

Presidential Documents

Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order 11097

AMENDMENT OF EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 10501,¹ AS AMENDED, RELATING TO AUTHORITY FOR THE ORIGINAL CLASSIFICATION OF DEFENSE INFORMATION AND MATERIAL

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of the United States, and as President of the United States, and deeming such action necessary in the best interest of the national security, it is hereby ordered as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 2 of Executive Order No. 10501 of November 5, 1953, as amended by Executive Order No. 10901 of January 9, 1961, and by Executive Order No. 10985 of January 12, 1962, is hereby further amended (A) by adding at the end of Subsection (a) thereof "Export-Import Bank of Washington", "Office of Science and Technology", and "The Special Representative for Trade Negotiations"; and (B) by deleting from Subsection (b) thereof "Subversive Activities Control Board."

SEC. 2. The Export-Import Bank of Washington, the Office of Science and Technology, and The Special Representative for Trade Negotiations shall be deemed to have had authority for the original classification of information and material from the respective dates on which such agencies were established.

JOHN F. KENNEDY

THE WHITE HOUSE,
February 28, 1963.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2520; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 10:35 a.m.]

¹ 18 F.R. 7049; 3 CFR, 1949-1953 Comp., p. 979.

History of the Republic

The history of the Republic is a story of the struggle for freedom and justice. It is a story of the people who have fought for the principles of liberty and equality. It is a story of the men and women who have dedicated their lives to the service of their country. It is a story of the triumphs and the setbacks, of the hopes and the disappointments. It is a story that is still being written, and it is a story that we all have a part in.

The first step in the history of the Republic was the signing of the Declaration of Independence. This was a bold statement of the people's desire for self-government. It was a statement that the people of the United States were no longer willing to be ruled by a distant king. It was a statement that the people were now responsible for their own destiny.

The next step in the history of the Republic was the signing of the Constitution. This was a document that set out the rules for the government of the United States. It was a document that was designed to protect the rights of the people and to ensure that the government was accountable to them. It was a document that has been the foundation of the Republic ever since.

The history of the Republic is a story of the growth of the country. It is a story of the westward expansion, of the discovery of new lands and resources. It is a story of the development of the economy, of the growth of the cities and the towns. It is a story of the progress of the people, of the improvements in the way of life. It is a story that shows the resilience and the strength of the American people.

The history of the Republic is a story of the challenges that the country has faced. It is a story of the wars, of the economic crises, of the social problems. It is a story of the times when the people have been tested, when they have had to stand up for their principles. It is a story that shows the courage and the determination of the American people.

The history of the Republic is a story of the future. It is a story of the hopes and the dreams of the people. It is a story of the things that we want to achieve, of the things that we want to be. It is a story that is full of possibility, and it is a story that we all have a part in.

The history of the Republic is a story of the values that we hold dear. It is a story of the principles of liberty and justice, of the values of honesty and integrity. It is a story of the things that we believe in, of the things that we stand for. It is a story that is the foundation of our identity as a people.

The history of the Republic is a story of the people who have made a difference. It is a story of the men and women who have changed the course of history, who have shaped the destiny of the country. It is a story of the heroes and the heroines, of the people who have left their mark on the world.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we have accomplished. It is a story of the progress that we have made, of the things that we have achieved. It is a story of the successes and the triumphs, of the things that we are proud of. It is a story that shows the power of the American people.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we have learned. It is a story of the lessons that we have learned from our mistakes, of the things that we have discovered. It is a story of the wisdom and the insight that we have gained, of the things that we know now. It is a story that shows the growth and the maturity of the American people.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are proud of. It is a story of the achievements and the accomplishments, of the things that we are proud to call our own. It is a story of the things that we are proud to share with the world, of the things that we are proud to be a part of.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are looking forward to. It is a story of the hopes and the dreams, of the things that we want to achieve. It is a story of the things that we are proud to be a part of, of the things that we are proud to call our own.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are proud to be a part of. It is a story of the achievements and the accomplishments, of the things that we are proud to call our own. It is a story of the things that we are proud to share with the world, of the things that we are proud to be a part of.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are looking forward to. It is a story of the hopes and the dreams, of the things that we want to achieve. It is a story of the things that we are proud to be a part of, of the things that we are proud to call our own.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are proud to be a part of. It is a story of the achievements and the accomplishments, of the things that we are proud to call our own. It is a story of the things that we are proud to share with the world, of the things that we are proud to be a part of.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are looking forward to. It is a story of the hopes and the dreams, of the things that we want to achieve. It is a story of the things that we are proud to be a part of, of the things that we are proud to call our own.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are proud to be a part of. It is a story of the achievements and the accomplishments, of the things that we are proud to call our own. It is a story of the things that we are proud to share with the world, of the things that we are proud to be a part of.

