

2. In § 71.181 (29 F.R. 17643), the following is added:

**PERU, IND.**

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 15-mile radius of Bunker Hill AFB, Peru, Ind.; within 8 miles SE of the Kokomo, Ind., VOR 040° radial extending from the VOR to 12 miles NE of the VOR; within 5 miles SW and 8 miles NE of the Kokomo VOR 131° radial extending from the VOR to 12 miles SE of the VOR, excluding that airspace within a 12-mile radius of the Marion Municipal Airport, Marion, Ind.; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within the area bounded by a line beginning at latitude 40°07'00" N., longitude 86°00'00" W.; to latitude 40°07'00" N., longitude 86°33'00" W.; to latitude 41°00'00" N., longitude 86°33'00" W.; to latitude 41°00'00" N., longitude 85°50'00" W.; to latitude 40°30'00" N., longitude 85°50'00" W.; thence to point of beginning, excluding the airspace within a 12-mile radius of the Marion Municipal Airport, Marion, Ind.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on January 18, 1965.

**HENRY L. NEWMAN,**  
Acting Director,  
Central Region.

[F.R. Doc. 65-852; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 65-WE-1]

**PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS**

**Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area**

The purpose of these amendments to § 71.171 and § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the description of the Visalia, Calif., control zone and transition area.

The Visalia control zone and transition area were designated, in part, with reference to the Visalia VOR 121° and 301° radials. Precise cartographic measurements, attendant to the publication of aeronautical charts, have revealed that the Visalia VOR 121° and 301° radials were incorrect and should have been the 123° and 303° radials. Accordingly, action is taken herein to reflect the correct radials extending from the VOR.

Since these amendments are minor in nature and impose no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and they may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth.

1. Section 71.171 (29 F.R. 14168) is amended as follows:

In the Visalia, Calif., control zone "Visalia VOR 121° radial" is deleted and "Visalia VOR 123° radial" is substituted therefor.

2. Section 71.181 (29 F.R. 14168) is amended as follows:

In the Visalia, Calif., transition area "Visalia VOR 121° and 301° radials" is

deleted and "Visalia VOR 123° and 303° radials" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended; 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on January 20, 1965.

**WILLIAM R. KRIEGER,**  
Acting Director.

[F.R. Doc. 65-853; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 64-SW-53]

**PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS**

**Alteration of Transition Area**

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke a portion of the Midland, Tex., transition area.

The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that the portion of the Midland, Tex., transition area extending upward from 10,000 feet MSL is no longer required for air traffic control procedures and action is taken herein to effect its revocation.

Since this amendment imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (29 F.R. 17682), the Midland, Tex., transition area is amended to read as follows:

**MIDLAND, TEX.**

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of Midland Air Terminal (latitude 31°56'25" N., longitude 102°12'10" W.), excluding the portion within a 1.5-mile radius of the Midland Airpark (latitude 32°02'00" N., longitude 102°05'55" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Midland VOR 011° radial, extending from the 8-mile radius area to 8 miles N of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Midland ILS localizer SE course, extending from the 8-mile radius area to the INT of the Midland VOR 128° and the Big Spring, Tex., VOR 212° radials; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at latitude 31°40'00" N., longitude 101°52'30" W.; thence clockwise along the arc of a 30-mile radius circle centered on the Midland VOR; to latitude 31°37'00" N., longitude 102°25'00" W.; to latitude 31°40'00" N., longitude 102°39'30" W.; to latitude 31°33'00" N., longitude 102°53'00" W.; to latitude 31°34'20" N., longitude 103°03'45" W.; to latitude 32°04'50" N., longitude 102°58'00" W.; to latitude 32°06'45" N., longitude 103°07'50" W.; to latitude 32°15'35" N., longitude 103°07'00" W.; to latitude 32°13'40" N., longitude 102°56'30" W.; to latitude 32°19'35" N., longitude 102°55'10" W.; to latitude 32°36'30" N., longitude 102°07'20" W.; to latitude 31°55'00" N., longitude 101°29'40" W.; to latitude 31°37'25" N., longitude 101°35'15" W.; to latitude 31°36'35" N., longitude 101°47'20" W.; to point of beginning.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on January 18, 1965.

**D. E. McHAM,**  
Acting Director,  
Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 65-854; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 65-WE-4]

**PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS**

**Revocation of Transition Area**

The purpose of this amendment to § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke the Tuba City, Ariz., transition area.

The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that the Tuba City transition area is no longer required for air traffic control purposes and, therefore, is no longer justified as an assignment of controlled airspace. Action is taken herein to revoke the Tuba City transition area.

Since this amendment is minor in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth:

Section 71.181 (29 F.R. 17643) is amended by revoking the following transition area:

Tuba City, Ariz.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on January 20, 1965.

**WILLIAM R. KRIEGER,**  
Acting Director,  
Western Region.

[F.R. Doc. 65-855; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 65-WE-10]

**PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS**

**Revocation of Transition Area**

The purpose of this amendment to § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke the Crazy Woman, Wyo., transition area.

