documents, (4) in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, using, or disposing in any foreign country of any commodities or technical data, in whole or in part, exported or to be exported, from the United States, and (5) in storing, financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of such exports from the United States.

(c) No person, firm, corporation, partnership, or other business organization, whether in the United States or elsewhere, without prior disclosure to and specific authorization from the Bureau of International Commerce, shall do any of the following acts, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, on behalf of or in any association with the respondents or any related party, or whereby the respondents or any related party may obtain any benefits therefrom or have any interest or participation therein, directly or indirectly: (1) Apply for, obtain, transfer, or use any license, Shipper's Export Declaration, bill of lading, or other export control documents relating to any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States, by, to, or for the respondents or any related party denied export privileges; or (2) order, buy, receive, use, sell, deliver, store, dispose of, forward, transport, finance, or otherwise service or participate in any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States.

(d) The entry of an order under this part shall not limit the Bureau of International Commerce from taking other action based on the violation for which probation was revoked as said Bureau shall deem warranted.

This order shall become effective October 28, 1966.

Dated: October 24, 1966.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Control.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11864; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

[File 23(65)-48]

JOHN M. SOOKIAS

Order Denying Export Privileges for an Indefinite Period

In the matter of John M. Sookias, 6 Upper Thames Street, London E.C. 4, England, and 25 Oakwood Avenue, Purley, Surrey, England, Respondent; File 23(65)-48.

The Director, Investigations Division, Office of Export Control, Bureau of International Commerce, U.S. Department of Commerce, has applied for an order denying the above-named respondent all export privileges for an indefinite period because the said respondent failed to furnish answers to interrogatories and failed to furnish certain records and other writings specifically requested, without good cause being shown. This application was made pursuant to § 382.15 of the Export Regulations (Title 15, Chapter III, Subchapter B, Code of Federal Regulations).

In accordance with the usual practice, the application for an indefinite denial order was referred to the Compliance Commissioner, Bureau of International Commerce, who after consideration of the evidence has recommended that the application be granted. The report of the Compliance Commissioner and the evidence in support of the application have been considered.

The evidence presented shows that the respondent, John M. Sookias, is in the business of importing and exporting; that he has a business address at the above location in London, England, and he has at times given his address as the above-mentioned location in Purley, Surrey, England; that the respondent has at times used the name of the Bengal Behar Construction Company Private Ltd., a firm located in Calcutta, India, for his own purposes without authorization from said firm; that the respondent purchased, and received in London, England, certain U.S.-origin textile machinery spare parts which had been exported by a supplier from the United States. The aforesaid Investigations Division is conducting an investigation into the dispositon by said respondent of said commodities to ascertain whether said commodities have been reexported in violation of the U.S. Export Regulations. It is impracticable to subpoena the respondent, and relevant and material interrogatories and request to furnish certain specific documents relating to his disposition of said commodities were served on him pursuant to § 382.15 of the Export Regulations. Said respondent has failed to furnish answers to said interrogatories or to furnish the documents requested, as required by said section and has not shown good cause for such failure.

I find that an order denying export privileges to said respondent for an indefinite period is reasonably necessary to protect the public interest and to achieve effective enforcement of the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended. This order shall also apply to the respondent doing business as Bengal Behar Construction Company Private Limited, London or Purley, Surrey, England. The said company is not connected with and is not to be confused with the firm of the same name in Calcutta, India.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered:

I. All outstanding validated export licenses in which respondent appears or participates in any manner or capacity are hereby revoked and shall be returned forthwith to the Bureau of International Commerce for cancellation.

II. The respondent, his representatives, agents, and employees hereby are denied all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in any transaction involving commodities or technical data exported from the United States in whole or in part, or to be exported, or which are otherwise subject to the Export Regulations. Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing, participation prohibited in any such transaction, either in the United States or abroad, shall include participation, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity: (a) As a party or as a representative of a party to any validated export license application; (b) in the preparation or filing of any export license application or reexportation authorization, or any document to be submitted therewith; (c) in the obtaining or using of any validated or general export license or other export control document; (d) in the carrying on of negotiations with respect to, or in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, delivering, storing, using, or disposing of any commodities or technical data in whole or in part exported or to be exported from the United States; and (e) in the financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of such commodities or technical data.

