

Custom Designed Service—Continued

Zone to International Exchange Office								
Pounds (up to and including)	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
3.....	34.72	34.83	34.96	35.14	35.32	35.52	35.72	
4.....	37.56	37.70	37.87	38.09	38.31	38.56	38.81	
5.....	40.40	40.57	40.78	41.04	41.30	41.60	41.90	
6.....	43.24	43.44	43.69	43.99	44.29	44.64	44.99	
7.....	46.08	46.31	46.60	46.94	47.28	47.68	48.08	
8.....	48.92	49.18	49.51	49.89	50.27	50.72	51.17	
9.....	51.76	52.05	52.42	52.84	53.26	53.76	54.26	
10.....	54.60	54.92	55.33	55.79	56.25	56.80	57.35	
11.....	57.44	57.79	58.24	58.74	59.24	59.84	60.44	
12.....	60.28	60.66	61.15	61.69	62.23	62.88	63.53	
13.....	63.12	63.53	64.06	64.64	65.22	65.92	66.62	
14.....	65.96	66.40	66.97	67.59	68.21	68.96	69.71	
15.....	68.80	69.27	69.88	70.54	71.20	72.00	72.80	
16.....	71.64	72.14	72.79	73.49	74.19	75.04	75.89	
17.....	74.48	75.01	75.70	76.44	77.18	78.08	78.98	
18.....	77.32	77.88	78.61	79.39	80.17	81.12	82.07	
19.....	80.16	80.75	81.52	82.34	83.16	84.16	85.16	
20.....	83.00	83.62	84.43	85.29	86.15	87.20	88.25	
21.....	85.64	86.49	87.34	88.24	89.14	90.24	91.34	
22.....	88.68	89.36	90.25	91.19	92.13	93.28	94.43	
23.....	91.52	92.23	93.16	94.14	95.12	96.32	97.52	
24.....	94.36	95.10	96.07	97.09	98.11	99.36	100.61	
25.....	97.20	97.97	98.98	100.04	101.10	102.40	103.70	
26.....	100.04	100.84	101.89	102.99	104.09	105.44	106.79	
27.....	102.88	103.71	104.80	105.94	107.08	108.48	109.88	
28.....	105.72	106.58	107.71	108.89	110.07	111.52	112.97	
29.....	108.56	109.45	110.62	111.84	113.06	114.56	116.06	
30.....	111.40	112.32	113.53	114.79	116.05	117.60	119.15	
31.....	114.24	115.19	116.44	117.74	119.04	120.64	122.24	
32.....	117.08	118.06	119.35	120.69	122.03	123.68	125.33	
33.....	119.92	120.93	122.26	123.64	125.02	126.72	128.42	

NOTES.—

(1) Rates in this table are applicable to each piece of International Custom Designed Express Mail shipped under a Service Agreement providing for tender by the customer at a Designated Post Office.

(2) Pick-up is available under a Service Agreement for an added charge of \$5.25 for each pick-up stop, regardless of the number of pieces picked up. Domestic and International Express Mail picked up together under the same Service Agreement incurs only one pick-up charge.

(3) If tendered at origin airport mail facility, deduct \$3.00 from these rates.

On Demand Service

Zone to International Exchange Office								
Pounds (up to and including)	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
1.....	\$18.74	\$18.79	\$18.89	\$18.94	\$19.04	\$19.14	\$19.24	
2.....	21.58	21.66	21.80	21.89	22.03	22.18	22.33	
3.....	24.42	24.53	24.71	24.84	25.02	25.22	25.42	
4.....	27.26	27.40	27.62	27.79	28.01	28.26	28.51	
5.....	30.10	30.27	30.53	30.74	31.00	31.30	31.60	
6.....	32.94	33.14	33.44	33.69	33.99	34.34	34.69	
7.....	35.78	36.01	36.35	36.64	36.98	37.38	37.78	
8.....	38.62	38.88	39.26	39.59	39.97	40.42	40.87	
9.....	41.46	41.75	42.17	42.54	42.96	43.46	43.96	
10.....	44.30	44.62	45.08	45.49	45.95	46.50	47.05	
11.....	47.14	47.49	47.99	48.44	48.94	49.54	50.14	
12.....	49.98	50.36	50.90	51.39	51.93	52.58	53.23	
13.....	52.82	53.23	53.81	54.34	54.92	55.62	56.32	
14.....	55.66	56.10	56.72	57.29	57.91	58.66	59.41	
15.....	58.50	58.97	59.63	60.24	60.90	61.70	62.50	
16.....	61.34	61.84	62.54	63.19	63.89	64.74	65.59	
17.....	64.18	64.71	65.45	66.14	66.88	67.78	68.68	
18.....	67.02	67.58	68.36	69.09	69.87	70.82	71.77	
19.....	69.86	70.45	71.27	72.04	72.86	73.86	74.86	
20.....	72.70	73.32	74.18	74.99	75.85	76.90	77.95	
21.....	75.54	76.19	77.09	77.94	78.84	79.94	81.04	
22.....	78.38	79.06	80.00	80.89	81.83	82.98	84.13	
23.....	81.22	81.93	82.91	83.84	84.82	86.02	87.22	
24.....	84.06	84.80	85.82	86.79	87.81	89.06	90.31	
25.....	86.90	87.67	88.73	89.74	90.80	92.10	93.40	
26.....	89.74	90.54	91.64	92.69	93.79	95.14	96.49	
27.....	92.58	93.41	94.55	95.64	96.78	98.18	99.58	
28.....	95.42	96.28	97.46	98.59	99.77	101.22	102.67	
29.....	98.26	99.15	100.37	101.54	102.76	104.26	105.76	
30.....	101.10	102.02	103.28	104.49	105.75	107.30	108.85	
31.....	103.94	104.89	106.19	107.44	108.74	110.34	111.94	
32.....	106.78	107.76	109.10	110.39	111.73	113.38	115.03	
33.....	109.62	110.63	112.01	113.34	114.72	116.42	118.12	

