

of the 1969 and subsequent crops is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.). The purpose of this amendment is to discontinue use of the "agreement by operator of overplanted peanut farm," (Form MQ-92 Peanuts) because certification of compliance with the peanut marketing quota program is now accomplished under Part 718 of this chapter.

Peanut producers are now making plans for marketing in 1971 crop year and it is essential that this amendment be made effective as soon as possible. Accordingly, it is hereby determined and found that compliance with the notice, public procedure and 30-day effective date requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and this amendment shall become effective upon filing of this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

The regulations for determination of acreage allotments and marketing quotas for 1969 and subsequent crops of peanuts (33 F.R. 18351, 18981, 34 F.R. 14201, 19809, 35 F.R. 2860, 4391, 5031, 14299, 36 F.R. 1464, 8045) are amended as follows:

§ 729.2 [Amended]

1. Section 729.2(g) is revoked.
2. Section 729.33(c) is revised to read as follows:

§ 729.33 Issuance of marketing cards.

(c) *Within quota card.* A farm is eligible for a within quota card where the final acreage is not in excess of the effective farm allotment and, in the case of federally owned land, is not in excess of the smaller of the effective farm allotment or the acreage permitted by the lease or operating agreement.

§ 729.34 [Revoked]

3. Section 729.34 is revoked.
4. Section 729.47(b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 729.47 Payment of penalty.

(b) Two weeks before the date of written notice to the producer, or buyer, of the amount of any penalty owed, including but not limited to penalties, determined on the basis of normal yield.

5. Section 729.51(b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 729.51 Refund of penalties.

(b) The county executive director shall review each case where the minimum converted penalty rate (one-tenth of a cent) was used on an excess penalty card to determine those cases where the computed converted penalty rate was less than one-tenth of a cent but was rounded upward because of the rule of fractions. For such cases, the county executive director shall recompute the amount of penalty for the farm by multiplying the total pounds of peanuts marketed from the farm by the percent excess by the basic penalty rate. If the

penalty for the farm has already been collected and such amount exceeds the revised amount of penalty computed for the farm, a refund may be made to the producer in accordance with this section.

§ 729.56 [Amended]

6. Section 729.56(a) (5) is revoked.

(Secs. 358, 358a, 359, 375, 55 Stat. 88, as amended, 81 Stat. 658, as amended, 55 Stat. 90, as amended, 52 Stat. 66, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 1358, 1358a, 1359, 1375)

Effective date: Date of filing with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on June 17, 1971.

CARROLL G. BRUNTHAVER,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.71-8835 Filed 6-22-71; 8:53 am]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Lemon Reg. 484, Amdt. 1]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and this amendment relieves restriction on the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona.

(b) *Order, as amended.* The provisions in paragraph (b) (1) (ii) of § 910.784 (Lemon Reg. 484, 36 F.R. 11421) during the period June 13, through June 19, 1971, are hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 910.784 Lemon Regulation 484.

- (b) *Order.* (1) * * *
- (ii) District 2: 350,000 cartons.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 17, 1971.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.71-8770 Filed 6-22-71; 8:46 am]

PART 966—TOMATOES GROWN IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Shipments; Termination

Findings. (a) Pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 125 and Order No. 966, both as amended (7 CFR Part 966), regulating the handling of tomatoes grown in the production area, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Florida Tomato Committee, established pursuant to said marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments regulation, § 966.308, should be terminated. Since the marketing season for Florida production area tomatoes is almost over, and supplies in competing States are increasing, continuation of this regulation beyond the date specified herein would no longer tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

(b) It is hereby found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice and engage in public rule making procedure, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this termination until 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that: (1) This termination relieves restrictions on the handling of tomatoes grown in the production area; (2) information regarding the committee's recommendation has been made available to producers and handlers in the production area; and (3) this termination will not require any special preparation by handlers which cannot be completed by the effective date.

Termination of regulation. The provisions of § 966.308 as amended (35 F.R. 16628; 36 F.R. 5285, 9290) are hereby terminated as of June 19, 1971.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated June 18, 1971, to become effective June 19, 1971.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.71-8836 Filed 6-22-71; 8:53 am]

PART 980—VEGETABLES; IMPORT REGULATIONS

Tomato Import Regulation; Termination

Pursuant to the requirements of section 8e-1 of the Agricultural Marketing

Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 608e-1), Tomato Import Regulation § 980.205 (36 F.R. 9291), is hereby terminated.

It is hereby found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this termination beyond that herein specified (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) the requirements of section 8e-1 of the Act make such termination mandatory upon termination of the corresponding regulation applicable to shipments of domestic tomatoes; (2) this termination corresponds with the termination of regulations on shipments of domestic tomatoes under Marketing Order No. 966, as amended (7 CFR Part 966); and (3) this termination relieves restrictions on the importation of tomatoes.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated June 18, 1971, to become effective June 19, 1971.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Consumer and Mar-
keting Service.