The history of the Republic is a story of the things that we are looking forward to. It is a story of the hopes and the dreams, of the things that we want to achieve. It is a story of the things that we are proud to be a part of, of the things that we are proud to call our own.

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, paragraph (e) of § 6.347 is revoked.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to
the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2413; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:51 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

[Amdt. 5]

PART 719—RECONSTITUTION OF FARMS, FARM ALLOTMENTS, AND FARM HISTORY AND SOIL BANK BASE ACREAGES

Definitions

Basis and purpose. This amendment is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933, as amended (52 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.), to amend the regulations governing the reconstitution of farms, farm allotments, farm history, and soil bank base acreages (27 F.R. 6482, 7382; 28 F.R. 1415; 27 F.R. 11919; 28 F.R. 1711) to provide that separately owned tracts of land shall not be combined as a farm unless all owners of the separately owned tracts of land agree in writing to such combination and that a separately owned tract of land currently constituted as a farm with a tract or tracts owned by other persons shall be reconstituted as a separate farm upon written request of the owner of such separately owned tract of land. The amendment also makes certain minor revisions to conform the regulations to the foregoing major changes. The provisions of this amendment will affect the constitution of some farms for the crop year 1963, and as farm operators are currently making plans for the production of 1963 crops it is imperative that the provisions of this amendment be made known as soon as possible. It

is, therefore, found and determined that compliance with the notice, public procedure and 30-day effective date requirement of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003) is impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and this amendment shall become effective upon publication of this document in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

1. Section 719.2(1) (2) is amended to read as follows:

§ 719.2 Definitions.

(1) * * *

(2) *Farms constituted for the first time or reconstituted hereafter.* With respect to the constitution and identification of land as a farm for the first time or the reconstitution of farms made hereafter, the term "farm" shall mean all adjoining or nearby and easily accessible farm, wood, or range land under the same ownership which is operated by one person. The farm also may include additional farm, wood, or range land under different ownership which is operated by such person provided the owner agrees in writing to the inclusion of his land in the farm, and the county committee determines that the land is nearby and easily accessible and is approximately equally productive. Notwithstanding the foregoing definition of "farm" in this subparagraph:

(i) Fields or subdivisions of fields which are part of a farm shall remain a part of such farm when operated under a short term agreement of one year or less by another operator, unless and until, upon the written request of the owner of such fields or subdivisions of fields, they may be properly constituted as a separate farm or part of another farm under this section.

(ii) Land for which one or more landlord(s) refuse(s) to sign a conservation reserve contract and which is a part of a multiple-ownership farm may be constituted as a separate farm provided some eligible land in the balance of such multiple-ownership farm is covered by a conservation reserve contract.

(iii) Where part of a farm is owned by the Federal Government and the Federal agency handling the leasing of such land has leased such land under a lease restricting the production of price-supported commodities in excess supply, the farm shall be reconstituted so that such Government-owned land is a separate farm. Such Government-owned land, or other land as may be acquired by the Federal Government and leased to producers under such restrictive leases, shall not be combined with privately owned land or with other Government-owned land not under restrictive lease.

(iv) Where a conservation reserve contract has been entered into under the conservation reserve program regulations (6 CFR, Part 485) pursuant to which the entire eligible land on the farm is designated as conservation reserve, the farm

as constituted at the time all the eligible land becomes so designated shall not be combined with other land (as long as all of the eligible land in the farm is under contract) unless the conservation reserve contract is modified to include in the conservation reserve all eligible land of such other land.