NOTE.—Pick-up is available under a Service Agreement for an added charge of \$5.25 for each pick-up stop, regardless of the number of pieces picked up. Domestic and International

Express Mail picked up together under the same Service Agreement incurs only one pick-up charge.

[FR Doc. 80-39191 Filed 12-16-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL 1705-2]

State and Federal Administrative Orders Revising the Michigan State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final Rule: Approval of Revision.

SUMMARY: On April 23, 1980 (45 FR 27454) the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) proposed approval of and invited public comment on an Administrative Order submitted as a revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). The Order was issued to the Lansing Board of Water and Light. The revision is part of the State's control strategy required under Part D of the Clean Air Act (Act) to attain the sulfur dioxide (SO₂) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in a portion of Ingham County, Michigan. The purpose of this notice is to discuss the comments received and announce USEPA's final rulemaking action to approve the revision to the Michigan SIP.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This final rulemaking becomes effective on December 17, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Copies of these SIP revisions, public comments on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (45 FR 27454), and USEPA's evaluation and response to comments are available for inspection at the following addresses:

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Kertcher, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6038.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 25, 1979 the State of Michigan submitted its proposed revised SIP to USEPA, including the State's control strategy for the Ingham County sulfur dioxide nonattainment area. The State's control

strategy was to rely on existing SO₂ emission limitations in its present regulations while requiring the source in the nonattainment area to apply "continuous emission control" systems to meet those emission limitations. The requirement of "continuous emission control" systems was to be implemented through a Consent Order entered into by the source and the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission (MAPCC) and submitted to USEPA as a SIP revision.

On August 22, 1979 Michigan submitted the Consent Order, Order No. 04-1979, to USEPA for review as a site specific SIP revision under Part D and under Section 110(a)(3) of the Act. In letters dated February 13, 1980 and April 1, 1980 the State withdrew certain paragraphs of the Order from consideration by the USEPA although the paragraphs remain enforceable for State purposes.

The technical demonstration submitted to USEPA with the Order revealed that a potential for violation of the ambient sulfur dioxide standards continued to exist at the Lansing Board of Water and Light's (Board's) Eckert and Moores Park stations even though the plant was burning compliance fuel. The potential for violation exists because of aerodynamic plume downwash at the facility.

The SIP revision requires the Board to install good engineering practice (GEP) designed stacks, as determined by fluid modeling, to eliminate the downwash condition. The construction of the GEP stacks is to be completed by December 31, 1982. Although technical support demonstrated that GEP stack height for the Eckert-Moores complex is 625 feet, the maximum height allowed by Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulation is 619 feet because of the proximity of the complex to the Capital City Airport.

USEPA analyzed the technical demonstration submitted by Michigan and concluded that the SO₂ NAAQS will be attained upon completion of the GEP designed stacks in December 1982. Therefore, USEPA proposed approval of and invited comment on the Order as a Part D revision to the Michigan SIP on April 23, 1980 (45 FR 27454). Also in that notice, USEPA proposed approval of the schedule for the building of GEP stacks at the Eckert and Moores Park Stations.

One public interest group submitted comments to USEPA on May 21, 1980. These comments and USEPA's response are discussed below:

Public Comment: It is unclear how the Consent Order provides for reasonable further progress. Is reliance on GEP stacks a lawful control strategy in a

nonattainment area when such stacks do not reduce actual emissions?

