Effective date: August 7, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on August 1, 1974.

GLENN A. WEIR,
Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc.74-18046 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

Title 13—Business Credit and Assistance

CHAPTER I—SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Rev. 4; Amdt. 2]

PART 108—LOANS TO STATE AND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANIES

Section 501 Loans; Commitment

On June 28, 1974, the Small Business Administration published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 24031) a notice of proposed rulemaking which amends Revision 4, § 108.501-1(g).

It eliminates a commitment fee for which no service was rendered.

The public was invited to comment by July 12, 1974. No comments were received and the proposed amendment is adopted. This amendment is effective as of May 24, 1974.

§ 108.501-1 Section 501 loans.

* * * * *

(g) *Firm commitment.* A firm commitment may be given by SBA for a period of one year from the date of approval of a loan application.

* * * * *

Dated: July 25, 1974.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-18001 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 74-EA-29; Amdt. 39-1915]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Vertol Aircraft

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to issue an airworthiness directive applicable to Vertol 107-II type helicopters.

There had been a report of an in-flight failure of a rotor blade which is believed to have originated with a crack in the blade. Since other helicopters of similar type design may have or develop a similar deficiency, an airworthiness directive is being issued requiring an inspection of the rotor blades.

In view of the foregoing and because the deficiency is one which affects air safety, notice and public procedure hereon are impractical and good cause exists for making the amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.89 (31 FR 13697) § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended by adding the following new Airworthiness Directive:

VERTOL. Applies to Vertol Model 107-II type helicopters certificated in all categories.

1. Prior to the next flight after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished, an inspection program for the rotor blades which has been approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region, subsequent to March 28, 1974 must be initiated.

2. An acceptable program is one afforded by forward and aft rotor blades altered, inspected and maintained in accordance with Boeing Vertol Service Bulletin 107-329 or later FAA approved revisions. The visual checks of the rotor blade pressure indicator required by paragraph 2 of this AD may be performed by the pilot.

This amendment is effective August 13, 1974.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on July 30, 1974.

ROBERT H. STANTON,
Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.74-17957 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-56]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Federal Airway

On June 6, 1974, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 20082) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations that would realign V-241W from Dothan, Ala., to the Tyrone, Ga., intersection.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rulemaking through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., October 10, 1974, as hereafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (39 FR 307) is amended as follows: In V-241 all after "Eufaula, Ala.;" is deleted and "Columbus, Ga.; to the INT Columbus 019° and Rome, Ga., 157° radials, including a W alternate from Dothan via INT Dothan 002° and La Grange, Ga., 191° radials; and La Grange." is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 31, 1974.

CHARLES H. NEWPOL,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.74-17956 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-28]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On June 13, 1974, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 20702) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations that would alter a portion of the South Carolina transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., October 10, 1974, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440), the South Carolina transition area is amended as follows: All between "longitude 78°31'45" W." and "to latitude 33°19'40" N." is deleted and "to latitude 33°46'15" N., longitude 78°30'25" W.; thence clockwise along a 25-mile radius circle centered on Conway TACAN" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1510); Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on August 1, 1974.

CHARLES H. NEWPOL,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.74-17953 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-15]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation and Revocation of Reporting Points

On June 7, 1974, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 20214) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations that would designate three compulsory reporting points and revoke one compulsory reporting point in the vicinity of San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rulemaking through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., October 10, 1974, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.209 (39 FR 630) is amended as follows:

1. "Hawaii INT," is revoked.

2. "Dorado, Puerto Rico, NDB" is added.

3. "St. Croix, Virgin Islands," is added.

4. "Drake INT: Ponce, Puerto Rico 206° radial 62NM from Ponce," is added.

(Sec. 307(a), 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1510); Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 31, 1974.

CHARLES H. NEWPOL,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.74-17954 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-WA-27]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Revocation of Area Navigation Route

The purpose of this amendment to Part 75 of the Federal Aviation regulations is to revoke J844R since it is duplicated by another route.

J844R extends from Atlanta, Ga., to Chicago, Ill. J812R extends from Miami, Fla., to Chicago, and passes near Atlanta. On July 18, 1974 (39 FR 17432), J812R was amended in the vicinity of Atlanta so that its alignment north of Atlanta now coincides with J844R. Therefore, J844R is no longer required.

Since this amendment merely revokes a route which is duplicated by another route and does not involve any realignment or alteration to controlled airspace, it is minor in nature and notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessay.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 75 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., October 10, 1974, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 75.400 (39 FR 718 and 38 FR 24204), J844R is revoked.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., July 31, 1974.

