi) Sutter County APCD.

[FR Doc. 82-9873 Filed 4-9-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-10-FRL 2084-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Attainment Status Designations; Oregon**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA today approves: (1) The total suspended particulate (TSP) control strategies for Portland and Eugene-Springfield; (2) a revision to the ozone control strategy for Salem; (3) a revision to the operating rules for the Portland motor vehicle inspection program; and (4) a revision to the boundary of the Portland secondary TSP nonattainment area. The area-specific control strategies and operating regulations for the motor vehicle inspection program were submitted by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) as revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) pursuant to the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act (hereinafter referred to as the Act). The revision to the Portland TSP nonattainment area boundary was submitted by DEQ pursuant to Section 107(d)(5) to better define the area which actually exceeds the secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for TSP.

DATE: Effective April 12, 1982.**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the materials submitted to EPA may be examined during normal business hours at:

Central Docket Section (10A-79-2),
West Tower Lobby, Gallery I,
Environmental Protection Agency, 401
M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460
Air Programs Branch, Environmental
Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue,
Seattle, Washington, 98101-3188
State of Oregon, Department of
Environmental Quality, 522 SW. Fifth,
Yeon Building, Portland, Oregon 97207
The Office of the Federal Register, 1100
L Street N.W., Room 8401,
Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
George C. Hofer, Air Programs Branch,
M/S 532, Environmental Protection

Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle,
Washington 98101-3188, Telephone (206)
442-1352, (FTS) 399-1352.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 23, 1981 and March 24, 1981 DEQ submitted control strategies for the Eugene-Springfield and Portland secondary TSP nonattainment areas respectively. On October 16, 1980 DEQ submitted revisions to the control strategies for the Salem ozone nonattainment area. On August 17, 1981, DEQ submitted amendments to the operating rules for the Portland motor vehicle inspection program (Oregon Administrative Rules, Chapter 340, Division 24, Sections 300 through 350). These control strategies, control strategy revisions, and rule amendments were submitted as revisions to the Oregon SIP pursuant to the requirements of Part D of the Act. On March 24, 1981 DEQ also requested, pursuant to the provisions of Section 107(d)(5) of the Act, a revision to the boundary of the Portland TSP nonattainment area.

On December 8, 1981 EPA proposed in the *Federal Register* (46 FR 60017) approval of these control strategies, control strategy revisions, and rule amendments and revisions to the Portland TSP nonattainment area boundary. EPA received no comments on this proposed action. Therefore, EPA today is: (1) Approving the TSP control strategy for Portland; (2) approving the TSP control strategy for Eugene-Springfield; (3) approving the revision to the ozone control strategy for Salem; (4) approving the revisions to the operating rules for the Portland motor vehicle inspection program; and (5) revising the boundary of the Portland secondary TSP nonattainment area. Readers are directed to the December 8, 1981 *Federal Register* for additional information.

EPA finds that good cause exists for making the action in this Notice immediately effective for the following reasons: (1) The public has had adequate notice of the guidelines for preparation of State Implementation Plans and has had several opportunities to comment on those guidelines; and (2) the impact of this rulemaking is limited only to the State of Oregon.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 11, 1982. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See 307(b)(2)).

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons.

(Sec. 107(d), 110, 171(2), 172 and 301(a), Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7407(d), 7410(a), 7501(2), 7502 and 7601(a))

Dated: April 5, 1982.

Anne M. Gorsuch,
Administrator.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Oregon was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1981.

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart MM—Oregon

In § 52.1970 paragraphs (c)(45) through (48) are added as set forth below:

§ 52.1970 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *
(45) On March 24, 1981, the State Department of Environmental Quality submitted control strategies for the Portland secondary total suspended particulates nonattainment area.

(46) On March 23, 1981, the State Department of Environmental Quality submitted control strategies for the Eugene-Springfield secondary total suspended particulates nonattainment area.

(47) On October 16, 1980, the State Department of Environmental Quality submitted revisions to the control strategies for the Salem ozone nonattainment area.

(48) On August 17, 1981, the State Department of Environmental Quality submitted amendments to the operating rules for the Portland motor vehicle inspection program (OAR 340-24-300 through 350).

PART 81—DESIGNATION OF AREAS FOR AIR QUALITY PLANNING PURPOSES

Part 81 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Section 107 Attainment Status Designations

In § 81.338 the status designation table for TSP is revised to read as follows:

§ 81.338 Oregon.

OREGON—TSP

Designated area	Does not meet primary standard	Does not meet secondary standard	Cannot be classified	Better than national standards
Portland-Vancouver AQMA (portions of the Oregon portion)		X		
Eugene-Springfield AQMA		X		
Medford-Ashland AQMA	X			
Remainder of State				X

[FR Doc. 82-9867 Filed 4-9-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 90

Private Land Mobile Radio Services; Editorial Amendment of Bandwidth Limitations and Modulation Requirements; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Managing Director, acting under delegated authority amends Part 90 of the Commissions rules and regulations to correct an earlier editorial change which introduced an error in the text of the Commissions rules which prescribe the bandwidth limitations and modulation requirements for those transmitters which are authorized to operate in the Private Land Mobile Radio Services (§ 90.209). Without the amendment, certain classes of radio equipment could not be type accepted for use under Part 90 rules.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Keith A. Plourd, Private Radio Bureau, Rules Division, (202) 632-6497.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Editorial Amendment of Part 90; Erratum
Released: March 30, 1982.

1. On December 9, 1980, the Commission released an editorial *ORDER* (mimeo No. 28400) modifying § 90.209. In that *ORDER*, certain language relating to emission limitations was inadvertently omitted. This *ERRATA* restores this language.

PART 90—PRIVATE LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICES

2. Accordingly, the Private Radio Service rules are amended to revise paragraph (c) of § 90.209 to read as follows:

§ 90.209 Bandwidth limitations.

(c) Except as noted in paragraphs (d), (f) and (g) of this section, the mean power of any emission shall be

attenuated below the mean output power of the transmitter in accordance with the following schedule:

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 90

Radio.
Federal Communications Commission.
Edward J. Minkel,
Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 82-9837 Filed 4-9-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 640

Spiny Lobster Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic

Correction

In FR Doc. 82-8498 appearing at page 13353 in the issue for Tuesday, March 30, 1982, please make the following corrections:

(1) On page 13356, in the first column, in § 640.7, in paragraph (b), in the last line, "§ 640.20(b)" should read "§ 640.20(a)".

(2) Also on page 13356, in § 640.7, paragraph (c) was inadvertently omitted. Paragraph (c) reads as follows:

§ 640.7 General prohibitions.

(c) Harvest spiny lobster by methods other than traps except during the season specified in § 640.20(b).

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M