USEPA Response: The Board is currently meeting the applicable emission limitations and is in compliance with the existing Michigan SIP. The Consent Order is only to require the Board to install GEP stacks at Eckert and Moores Park in order to eliminate the potential of a SO₂ NAAQS violation due to aerodynamic plume downwash. Since the installation of the GEP stacks will eliminate the potential for SO₂ NAAQS violations, the Consent Order provides for reasonable further progress.

Public Comment: How does the Consent Order provide for all reasonably available control technology (RACT)? Section 172(b) of the Act provides for mandatory implementation of all RACT in nonattainment areas, and defines RACT in terms of emission reduction.

USEPA Response: RACT is defined as a technology standard rather than in terms of emission reduction. The Eckert and Moores Park Stations of the Lansing Board of Water and Light are using RACT which in this case is 1% by weight sulfur coal.

USEPA FINAL DETERMINATION: USEPA has reviewed the Order, the technical demonstration and the public comments received, and has determined that the SO₂ NAAQS will be attained upon completion of the GEP designed stacks in 1982. Therefore, USEPA approves the Order as a Part D revision to the Michigan SIP. USEPA has determined that good cause exists for making these revisions immediately effective. By making this final rulemaking immediately effective, the restrictions on industrial growth contained in Section 110(a)(2)(I) of the Clean Air Act will be lifted from the Ingham County SO₂ nonattainment area. These restrictions have been imposed for failure to have a SIP which meets the requirements of Part D after the final date for SIP approval specified in the Act. USEPA has determined that this revision to the Michigan SIP meets the requirements of Part D. Therefore, it would be contrary to the public interest to continue the restrictions on industrial growth in the Ingham County nonattainment area for thirty days after the publication of this notice.

Note.—Under Executive Order 12044 (43 FR 12661), USEPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and, therefore, subject to certain procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. USEPA labels proposed regulations, "specialized." I have reviewed this and determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the

procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

Under Section 307(b) of the Clean Air Act, judicial review of this final action is available *only* by filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of December 17, 1980. Under Section 307(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act, the requirements which are the subject of today's notice may *not* be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by EPA to enforce these requirements.

This notice of final rulemaking is issued under authority of Section 110 of the Clean Air Act, as amended [42 U.S.C. 7410].

Dated: December 9, 1980.

Douglas Costle,
Administrator.

Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1, Part 52 is amended as follows:

1. Section 52.1170(c) is amended by adding paragraph (28) as follows:

§ 52.1170 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

(28) On August 22, 1979, the State of Michigan submitted to USEPA an Administrative Order, for the Lansing Board of Water and Light (Order No. 4-1979, adopted May 23, 1979). In letters dated February 13, 1980 and April 1, 1980, the State of Michigan withdrew certain paragraphs (Sections A, B, C1, D, E, F, and G) of the Order from consideration by USEPA.

[FR Doc. 80-39179 Filed 12-16-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL 1705-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Ohio

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Final rule; Correction.

SUMMARY: This notice corrects a citation appearing in the final regulation for the New Source Review (NSR) program submitted as a revision to the Ohio State Implementation Plan (SIP), and appearing in the October 31, 1980 Federal Register (45 FR 72119).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Clarizio, Air Programs Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6035.

Correction: On page 72122 of the October 31, 1980 Federal Register, in the second column, under the heading:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLAN SUBPART KK—OHIO

The section cited for the "Review of new sources and modifications" was incorrect. In particular it was published that:

(2) Section 52.1987 is amended by revoking paragraphs (a) and (b) pursuant to Section 110(a)(5)(A) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410), by reserving these paragraphs and by adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 52.1987 Review of new source and modifications.

* * *

This should be corrected by changing the section reference from 52.1987 to 52.1879.

Dated: December 8, 1980.

John McGuire,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-39174 Filed 12-16-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-260035A; PH FRL 1704-3]

Pesticide Programs; Tolerances and Exemptions from Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities; Editorial Amendments

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends 40 CFR 180.1(j)(6) by including parsnips and rutabagas wherein, like carrots, the tops shall be removed and discarded before analyzing roots for pesticide residues and amends the crop grouping "leafy vegetables" under 40 CFR 180.34(f) to include upland cress. These regulations were requested by the Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR-4).

