Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., February 5, 1970, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (34 F.R. 4637), the Sanford, N.C., transition area is amended to read:

SANFORD, N.C.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5.5-mile radius of Sanford Municipal Airport (lat. 35°25'55'' N., long. 79°11'10'' W.); within 2.5 miles each side of Pinehurst VORTAC 057° radial, extending from the 5.5-mile radius area to 21 miles northeast of the VORTAC.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348(a); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on December 1, 1969.

JAMES G. ROGERS,
Director, Southern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14649; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-SO-58]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Control Zone and Transition Areas

On September 19, 1969, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 14609) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter controlled airspace in the vicinity of Ponce, P.R.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notice, it was determined that the control zone extension should be extended 8½ miles east of the VOR instead of 8 miles, as proposed in the notice, to completely encompass the instrument approach to the Mercedita Airport, Ponce, P.R. Since his action is minor in nature, notice and public procedure hereon is unnecessary.

Since these actions involve, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order No. 10854.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., February 5, 1970, as hereinafter set forth.

1. In § 71.171 (34 F.R. 4557) Ponce, P.R., control zone is amended to read:

PONCE, P.R.

Within a 5-mile radius of the Mercedita Airport, Ponce, P.R. (lat. 18°00'40'' N., long. 66°33'50'' W.); within 3.5 miles each side of the Ponce VOR 111° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8½ miles east of the VOR. This control zone is effective during specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continously published in the FAA publication International NOTAMs.

2. Section 71.181 (34 F.R. 4637) is amended as follows:

a. Ponce, P.R., transition area is amended to read:

PONCE, P.R.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 17-mile radius of Mercedita Airport, Ponce, P.R. (lat. 18°00'40'' N., long. 66°33'50'' W.) north of lat. 18°00'00'' N., and within an 8-mile radius of Mercedita Airport south of lat. 18°00'00'' N.; within 9.5 miles south and 4.5 miles north of the Ponce VOR 111° radial, extending from the VOR to 18.5 miles east of the VOR.

b. The San Juan, P.R., 1200-foot transition area is amended by deleting "thence west along lat. 18°00'00'' N., to long. 66°19'20'' W.; thence south to lat. 17°49'30'' N., long. 66°23'30'' W.; thence west to the intersection of long 66°25'30'' W. and the arc of a 15-mile radius circle centered at Mercedita Airport" and substituting therefor "thence west along lat. 18°00'00'' N., to and south along long. 66°15'00'' W., to and east along a line 4.5 miles north of and parallel to Ponce VOR 111° radial, to and south along a line 18.5 miles east of Ponce VOR and perpendicular to the Ponce VOR 111° radial, to lat. 17°46'15'' N., long. 66°18'30'' W.; thence west along a line 9.5 miles south of and parallel to Ponce VOR 111° radial to the intersection of a 15-mile radius circle centered at Mercedita Airport."

(Secs. 307(a), 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1510; Executive Order 10854, 24 F.R. 9565; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1969.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14615; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-SO-67]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On September 24, 1969, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 14736) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, V.I., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., February 5, 1970, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (34 F.R. 4637) the Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, V.I., transition area is amended to read:

CHARLOTTE AMALIE, ST. THOMAS, V.I.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of the Harry S. Truman Airport (lat. 18°20'25'' N., long. 64°58'10'' W.); within 3.5 miles each side of the St. Thomas VOR 359° radial, extending from the 8-mile radius area to 11 miles north of the VOR; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 15-mile radius of the Harry S. Truman Airport.

(Secs. 307(a), 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1510; Executive Order 10854, 24 F.R. 9565; rec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1969.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14616; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-96]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Revocation of Federal Airway

On September 9, 1969, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 14176) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to the Federal Aviation Regulations that would revoke VOR Federal airway No. 476.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were given due consideration.

The Air Transport Association (ATA) objected to the revocation of V-476 on the following bases: (1) The changes in time of designation and designated altitudes of Restricted Area R-4001, described in Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-88, would provide additional use of V-476; and (2) a contingency that a future alteration of R-4001 would permit the airway to be used as a parallel route that would enable separate departure flows from both Baltimore and Washington en route to La Guardia, Newark, and Philadelphia. No other comments were received.