CHARLES H. NEWPOL,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.74-17955 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

Title 19—Customs Duties

CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES CUSTOMS SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[T.D. 74-213]

PART 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Minnesota; Ports of Entry

On March 11, 1974, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 9454) a proposal to transfer supervision of the Customs station at Crane Lake, Minnesota, from the Duluth, Minnesota, Customs port of entry to the International Falls/Ranier, Minnesota, Customs port of entry, and supervision of the Customs station at Ely, Minnesota, from the Duluth, Minnesota, Customs port of entry to the Grand Portage, Minnesota, Customs port of entry. No comments were received in response to this proposal.

Accordingly, the list of Customs stations set forth in § 1.3(d) of the Customs regulations (19 CFR 1.3(d)) is amended by making the following changes in the column headed "Port of entry having supervision" for the two Customs stations shown as being within the Duluth, Minnesota, Customs district:

Opposite the entry for "Crane Lake, Minn" in the column headed "Customs stations", "Duluth." is changed to read "International Falls/Ranier."

Opposite the entry for "Ely, Minn" in the column headed "Customs stations", "Do." (signifying Duluth) is changed to read "Grand Portage."

(Sec. 1, 37 Stat. 434; 5 U.S.C. 301, 19 U.S.C. 1)

Because this amendment pertains solely to a matter of agency organization, good cause is found for dispensing with a delayed effective date under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective on August 7, 1974.

[SEAL] VERNON D. ACREE,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: July 29, 1974.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.74-17950 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

[T.D. 74-212]

PART 141—ENTRY OF MERCHANDISE

Consignees Liability for Additional Duties

As part of the overall revision of the Customs regulations, notice of the adoption of new Part 141 of these regulations, entitled Entry of Merchandise, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 2, 1973 (38 FR 17443). It has since come to the attention of the Customs Service that § 141.20 of the Customs regulations (19 CFR 141.20), relating to the filing by a consignee of an actual owner's declaration and superseding bond for the purpose of relieving the consignee from liability for the payment of increased and additional duties, may be subject to misinterpretation as to the precise nature of the liability from which the consignee will be relieved.

In order to remove any possibility of misinterpretation in this regard, it has been decided to amend § 141.20 of the regulations to specify that the liability which may be avoided by the filing of the declaration of the actual owner is a statutory liability, that the liability which may be avoided by the filing of a superseding bond is a contractual liability, and that a superseding bond is a bond of the actual owner. The procedure to be followed in connection with the filing of the declaration of the actual owner has also been set forth in greater detail by a restatement of the requirements of section 485(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1485(d)). In addition, a new paragraph has been added to § 141.20 to acknowledge that a declaration of the actual owner may be filed by the consignee for purposes other than relief from liability for the payment of increased and additional duties.

Accordingly, paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 141.20 are revised, and a new paragraph (d) is added, as set forth below:

§ 141.20 Actual owner's declaration and superseding bond of actual owner.

(a) *Filing*—(1) *Declaration of owner.* A consignee in whose name an entry is made and who desires, under the provisions of section 485(d), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1485(d)), to be relieved from statutory liability for the payment of increased and additional duties shall declare at the time of entry that he is not the actual owner of the merchandise, furnish the name and address of such owner, and file with the district director within 90 days from the date of entry a declaration of the actual owner of the merchandise acknowledging that the actual owner will pay all additional and increased duties. The declaration of owner will be filed on Customs Form 3347.

(2) *Bond of actual owner.* If the consignee desires to be relieved from contractual liability for the payment of increased and additional duties voluntarily assumed by him under the single-entry bond which he filed in connection with the entry, or under his term bond against which the entry was charged, he shall file with the district director within 90 days from the date of entry a bond of the actual owner on Customs Form 7601.

(b) *Appropriate party to execute and file.* Neither the declaration of the actual owner nor the bond of the actual owner shall be accepted unless executed by the actual owner or his duly authorized agent, and filed by the nominal consignee or his duly authorized agent.

* * * * *

(d) *Filing of declaration of owner for purposes other than relief from liability.* Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the nominal consignee from filing the actual owner's declaration without the superseding bond for purposes other than relief from statutory liability for the payment of increased and additional duties under the provisions of section 485(d), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1485(d)).