[FR Doc.71-8837 Filed 6-22-71; 8:53 am]

Title 8—ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

Chapter I—Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

The following amendments to Chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations are hereby prescribed:

PART 103—POWERS AND DUTIES OF SERVICE OFFICERS; AVAILABILITY OF SERVICE RECORDS

§ 103.2 [Amended]

The fourth sentence of subparagraph (1) *Requirements of paragraph (b) Evidence of § 103.2 Applications, petitions, and other documents* is amended to read as follows: "Except as provided in §§ 204.2(f) and 214.2 (h) (5) and (1) (2) of this chapter, a copy unaccompanied by an original will be accepted only if the accuracy of the copy has been certified by an immigration or consular officer who has examined the original."

PART 204—PETITION TO CLASSIFY ALIEN AS IMMEDIATE RELATIVE OF A U.S. CITIZEN OR AS A PREFERENCE IMMIGRANT

Section 204.2 is amended by adding new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 204.2 Documents.

(f) *Certification of documents by attorneys.* A copy of a document submitted in support of a visa petition filed pursuant to section 204 of the Act and this part

may be accepted, though unaccompanied by the original, if the copy bears a certification by an attorney typed or rubber-stamped in the following language:

I certify that I have compared this copy with its original and it is a true and complete copy.
Signed: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____, Attorney at Law
Address: _____
Admitted to Practice in State of _____

However, the original document shall be submitted if submittal is requested by the Service.

PART 214—NONIMMIGRANT CLASSES

§ 214.2 [Amended]

1. Paragraph (h) of § 214.2 is amended by adding a new subparagraph (5) to read as follows:

(5) *Certification of documents by attorneys.* A copy of a document submitted in support of a visa petition filed pursuant to section 214(c) of the Act and this paragraph may be accepted, though unaccompanied by the original, if the copy bears a certification by an attorney, typed or rubber-stamped in the language set forth in § 204.2(f) of this chapter. However, the original document shall be submitted, if submittal is requested by the Service.

2. Subparagraph (2) *Supporting evidence of paragraph (1) Intracompany transferees of § 214.2 Special requirements for admission, extension, and maintenance of status* is amended by adding the following sentences at the end thereof to read as follows: "A copy of a document submitted in support of a visa petition filed pursuant to section 214(c) of the Act and this paragraph may be accepted, though unaccompanied by the original, if the copy bears a certification by an attorney, typed or rubber-stamped in the language set forth in § 204.2(f) of this chapter. However, the original document shall be submitted, if submittal is requested by the Service."

PART 292—REPRESENTATION AND APPEARANCES

§ 292.3 [Amended]

Paragraph (a) *Grounds of § 292.3 Suspension of disbarment* is amended in the following respects:

1. Subparagraph (12) is amended by the deletion of the word "or" at the end thereof.

2. Subparagraph (13) is amended by deleting the period and inserting a semicolon in lieu thereof and the word "or".

3. A new subparagraph (14) is added to read as follows:

(14) Who has falsely certified a copy of a document as being a true and complete copy of an original.

(Sec. 103, 66 Stat. 173; 8 U.S.C. 1103)

This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (6-23-71). Compliance with the

provisions of section 553 of title 5 of the United States Code (80 Stat. 383), as to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date, is unnecessary in this instance and would serve no useful purpose because the amendments to §§ 103.2(b) (1), 204.2, 214.2 (h), (1) (2), and 292.3(a) relate to agency procedure.

Dated: June 17, 1971.

RAYMOND F. FARRELL,
Commissioner of
Immigration and Naturalization.

[FR Doc.71-8769 Filed 6-22-71; 8:46 am]

Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Chapter III—Consumer and Marketing Service (Meat Inspection), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER A—MEAT INSPECTION REGULATIONS

REVISION PURSUANT TO WHOLESOME MEAT ACT

Correction

In F.R. Doc 70-13052 appearing at page 15552 in the issue for Saturday, October 3, 1970, the following changes should be made:

1. On page 15552, second column, third paragraph, line 6, the sentence should read "Furthermore, the effect of this \$10,000 limitation is that larger supermarkets with total meat product sales of a million dollars or more annually will be eligible for the exemption only if their sales of meat products to consumers other than household consumers are limited to 1 percent or less of their total meat product sales."

2. On page 15553, third column, fourth paragraph, line 8, the word "of" should be "or" after "interpretations".

3. In § 310.9(e) (1) (iii), line 4, "clear" should be "clean".

4. In § 311.26, line 3, "serious" should be "serous".

5. In § 314.11, line 10, "osinophilic" should be "eosinophilic".

6. In § 317.2(i) (3), line 3 should read "tion legend on cartons used as outer con-".

7. In § 318.2(d), line 36, "tax" should read "tag".

8. In § 318.5(b), line 1, "tenderlions" should read "tenderloins".

9. In § 318.7(c) (4), under "Synergists" in the "Amount" column the amount should be "0.02 percent" instead of "do" with respect to "monoisopropyl citrate".

10. In § 318.8(a), line 2, delete "are".

11. In § 319.100, line 4, "curling" should be "curing".

12. In § 319.311, line 2 "Vegetbales" should be "Vegetables".

13. In § 322.1(c), line 6, "rubber band" should be "rubber brand".

14. In § 327.6(b), line 6, "statoned" should be "stationed".

PART 331—SPECIAL PROVISIONS FOR DESIGNATED STATES AND TERRITORIES; AND FOR DESIGNATION OF ESTABLISHMENTS WHICH ENDANGER PUBLIC HEALTH AND FOR SUCH DESIGNATED ESTABLISHMENTS

Notice of Termination of Designation of Kentucky

On May 18, 1971, there was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (§ 331.2, 36 F.R. 9003) a Notice of Designation of the State of Kentucky under section 301(c)(1) of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 661(c)(1)). This designation was based on information that the State of Kentucky had not developed and activated and was not enforcing State meat inspection requirements at least equal to those imposed under titles I and IV of the Federal Meat Inspection Act, with respect to establishments within the State at which cattle, sheep, swine, goats, or equines are slaughtered, or their carcasses, or parts or products thereof, are prepared for use as human food, solely for distribution within such State. However, the State of Kentucky requested the Secretary of Agriculture to resurvey the State program to determine if the State is now in a position to enforce such requirements. Upon a subsequent review by this Department of the meat inspection program of the State of Kentucky, it has been determined that the State has developed and will enforce State meat inspection requirements at least equal to those imposed under titles I and IV of the Act, with respect to operations and transactions within the State which would be regulated under section 301(c)(1) of the Act.

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority in section 301(c)(3) of the Act (21 U.S.C. 661(c)(3)), the designation of the State of Kentucky under section 301(c) of the Act is hereby terminated, effective upon issuance of this notice.

Done at Washington, D.C., on June 17, 1971.

RICHARD E. LYNG,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 71-8838 Filed 6-22-71; 8:50 am]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

[Airspace Docket No. 71-CE-42]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Federal Airway Segments

On April 9, 1971, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the *FED-*

ERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 6836) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulation that would alter VOR Federal airway No. 429.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., August 19, 1971, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (36 F.R. 2010) is amended as follows: In V-429 "INT Naperville 340° and Milwaukee, Wis., 198° radials; Milwaukee." is deleted and "INT Naperville 340° and Oshkosh, Wis., 187° radials; Oshkosh." is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348(a); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 17, 1971.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 71-8759 Filed 6-22-71; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-EA-27]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On page 7072 of the *FEDERAL REGISTER* for April 14, 1971, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would designate a Celina, Ohio, transition area.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective 0901 G.m.t. August 19, 1971.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on June 4, 1971.

R. M. BROWN,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

1. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a Celina, Ohio, 700-foot floor transition area as follows:

CELINA, OHIO

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the center, 40°29'00" N., 84°33'59" W., of Lakefield Airport, Celina, Ohio; within 3.5 miles each side of the 262° bearing from the Celina, RBN, 40°28'35" N., 84°38'06" W., extending from the 7-mile radius area to 11.5 miles west of the RBN; and within 3.5 miles each side of the 282° bearing from the Celina RBN, extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8.5 miles west of the RBN.

[FR Doc. 71-8760 Filed 6-22-71; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-EA-32]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

The Federal Aviation Administration published the subject rule in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* on April 14, 1971, 36 F.R. 7049. Due to inadvertence, the coordinates in the description were not characterized as those of the North Philadelphia Airport. This amendment will insert the airport name.

Since the foregoing is editorial in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In view of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective May 27, 1971, as follows:

Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to insert before "Philadelphia, Pa.," the words "North Philadelphia Airport."

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on June 7, 1971.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc. 71-8761 Filed 6-22-71; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-EA-95]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Blackstone, Va., control zone (36 F.R. 2063).

Commencing on or about July 1, 1971, the hours of operation of the Flight Service Station will be reduced from the present 0600 to 2200 hours to 0800 to 1600 hours, local time, daily.

The control zone is presently designated from 0600 to 2200 hours. Since the weather reporting services and communications required for control zone designation will be available only during the new hours of operation of the Blackstone Flight Service Station, a corresponding reduction will be required in the hours of the control zone designation.

Since the foregoing is less restrictive in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the rule may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In view of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration having reviewed the airspace requirements in the terminal airspace of Blackstone, Va., the