We have reviewed the comments of the ATA and determined that the intensive use of R-4001 by the military precludes the use of the airway most of the time. Although the provisions of Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-88 do permit the user agency to release R-4001 for traffic control purposes, this is hardly ever done during busy traffic periods due to the user agency's need for the area. There are no further plans to modify the size or hours of designation of R-4001. Since V-476 was designated in 1962, it has never had more than 4 peak-day movements and often less than three.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., February 5, 1970, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.123 (34 F.R. 4509) V-476 is revoked.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1969.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14619; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-WA-31]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Alteration of Restricted Area; Correction

On October 22, 1969, F.R. Doc. No. 69-12577 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 17104) which amended Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, effective October 22, 1969, by reducing the size of the Richland, Wash., Restricted Area R-6715; however, two of the geographic coordinates were incorrectly listed. Action is taken herein to correct the boundary description.

Since this amendment is minor in nature and one in which the public is not particularly interested, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary, and good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than 30-day notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 73.67 (34 F.R. 4851, 17104), the boundaries of R-6715 Richland, Wash., are corrected to read:

Boundaries. Beginning at lat. 46°44'25" N.; long. 119°25'00" W.; to lat. 46°39'30" N.; long. 119°25'00" W.; thence along the northeast bank of the Columbia River to lat. 46°34'10" N.; long. 119°20'00" W.; to lat. 46°30'00" N.; long. 119°20'00" W.; to lat. 46°30'00" N.; long. 119°15'30" W.; thence along the east bank of the Columbia River to lat. 46°21'30" N.; long. 119°15'20" W.; to lat. 46°21'30" N.; long. 119°18'00" W.; to lat. 46°23'20" N.; long. 119°24'50" W.; thence along State Highway Nos. 240 and 24 to point of beginning.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348, sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1969.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14617; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-WE-80]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Designation of Period of Use for Restricted Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to designate a period of use for Restricted Area R-6410 at Blanding, Utah.

On May 13, 1966, a rule designating Restricted Area R-6410 at Blanding, Utah was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 7032). The time of designation for this restricted area was established as follows:

Time of designation: Continuous, June 23, 1966, through August 15, 1966. All subsequent firing periods will be designated by a rule published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Since it was not possible to determine the exact dates that R-6410 would be needed each year when designation of the area was first requested, it was determined, as set forth above, to establish subsequent firing periods by a rule published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Since this amendment is made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the notice and previous rule, additional notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

Therefore, action is taken herein to amend Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, effective 0901 G.m.t., March 5, 1970, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 73.64 (34 F.R. 4847) the Blanding, Utah, Restricted Area R-6410 is amended by deleting the present time of designation and substituting the following therefor:

Time of designation: April 1, 1970, through July 31, 1970, and September 1, 1970, through December 15, 1970. All subsequent firing periods will be designated by a rule published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1969.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14618; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. 10004; Amdt. 151-36]

PART 151—FEDERAL AID TO AIRPORTS

United States' Share of Project Costs in Public Land States

The purpose of this amendment to Part 151 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revise the table of percentages in § 151.43(c) that states the United States' share of the costs of an approved project for airport development in each State in which the unappropriated and unreserved public lands and nontaxable Indian lands (individual and tribal) exceed 5 percent of its total land. Section 151.43(c) reflects the requirement of section 10(b) of the Federal Airport Act (49 U.S.C. 1109).

Based on information received from the Department of Interior, the FAA periodically redetermines the percentages in § 151.43(c) (see Amendments 151-2, 151-10, 156-16, 151-20, and 151-27). The FAA is amending § 151.43(c) to reflect the most recent Department of the Interior information. The amendment increases the percentage for California, Oregon, and Washington; decreases the percentages for Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming; and leaves the percentages for Alaska and Nevada unchanged.

Since this amendment relates to public grants, benefits, and contracts, notice and public procedure thereon are not required, and it may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, the table in paragraph (c) of § 151.43 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective December 10, 1969, to read as follows:

§ 151.43 United States' share of project costs.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

State	*Percent*
Alaska	62.50
Arizona	60.80
California	53.72
Colorado	52.98
Idaho	55.80
Montana	52.99
Nevada	62.50
New Mexico	56.14
Oregon	55.64
South Dakota	52.53
Utah	60.65
Washington	51.53
Wyoming	56.33

* * * * *

(Secs. 1-15 and 17-21 of the Federal Airport Act, 49 U.S.C. 1101-1114 and 1116-1120; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c); § 1.4(b)(1), Regulations of the Office of the Secretary of Transportation)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1969.