The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that the Crazy Woman transition area is no longer required for air traffic control purposes and therefore is no longer justified as an assignment of controlled airspace. Action is taken herein to revoke the Crazy Woman transition area.

Since the change effected by this amendment is less restrictive in nature than the present requirements and im-

poses no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary, and the amendment may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth:

Section 71.181 (29 F.R. 17643) is amended by revoking the following transition area:

Crazy Woman, Wyo.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on January 20, 1965.

WILLIAM R. KRIEGER,  
Acting Director,  
Western Region.

[F.R. Doc. 65-850; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965; 8:45 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 6248; Amdt. 133-1]

## PART 133—ROTORCRAFT EXTERNAL-LOAD OPERATIONS

### Carriage of Persons Other Than Crewmembers

The purpose of this amendment to Part 133 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to permit the carriage of persons necessary for the overall work of a Class A or Class B external-load operation, but not necessarily performing an essential function in the actual operation. This amendment also permits the carriage of crewmember trainees on rotorcraft external-load operations.

Section 133.45(a) provides that, "No person who is not a flight crewmember may be carried unless he performs an essential function in connection with the external-load operation." Under this section the carriage of persons who are not essential to the actual external-load operation and whose presence is not necessary during the operation is prohibited. Therefore, for example, a fire fighter could not be carried along with fire fighting equipment on an external-load operation. The reason for this limitation is that the aircraft involved need not be shown to comply with standard airworthiness requirements. However, Agency review of external-load operations has shown that the passenger carrying limitation should be relaxed due to the number of detailed airworthiness requirements contained in Part 133, and due to the excellent safety record shown in rotorcraft external-load operations. There is no reason to believe that this safety record will not be maintained in the future.

However, the Agency is not relaxing the rule with regard to the carriage of persons essential to the overall performance of a Class C (towing) operation since relatively little service experience has been gained with the level of safety of Class C operations.

This amendment is primarily in response to an October 8, 1964, petition for rule making submitted by the Helicopter Association of America. However, Agency review of the petition shows that § 133.45(a) should be relaxed not only

with regard to persons necessary for the overall performance of a Class A or Class B operation, but also with regard to crewmember trainees carried during the operation.

For the above reasons, and since the amendment is generally clarifying in nature, I find that notice on this amendment is unnecessary, and that the amendment may be made effective less than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, § 133.45(a) of Part 133 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective January 28, 1965, to read as follows:

#### § 133.45 Operating limitations.

- (a) No person may be carried unless—
  - (1) He is a flight crewmember;
  - (2) He is a flight crewmember trainee;
- or
- (3) He perform an essential function in connection with the external-load operation.

However, in the case of a Class A or Class B rotorcraft external-load operation, persons may be carried who are necessary for the accomplishment of the work activity directly associated with that operation.

(Secs. 307, 313(a), 601, and 607 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354 (a), 1421, and 1427)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 22, 1965.

N. E. HALABY,  
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 65-858; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965; 8:45 a.m.]

## Title 13—BUSINESS CREDIT AND ASSISTANCE

### Chapter I—Small Business Administration

[Amdt. 2]

### PART 124—PROCUREMENT AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

#### Issuance

In Part 124, § 124.2-16 (23 F.R. 10525), as amended (29 F.R. 9561), is further amended by revising paragraph (b) thereof to read as follows:

#### § 124.2-16 Issuance.

(b) Upon receipt of this notification, the SBA Regional Office notifies the contractor and furnishes him SBA Form 74. This form is an application for a Certificate of Competency. The contractor may file Form 74 with the SBA Regional Office for the geographic area within which he is located. The Regional Office, upon timely receipt of Form 74 properly completed, causes a survey to be made of the contractor's financial capacity and productive facilities. Based on this and the information obtained by the Regional Office from Government procurement agencies and other sources, the Regional Director may either issue or

deny the Certificate if the proposed contract is not in excess of \$100,000. If the proposed contract is in excess of \$100,000, the Regional Director although authorized to deny the Certificate unless application for an SBA loan is being filed, which loan must be approved in the Washington Office, may only recommend to the Deputy Administrator for Procurement Assistance that a Certificate be issued. Whenever an application for a Certificate is denied the Regional Director will so notify the applicant and the contracting officer. The notification to the unsuccessful applicant will state the reasons for the denial and inform the applicant that it may request a meeting with appropriate SBA Regional personnel to discuss the reasons for the denial. Upon receipt of a request for such meeting, the appropriate Regional personnel will confer with the applicant and explain fully the reasons for SBA's action. However, such conference will be for the sole purpose of enabling the applicant to improve or correct its capacity or credit and will not constitute a basis for reopening the case in which the Certificate was denied.

Dated: January 21, 1965.

EUGENE P. FOLEY,  
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 65-850; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965; 8:45 a.m.]

## Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

### Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

#### SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

#### PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

#### Subpart C—Food Additives Permitted in Feed and Drinking Water of Animals or for the Treatment of Food-Producing Animals

##### NIHYDRAZONE

No comments were received in response to the notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 19, 1964 (29 F.R. 15538), in which the Commissioner of Food and Drugs proposed that the food additive regulation prescribing the conditions of safe use of nihydrazone in chicken feed (21 CFR 121.237) be amended by adding a new indication for use "to reduce the number of lesions" in the presence of chronic respiratory disease in chickens. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(d), 72 Stat. 1787; 21 U.S.C. 348(d)) and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.90), the amendment is adopted as proposed. Accordingly, § 121.237(b) is amended by changing item 2 in the table to read as follows:

#### § 121.237 Nihydrazone.

(b) . . .

## NIHYDRAZONE IN COMPLETE CHICKEN FEED

| Principal ingredient | Grams per ton | Limitations | Indications for use                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ...                  | ...           | ...         | ...                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 2. Nihydrazone.....  | 100           | do.....     | In the presence of chronic respiratory disease (air sac infections); to reduce mortality and severity of infection; to reduce the number of lesions; and to assist in maintaining weight gains and feed efficiency. |
| ..                   | ...           | ...         | ...                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C., 20201, written objections thereto, preferably in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

**Effective date.** This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

(Sec. 409(d), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(d))

Dated: January 19, 1965.

GEO. P. LARRICK,  
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 65-907; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965;  
8:49 a.m.]

Polyamide resins derived from dimerized vegetable oil acids (containing not more than 20 percent of monomer acids) and ethylenediamine as the basic resin.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C., 20201, written objections thereto, preferably in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

**Effective date.** This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

## PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

### Subpart F—Food Additives Resulting From Contact With Containers or Equipment and Food Additives Otherwise Affecting Food

#### CELLOPHANE

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated the data in a petition (FAP 4B1420) filed by General Mills, Inc., South Kensington Road, Kankakee, Ill., 60901, and other relevant material, has concluded that the food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.2507) should be amended to provide for the use of certain polyamide resins in the formulation of cellophane. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.90), § 121.2507(c) is amended by inserting alphabetically the following new item:

§ 121.2507 Cellophane.

(c) List of substances:

For use only in cellophane coatings that contact food at temperatures not to exceed room temperature.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1))

Dated: January 18, 1965.

GEO. P. LARRICK,  
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 65-908; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965;  
8:48 a.m.]

## Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

### Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

#### PART 204—DANGER ZONE REGULATIONS

##### Tampa Bay, Fla.

Pursuant to the provisions of section 7 of the River and Harbor Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1), § 204.100 governing a small arms firing range in

Tampa Bay, Florida, is hereby amended revising the heading and redesignating the boundaries of the area, changing paragraphs (a) and (b) (3), effective 30 days after publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*, as follows:

§ 204.100 Tampa Bay south of MacDill Air Force Base, Fla.; small-arms firing range and aircraft jettison, United States Air Force, MacDill Air Force Base.

(a) *The danger zone.* Shoreward of a line beginning at latitude 27°49'27.38", longitude 82°29'35.83"; thence to latitude 27°49'20.14", longitude 82°29'42.78"; thence to latitude 27°48'44.82", longitude 82°31'10.0"; thence to latitude 27°49'09.35", longitude 82°32'24.56"; thence to latitude 27°49'38.62", longitude 82°33'02.44"; and thence to a point on the shore line of MacDill Air Force Base at latitude 27°50'28.57", longitude 82°32'15.0". The area will be marked by suitable boundary signs or buoys.

(b) *The regulations.* \* \* \*

(3) The regulations in the section shall be enforced by the proper Air Force Authority at MacDill Air Force Base.

[Regs., Jan. 14, 1965, 1507-32 (Tampa Bay, Fla.)—ENGW-ON] (Sec. 7, 40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1)

J. C. LAMBERT,  
Major General, U.S. Army,  
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 65-871; Filed, Jan. 27, 1965;  
8:46 a.m.]

## Title 38—PENSIONS, BONUSES, AND VETERANS' RELIEF

### Chapter I—Veterans Administration

#### PART 21—VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AND EDUCATION

##### Subpart A—Education and Training of World War II Veterans and Vocational Rehabilitation Under 38 U.S.C. Ch. 31

#### EFFECTIVE DATES

1. In § 21.103(b), subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) are amended to read as follows:

§ 21.103 Effective date of change or discontinuance of subsistence allowance.

(See in addition § 21.107 (f), (h), and (i).)

(b) \* \* \*

(1) In the event of death of the veteran while in school attendance or in an authorized leave status, the date of death.

(2) In the event of disapproval of a course and/or a school or training establishment by the State approving agency or by the Administrator, as of the effective date of disapproval, or as of the date determined by the station head under the provisions of § 21.418(d), whichever is later.