III. Such denial of export privileges shall extend not only to the respondent, but also to his agents, employees, and partners, and to any person, firm, corporation, or business organization with which the respondent now or hereafter may be related by affiliation, ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade or services connected therewith.

IV. This order shall remain in effect until the respondent provides responsive answers, written information and documents in response to the interrogatories heretofore served upon him or gives adequate reasons for failure to do so, except insofar as this order may be amended or modified hereafter in accordance with the Export Regulations.

V. No person, firm, corporation, partnership, or other business organization, whether in the United States or elsewhere, without prior disclosure to and specific authorization from the Bureau of International Commerce, shall do any of the following acts, directly or indirectly, or carry on negotiations with respect thereto, in any manner or capacity, on behalf of or in any association with the respondent or any related party, or whereby the respondent or related party may obtain any benefit therefrom or have any interest or participation therein, directly or indirectly; (a) apply for, obtain, transfer, or use any license, Shipper's Export Declaration, bill of lading, or other export control document relating to any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States, by, to, or for any such respondent or related party denied export privileges; or (b) order, buy, receive, use, sell, deliver, store, dispose of, forward, transport, finance, or otherwise service or participate in any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States.

VI. A copy of this order shall be served on respondent.

VII. In accordance with the provisions of § 382.15 of the Export Regulations, the respondent may move at any time to vacate or modify this indefinite denial order by filing with the Compliance Com-

missioner, Bureau of International Commerce, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, an appropriate motion for relief, supported by substantial evidence and may also request an oral hearing thereon, which, if requested shall be held before the Compliance Commissioner, at Washington, D.C., at the earliest convenient date.

This order shall become effective October 26, 1966.

Dated: October 24, 1966.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Control.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11865; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Maritime Administration

MARINE MIDLAND GRACE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK

Notice of Approval of Applicant as Trustee

Notice is hereby given that the Marine Midland Grace Trust Co., a New York corporation, with offices at 120 Broadway, New York, N.Y., has been approved as a trustee pursuant to Public Law 89-346 and 46 CFR 221.21-221.30.

Dated: October 25, 1966.

M. I. GOODMAN,
Chief, Office of Ship Operations.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11858; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

WILLIAM M. FIRSHING

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the past 6 months:

A. Deletions: Tenneco Inc.
B. Additions: Purex Corp., High Voltage Eng., Flintkote, Perfect Fit Ind., E. F. McDonald.

This statement is made as of October 6, 1966.

WILLIAM M. FIRSHING.

OCTOBER 14, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11832; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Dept. Order 10-A]

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR TRANSPORTATION

Delegation of Authority

The following order was issued by the Secretary of Commerce on October 20, 1966.

SECTION 1. *Purpose.* The purpose of this order is to delegate authority to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Transportation.

SEC. 2. *Delegation of Authority.* .01 Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Commerce by law, and subject to such policies and directives as the Secretary of Commerce may prescribe, the Under Secretary of Commerce for Transportation is hereby delegated authority to perform all the functions and exercise all the authorities of the Secretary under the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-563) and the Highway Safety Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-564), with the exception of the authority conferred to establish the National Motor Vehicle Safety Advisory Council and to appoint its members.

.02 The Under Secretary of Commerce for Transportation may redelegate any authority conferred on him by this order to any officer or employee of the Department, subject to such conditions in the exercise of such authority as the Under Secretary for Transportation may prescribe.

Effective date: October 20, 1966.

DAVID R. BALDWIN,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11868; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Order No. E-24334]

AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA AND INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Transportation of Unaccompanied Minors

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 26th day of October 1966.