D. D. THOMAS,
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14645; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket No. C-1618]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Bishop & Malco, Inc. and Walkers'

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.30 *Composition of goods:* 13.30-30 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.73 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.73-10 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.155 *Prices:* 13.155-85 Sales below cost. Subpart—Invoicing products falsely: § 13.1108 *Invoicing products falsely:* 13.1108-45 Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1185 *Composition:* 13.1185-30 Fur Products Labeling Act;

§ 13.1212 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.1212–30 Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 *Composition:* 13.1845–30 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.1852–35 Fur Products Labeling Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U.S.C. 45, 69f) [Cease and desist order, Bishop & Malco, Inc., et al., Long Beach, Calif., Docket C–1618, Nov. 6, 1969]

In the Matter of Bishop & Malco, Inc., a Corporation, Doing Business as Walker's.

Consent order requiring a Long Beach, Calif., department store to cease falsely advertising, deceptively invoicing and misbranding its fur products.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Bishop & Malco, Inc., a corporation, doing business as Walker's or under any other name or names, and its officers, representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution, of any fur product; or in connection with the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution, of any fur product which is made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as the terms "commerce," "fur" and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Misbranding any fur product by:

1. Failing to affix a label to such fur product showing in words and in figures plainly legible all of the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

2. Failing to set forth the term "natural" as part of the information required to be disclosed on a label under the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder to describe such fur product which is not pointed, bleached, dyed, tip-dyed, or otherwise artificially colored.

3. Setting forth information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in handwriting on a label affixed to such fur product.

4. Failing to set forth information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder on a label in the sequence required by Rule 30 of the aforesaid rules and regulations.

B. Falsely or deceptively invoicing any fur product by:

1. Failing to furnish an invoice as the term "invoice" is defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, showing in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 5(b)(1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

2. Setting forth information required under section 5(b)(1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in abbreviated form on an invoice pertaining to such fur product.

3. Failing to set forth the term "Dyed Mouton Lamb" in the manner required where an election is made to use that term instead of the words "Dyed Lamb."

4. Failing to set forth the term "natural" as part of the information required to be disclosed on an invoice under the Fur Products Labeling Act and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder to describe such fur product which is not pointed, bleached, dyed, tip-dyed, or otherwise artificially colored.

C. Falsely or deceptively advertising any fur product through the use of any advertisement, representation, public announcement or notice which is intended to aid, promote or assist, directly or indirectly, in the sale, or offering for sale of any such fur product, and which:

1. Fails to set forth in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 5(a) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

2. Fails to set forth the term "natural" as part of the information required to be disclosed in advertisements under the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder to describe such fur product which is not pointed, bleached, dyed, tip-dyed or otherwise artificially colored.

3. Fails to disclose that such fur product is composed in whole or in substantial part of paws, tails, bellies, sides, flanks, gills, ears, throats, heads, scrap pieces or waste fur.

4. Fails to separately set forth in advertisements relating to such fur product composed of two or more sections containing different animal furs the information required under section 5(a) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder with respect to the fur comprising each section.

5. Fails to set forth all parts of the information required under section 5(a) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in type of equal size and conspicuousness and in close proximity with each other.

6. Falsely and deceptively represents, directly or by implication, by means of the phrase "Below Wholesale Cost" or any other phrase, term or word of similar import or meaning that such fur product is being offered for sale at less than the price paid for the product by the respondent.

7. Falsely or deceptively represents that savings are afforded to the purchaser of such fur product or misrepresents in any manner the amount of savings afforded to the purchaser of such fur product.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondent herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with this order.

Issued: November 6, 1969.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14611; Filed, Dec. 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. C–1617]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Miami Rug Co. et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.30 *Composition of goods:* 13.30–75 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.73 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.73–90 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act. Subpart—Concealing, obliterating or removing law required and informative marking: § 13.523 *Textile fiber products tags or identification.* Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1185 *Composition:* 13.1185–80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.1212 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.1212–80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 *Composition:* 13.1845–70 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.1852–70 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, 72 Stat. 1717; 15 U.S.C. 45, 70) [Cease and desist order, The Miami Rug Company et al., Miami, Fla., Docket C–1617, Nov. 6, 1969]

In the Matter of The Miami Rug Co., Wilans, Inc., Carpet Fashions, Inc., Bartex Corp. and Carpet Remnant King, Inc., Corporations, and James W. Baros and Evans E. Baros, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporations

Consent order requiring four Miami, Fla., sellers and installers of carpeting and floor covering material to cease misbranding, falsely advertising, and removing law required identification from its textile fiber products.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents, The Miami Rug Co., Wilans, Inc., Carpet Fashions, Inc., Bartex Corp. and Carpet Remnant King, Inc., corporations, and their officers, and James W. Baros and Evans E. Baros, individually and as officers of said corporations, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with