(v) Notwithstanding the above provisions, when designating any area of land as a wildlife refuge farm for the purpose of administering the various agricultural programs, all Federal or State-owned land in one refuge, under the supervision of one manager, must be constituted as one farm and such farm shall not include any land that is privately owned. Any land that is not currently constituted in accordance with this provision shall be reconstituted.

2. Section 719.2(1) (4) is amended by changing subdivision (iii) to read as follows:

§ 719.2 Definitions.

(1) * * *

(4) * * *

(iii) An owner requests in writing that his land no longer be included in a farm which is composed of tracts under separate ownership.

3. Section 719.4(f) is amended to read as follows:

§ 719.4 Guides for applying farm definition.

(f) *Terms of operation.* In determining the constitution of a farm, the county committee shall satisfy itself, from personal knowledge or evidence presented, that the operator will be in charge of the supervision and conduct of the farming operations for the entire farm. Evidence presented to the committee may consist of written leases, written statements from the operator, owner, or other persons having knowledge of the facts, or other probative evidence including oral testimony. If, subsequently, any operator who has obtained approval for a reconstitution is found knowingly operating in a manner substantially different from that represented, such reconstitution shall be disapproved and the farm shall be constituted properly.

(Sec. 375, 52 Stat. 66, sec. 124, 70 Stat. 198; 7 U.S.C. 1375, 1812)

Effective date: Date of publication of this document in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1963.

H. D. GODFREY,
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2422; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:53 a.m.]

RULES AND REGULATIONS

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[1962 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 1, Amdt. 3, Barley]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1962-Crop Barley Loan and Purchase Agreement Program

SUPPORT RATES

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation published in 27 F.R. 6463, 8159, and 10149 which contain specific requirements for the 1962-crop barley price support program are hereby amended as follows:

Section 1421.1252(f) is amended by increasing the basic support rate in the following counties:

ARIZONA

County	Rate per bushel	
	From—	To—
Gila	\$0.74	\$0.77
Greenlee	.74	.77

CALIFORNIA

Butte	\$1.03	\$1.05
Colusa	1.04	1.06
Glenn	1.02	1.05
Lake	1.02	1.03
Monterey	1.03	1.04
San Benito	1.04	1.05
San Luis Obispo	1.00	1.03
Sutter	1.04	1.06
Tehama	.99	1.01
Yolo	1.05	1.07
Yuba	1.05	1.06

COLORADO

Huerfano	\$0.80	\$0.82
----------	--------	--------

MICHIGAN

Huron	\$0.89	\$0.91
Jackson	.95	.97
Monroe	.94	.95

MINNESOTA

Carlton	\$0.97	\$0.98
Pine	.96	.97

MONTANA

Big Horn	\$0.68	\$0.71
Broadwater	.80	.86
Carbon	.73	.80
Deer Lodge	.82	.87
Fergus	.75	.78
Gallatin	.78	.87
Golden Valley	.74	.79
Jefferson	.81	.87
Judith Basin	.76	.77
Madison	.79	.87
Meagher	.78	.82
Musselshell	.73	.78
Park	.78	.85
Petroleum	.72	.75
Powell	.84	.87
Silver Bow	.82	.87
Stillwater	.74	.80
Sweet Grass	.76	.82
Treasure	.69	.72
Wheatland	.76	.80
Yellowstone	.73	.80

NEBRASKA

County	Rate per bushel	
	From—	To—
Cedar	\$0.92	\$0.93

NEW MEXICO

Chaves	\$0.90	\$0.91
Torrance	.84	.86
Union	.92	.93

NORTH DAKOTA

Slope	\$0.77	\$0.80
-------	--------	--------

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 105, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714c, 7 U.S.C. 1441, 1421)

Effective date: Upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1963.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2423; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:53 a.m.]

[1962 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 1, Amdt. 2, Grain Sorghums]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1962-Crop Grain Sorghums and Purchase Agreement Program

SUPPORT RATES

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation published in 27 F.R. 6463 and 8169 which contain specific requirements for the 1962-crop grain sorghums price support program are hereby amended as follows:

Section 1421.1412(f) is amended by increasing the basic support rate in the following counties:

ARIZONA

County	Rate per hundredweight	
	From—	To—
Cochise	\$2.10	\$2.12
Gila	1.70	1.75
Greenlee	1.70	1.75
Yavapai	1.68	1.80

CALIFORNIA

Butte	\$2.29	\$2.34
Colusa	2.31	2.36
Glenn	2.27	2.35
Lake	2.28	2.30
Monterey	2.30	2.32
Placer	2.35	2.36
San Benito	2.32	2.35
San Luis Obispo	2.24	2.29
Sutter	2.33	2.35
Tehama	2.22	2.26
Yolo	2.35	2.38
Yuba	2.33	2.36

MISSOURI

County	Rate per hundredweight	
	From—	To—
Laclede	\$1.85	\$1.86

OKLAHOMA

Garfield	\$1.89	\$1.90
Grant	1.83	1.85
Noble	1.87	1.88
Tulsa	1.85	1.87

TEXAS

Bell	\$2.12	\$2.13
Falls	2.14	2.15

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 105, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714c, 7 U.S.C. 1441, 1421)

Effective date: Upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1963.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2424; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:53 a.m.]

Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Chapter I—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER C—INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF ANIMALS AND POULTRY

PART 74—SCABIES IN SHEEP

Interstate Movement

Pursuant to the provisions of sections 1 through 4 of the Act of March 3, 1905, as amended, sections 1 and 2 of the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, and sections 4 through 7 of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended (21 U.S.C. 111-113, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123-126), §§ 74.2 and 74.3 of Part 74, Subchapter C, Chapter I, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, as amended, are hereby amended to read, respectively, as follows:

§ 74.2 Designation of free and infected areas.

(a) Notice is hereby given that sheep in the following States, Territory, and District, or parts thereof as specified, are not known to be infected with scabies, and such States, Territory, District, and parts thereof, are hereby designated as free areas:

(1) Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas,

Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming;

(2) The following Counties in Nebraska: Arthur, Banner, Blaine, Box Butte, Brown, Chase, Cherry, Cheyenne, Dawes, Deuel, Dundy, Garden, Grant, Hooker, Keith, Keya Paha, Kimball, Loup, Morrill, Perkins, Rock, Sheridan, Sioux, Scotts Bluff, and Thomas;

(3) In New Mexico: Bernalillo, Catron, Colfax, Curry, De Baca, Dona Ana, Grant, Guadalupe, Harding, Hidalgo, Los Alamos, Luna, McKinley, Mora, Quay, Rio Arriba, Roosevelt, Sandoval, San Juan, San Miguel, Santa Fe, Sierra, Socorro, Taos, Torrance, Union, and Valencia Counties;

(4) The following Counties in Kansas: Republic, Cloud, Ottawa, Saline, McPherson, Harvey, Sedgwick, and Sumner, and all Counties in the State of Kansas lying west thereof;

(5) The following Counties in Michigan: Alcona, Alger, Alpena, Antrim, Baraga, Benzie, Charlevoix, Cheboygan, Chippewa, Crawford, Delta, Dickinson, Emmet, Gogebic, Grand Traverse, Houghton, Iron, Kalkaska, Keweenaw, Leelanau, Luce, Mackinac, Manistee, Marquette, Menominee, Missaukee, Montmorency, Ontonagon, Oscoda, Otsego, Presque Isle, Roscommon, Schoolcraft, and Wexford;

(6) The following Counties in Hawaii: Honolulu and Kauai.

(b) Notice is hereby given also that sheep scabies exists in all States and Territories and parts of States not designated as free areas in paragraph (a) of this section, and they are hereby designated as infected areas.

§ 74.3 Designation of eradication areas.