An agreement adopted by the Air Traffic Conference of the Air Transport Association of America relating to the transportation of unaccompanied minors, Agreement CAB No. 11914-A176; an agreement adopted by the Traffic Conference of the International Air Transport Association relating to transportation of unaccompanied minors, Agreement CAB No. 19074-R11.

Pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, agreements have been filed with the Board by the Air Traffic Conference of the Air Transport Association of America (ATC), and the Traffic Conference of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). These agreements relate to the carriage of unaccompanied minors.

In the case of ATC, the agreement constitutes revisions to "Standard Interline Passenger Procedures" (SIPP) Resolution 120.25, governing the transportation of unaccompanied minors 8 through 11 years of age, which the Board has previously approved. Resolution 120.25 presently requires a confirmed itinerary for the child; certain information relative to the persons responsible for the child at city of origin and city of destination; handling instructions at point of transfer; and procedures to be followed in instances of schedule irregularity.

There are only two basic revisions to the present ATC Resolution. First, the word "child" wherever used has been changed to "minor". This change presents no problem. Secondly, the revised agreement has a new provision which provides that a form card entitled "Request for Airline Carriage of Unaccompanied Minor" may be used. The face of this form card provides spaces for helpful information relative to the minor and the persons escorting the minor to and from the airport. However, at the bottom of the card is the statement, "I accept the conditions of carriage set forth on the reverse side of this form." This is followed by a place for signature. The reverse side of the form card contains three provisions which are thereby accepted when the card is signed. Our difficulty lies only with the first provision.[1]

The language of the first provision states that the person signing the card agrees—

> I. To indemnify and hold harmless the carrier(s), their personnel and agents for and against loss or damage sustained and cost and expense incurred by them in connection with the minor's travel or resulting therefrom and to release them from all liability other than set forth in their general conditions of carriage or tariffs.

The IATA agreement provides a recommended but not a required practice which is totally new but conforms in principle with the ATC agreement. The procedures recommended for IATA carrier use would apply to all unaccompanied minors under 12, and at the request of the parents to unaccompanied minors over 12. As to the latter there is a "Request for Airline Carriage of Unaccompanied Minor" form identical to the ATC form previously discussed. Another form is utilized in the case of minors under 12. The front of this card has spaces for information concerning the minor and escorts. The reverse side of the form, marked optional, contains a paragraph providing for indemnification to the carrier and a release of liability which is almost identical to the exculpatory clause previously quoted.

Under the proposed agreement the carrier could hold the signer responsible for whatever sums it might spend regardless of the reasonableness of such expenditures. More importantly the agreement could release the carrier from all liability without regard for its own negligence. We believe that these limitations go beyond what is reasonable and therefore must be disapproved as adverse to

[1] The second and third provisions (1) authorize the carrier to take whatever action may be necessary to insure the minor's safe custody and (2) require that the minor have the necessary documents for international travel.

the public interest. Accordingly, we will approve the instant agreements subject to the deletion of the exculpatory provisions from the form cards.

The Board, acting pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), and 412 of the Act, does not find Agreement CAB No. 11914–A176 and Agreement CAB No. 19074–R11 to be adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act, provided that approval thereof is conditioned as hereinafter ordered.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

1. Agreement CAB No. 11914–A176 is approved provided that Provision 1. on the reverse side of the "Request for Airline Carriage of Unaccompanied Minor" form is deleted.

2. Agreement CAB No. 19074–R11 is approved provided that: (1) The entire third sentence on the reverse side of Attachment "A", beginning with the words "I furthermore declare * * *" be deleted, and (2) Provision 1. on the reverse side of Attachment "B" be deleted.

Any air carrier party to the agreement, or any interested person, may, within 15 days from the date of service of this order, submit statements in writing containing reasons deemed appropriate, together with supporting data, in support of or in opposition to the Board's action herein. An original and 19 copies of the statements should be filed with the Board's Docket Section. The Board may, upon consideration of any such statements filed, modify or rescind its action herein by subsequent order.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66–11866; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FCC 66–940]

CATV REPORT FORM

Extension of Time for Filing

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

On September 30, 1966, the Commission issued its CATV Report Form (FCC Form 325) designed to identify the ownership and operational details of CATV systems. Copies of the form were mailed to known CATV systems with the instruction that the form was to be filed by November 1, 1966.

The Commission has been advised by a number of law firms representing CATV clients and by the National Community Television Association in behalf of its members that the initial 30-day period for the filing of the form is inadequate and that an additional period of time within which to respond is necessary. The representatives of the systems have set forth several reasons which impel the requested extension of time.

The Commission is vitally interested in receiving full and complete information designed to be elicited by the CATV Report Form. Accordingly, and in compliance with the above request, the request for additional time in which to file this form is granted and the return will be due on December 1, 1966.

Adopted: October 27, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66–11876; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16525; FCC 66M–1452]

JAMES L. HUTCHENS

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re application of James L. Hutchens, Central Point, Oreg., Docket No. 16525, File No. BP–16640; for construction permit.

Pursuant to agreement arrived at during prehearing conference in the above-styled proceeding held on this date: *It is ordered,* This 26th day of October, 1966, that the hearing in this proceeding will be held on January 9, 1967, at 10 a.m., in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: October 27, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66–11877; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 16676, 16677; FCC 66M–1443]

ROYAL BROADCASTING CO., INC. (KHAI) AND RADIO KHAI, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Royal Broadcasting Co., Inc. (KHAI), Honolulu, Hawaii, Docket No. 16676, File No. BR–4120; for renewal of license; Radio KHAI, Inc., Honolulu, Hawaii, Docket No. 16677, File No. BP–16294; for construction permit.

It is ordered, This 25th day of October 1966, that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding shall be convened in San Francisco, Calif., on November 17, 1966, in lieu of November 15, 1966, as previously scheduled.

Released: October 26, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66–11878; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[1] Commissioners Lee and Wadsworth absent.

[Docket No. 16864; FCC 66M–1450]

ARTHUR POWELL WILLIAMS

Statement and Order After Prehearing Conference

In re application of Arthur Powell Williams, Docket No. 16864, File No. BR–1852; for renewal of license of Station KLAV, Las Vegas, Nev.

At today's prehearing conference, among other things it was directed that the hearing, now scheduled for November 28, 1966, be rescheduled for January 17, 1967, at 10 a.m., in Las Vegas, Nev.

So ordered, This 26th day of October, 1966.

Released: October 27, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66–11879; Filed, Oct. 31, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 66–57]

DELI/PACIFIC RATE AGREEMENT

Shippers' Requests and Complaints; Order To Show Cause

Agreement 192, originally approved November 29, 1932, among the member lines of the Deli/Pacific Rate Agreement, covers the trade from ports on the East Coast of Sumatra between Langsa and Indragiri, both inclusive, to ports situated on the West Coast of North America.

Section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, reads in pertinent part as follows:

> The Commission shall disapprove any such agreement, after notice and hearing, on a finding * * * of failure or refusal to adopt and maintain reasonable procedures for promptly and fairly hearing and considering shippers' requests and complaints.

General Order 14 was adopted to implement the above-quoted statute and it provides, in pertinent part as follows:

> § 527.3 *Filing of procedures.*
>
> Within 60 days from the effective date of this part, each rate-making group operating under an approved section 15 agreement shall file with the Commission a statement outlining in complete detail its procedures for the disposition of shippers' requests and complaints.
>
> § 527.4 *Reports.*
>
> By January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31 of each year, each conference and each other body with rate-fixing authority under an approved agreement shall file with the Commission a report covering all shippers' requests and complaints received during the preceding calendar quarter or pending at the beginning of such calendar quarter. The first such report shall be filed by October 31, 1965. All such reports shall include the following information for each request or complaint:
>
> (a) Date request or complaint was received.
>
> (b) Identity of the person or firm submitting the request or complaint.