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on December 17, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clinton Fletcher, Registration Division (TS-767), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-124, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202-426-0223).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the Federal Register of August 28, 1980 (45

FR 57461) that the Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR-4), New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, PO Box 231, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, had submitted amendments to the EPA requesting that the Administrator, pursuant to section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, amend 40 CFR 180.1(j)(6) to include parsnips and rutabagas wherein, like carrots, the tops shall be removed and discarded before analyzing roots for pesticide residues and amend the crop grouping "leafy vegetables" under 40 CFR 180.34(f) to include upland cress.

No comments or request for referral to an advisory committee were received in response to this proposed amendment.

It is concluded, therefore, that 40 CFR Part 180 be amended as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, on or before January 16, 1981, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, EPA, Rm. M-3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections should state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Note.—Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is significant and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." This regulation has been reviewed, and it has been determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

Effective date: December 17, 1980.

(Sec. 408(e), 68 Stat. 514 (21 U.S.C. 346a(e)))

Dated: December 9, 1980.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, Subpart A of 40 CFR Part 180 is amended as follows:

1. By revising § 180.1(j)(6) to read:

§ 180.1 Definitions and Interpretations.

(j) * * *

(6) Where a tolerance is established on a root vegetable including tops and/or with tops, and the tops and the roots are marketed together, they shall be analyzed separately and neither the pesticide residue on the roots nor the pesticide residue on the tops shall exceed the tolerance level, except that in the case of carrots, parsnips, and

rutabagas, the tops shall be removed and discarded before analyzing roots for pesticide residues.

2. By alphabetically inserting in the table under § 180.34(f) a new item in the crop grouping "leafy vegetables," to read:

§ 180.34 Tests on the amount of residue remaining.

(f) * * *

Group and Commodities Therein

Leafy vegetables—Anise (fresh leaf and stock only), beet (tops), broccoli, broccoli raab, brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower, celery, Chinese cabbage, collards, dandelion, endive, escarole, fennel, kale, kohlrabi, lettuce, mustard greens, parsley, rhubarb, salsify tops, spinach, sugar beet tops, Swiss chard, turnip greens (tops), upland cress, watercress.

[FR Doc. 80-39192 Filed 12-16-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Parts 5-19 and 5A-19

Public Contracts and Property Management; Transportation

AGENCY: General Services Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration Procurement Regulations, Chapter 5, are amended to transfer policies and procedures regarding transportation from Chapter 5A. This transfer is part of the action to incorporate appropriate material in Chapter 5A into Chapter 5. The intended effect is to have a single GSA-wide procurement regulation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 31, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Philip G. Read, Director, Federal Procurement Regulations Directorate, Office of Acquisition Policy, 703-557-8947.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Outstanding Procurement Letters remain in effect until canceled.

CHAPTER 5—GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[APD 2800.2 CHGE 11]

1. The Table of Parts is amended by adding the following entry:

Table of Parts

Part

5-19 Transportation.

2. The Contents of Part for Part 5-19 is added as follows:

Subpart 5-19.1 General

Sec.

5-19.102 Coordination between contracting and transportation officers.

5-19.108-50 Restrictive charter clause-contractor charter party agreements.

5-19.108-51 Restrictive charter clause-GSA charter party agreements.

5-19.150 Delivery zones—requirements type contracts.

5-19.151 Receipt of improperly loaded shipments.

5-19.152 Placarding railcar shipments.

Subpart 5-19.2 Transportation Factors in the Procurement of Personal Property

5-19.202-6 Bid requirements.

5-19.202-7 Use of appropriate delivery terms.

5-19.202-8 Options in shipment and delivery.

5-19.202-50 Restrictions on transportation to military installations.

Subpart 5-19.3 Contract Delivery Terms

5-19.301 Use of standard delivery terms.

5-19.302 F.o.b. origin.

5-19.302-1 F.o.b. origin, freight prepaid.

5-19.350 Deliveries to GSA supply distribution facilities.

5-19.351 Delivery terms—Federal Supply Schedule contracts.

5-19.352 Contracting for agencies located in Alaska.

3. Part 5-19 Transportation is added as follows:

PART 5-19 TRANSPORTATION

Subpart 5-19.1 General

§ 5-19.102 Coordination between contracting and transportation officers.

The contracting officer shall obtain traffic management advice and assistance, including appropriate transportation factors, required for (a) solicitations and awards, and (b) the administration and modification of contracts, from the Office of Transportation and Travel Management (TT).

§ 5-19.108-50 Restrictive charter clause-contractor charter party agreements.

Contracts for the procurement of commodities which are likely to be transported on ocean vessels under charter parties arranged by the contractor shall contain the following clause:

Restrictive Charter Clause

(a) The Contractor agrees to include the following Restrictive Charter clause in any charter party agreement entered into by it for the transportation of foreign-flag vessels of the material purchased hereunder: