

Distribution). SEND PROTESTS TO: District Supervisor Lyle D. Helfer, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 5301 Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 139866 TA, filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: FREMONT OUTDOOR EDUCATION & RECREATION, INC., 249 West 4th North, St. Anthony, Idaho 83445. Applicant's representative: P. Blaines Hawkes (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in groups, in special and round-trip charter operations, beginning and ending at St. Anthony, Idaho, and extending to points in Idaho, Utah, Wyoming, and Washington, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPERS: There are approximately 7 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined here at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. SEND PROTESTS TO: C. W. Campbell, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 550 West Fort Street, Box 07, Boise, Idaho.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-14627 Filed 6-25-74; 8:45 am]

[I.C.C. Order No. 130, Rev. S.O. No. 994]

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION (AMTRAK) AND SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY Rerouting Traffic

Upon application of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) and it being the opinion of Lewis R. Teeple, Agent, to whom the matter has been delegated, that an emergency precludes operation of Amtrak's trains between Portland, Oregon, and Oakland, California, over its customary route via certain lines of the Southern Pacific Transportation Company (SP), because of track damage in the vicinity of Chemult, Oregon, and to facilitate operations by Amtrak in such emergency that are necessary to the passengers sought to be served.

It is ordered, That:

(a) *Rerouting traffic.* Amtrak, being unable to operate its trains between Portland, Oregon, and Oakland, California, over its customary route via certain lines of the SP, because of track damage in the vicinity of Chemult, Oregon, the SP is hereby directed to reroute Amtrak's trains between Portland, Oregon, and Oakland, California, via any route available for the safe passage of such trains.

(b) In executing the directions of the Commission and of such Agent provided for in this order, the common carriers involved shall proceed even though no contracts, agreements, or arrangements now exist between them with reference to the compensation terms and conditions applicable to said transportation. The compensation terms and conditions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, that which is voluntarily agreed upon by and between said carriers; or upon failure of the carriers to so agree, the compensation terms and conditions shall be as hereafter fixed by the Commission upon petition of any or all of the said carriers, in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon it by the Interstate Commerce Act and by the Rail Passenger Service Act of 1970, as amended.

(c) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 8 p.m., e.s.t., June 7, 1974.

(d) *Expiration date.* This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., June 10, 1974, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended.

It is further ordered, That this order shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that it be filed with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, D.C., June 7, 1974.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION,
LEWIS R. TEEPLE,
Agent.

[FR Doc.74-14628 Filed 6-25-74; 8:45 am]

[FR Doc.74-14628 Filed 6-25-74; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL RAILWAYS OF MEXICO, ET AL. Exemption Under Mandatory Car Service Rules

It appearing, That cars are being returned by the National Railways of Mexico (NDM) at Brownsville, Texas, and at Laredo, Texas, contrary to normal routing because of congestion on the NDM; that the Missouri Pacific Railroad Company (MP) and The Texas Mexican Railway Company (TM) have agreed to accept empty cars from the NDM at Brownsville, Texas, or at Laredo, Texas, regardless of their rights to refuse to accept such empty cars under Car Service Rule 2 and despite their inability to use such cars at those points.

It is ordered, That, pursuant to the authority vested in me by Car Service Rule 19, empty cars received by the MP or TM from the NDM at Brownsville, Texas, or at Laredo, Texas, may be used by these lines for one trip only to any destination in the United States regardless of the provisions of Car Service Rules 1 and 2.

Such cars must not be used for loading to points in Mexico.

Effective: June 16, 1974.

Expires: July 12, 1974.

Issued: June 13, 1974.

[SEAL] LEWIS R. TEEPLE,
Assistant Director,
Bureau of Operations,
[FR Doc.74-14630 Filed 6-25-74; 8:45 am]

[No. AB-93; Finance Doc. 27606]

THE PEORIA TERMINAL CO. AND PEORIA AND UNION RAILWAY CO.

Abandonment of Bridge; Trackage Rights

In the matter of abandonment of bridge spanning Illinois River at Pekin, and trackage rights, in Peoria and Tazewell Counties, Illinois.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceedings, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available for public inspection upon request; and

It appearing, That no environmental impact statement need be issued in these proceedings, because these proceedings do not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in newspapers of general circulation in Peoria and Tazewell Counties, Ill., within 15 days of the date of service of this order, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And, it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by forwarding a copy to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 17th day of June, 1974.

By the Commission, Commissioner Tuggle.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[No. AB-93]

THE PEORIA TERMINAL COMPANY ABANDONMENT BRIDGE SPANNING ILLINOIS RIVER AT PEKIN, IN PEORIA AND TAZEWELL COUNTIES, ILLINOIS

[Finance Docket No. 27606]

THE PEORIA TERMINAL COMPANY—TRACAGE RIGHTS—PEORIA AND PEKIN UNION RAILWAY COMPANY IN PEORIA AND TAZEWELL COUNTIES, ILLINOIS

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated June 17, 1974, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Peoria

Terminal Company of its bridge spanning the Illinois River, a distance of 1401.4 feet and the application of the Peoria Terminal Company to acquire trackage rights over the Peoria and Pekin Union Railway Company, a distance of 9.5 miles, in Peoria and Tazewell Counties, Ill., if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*, and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2)(C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that because all traffic which had been moving over the Peoria Terminal Company's bridge will be diverted to the nearby trackage of the Peoria and Pekin Union Railway, no net change in rail service will occur. Inasmuch as the involved railroads provide an

interchange and switching operation only for the line-haul carriers serving Peoria and Pekin, no local shippers will be affected. Accomplishment of this action will result in the removal of a partially-destroyed railroad bridge which, in its present form, constitutes a potential hazard to navigation. Furthermore, no significant impact on land use will occur.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available for public inspection upon request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-343-2086.

Interested parties may comment on this matter by the submission of representations to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, on or before July 11, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-14633 Filed 6-25-74;8:45 am]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—JUNE

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during June.

1 CFR	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page	9 CFR	Page
305	23041	331	19939	73	19773, 20783, 22942
310	23041	401	19446, 19447, 21118	76	19939, 22250
		409	23045	82	20186, 20585, 22942
3 CFR		411	19447	92	23049
PROCLAMATION:		631	20475	97	19940, 22942
4295	19767	650	19645	112	20783
4296	20051	724	20208	113	20368, 20783, 21041
4297	20471	760	20063	151	21120
		905	21040	160	23050
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		908	19448, 20065, 20364, 20800, 22127	161	23050
9835 (See EO 11785)	20053	910	19773, 20208, 20799, 22248	317	20369
10450 (amended by E.O. 11785)	20053	911	19939, 20209, 20800, 22249, 22940	318	20186
10987 (revoked by E.O. 11787)	20675	915	19773, 20801	325	20186
11491 (See EO 11787)	20675	916	22940	381	20369
11588 (Revoked by EO 11788)	22113	917	21118		
11605 (Revoked by EO 11785)	20053	918	20957	PROPOSED RULES:	
11615 (See EO 11788)	22113	923	21119	92	22272
11627 (Revoked by EO 11788)	22113	928	20677	102	19946
11640 (Revoked by EO 11788)	22113	944	20957, 22127	112	21057
11695 (Revoked by EO 11788)	22113	1121	20959	113	20980, 21057
11723 (Revoked by EO 11788)	22113	1131	23048	312	22152
11730 (Revoked by EO 11788)	22113	1201	22941	317	22152
11748 (See EO 11788)	22113	1207	22941	320	22152
11758 (amended by E.O. 11784)	19443	1421	20803, 22128	381	22152
11781 (Revoked by EO 11788)	22113	1427	19448, 20364, 20585		
11784	19443	1464	20066	10 CFR	
11785	20053	1701	22415	32	22129
11786	20473	1807	20367	71	20960, 22131
11787	20675	1822	20803	Ch. II:	
11788	22113	1823	20475	210	22131
		1832	20677	211	20187, 21042
		1861	20070	Rulings	20056, 21042, 21043, 22133, 22134
		2620	20070		
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:				PROPOSED RULES:	
Memorandum of May 13, 1974	20583	PROPOSED RULES:		20	22428
Memorandum of May 16, 1974	19769	51	22958		
		52	22959	12 CFR	
4 CFR		799	20490	213	20056
PROPOSED RULES:		915	19784	220	20960
409	20505	916	20978	225	19774, 21120
		917	21151	303	21044
5 CFR		921	20978	545	20678, 22134
213	19445, 19446, 20055, 20185, 20783, 21037, 21117, 22247	922	20979	563	20586, 22137
		924	20210, 21056	564	22137
733	20185	944	19484	584	22943
890	20055	945	21151	615	20960
891	20055	948	21056, 23062		
930	20185	958	22427	PROPOSED RULES:	
		967	23063	23	22150
6 CFR		980	22964	545	22285
Ch. I	20186	981	19485	748	21061
		984	19486		
7 CFR		989	19946	13 CFR	
2	20208, 22127	1001	23063	108	20477
28	22939	1002	23063	121	22944
52	22939	1004	23063	311	22426
54	20363	1015	23063	PROPOSED RULES:	
55	20363	1033	23063	107	19662
56	20363	1036	23063	121	22163
70	20364	1040	21153, 23063		
210	22247	1049	21154, 23063	14 CFR	
220	22247	1131	20212	39	19448, 19449, 19775, 19940, 19941, 20189, 20190, 20477, 20479, 20680, 20784, 20961, 21120, 21121, 22250, 23051
250	20957	1464	20071	61	20056
301	21037, 21117	1701	19947, 21154	71	19449, 19450, 19775, 19942, 20057, 20191, 20193, 20369, 20479, 20586, 20785, 20786, 20961, 21121, 22251, 22416, 22944, 22945, 23052
		8 CFR			
		242	20959		
		PROPOSED RULES:			
		242	19783, 20367		
		287	20805		

14 CFR—Continued

Page

73	23052
75	19776, 20193, 20586
95	20587
97	20057, 20479, 22251, 23052
101	22252
121	20590
141	20146
152	20590
288	19942, 20961, 20962
298	21121
302	22416
373	21124
378	21124
385	20058, 21044, 21125
389	22417
399	20963

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. I	20612
39	19503, 20784, 20785, 20994, 22273, 22274, 22276
71	19504, 19955, 20082, 20214, 20500, 20501, 20615, 20702, 20785, 20786, 20995, 22274, 22965, 22966, 23068
75	20214
91	20382
121	22275
201	20083
207	22430
208	22430
211	20083
212	22430
214	22430
217	22430
221	20083
239	20400
241	22430
243	20603
249	22430
261	20083
288	20995, 22279
293	20503
298	20504
302	20083
312	20083
369	22430
389	22430
399	20083, 21057, 22279, 22280

15 CFR

377	21044
921	19922
950	20193

16 CFR

13	21045, 22139, 22253
15	21046

PROPOSED RULES:

233	21059
502	20814

17 CFR

240	19944
249	20480

PROPOSED RULES:

230	19967, 20609
239	20609
240	22162

18 CFR

101	22417
104	22417
201	22418
204	22418
701	20590

18 CFR—Continued

Page

PROPOSED RULES:

35	20403
154	20403
703	20612

19 CFR

153	20370, 20786
159	20369

20 CFR

404	21047, 21048
405	19482, 20164, 22415
416	23053
602	19776
741	20566

PROPOSED RULES:

401	22428
404	19501
405	19949
602	19787

21 CFR

1	20878
3	20193
8	23055
19	19776, 20786
27	20787
30	20879
53	20882
121	20593, 22140
125	20884
135	20370, 21049, 22945
135a	22946
135b	20370, 22946
135e	20193, 20593, 22945, 22946, 23055
135f	21049
141	20787
148i	20370
149b	20787
310	19942, 20482
314	20482
331	19862, 22140
332	19862, 22140
610	20371
630	20371
680	19777
1010	20788
1020	20371, 20788
1308	22140

PROPOSED RULES:

1	20885, 20887, 20888, 21165
3	20602, 20885, 20891
10	20885
15	20891, 20892
19	19501
100	20895
20896, 20898, 20900, 20905,	20906
101	20887
102	20885
20888, 20892, 20905, 20906,	20908
141a	20399
146a	20399
149h	20399
330	19878, 19880
610	20399
1301	20382
1311	20382

23 CFR

476	20658, 20666
633	20371, 22418

24 CFR

1700	19778
1914	19451
20059, 20060, 20486-20488,	21136
22117-22119, 23056, 23057	
1915	19454, 20686, 21137, 22120

24 CFR—Continued

Page

PROPOSED RULES:

232	20172
275	22277

25 CFR

183	22254
-----	-------

PROPOSED RULES:

35	20489
----	-------

26 CFR

1	20194, 20681
154	21126

PROPOSED RULES:

1	20210
53	20975

28 CFR

2	20028
50	23057

29 CFR

60	20964
89	20452
94	19888
95	19892
96	19904
97	19910, 23158
98	19913
1910	19468
1915	22001
1916	22029
1917	22054
1918	22074
1919	22096
1926	19470, 22801
1954	22124

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. V	19948
1907	19507
1910	19507, 20494, 20497, 20499
1915	20499
1916	20499
1917	20499
1918	20499
1926	20499, 20602

30 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

11	19783
77	20213

31 CFR


0	19470
3	19470
202	20964
203	20964
205	20964
208	20964
209	20964
211	20965
223	20965
225	20965
235	20967
240	20967
245	20968
248	20969
250	20965
251	20965
254	20965
256	20965
257	20965
261	20965
270	20965
281	20965
290	20965
306	20965

31 CFR—Continued	Page	39 CFR—Continued	Page	45 CFR—Continued	Page
308	20966	159	20970	158	22342
309	20966	161	20971	186	22424
315	20966	162	20971	233	22268
316	20966	163	20971	250	21135, 22269
321	20966	165	20971	801	19780
322	20966	168	20973		
328	20966	232	20973		
330	20966	257	20973		
332	20966	263	20974		
337	20966	281	20974		
339	20966	3002	20376		
340	20966				
341	20966				
342	20967				
343	20967				
351	20967				
359	20967				
360	20967				
365	20968				
368	20969				
32 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
750	20681	123	19958	86	22228
751	22420	124	19958	249	20042
753	20681			1303	20071
757	22262				
920	22264				
1280	19470				
32A CFR		40 CFR		46 CFR	
Ch. VI	22143, 22144	39	20788	2	19481
DMS Reg. 1	23008, 23019, 23020	51	20061	26	19481
DPS Reg. 1	23021, 23028	52	19779, 20061, 20484, 20596, 21049, 21053, 22946, 22947	30	22949
DPS Order 1	23028	60	20790	64	22950
DPS Order 2	23029	130	19634	70	22953
		131	19639	90	22953
		180	19942, 20376, 20596, 22145, 22146, 22264, 22423	98	22954
		227	20206	160	20684
		408	23134	221	22425
		414	20597	252	20383
		415	20376	294	19481
				531	22956
33 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
1	19472, 20203	52	19957, 20511, 21058, 22159, 23069	73	19954
2	19473	115	20769	78	19954
91	19473	120	20513, 20703, 22967		
96	19473	180	21058		
100	19473	227	22967		
105	19473	408	23154		
110	20376				
117	19942, 20969, 20970				
207	19473				
PROPOSED RULES:		41 CFR		47 CFR	
117	19502, 20702	3-1	22265	0	20376
124	22965	3-7	20598	2	22269
401	22158	5A-1	22268	21	20600
		5A-2	20598	73	20377, 20378, 20485
		Ch. 7	21126	76	20380
		15-1	20794	78	20380
		15-26	20794	89	22269
		60-5	19943	91	22269
		101-26	20598, 20683	93	22269
		101-32	21054	97	21054
		101-35	19943		
		101-43	20061		
		101-47	22947		
34 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
200	23057	3-50	20602	2	20401, 21166
510	22145			15	21166
701	20203			73	29787, 20083, 20401-20403, 20608, 20704, 22160, 22280, 22282, 22438
				76	20813, 21058
PROPOSED RULES:				81	20813, 21166
7	20489			83	21166
				91	20401
				97	20704, 22282
37 CFR		42 CFR		49 CFR	
PROPOSED RULES:		101	23060	173	22425
1	19786, 22158			195	19780
				Ch. III	20795
				391	20795
				570	19781
				571	19481, 19782, 20063, 20380
				574	19482, 20206
				1033	20207, 20684, 20685, 20799, 21054, 22269, 22271, 22426, 23060
				1100	22426
38 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
1	20061	83	22276	172	21166
2	20788	55a	20079	173	20805, 21166
3	20204			177	21166
17	20376			178	20805, 21166
21	20595			179	21166
				566	19955
PROPOSED RULES:		43 CFR		567	19505, 19955
3	20086, 20214, 23070	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:		568	19955
21	20087, 20996	5174 (amended by PLO 5411)	19944	571	19505, 19955
		5411	19944	575	20808
		5422	20376	590	20501
		5423	23060	1125	20215
39 CFR		45 CFR			
111	20595	25	19478		
144	20788, 20970	151	22147		
154	20970				
158	20970				

50 CFR		Page
Ch. I	-----	21055
28	-----	20380, 22149
255	-----	19954
295	-----	20381
Ch. IV	-----	21055
PROPOSED RULES:		
16	-----	22427
33	-----	22152
216	-----	19500
259	-----	21161

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JUNE

Pages	Date	Pages	Date	Pages	Date
19437-19759	June 3	20465-20571	June 11	21107-22106	June 19
19761-19927	4	20573-20668	12	22107-22240	20
19929-20044	5	20669-20775	13	22241-22408	21
20045-20177	6	20777-20950	14	22409-23932	24
20179-20355	7	20951-21030	17	22933-23031	25
20357-20463	10	21031-21106	18	23033-23176	26



federal register

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 26, 1974

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 39 ■ Number 124

PART II



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



CANNED AND PRESERVED SEAFOOD PROCESSING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Title 40—Protection of the Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCYSUBCHAPTER N—EFFLUENT GUIDELINES
AND STANDARDSPART 408—CANNED AND PRESERVED
SEAFOOD PROCESSING POINT SOURCE
CATEGORYCatfish, Crab, Shrimp, and Tuna
Processing Subcategory

On February 6, 1974 notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 1624) that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) was proposing effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources within the farm-raised catfish processing of more than 908 kg (2000 lbs) of raw material per day subcategory, farm-raised catfish processing of 908 kg (2000 lbs) or less of raw material per day subcategory, conventional blue crab processing subcategory, mechanized blue crab processing subcategory, Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory, Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory, dungeness and tanner crab processing in the contiguous States subcategory, Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory, northern shrimp processing of more than 1816 kg (4000 lbs) of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, northern shrimp processing of 1816 kg (4000 lbs) or less of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, southern non-breaded shrimp processing of more than 1816 kg (4000 lbs) of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, southern non-breaded shrimp processing of 1816 kg (4000 lbs) or less of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, breaded shrimp processing of more than 1816 kg (4000 lbs) of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, breaded shrimp processing of 1816 kg (4000 lbs) or less of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, and the tuna processing subcategory of the Canned and Preserved Seafood Processing category of point sources.

The purpose of this notice is to establish final effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources in the Canned and Preserved Seafood Processing category of point sources, by amending 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N, to add a new Part 408. This final rulemaking is promulgated pursuant to sections 301, 304(b) and (c), 306(b) and (c), and 307 (c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the Act); 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314(b) and (c), 1316 (b) and (c), and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500. Regulations regarding cooling water intake structures for all categories of point sources under section 316(b) of the Act will be promulgated in 40 CFR 402.

In addition, the EPA is simultaneously proposing a separate provision

which appears in the Part II section of this FEDERAL REGISTER, at 39 FR 23154, stating the application of the limitations and standards set forth below to users of publicly owned treatment works which are subject to pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act. The basis of that proposed regulation is set forth in the associated notice of proposed rulemaking.

The legal basis, methodology and factual conclusions which support promulgation of this regulation were set forth in substantial detail in the notice of public review procedures published August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202) and in the notice of proposed rulemaking for the farm-raised catfish processing of more than 908 kg (2000 lbs) of raw material per day subcategory, farm-raised catfish processing of 908 kg (2000 lbs) or less of raw material per day subcategory, conventional blue crab processing subcategory, mechanized blue crab processing subcategory, Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory, Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory, dungeness and tanner crab processing in the contiguous States subcategory, Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory, northern shrimp processing of more than 1816 kg (4000 lbs) of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, northern shrimp processing of 1816 kg (4000 lbs) or less of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, southern non-breaded shrimp processing of more than 1816 kg (4000 lbs) of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, southern non-breaded shrimp processing of 1816 kg (4000 lbs) or less of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, breaded shrimp processing of more than 1816 kg (4000 lbs) of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, breaded shrimp processing of 1816 kg (4000 lbs) or less of raw material per day in the contiguous States subcategory, and the tuna processing subcategory. In addition, the regulations as proposed were supported by two other documents: (1) the document entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Catfish, Crab, Shrimp and Tuna Segment of the Canned and Preserved Seafood Processing Point Source Category" (January, 1974) and (2) the document entitled "Economic Analysis of Proposed Effluent Guidelines, Seafood Processing Industry (October, 1973). Both of these documents were made available to the public and circulated to interested persons at approximately the time of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking.

Interested persons were invited to participate in the rulemaking by submitting written comments within 30 days from the date of publication. Prior public participation in the form of solicited comments and responses from the States, Federal agencies, and other interested parties were described in the preamble to the proposed regulation. The EPA has considered carefully all of the comments received and a discussion of these com-

ments with the Agency's response thereto follows.

The regulation as promulgated contains important changes from the proposed regulation. The following discussion outlines the reasons why these changes were made and why other suggested changes were not implemented.

(a) *Summary of Comments.* The following responded to the request for written comments contained in the preamble to the proposed regulation: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Marine Fisheries Service; Colorado Department of Public Health; Washington Fish and Oyster Company; Alaskan Department of the Environment; Morpac, Inc.; American Institute of Chemical Engineers; East Point Seafood Co.; American Catfish Marketing Association; Georgia Department of Natural Resources; National Cannery Association; Clark and Johnson, Attorneys at Law; Virginia Seafood Council; Wm. B. McLeod, Law Office; Keyser Brothers, Inc.; Wakefield Seafoods, Inc.; Millers Crab Shore; Smith Seafood Co.; Van Camp Sea Food Company; American Shrimp Canners Association; Robinson Canning Co. Inc.; Vita Food Products Co., Inc.; B&B Fisheries, Inc.; York Crab and Oyster Co., Inc.; and the U.S. Department of Interior.

Each of the comments received was reviewed and analyzed carefully. The following is a summary of the significant comments and the Agency's response to those comments.

(1) A number of commenters feel that EPA has failed to adequately justify treatment of all seafood process wastes prior to their return to the ocean environment because fish waste provides nutrients to the receiving water ecosystem.

The disposal of seafood processing waste waters in limited areas, frequently estuaries or coastal areas, does affect the ecosystem of the receiving waters. Moreover, under the Act, it is not necessary that a showing be made regarding the effect of the pollutional discharge upon the quality of the receiving water on a case-by-case basis. Under sections 301, 304(b) and (c), 306(b) and (c), and 307(c), the principal means of control is through the adoption of effluent limitations directly applicable to the discharge itself. The effluent limitations guidelines are to be based upon defined levels of technology which are specified in the Act itself. Nevertheless, effluent limitations derived from water quality standards are retained as a secondary means of control and will have their principal applicability in those instances where technology-based effluent limitations are not stringent enough to provide for the achievement of water quality standards.

Contrary to the assumption of many commenters, Water Quality Criteria are not established on an industry-by-industry basis, but rather on a pollutant parameter basis. Notice of publication for the "Proposed Criteria for Water Quality, Volume I" was contained in the October 26, 1973 FEDERAL REGISTER and for the "Proposed Water Quality Informa-

tion, Volume II," in the October 29, 1973 FEDERAL REGISTER. Information may be obtained from the Director, Water Quality Criteria Staff; Environmental Protection Agency; Waterside Mall East, Room 737, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20406.

(2) The regulations and Development Document do not provide the means to determine subcategory classification for multiproduct plants with respect to establishing effluent limitations.

A primary reason for establishing effluent limitations guidelines on the basis of production of raw material, is to provide the means to consider the single product as well as the multiproduct seafood processor without setting separate guideline numbers for every possible combination of species and processing rates.

As stated in the preamble to the proposed regulations, when a plant is subject to effluent limitations covering more than one subcategory, the plant's effluent limitation shall be the aggregate of the limitations applicable to the total production covered by each subcategory. For example, if a plant processes several species concurrently, then the plant's effluent limitation may be the sum of the products of the volume of each species processed and the respective effluent limitation. If a plant processes several species in series, then the effluent limitation may be based on the subcategory classification of the individual species while it is being processed. In other words, the aggregate effluent limitation guideline number may vary as a function of the product mix at any particular point in time.

EPA recognizes that the effluent limitations guidelines contained herein are limited to the catfish, crab, shrimp, and tuna segments of the canned and preserved seafood processing industry. Many plants process commodities in addition to the aforementioned. The guidelines contained herein are intended to affect those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plants seasonal or yearly production.

The Agency has limited the guidelines to plants which process predominantly Phase I species because it has not been able to determine satisfactorily the possible economic impact of extending the guidelines to cover all plants which do process some percentage of Phase I species but which also process significant quantities of Phase II species. The reason for this uncertainty as to economic consequences is that substantial data concerning the size, location and product mix of these multi-product plants were not made available to the Agency until March 14, 1974, at which point insufficient time remained to complete the analysis. These data, as well as data generated independently by EPA, are being and will continue to be analyzed by the Agency in connection with the development of effluent limitations guide-

lines applicable to plants processing Phase II commodities. When the Phase II guidelines are promulgated, the guidelines now being promulgated will be revised on the basis of this analysis to indicate their applicability to multiproduct plants now excluded from coverage.

(3) Some commenters criticized as inadequate the data base upon which the raw waste loads and effluent reductions were calculated. As was explained in the preamble to the notice of proposed rulemaking, the Agency is well aware that the amount of information available on raw waste loadings and treatment efficiencies is less than that which would exist in ideal circumstances. However, as the preamble also observed, the historical data on expected raw waste loads is of diminished utility because of the variability due to sampling methods previously employed and the even smaller amount of data on treatment plant efficiencies is due to the generally inadequate level of treatment which has prevailed historically in the industry.

The time constraints imposed by the statutory deadlines preclude the Agency from conducting an exhaustive sampling program. Nevertheless in the time available, the contractor (a recognized authority on waste management in the seafood processing industry) carried out the first national scale empirical study of the industry's waste characteristics and treatment. For example, (A) five catfish processing plants, representative of the approximately 30 plants in the subcategory and producing over 38 percent of all catfish processed, were sampled. (B) Seven of the approximately 180 blue crab processing plants were visited; two conventional blue crab plants and two mechanized blue crab plants were sampled. Consultation with Mr. Michael W. Papparella, Extension Specialist, Seafoods Processing Laboratory, University of Maryland, Crisfield, Maryland; Mr. Roy Carawan, Food Science Extension Specialist (Engineering), Department of Food Science, North Carolina State University; and Dr. Frank Thomas, Food Science Extension Specialist (Seafoods), Department of Food Science, North Carolina State University indicated that there were no significant differences between the blue crab processors of the South Atlantic region and the Gulf Coast region and those further north. They also indicated that the waste characteristics of plants employing simple manual crab meat picking would differ from those plants utilizing mechanical crab picking machines, as was confirmed by the sampling program. (C) Mr. Melvin Waters and Mr. Bobby J. Wood of the Pascagoula Laboratory of the National Marine Fisheries Service; Dr. Arthur Novak and Dr. M. R. Rao of the Department of Food Science, Louisiana State University; and Mr. Ray Robinson of the American Shrimp Cannery Association, New Orleans, Louisiana assisted in locating "representative" shrimp processing plants. Five of the approximately 129 southern non-breaded shrimp processing

plants were visited of which three were sampled. (D) Data presented for breaded shrimp processing, northern shrimp processing and dungeness and tanner crab processing in the contiguous States were obtained through a previous EPA grant study conducted by Mr. M. R. Soderquist of the Department of Food Science and Technology at Oregon State University. Six of the approximately 70 breaded shrimp processing plants were visited; two which were considered representative of the industry were sampled. Eight of the approximately 48 northern shrimp processing plants were visited; two which were considered representative of the industry were sampled. Also, three of the approximately 34 dungeness and tanner crab processing plants in the contiguous states were sampled. (E) In selecting representative crab and shrimp processing plants in Alaska the contractor consulted Mr. Roger DeCamp of the National Cannery Association; Mr. John Dasso, Mr. M. A. Steinberg and Mr. Jeff Collins of the National Marine Fisheries Service. Fourteen of the approximately 59 Alaskan crab processing plants were visited; seven plants which were considered representative were sampled. Six of the approximately 30 Alaskan shrimp processing plants were visited; two plants which were considered representative were sampled. (F) In the tuna subcategory, nine plants, representing over 56 percent of the annual industry capacity were sampled. No less than two to three weeks of on-site sampling were carried out in any subcategory and generally substantially longer periods. All samples were 24 hour, flow-proportioned, composite samples in order to reflect as accurately as possible the actual pollutant characteristics of the plant's effluent. The existing scientific literature was also reviewed, of course, though because of the variability referred to in item (12) below, the results were less useful than EPA's own sampling program.

As far as the effluent limitations guidelines themselves are concerned, the effluent reductions expected are based predominantly upon (1) the performance of systems now in operation in the industry, (2) the results of the Agency's research demonstration grant project on shrimp waste, Agency studies on seafood waste and on the results of other federal agency programs (such as the National Marine Fisheries Service pilot plant studies of air flotation), and (3) the informed advice of consultants on treatment of seafood processing wastes. The effluent reductions obtained by specific treatment technologies as applied to waste water with similar pollutant characteristics in other food processing industries were also considered in developing the effluent limitation guidelines.

(4) A number of commenters suggested that the technology specified as best available technology economically achievable had not been adequately demonstrated for this industrial category.

The Agency recognizes that the technology specified herein as best available technology economically achievable has

not been demonstrated for every subcategory in day-to-day operations in this industrial category. However, in determining whether technology has been "demonstrated" for the purposes of standards which must be achieved by 1983, the Agency does not believe that the same high degree of confidence that the technology will work must exist as is the case for 1977 standards. In making the judgment as to whether or not the technology is "available," the Agency examined a wide range of information, including the use of the technology to treat similar wastes in other industrial categories, pilot plant and demonstration projects, and laboratory and other experimental data on various waste treatment processes. Based on such data and information, and the application of the Agency's best judgment, the technology specified herein was determined to constitute the best available technology economically achievable.

It is recognized that, in some cases, the industry must itself perform some of the pilot plant and other developmental work which will be necessary to bring the technology into full utilization. This does not, however, alter the Agency's judgment that the technology is "available," is "economically achievable," and can be brought on line in time to achieve full compliance by 1983, as required by the Act.

The technology which forms the basis for the effluent limitations guidelines is used only as a point of reference for available treatment systems. The industry may select alternative methods as discussed in the Development Document to meet the effluent limitations.

(5) Some correspondents endorsed the proposal made to the Administrator by the Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee that a significantly different approach be taken in the development of effluent guidelines generally.

The committee's proposal is under evaluation as a contribution toward future refinements of guidelines for some industries. The committee has indicated that their proposed methodology could not be developed in sufficient time to be available for the current phase of guideline promulgation, which is proceeding according to a court-ordered schedule. Its present state of development does not provide sufficient evidence to warrant the Agency's delaying issuance of any standard in hopes that an alternative approach might be preferable.

(6) One commenter suggests that, contrary to the provisions of the Act, in-plant control and process changes form the basis for both the 1977 and 1983 effluent limitations guidelines.

The 1977 effluent limitations guidelines are based on end-of-pipe treatment and "good housekeeping" practices which are considered normal practice within the seafood processing industry such as turning off faucets and hoses when not in use or using spring-loaded hose nozzles, and do not assume significant equipment changes. The large variation in water usage for the same process configuration

among different plants indicates that there is ample opportunity for the reduction of water usage without adversely affecting the quality of the product.

The emphasis in the Development Document on adequate in-plant control and process changes which substantially reduce the end-of-pipe waste load and flow as well as the associated waste treatment cost, is intended for those processors who recognize the possible cost trade-offs between end-of-pipe treatment and in-plant changes or recovery techniques.

The 1983 guidelines and new source standards include consideration of in-plant changes to effect water use reductions, as provided by the Act.

(7) A number of commenters suggest that neither the effluent limitations guidelines nor the economic justification for mandatory installation of pollution control technology should be based on the recovery of by-products because of fluctuating market potentials.

The technical and economic analyses were not based on by-product recovery techniques. The purpose of the by-product recovery discussion in the Development Document is to outline several of the major developments that are currently in use, ready for use, or will be available within the next few years.

(8) EPA should use the COD test instead of the BOD5 test because it is faster, easier and less expensive to run, and more reproducible than the BOD5 test.

The BOD5 test is widely used to determine the pollutional strength of domestic and industrial wastes in terms of the oxygen that they will require if discharged into natural watercourses in which aerobic conditions exist. Furthermore, common engineering design practice utilizes BOD5 as a principal design parameter, especially for biological waste treatment systems.

The possibility of substituting the COD parameter for the BOD5 parameter was investigated during the Phase II study. The BOD5 and corresponding COD data from industrial fish, finfish, and shellfish waste waters were analyzed to determine if COD is an adequate predictor of BOD5 for any or all of these groups of seafood. The analysis indicates that the COD parameter is not a reliable predictor of BOD5.

The relationship between COD and BOD5 before treatment is not necessarily the same after treatment. Therefore, the effluent limitations guidelines will include the BOD5 parameter, since insufficient information is available on the COD effluent levels after treatment.

(9) One commenter considers 50 to 100 parts per million of fats and oils to be the lowest practical limit of detection without resorting to gas-liquid chromatography. Therefore, the oil and grease effluent limitations are impractical in terms of present day analytical techniques and removal processes.

The oil and grease limitations are realistic in terms of the analytical techniques used to develop the data reported

in the Development Document and pollution abatement technology that is currently used in the seafood processing industry.

As stated in the preamble to the proposed regulation, the oil and grease parameter refers to those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste," 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217. The scope and application of this method covers the range from 5 to 1000 mg/l (approximately 5 to 1000 parts per million) of extractable material.

(10) Some commenters feel that discharges of oil and grease from shrimp processing plants should not be specifically restricted because they are biodegradable and non-detrimental to water quality in the quantities discharged.

While oils and greases are substances contributing to biochemical oxygen demand (and also chemical oxygen demand), they have a potential detrimental impact that is unrelated to oxygen demand and their retention as a controlled parameter is justified. For example, oil emulsions may adhere to the gills of fish or coat and destroy algae or other plankton by inhibiting the normal transfer of oxygen.

(11) The effluent limitations should be modified to include a range of numbers for the BOD₅, total suspended solids, and oil and grease parameters. The range should include that obtainable by screening at one extreme and air flotation or its equivalent at the other.

The available data do not indicate significant differences attributable to age and size of plant and other factors that would justify further subcategorization of the industry or establishment of ranges of limitations.

The present guidelines take differences within the seafood processing industry into account through subcategorization, rather than by use of ranges of numbers to be varied at the discretion of the permit issuing authority.

Section 306 of the Act separates several, broad industrial groups into 28 subgroups. For example, the food processing industry has been divided into the meat products and rendering, dairy products, canned and preserved fruits and vegetables, grain mills, canned and preserved seafood, and sugar processing categories. The canned and preserved seafood processing category has been further subdivided in Phase I into four segments (catfish, crab, shrimp and tuna) within which 14 subcategories have been established on the basis of such factors as size and location of plants, and types of products processed.

Further subcategories will be established in the Phase II segment.

(12) The practice of screening the raw waste waters with a 20-mesh Tyler sieve prior to laboratory analysis does not measure the real organic waste load of the untreated effluent. Therefore, EPA is in error by using this data for establishing further reductions through employ-

ment of subsequent waste water treatment under commercial plant operating conditions. The samples should have been ground prior to analysis in order to measure the total BOD demand by the effluent in the environment even if it does require a long time for such biological degradation.

As discussed in the Development Document, the sampling effort was designed to identify the constituents of the waste waters which should be subject to effluent limitations and to minimize the complexity of reducing the effluent pollution to acceptable levels.

The practice of utilizing a 20-mesh Tyler sieve has been used in previous waste water characterization research in both the seafood and the fruits and vegetable fields. It serves to remove the larger solid particles (such as crab legs, some shrimp shell, fish parts, etc.) and thereby greatly reduce the resultant "scatter" of the data points. The method is especially valuable in developing a precise base-line value for each parameter from a limited number of samples.

The problem of collecting representative samples when large solid particles are contained in the effluent becomes rather complex without knowing the underlying frequency distribution of the number and size of the particles. Extremely large volumes of waste water would be necessary for a representative raw waste effluent sample. Because the basis for the minimum treatment effort included screening for most processors, data based on ground effluent samples would have no relationship to commonly accepted engineering design parameters.

(13) The Alaskan subcategories should have been further subdivided to account for the isolated plants which do not have dependable access to landfills or ocean barging in order to dispose of screened wastes by biologically degradable techniques or by dispersion over large areas through ocean disposal because of adverse climatic and geologic conditions.

After assessing the available information three additional subcategories have been added to account for differences due to crab and shrimp processing plant locations in Alaska.

There is substantial evidence that processors in isolated and remote areas of Alaska are at a comparative economic disadvantage to the processors located in population or processing centers in attempts to meet the proposed effluent limitations guidelines. The isolated location of some Alaskan seafood processing plants eliminates almost all waste water treatment alternatives because of undependable access to ocean, land, or commercial transportation during extended severe sea state or weather conditions, and the high costs of eliminating the engineering obstacles due to adverse climatic and geologic conditions. However, those plants located in population or processing centers have access to more reliable, cost-effective alternatives such as solids recovery techniques or other forms of solids disposal such as landfill or barging.

(14) The technology of dissolved air flotation cannot be transferred from one type of food processing or even fishery species to another. EPA has not identified the degree of effluent reduction by best practicable control technology currently available from adequate plant and demonstration studies for the seafood subcategories.

A determination of the effluent limitations guidelines study was that the existing level of waste treatment throughout the farm-raised catfish, crab, shrimp, and tuna segments of the industry was generally inadequate. The prevalent form of plant level waste water treatment technology for the fish and seafood processing industry is screening or direct discharge.

EPA has reassessed the available data and consulted recognized seafood waste water treatment experts. The Agency has concluded that air flotation technology is currently available for the fish and seafood processing industry because of its use in other related industries with similar wastes and because of its current use in several segments of the seafood processing industry. Dissolved air flotation is an established technology for the seafood industry though not as yet in common practice. The Fisheries Research Board of Canada and the Fisheries Association of British Columbia designed and erected a plant scale demonstration dissolved air flotation waste water treatment plant which accommodates salmon canning, herring roe recovery, and groundfish filleting effluents. Full scale dissolved air flotation systems have also been installed within the menhaden, sardine, and tuna processing industries. Pilot plant studies have been conducted on shrimp processing effluents in Alaska and Louisiana, and on crab processing effluents in Alaska. Section VII of the Development Document includes a discussion of dissolved air flotation technology and tables listing by species the degree of removal of various parameters attained by pilot plant and full scale air flotation systems. Appendices to the Development Document include a bibliography of air flotation studies for the seafood industry, a listing of sources on the application of air flotation technology to other related industries such as meat packing and poultry processing, and a list of waste water treatment equipment manufacturers that produce air flotation units.

(15) There are no data which support the statement that dissolved air flotation operated as a physical system will achieve the reductions assumed in the Development Document.

EPA recognizes that almost all pilot plant and full-scale air flotation systems operating in the seafood industry rely on chemical addition and optimization to achieve the highest levels of pollution abatement or by-product recovery. The Agency expects the dissolved air flotation systems to include chemical addition. The capital cost estimates and operation and maintenance costs pre-

sented in the Development Document for air flotation equipment included the costs for chemical addition for both the 1977 and 1983 estimates. However, optimization of dissolved air flotation performance is not required until 1983 because the technology is relatively new for most of the seafood processing industry and requires careful selection of chemicals and dosages, as well as skilled operation for optimum pollution abatements. Those 1977 guidelines which are based on dissolved air flotation reflect the Agency's best engineering assessment of the effluent reduction attainable by this technology without chemical optimization.

(16) Adequate attention has not been given to the sludge disposal or recovery problems of the dissolved air flotation system.

Conventional methods of sludge handling and disposal are available and demonstrated to be effective. For example, the sludge from the Canadian dissolved air flotation system is presently being dewatered by centrifuging and recovered as a food supplement to poultry feed. A conclusion of the "Draft Shrimp Canning Waste Treatment Study" (EPA Project S800 904) states that dewatering of dissolved air flotation sludge will be necessary for economical disposal. Centrifugation of the sludge was demonstrated to decrease the volume by 4:1 and increase the total solids dry weight by 2:1.

(17) Several commenters stated that dissolved air flotation systems should not provide the basis for the July 1, 1977 effluent limitations guidelines for tuna and shrimp processors because the technology is not the best practicable control technology currently available.

The tuna industry is presently utilizing dissolved air flotation systems to treat its waste water effluents. Two full scale units are operating presently; three more are currently under construction in Terminal Island, California and American Samoa; and another dissolved air flotation system is planned for installation in Puerto Rico. Furthermore, one plant endorses dissolved air flotation technology as a logical alternative for best practicable control technology currently available. Because of this and the discussion presented in item (14), the Agency believes that the technology meets the criteria for best practicable control technology currently available.

After careful reevaluation of available data and consultation with recognized seafood waste water treatment experts, the Agency believes that dissolved air flotation can be regarded as best practicable control technology currently available for shrimp processing facilities in the contiguous States. The technology is "available" and "transferable" as evidenced by pilot plant work discussed in item (14), (15) and (16). However, several organizations question whether the total number of shrimp processing plants affected can design, secure, construct, and line-out this particular equipment alternative by July 1, 1977. For this rea-

son, the Agency has combined the respective subcategories for the large and small shrimp processors in the contiguous States and based the July 1, 1977 effluent limitations guidelines on screening systems instead of dissolved air flotation systems. However, the July 1, 1983 standards and new source performance standards are based on dissolved air flotation technology.

(18) One commenter stated that the raw waste characteristic summary for the tuna processing industry appeared to be low compared to historical plant data. Approximately one year of plant effluent data was submitted as supportive evidence.

The plant data, which meets the sampling requirements discussed in item (12) above, has been incorporated into the data base presented in the Development Document with appropriate changes reflected in the effluent limitations guidelines.

(19) The 30-day and 1-day limits are not always applicable. The 30-day average limit is based on 30 consecutive days operation, and the one day limit is designed to allow for variation. However, both figures assume relatively continuous operation which is not a valid assumption for many seafood plants.

As discussed in the Development Document, the intermittent nature of the seafood processing industry has been considered in developing the effluent limitations guidelines.

The average of daily values for 30 consecutive days is intended to include the average for the number of days the plant operates within the 30 day period. For example, if a plant operates for 10 days of the 30 day period then the average is based on the 10 days only.

(20) The effluent limitations guidelines should be applied on a net rather than a gross basis to allow for pollutants which may be present in the plant intake water.

The effluent limitations guidelines have generally been developed on a gross or absolute basis. However, the Agency recognizes that in certain instances pollutants will be present in navigable waters which supply a plant's intake water in significant concentrations which may not be removed to the levels specified in the guidelines by the application of treatment technology contemplated by best practicable control currently available.

Accordingly, the Agency is currently developing amendments to its NPDES permit regulations (40 CFR Part 125) which will specify the situations in which the Regional Administrator may allow a credit for such pollutants. The regulations will be proposed for public comment in the near future.

(21) The State of Georgia currently requires a minimum of secondary biological treatment or equivalent for all process waste waters from blue crab and breaded and non-breaded shrimp processors. In several cases installation has been completed. One processor is operating its own secondary treatment facility and others have diverted their waste water to municipal treatment sys-

tems. EPA should propose the same requirements so that conflicting Federal and State regulations will not produce inequitable results.

Under the Act EPA is required to set uniform national standards which apply to all processors as a minimum level of pollution abatement. More stringent requirements may be based on water quality criteria or, as provided by section 510 of the Act, the determinations of appropriate State regulatory authorities.

(22) Many commenters requested that an extension of time be given so that they could complete studies regarding the proposed standards before commenting on them.

EPA extended the comment period from March 8, 1974 to March 22, 1974. An additional extension could not be given because of the court-ordered deadline filed by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on November 27, 1973.

(23) The estimate of energy requirements should include the energy required to fabricate the treatment equipment, to ship it to the plant, install it, and to run it.

The legislative history of the Act regarding the energy requirements of waste water treatment technology clearly indicates that Congress was concerned with the energy consumption of in-place treatment systems, not the cumulative effects of fabrication, transportation, and erection of the equipment. However, no evidence has been presented to the Agency which suggests that the energy required to manufacture, transport, and install the equipment which forms the basis for the effluent limitations guidelines, constitutes a significant percentage of the total requirements over the useful life of the equipment.

The in-place energy consumption of the various treatment systems was estimated. As discussed in the Development Document, the additional energy required in the form of electrical power to achieve the effluent limitations is of a low magnitude compared to the present electrical power consumption of the seafood industry.

(24) The Development Document accompanying the proposed regulation did not report specific oil and grease data for the dungeness and tanner crab processing in the contiguous States subcategory.

The historical data for dungeness and tanner crab processing did not include the oil and grease parameter. Because of the similarity of the waste water characteristics for similar processing techniques of the Alaskan and Pacific Northwest dungeness and tanner crab operations, the value for the oil and grease parameters of the Pacific Northwest process was extrapolated from the Alaskan process.

(25) Many commenters suggested that the economic impact analysis of the proposed effluent limitations guidelines failed to include adequately the unique economic situation of the seafood industry.

After publishing the proposed guidelines, the Agency received substantial fi-

nancial and economic data which formed the basis for reassessing the impact analysis. The majority of the changes listed below are based on the economic and financial condition of the industry.

(26) One commenter suggested that the summary raw waste data for southern non-breaded shrimp was too low and submitted historical data from five shrimp canning facilities as supportive evidence.

After evaluating the method of sample collection and analysis for the historical data, the Agency concludes that the differences in "raw waste characteristics" are attributable to those factors discussed in item (12) above. The samples were composited without prior screening and then blended before analysis. For this reason, the historical data cannot be utilized as a basis for revising the summary data presented in the Development Document.

(27) One commenter feels that industry expansion will be inhibited in those instances where the new source performance standards are more restrictive than the July 1, 1977 standards, particularly in the remote Alaskan crab and shrimp subcategories.

Section 306(a)(1) of the Act defines the term "standard of performance" to be a standard for the control of the discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants. In applying the definition to new source performance standards for remote Alaskan processors, the Agency believes that the practice of direct discharge of solid waste to the receiving waters cannot be justified for new sources because of demonstrated alternative methods of solids disposal such as by-product recovery, ocean discharge or landfills. For example, a large reduction facility services several seafood processing plants in Kodiak, Alaska. During the salmon season in locations such as Naknek, Ekluk, Dillingham, and False Pass, fish heads are partially rendered to recover oil with the resulting slush discharged to the receiving waters. In Petersburg and Ketchikan salmon fish heads and tails are recovered as an additive to pet food. Barging of solids is presently utilized during the salmon season at Chignik, King Cove, Hawk Inlet and Larsen Bay predominantly to control odors from solid waste accumulating near the plant.

(b) *Revision of the proposed regulation prior to promulgation.* As a result of public comments and continuing review and evaluation of the proposed regulations by the EPA, the following changes have been made in the regulation.

(1) A reassessment of the economic impact of the proposed guidelines on the catfish processing segment utilizing additional financial data and information indicates possible severe economic dislocations within the catfish processing in-

dustry. Therefore, the two proposed subcategories for large and small catfish processors have been combined into one subcategory which bases the 1977 effluent limitations guidelines on screening, simple grease traps, and "good housekeeping" practices which are considered normal practice within the seafood processing industry such as turning off faucets and hoses when not in use or using spring-loaded hose nozzles. The 1983 effluent limitations guidelines and the new source performance standards are based on aerated lagoon systems.

(2) The effluent limitations guidelines for the Conventional and Mechanized Blue Crab Processing Subcategories have been revised to reflect the present general shortage of suitable land for aerated lagoon systems at existing processing facilities. For these subcategories, the best practicable control technology currently available consists of solids or by-products recovery through the use of screening systems. The best available technology economically achievable and the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives for new sources include treatment by aerated lagoon systems in addition to screening. Existing point sources can meet the July 1, 1983 effluent limitations by using non-land requiring alternatives such as extended aeration or through water conservation modifications coupled with aerated lagoon systems.

(3) The following subcategories have been added to account for the comparative economic disadvantages of remote as opposed to non-remote Alaskan processors in attempting to meet the proposed effluent limitations guidelines: Subpart E—Remote Alaskan Crab Meat Subcategory, Subpart G—Remote Alaskan Whole Crab and Crab Section Processing Subcategory, and Subpart J—Remote Alaskan Shrimp Processing Subcategory. The best practicable control technology currently available for these subcategories consists of physical treatment of the pollutants to reduce particle sizes through the use of comminutors or grinders. The best available technology economically achievable and the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives for new sources consists of efficient in-plant water and waste water management, by-product recovery or disposal of solids and screening of the waste water effluent.

(4) A reassessment of the economic impact of the proposed effluent limitations guidelines for the Dungeness and Tanner Crab Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory indicates that, in addition to an unequal economic impact due to economies of scale, the larger plants may not be able to secure financing for the equipment necessary to meet the proposed guidelines. The promulgated effluent limitations guidelines have been revised to alleviate the economic impact. The best practicable control technology currently available consists of solids or by-product recovery through the use of screening systems,

simple grease traps, and "good housekeeping" practices which are considered normal practice within the seafood processing industry such as turning off faucets and hoses when not in use or using spring-loaded hose nozzles. The best available technology economically achievable includes treatment by dissolved air flotation systems in addition to screening. The best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives for new sources are based on dissolved air flotation systems in addition to screening and appropriate process design to provide more efficient water and waste water management.

(5) The proposed subcategories for shrimp processing in the contiguous States have been revised by eliminating the subcategory size cut-offs based on a higher level of technology for larger plants.

For Subpart K—Northern Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory, Subpart L—Southern Non-Breaded Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory and Subpart M—Breaded Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory, the best practicable control technology currently available consists of solids or by-product recovery through the use of screening systems and "good housekeeping" practices which are considered normal practice within the seafood processing industry such as turning off faucets and hoses when not in use or using spring-loaded hose nozzles. The best available technology economically achievable includes treatment by dissolved air flotation systems in addition to screening. The best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives for new sources are based on dissolved air flotation systems in addition to screening and appropriate process design to provide more efficient water and waste water management.

(6) Because the estimated monitoring costs for total suspended solids and oil and grease alone severely impacts the very small processors, the guidelines are intended to apply to facilities processing more than 1362 kg (3000 lbs) of raw material per day for catfish (Subpart A); more than 1362 kg (3000 lbs) of raw material per day for conventional blue crab (Subpart B); and more than 908 kg (2000 lbs) of raw material per day for Northern shrimp (Subpart K), Southern non-breaded shrimp (Subpart L) and breaded shrimp (Subpart M) in the contiguous States.

(7) For those subcategories which base the effluent limitations guidelines on screening systems, the BOD₅ parameter has been eliminated from the guidelines. The economic impact analysis indicates that for the smaller processors the cost of monitoring alone significantly affects the profitability of the company. Even though BOD₅ is an important pollutant parameter for evaluating the effect of waste water effluents on receiving waters, the operating efficiency of screening systems is not dependent on monitoring of the BOD₅ parameter.

(8) The proposed grease and oil efflu-

ent limitations guidelines based on screening and simple grease traps for the Alaskan, northern, southern non-breaded, and breaded shrimp processing subcategories have been revised. A twenty-five percent (25%) reduction of the grease and oil parameter was assumed for the proposed effluent limitations within the catfish, crab and shrimp categories. This assumption is valid for the catfish and crab processing effluents because greases and oils are generally in a floatable or coagulated form, readily removed by simple grease traps. However for shrimp processing effluents, the greases and oils are usually in a highly emulsified form which passes through simple grease traps or gravity separators.

(9) Section 304(b)(1)(B) of the Act provides for "guidelines" to implement the uniform national standards of section 301(b)(1)(A). Thus Congress recognized that some flexibility was necessary in order to take into account the complexity of the industrial world with respect to the practicability of pollution control technology. In conformity with the Congressional intent and in recognition of the possible failure of these regulations to account for all factors bearing on the practicability of control technology, it was concluded that some provision was needed to authorize flexibility in the strict application of the limitations contained in the regulation where required by special circumstances applicable to individual dischargers. Accordingly, a provision allowing flexibility in the application of the limitations representing best practicable control technology currently available has been added to each subpart, to account for special circumstances that may not have been adequately accounted for when these regulations were developed.

(c) *Economic impact.* The aforementioned changes will significantly reduce the projected economic impact of the proposed regulations.

The economic impact of the proposed effluent limitations guidelines based on best practicable control technology currently available has been virtually eliminated. Expected price increases are generally less than one percent (1%) with essentially no price increase for catfish and tuna. The Agency believes that the effluent limitations guidelines are achievable by almost all of the seafood processors covered.

The economic impact of the proposed effluent limitations guidelines based on best available technology economically achievable has been reduced significantly by the revisions. These guidelines provide a goal for processors to improve waste water effluent quality beyond the effluent limitations guidelines based on best practicable control technology currently available. However, section 301(c) of the Act provides for modification of the effluent limitations guidelines with respect to any point source which is based on the best available technology economically achievable, upon a showing by the owner or operator of such point source satisfactory to the Administrator that such modified requirements (1)

will represent the maximum use of technology within the economic capability of the owner or operator; and (2) will result in reasonable further progress toward the elimination of the discharge of pollutants. Furthermore, section 301(d) of the Act states that the effluent limitations guidelines based on the best available technology economically achievable shall be reviewed at least every five years and, if appropriate, revised pursuant to the procedure established under section 301(b)(2).

(d) *Cost-benefit analysis.* The detrimental effects of the constituents of waste waters now discharged by point sources within the catfish, crab, shrimp and tuna segment of the canned and preserved seafood processing point source category are discussed in section VI of the report entitled "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines for the Catfish, Crab, Shrimp, and Tuna Processing Segment of the Canned and Preserved Seafood Point Source Category" (June 1974). It is not feasible to quantify in economic terms, particularly on a national basis, the costs resulting from the discharge of these pollutants to our Nation's waterways. Nevertheless, as indicated in section VI, the pollutants discharged have substantial and damaging impacts on the quality of water and therefore on its capacity to support healthy populations of wildlife, fish and other aquatic wildlife and on its suitability for industrial, recreational and drinking water supply uses.

The total cost of implementing the effluent limitations guidelines includes the direct capital and operating costs of the pollution control technology employed to achieve compliance and the indirect economic and environmental costs identified in section VIII and in the supplementary report entitled "Economic Analysis of Effluent Guidelines Seafood Processing Industry" (June 1974). Implementing the effluent limitations guidelines will substantially reduce the environmental harm which would otherwise be attributable to the continued discharge of polluted waste waters from existing and newly constructed plants in the canned and preserved seafood processing industry. The Agency believes that the benefits of thus reducing the pollutants discharged justify the associated costs.

(e) *Publication of information on processes, procedures, or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants.* In conformance with the requirements of section 304(c) of the Act, a manual entitled, "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Catfish, Crab, Shrimp and Tuna Processing Segment of the Canned and Preserved Seafood Point Source Category," has been published and is available for purchase from the Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402 for a nominal fee.

(f) *Final rulemaking.* In consideration of the foregoing, 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N is hereby amended by adding a new Part 408, Canned or Preserved

Seafood Processing Point Source Category, to read as set forth below. This final regulation is promulgated as set forth below and shall be effective June 26, 1974.

Dated: June 13, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

Subpart A—Farm-Raised Catfish Processing Subcategory

- Sec. 408.10 Applicability; description of the farm-raised catfish processing subcategory.
- 408.11 Specialized definitions.
- 408.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 408.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 408.14 [Reserved]
- 408.15 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 408.16 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart B—Conventional Blue Crab Processing Subcategory

- 408.20 Applicability; description of the conventional blue crab processing subcategory.
- 408.21 Specialized definitions.
- 408.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 408.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 408.24 [Reserved]
- 408.25 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 408.26 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart C—Mechanized Blue Crab Processing Subcategory

- 408.30 Applicability; description of the mechanized blue crab processing subcategory.
- 408.31 Specialized definitions.
- 408.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 408.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 408.34 [Reserved]
- 408.35 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 408.36 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart D—Non-Remote Alaskan Crab Meat Processing Subcategory

- 408.40 Applicability; description of the non-remote Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory.
- 408.41 Specialized definitions.

- Sec. 408.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 408.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 408.44 [Reserved]
- 408.45 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 408.46 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart E—Remote Alaskan Crab Meat Processing Subcategory

- 408.50 Applicability; description of the remote Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory.
- 408.51 Specialized definitions.
- 408.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 408.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 408.54 [Reserved]
- 408.55 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 408.56 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart F—Non-Remote Alaskan Whole Crab and Crab Section Processing Subcategory

- 408.60 Applicability; description of the non-remote Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory.
- 408.61 Specialized definitions.
- 408.62 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 408.63 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 408.64 [Reserved]
- 408.65 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 408.66 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart G—Remote Alaskan Whole Crab and Crab Section Processing Subcategory

- 408.70 Applicability; description of the remote Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory.
- 408.71 Specialized definitions.
- 408.72 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 408.73 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 408.74 [Reserved]
- 408.75 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 408.76 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart H—Dungeness and Tanner Crab Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

- Sec.
408.80 Applicability; description of the dungeness and tanner crab processing in the contiguous States subcategory.
408.81 Specialized definitions.
408.82 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
408.83 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
408.84 [Reserved.]
408.85 Standards of performance for new sources.
408.86 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart I—Non-Remote Alaskan Shrimp Processing Subcategory

- 408.90 Applicability; description of the non-remote Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory.
408.91 Specialized definitions.
408.92 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
408.93 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
408.94 [Reserved.]
408.95 Standards of performance for new sources.
408.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart J—Remote Alaskan Shrimp Processing Subcategory

- 408.100 Applicability; description of the remote Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory.
408.101 Specialized definitions.
408.102 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
408.103 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
408.104 [Reserved.]
408.105 Standards of performance for new sources.
408.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart K—Northern Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

- 408.110 Applicability; description of the northern shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory.
408.111 Specialized definitions.
408.112 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
408.113 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
408.114 [Reserved]

Sec.

- 408.115 Standards of performance for new sources.
408.116 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart L—Southern Non-Breaded Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

- 408.120 Applicability; description of the southern non-breaded shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory.
408.121 Specialized definitions.
408.122 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
408.123 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
408.124 [Reserved]
408.125 Standards of performance for new sources.
408.126 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart M—Breaded Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

- 408.130 Applicability; description of the breaded shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory.
408.131 Specialized definitions.
408.132 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
408.133 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
408.134 [Reserved]
408.135 Standards of performance for new sources.
408.136 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart N—Tuna Processing Subcategory

- 408.140 Applicability; description of the tuna processing subcategory.
408.141 Specialized definitions.
408.142 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
408.143 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
408.144 [Reserved]
408.145 Standards of performance for new sources.
408.146 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306 (b) and (c) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended; 33 U.S. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316 (b) and (c) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500.

Subpart A—Farm Raised Catfish Processing Subcategory

§ 408.10 Applicability; description of the farm raised catfish processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from

the processing of farm-raised catfish by facilities which process more than 1362 kg (3000 lbs) of raw material per day on any day during a calendar year. The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.11 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategory and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The

Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent limitations		
Effluent characteristic	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	28.....	9.2
Oil and Grease.....	10.....	3.4
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	28.....	9.2
Oil and Grease.....	10.....	3.4
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent limitations		
Effluent characteristic	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	4.6.....	2.3
TSS.....	11.....	5.7
Oil and Grease.....	0.90.....	0.45
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	4.6.....	2.3
TSS.....	11.....	5.7
Oil and Grease.....	0.90.....	0.45
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.14 [Reserved]

§ 408.15 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent limitations		
Effluent characteristic	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	4.6.....	2.3
TSS.....	11.....	5.7
Oil and Grease.....	0.90.....	0.45
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	4.6.....	2.3
TSS.....	11.....	5.7
Oil and Grease.....	0.90.....	0.45
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.16 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the farm-raised catfish processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart B—Conventional Blue Crab Processing Subcategory

§ 408.20 Applicability; description of the conventional blue crab processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of blue crab in which manual picking or separation of crab meat from the shell is utilized. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart B are applicable to facilities processing more than 1362 kg (3000 lbs) of raw material per day on any day during a calendar year.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80%) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.21 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent limitations		
Effluent characteristic	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	2.2.....	0.74
Oil and Grease.....	0.60.....	0.30
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	2.2.....	0.74
Oil and Grease.....	0.60.....	0.30
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	0.30.....	0.15
TSS.....	0.90.....	0.45
Oil and Grease.....	0.13.....	0.065
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	0.30.....	0.15
TSS.....	0.90.....	0.45
Oil and Grease.....	0.13.....	0.065
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.24 [Reserved]

§ 408.25 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	0.30.....	0.15
TSS.....	0.90.....	0.45
Oil and Grease.....	0.13.....	0.065
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	0.30.....	0.15
TSS.....	0.90.....	0.45
Oil and Grease.....	0.13.....	0.065
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.26 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the conventional blue crab processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall

be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart C—Mechanized Blue Crab Processing Subcategory

§ 408.30 Applicability; description of the mechanized blue crab processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of blue crab in which mechanical picking or separation of crab meat from the shell is utilized.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.31 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamen-

tally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	36.....	12.0
Oil and Grease.....	13.....	4.2
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	36.....	12.0
Oil and Grease.....	13.....	4.2
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	5.0.....	2.5
TSS.....	13.....	6.3
Oil and Grease.....	2.6.....	1.3
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	5.0.....	2.5
TSS.....	13.....	6.3
Oil and Grease.....	2.6.....	1.3
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.34 [Reserved]

§ 408.35 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	5.0.....	2.5
TSS.....	13.....	6.3
Oil and Grease.....	2.6.....	1.3
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	5.0.....	2.5
TSS.....	13.....	6.3
Oil and Grease.....	2.6.....	1.3
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.36 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the mechanized blue crab processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart D—Non-Remote Alaskan Crab Meat Processing Subcategory

§ 408.40 Applicability; description of the non-remote Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing, in non-remote Alaska, of dungeness, tanner, and king crab meat. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart D are applicable to facilities located in population or processing centers including but not limited to Anchorage, Cordova, Juneau, Ketchikan, Kodiak, and Petersburg.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty

percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.41 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations,

specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	19.....	6.2
Oil and Grease.....	1.8.....	0.61
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	19.....	6.2
Oil and Grease.....	1.8.....	0.61
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	5.0.....	2.0
TSS.....	13.....	0.53
Oil and Grease.....	0.21.....	0.082
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	5.0.....	2.0
TSS.....	13.....	0.53
Oil and Grease.....	0.21.....	0.082
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.44 [Reserved]

§ 408.45 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

trolled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	16.....	5.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.6.....	0.52
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	16.....	5.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.6.....	0.52
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.46 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the non-remote Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart E—Remote Alaskan Crab Meat Processing Subcategory

§ 408.50 Applicability; description of the remote Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing, in remote Alaska, of dungeness, tanner, and king crab meat. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart E are applicable to facilities not covered under Subpart D.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.51 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed,

in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

No pollutants may be discharged which exceed 1.27 cm (0.5 inch) in any dimension.