(a) Notice is hereby given that sheep in the following States, Territory, or parts thereof as specified, are being handled systematically to eradicate scabies in sheep, and such States, Territory, and parts thereof, are hereby designated as eradication areas:

(1) Illinois, Kentucky, Maryland, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and the Virgin Islands of the United States;

(2) All Counties in Nebraska except Arthur, Banner, Blaine, Box Butte, Brown, Chase, Cherry, Cheyenne, Dawes, Deuel, Dundy, Garden, Grant, Hooker, Keith, Keya Paha, Kimball, Loup, Morrill, Perkins, Rock, Sheridan, Sioux, Scotts Bluff, and Thomas;

(3) All Counties in New Mexico except Bernalillo, Catron, Colfax, Curry, De Baca, Dona Ana, Grant, Guadalupe, Harding, Hidalgo, Los Alamos, Luna, McKinley, Mora, Quay, Rio Arriba, Roosevelt, Sandoval, San Juan, San Miguel, Santa Fe, Sierra, Socorro, Taos, Torrance, Union, and Valencia;

(4) All Counties in Hawaii except Honolulu and Kauai;

(5) The following Counties in Kansas: Washington, Clay, Dickinson, Marion, Butler, Cowley, and all Counties in the State of Kansas lying east thereof;

(6) The following Counties in Missouri: Jackson, Lafayette, Saline, Cooper, Moniteau, Cole, Osage, Gasconade, Franklin, St. Louis, and all Counties in the State of Missouri lying south thereof.

(Secs. 4-7, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 32 Stat. 791-792, as amended, secs. 1-4, 33 Stat. 1264, as amended, 1265, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 111-113, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123-126; 19 F.R. 74, as amended)

Effective date. The foregoing amendments shall become effective upon issuance.

The amendments add Bernalillo, Curry, De Baca, Guadalupe, Quay, Roosevelt, Socorro, Torrance, and Valencia Counties in the State of New Mexico to the list of free areas and delete such Counties from the list of infected and eradication areas as sheep scabies is no longer known to exist therein. Hereafter, the restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of sheep from or into infected and eradication areas as contained in 9 CFR Part 74, as amended, will not apply to such Counties. However, the restrictions in said Part 74 pertaining to the interstate movement of sheep from or into free areas will apply thereto.

The amendments relieve certain restrictions presently imposed and must be made effective immediately to be of maximum benefit to persons subject to the restrictions which are relieved. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendments are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and the amendments may be made effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of March 1963.

M. R. CLARKSON,
Acting Administrator,
Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2421; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:53 a.m.]

Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 1—STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION, DELEGATIONS, AND GENERAL INFORMATION

Assistant General Manager for Operations; Contract Authority

Notice is hereby given of the amendment of the Statement of Organization, Delegations, and General Information of the United States Atomic Energy Commission, 10 CFR Part 1, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 29, 1961 (26 F.R. 12729-12745), as amended.

The present amendment corrects the statement of authority of the Assistant General Manager for Operations by adding the previously omitted authority for entering into contracts providing that prior approval of the Commission is obtained for actions involving more than \$10,000,000 and certain other actions.

Pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, 1 CFR 13.2, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the following amendment is published as a

document subject to codification, effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Section 1.90 "Office of the Assistant General Manager for Operations" is amended by addition of a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

(c) The Assistant General Manager for Operations is authorized and directed to exercise contract authority as described in § 1.5(b).

(Sec. 161, 68 Stat. 948; 42 U.S.C. 2201)

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 21st day of February 1963.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

WOODFORD B. MCCOOL,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2361; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER E—AIRSPACE [NEW]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-WA-7]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Miscellaneous Amendments

Parts 600 and 601 of the regulations of the Administrator have been consolidated and recodified into a new Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which became effective December 12, 1962 (27 F.R. 10352, 220-2, November 10, 1962).

The purpose of these amendments to §§ 71.109 and 71.123 is to incorporate changes in the texts which were not included in the new Part 71.

Since these amendments are editorial in nature and impose no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and they may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following actions are taken:

In § 71.109 (27 F.R. 220-5, November 10, 1962, 27 F.R. 9449) B-3 is revoked.