(Secs. 485, 623, 46 Stat. 724, as amended, 759, as amended; 19 U.S.C. 1485, 1623)
(R.S. 251, as amended, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1624)

As this amendment is merely a clarification of the existing regulations provisions, notice and public procedure thereon is found to be unnecessary, and good cause exists for dispensing with a delayed effective date under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553.

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective on August 7, 1974.

[SEAL] VERNON D. ACREE,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: July 29, 1974.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.74-17952 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

PART 8—COLOR ADDITIVES

Chromium-Cobalt-Aluminum Oxide Pigment

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated the data in a petition (CAP 12) filed by Davis and Geck, Division of American Cyanamid Co., Danbury, CT 06813, and other relevant material, finds that chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide pigment is safe under the conditions prescribed in this order for use in coloring linear polyethylene surgical sutures, U.S.P., for use in general surgery and that certification is not necessary for the protection of the public health.

Chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide is currently provisionally listed in § 8.501 (f) (21 CFR 8.501(f)) for use in polyethylene surgical sutures only. There are no other color additive petitions or progress reports, as required by the color additive regulations (21 CFR Part 8), for the use of chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide in drugs. Accordingly, chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide is being deleted from § 8.501(f), effective on the effective date of this order.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 706 (b), (c), and (d), 74 Stat. 399–403; 21 U.S.C. 376 (b), (c) and (d)) and the transitional provisions accompanying the Color Additive Amendments of 1960 (sec. 203, 74 Stat. 404–407; 21 U.S.C. 376 note) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 8 is amended as follows:

§ 8.501 [Amended]

1. In § 8.501(f) by deleting "Chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide" from the list of color additives.

2. By adding the following new section to Subpart F:

§ 8.6010 Chromium - cobalt - aluminum oxide.

(a) *Identity.* The color additive chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide is a blue-green pigment obtained by calcining a mixture of chromium oxide, cobalt carbonate, and aluminum oxide. It may contain small amounts (less than 1 percent each) of oxides of barium, boron, silicon, and nickel.

(b) *Specifications.* Chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide shall conform to the following specifications:

Chromium, calculated as Cr_2O_3, 34–37 percent.
Cobalt, calculated as CoO, 29–34 percent.
Aluminum, calculated as Al_2O_3, 29–35 percent.
Lead (as Pb), not more than 30 parts per million.
Arsenic (as As), not more than 3 parts per million.
Total oxides of aluminum, chromium, and cobalt not less than 97 percent.

Lead and arsenic shall be determined in the solution obtained by boiling 10 grams of the chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide for 15 minutes in 50 milliliters of 0.5 *N* hydrochloric acid.

(c) *Uses and restrictions.* The color additive chromium-cobalt-aluminum oxide may be safely used for coloring linear polyethylene surgical sutures, U.S.P., for use in general surgery, subject to the following restrictions:

(1) For coloring procedure, the color additive is blended with the polyethylene resin. The mixture is heated to a temperature of 500°–550° F. and extruded through a fixed orifice. The filaments are cooled, oriented by drawing, and set by annealing.

(2) The quantity of the color additive does not exceed 2 percent by weight of the suture material.

(3) The dyed suture shall conform in all respects to the requirements of the U.S.P.

(4) When the sutures are used for the purpose specified in their labeling, there is no migration of the color additive to the surrounding tissue.

(5) If the suture is a new drug, an approved new drug application, pursuant to section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, is in effect for it.

(d) *Labeling.* The label of the color additive shall conform to the requirements of § 8.32.

(e) *Exemption from certification.* Certification of this color additive is not necessary for the protection of the public health, and batches thereof are exempt from the certification requirements of section 706(c) of the act.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time on or before September 6, 1974, file with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order, specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable, and state the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections shall state the issues for the hearing, shall be supported by grounds factually and legally sufficient to justify the relief sought; and shall include a detailed description and analysis of the factual information intended to be presented in support of the objections in the event that a hearing is held. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. Six copies of all documents shall be filed. Received objections may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Effective date. This order shall become effective October 7, 1974, except as to any provisions that may be stayed by the filing of proper objections. Notice of the filing of objections or lack thereof will be announced by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 706 (b), (c), and (d), 74 Stat. 399–403; 21 U.S.C. 376 (b), (c), and (d)) and the transitional provisions accompanying the Color Additive Amendments of 1960 (Sec. 203, 74 Stat. 404–407; 21 U.S.C. 376 (note))

Dated: July 31, 1974.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.74-17962 Filed 8-6-74;8:45 am]