§ 408.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	16.....	5.3
Oil and grease.....	1.6.....	0.52
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	16.....	5.3
Oil and grease.....	1.6.....	0.52
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.54 [Reserved]

§ 408.55 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	16.....	5.3
Oil and grease.....	1.6.....	0.52
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	16.....	5.3
Oil and grease.....	1.6.....	0.52
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.56 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the remote Alaskan crab meat processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart F—Non-Remote Alaskan Whole Crab and Crab Section Processing Subcategory

§ 408.60 Applicability; description of the non-remote Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the

processing, in non-remote Alaska, of dungeness, tanner and king whole crab and crab sections. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart F are applicable to facilities located in population or processing centers including but not limited to Anchorage, Cordova, Juneau, Ketchikan, Kodiak, and Petersburg.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.61 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.62 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established

herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	12.....	3.9
Oil and Grease.....	1.3.....	0.42
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	12.....	3.9
Oil and Grease.....	1.3.....	0.42
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.63 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	3.3.....	1.3
TSS.....	0.83.....	0.33
Oil and Grease.....	0.12.....	0.048
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	3.3.....	1.3
TSS.....	0.83.....	0.33
Oil and Grease.....	0.12.....	0.048
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.64 [Reserved]

§ 408.65 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, con-

trolled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	9.0.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.1.....	0.36
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	9.0.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.1.....	0.36
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.66 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the non-remote Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart G—Remote Alaskan Whole Crab and Crab Section Processing Subcategory

§ 408.70 Applicability; description of the remote Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing, in remote Alaska, of dungeness, tanner, and king whole crab and crab sections. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart G are applicable to facilities not covered under Subpart F.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80%) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.71 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.72 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

No pollutants may be discharged which exceed 1.27 cm (0.5 inch) in any dimension.

§ 408.73 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pol-

lutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	9.9.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.1.....	0.36
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	9.9.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.1.....	0.36
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.74 [Reserved]

§ 408.75 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	9.9.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.1.....	0.36
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	9.9.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	1.1.....	0.36
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.76 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the remote Alaskan whole crab and crab section processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart H—Dungeness and Tanner Crab Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

§ 408.80 Applicability; description of the dungeness and tanner crab processing in the contiguous States subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of dungeness and tanner crab in the contiguous States.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.81 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.82 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are

found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent limitations		
Effluent characteristic	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	8.1.....	2.7.....
Oil and Grease.....	1.8.....	0.61.....
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	8.1.....	2.7.....
Oil and Grease.....	1.8.....	0.61.....
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.83 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent limitations		
Effluent characteristic	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	4.3.....	1.7.....
TSS.....	0.58.....	0.23.....
Oil and Grease.....	0.18.....	0.07.....
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	4.3.....	1.7.....
TSS.....	0.58.....	0.23.....
Oil and Grease.....	0.18.....	0.07.....
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.84 [Reserved]

§ 408.85 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent Limitations		
Effluent characteristic	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅ -----	10-----	4.1-----
TSS-----	1.7-----	0.69-----
Oil and Grease-----	0.25-----	0.10-----
pH-----	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅ -----	10-----	4.1-----
TSS-----	1.7-----	0.69-----
Oil and Grease-----	0.25-----	0.10-----
pH-----	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.86 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the dungeness and tanner crab processing in the contiguous States subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart I—Non-Remote Alaskan Shrimp Processing Subcategory

§ 408.90 Applicability; description of the non-remote Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of shrimp in non-remote Alaska. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart I are applicable to facilities located in population or processing centers including but not limited to Anchorage, Cordova, Juneau, Ketchikan, Kodiak, and Petersburg.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.91 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the gen-

eral definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.92 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategory and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best

practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	320.....	210
Oil and Grease.....	51.....	17
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	320.....	210
Oil and Grease.....	51.....	17
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.93 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	70.....	28
TSS.....	45.....	18
Oil and Grease.....	3.8.....	1.5
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	70.....	28
TSS.....	45.....	18
Oil and Grease.....	3.8.....	1.5
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.94 [Reserved]

§ 408.95 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	270.....	180
Oil and Grease.....	45.....	15
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	270.....	180
Oil and Grease.....	45.....	15
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the non-remote Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart J—Remote Alaskan Shrimp Processing Subcategory

§ 408.100 Applicability; description of the remote Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of shrimp in remote Alaska. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart J are applicable to facilities not covered under Subpart I.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.101 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical

Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.102 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NDPES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best

practicable control technology currently available:

No pollutants may be discharged which exceed 1.27 cm (0.5 inch) in any dimension.

§ 408.103 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	270.....	180
Oil and Grease.....	45.....	15
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	270.....	180
Oil and Grease.....	45.....	15
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.104 [Reserved]

§ 408.105 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	270.....	180
Oil and Grease.....	45.....	15
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	270.....	180
Oil and Grease.....	45.....	15
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the

remote Alaskan shrimp processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart K—Northern Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

§ 408.110 Applicability; description of the Northern shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of shrimp in the Northern contiguous States, including Washington, Oregon, California, Maine, New Hampshire, and Massachusetts. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart K are applicable to facilities processing more than 908 kg (2000 lbs.) of raw material per day on any day during a calendar year.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.111 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.112 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain

plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	160.....	54
Oil and Grease.....	126.....	42
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	160.....	54
Oil and Grease.....	126.....	42
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.113 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	68.....	27.0
TSS.....	12.....	4.9
Oil and Grease.....	9.5.....	3.8
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	68.....	27.0
TSS.....	12.....	4.9
Oil and Grease.....	9.5.....	3.8
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.114 [Reserved]

§ 408.115 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	155.....	62
TSS.....	38.....	15
Oil and Grease.....	14.....	5.7
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	155.....	62
TSS.....	38.....	15
Oil and Grease.....	14.....	5.7
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.116 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the Northern shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart L—Southern Non-Breaded Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

§ 408.120 Applicability; description of the Southern non-breaded shrimp processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the

processing of non-breaded shrimp in the Southern contiguous States, including North and South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas. The effluent limitations contained in Subpart L are applicable to facilities processing more than 908 kg (2000 lbs.) of raw material per day on any day during a calendar year.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80%) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.121 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:
(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.122 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different

factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	110.....	38
Oil and Grease.....	36.....	12
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	110.....	38
Oil and Grease.....	36.....	12
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.123 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	25.....	10
TSS.....	8.5.....	3.4
Oil and Grease.....	2.8.....	1.1
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	25.....	10
TSS.....	8.5.....	3.4
Oil and Grease.....	2.8.....	1.1
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.124 [Reserved]

§ 408.125 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be

discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	63.....	25
TSS.....	25.....	10
Oil and Grease.....	4.0.....	1.6
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	63.....	25
TSS.....	25.....	10
Oil and Grease.....	4.0.....	1.6
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.126 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the Southern non-breaded shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart M—Breaded Shrimp Processing in the Contiguous States Subcategory

§ 408.130 Applicability; description of the breaded shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of breaded shrimp in the contiguous States by facilities processing more than 908 kg (2000 lbs) of raw material per day on any day during a calendar year.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.131 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall

mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.132 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategory and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different from those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
TSS.....	280.....	93
Oil and Grease.....	36.....	12
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
TSS.....	280.....	93
Oil and Grease.....	36.....	12
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.133 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	43.....	17.0
TSS.....	19.....	7.4
Oil and Grease.....	2.5.....	1.0
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	43.....	17.0
TSS.....	19.....	7.4
Oil and Grease.....	2.5.....	1.0
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.134 [Reserved]

§ 408.135 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	100.....	40
TSS.....	55.....	22
Oil and Grease.....	3.8.....	1.5
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	100.....	40
TSS.....	55.....	22
Oil and Grease.....	3.8.....	1.5
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.136 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307 (c) of the Act for a source within the breaded shrimp processing in the contiguous States subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

Subpart N—Tuna Processing Subcategory

§ 408.140 Applicability; description of the tuna processing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of tuna.

The guidelines contained herein apply to those plants processing any combination of catfish, crab, shrimp or tuna providing that the total throughput of these commodities amounts to eighty percent (80 percent) or more of the plant's seasonal or yearly production.

§ 408.141 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR 401 shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(c) The term "seafood" shall mean the raw material, including freshwater and saltwater fish and shellfish, to be processed, in the form in which it is received at the processing plant.

§ 408.142 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop

and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	23.....	9.0
TSS.....	8.3.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	2.1.....	0.84
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	23.....	9.0
TSS.....	8.3.....	3.3
Oil and Grease.....	2.1.....	0.84
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.143 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pol-

lutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	2.2.....	0.62
TSS.....	2.2.....	0.62
Oil and Grease.....	0.27.....	0.077
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	2.2.....	0.62
TSS.....	2.2.....	0.62
Oil and Grease.....	0.27.....	0.077
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.144 [Reserved]

§ 408.145 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kg of seafood		
BOD ₅	20.....	8.1
TSS.....	7.5.....	3.0
Oil and Grease.....	1.9.....	0.76
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of seafood		
BOD ₅	20.....	8.1
TSS.....	7.5.....	3.0
Oil and Grease.....	1.9.....	0.76
pH.....	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 408.146 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards for incompatible pollutants under section 307(c) of the Act for a source within the tuna processing subcategory, which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except for § 128.133. Subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 128, process waste waters from a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart may be introduced into a publicly owned treatment works.

[FR Doc.74-14271 Filed 6-25-74; 8:45 am]