Section 71.123 (27 F.R. 220-6, November 10, 1962, 27 F.R. 12815, 12439, 11940, 11342) is amended as follows:

a. In V-1 "Norfolk, Va.;" is deleted and "Norfolk, Va.; Cape Charles, Va., INT of Cape Charles 015° and Salisbury, Md., 206° radials; Salisbury;" is substituted therefor.

b. In V-15 "to Waxie INT via INT of Waco 353° and Britton, Tex., 264° radials;" is deleted and "to INT of Britton, Tex., 091° and Dallas 202° radials via INT of Waco 353° and Britton, Tex., 264° radials;" is substituted therefor.

c. In V-16 "Gordonsville, Va., 247° radials;" is deleted and "Gordonsville, Va., 247° radials; Gordonsville;" is substituted therefor.

d. In V-20 "Lafayette 287° radials" is deleted and "Lafayette 285° radials" is substituted therefor.

e. In V-26 "to Windsor, Ont., Canada;" is deleted and "to Windsor, Ont.,

Canada; Cleveland;" is substituted therefor.

f. V-42 is amended to read:

V-42 From Flint, Mich., via Windsor, Ont., including an E alternate via INT of Flint 122° and Windsor 344° radials, excluding the airspace between the main and this alternate airway; Cleveland, Ohio, including an E alternate via INT of Carleton, Mich., 097° and Windsor 121° radials; Akron, Ohio; Imperial, Pa.; INT of Imperial 074° and Johnstown, Pa., 296° radials; to Johnstown. The airspace within Canada is excluded.

g. In V-108 "Linden, Calif., 270° radials;" is deleted and "Linden, Calif., 269° radials;" is substituted therefor.

h. In V-116 "The airspace within Canada is excluded." is added at the end of the description.

i. In V-140 "Bluefield, W. Va.;" is deleted and "Bluefield, W. Va.; Clifdale, Va.;" is substituted therefor.

j. V-214 is amended to read:

V-214 From Richmond, Ind., to INT of Richmond 090° and Rosewood, Ohio, 202° radials. From Columbus, Ohio, Port Columbus Airport ILS localizer via Zanesville, Ohio; Bellaire, Ohio; to Pittsburgh, Pa.

k. In V-287 "The airspace within R-6711 shall be used only after obtaining prior approval from appropriate authority." is deleted.

l. In V-813 "The airspace within R-6711 is excluded." is deleted.

m. In V-29 "Scranton, Pa.;" is deleted and "Wilkes-Barre, Pa.;" is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective immediately.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2363; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-CE-14]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter VOR Federal airway No. 191 in the Chicago, Ill., area.

VOR Federal airway No. 191 extends in part from the Big Run Intersection (intersection of the Roberts, Ill., 008° True radial and the Joliet, Ill., direct radial to the Kedzie, Ill., RBN) to the Kedzie RBN; and from Chicago, Ill., to the Taylor, Ill., Intersection (intersection of the Chicago 019° and the Milwaukee, Wis., 121° True radials).

The Federal Aviation Agency is taking action herein to alter Victor 191 and its control areas by extending it from the Big Run Intersection to Northbrook, Ill., thence via the intersection of the Northbrook 078° and the Chicago (O'Hare) 019° True radials to the Taylor Intersection. This alteration of Victor 191

will provide a nonradar departure route from the Chicago O'Hare Airport and will provide separation from aircraft operating on VOR Federal airway No. 144 that extends southeastward from the O'Hare Airport.

Since the change effected by this amendment does not involve the assignment of additional airspace and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following action is taken: In § 71.123 (27 F.R. 220-6, November 10, 1962) V-191 "Kedzie, Ill., RBN. From Chicago, Ill., via" is deleted and "Kedzie, Ill., RBN; Northbrook, Ill.; INT of Northbrook 078° and Chicago, Ill., 019° radials;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., May 2, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2367; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-EA-5]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Federal Airways

The purpose of these amendments to § 71.143 is to redesignate the segment of intermediate altitude airway V-1530 from Charleston, W. Va., to Gordonsville, Va., as intermediate altitude airway V-1646 and redesignate the segment of intermediate altitude airway V-1646 from Charleston, W. Va., to Front Royal, Va., as intermediate altitude airway V-1530. Additionally, § 71.205 is being amended to reflect the above change for Front Royal, Va., VOR reporting point.

The above action is being taken to eliminate misunderstanding created by the existence of multiple transition points between V-1646 and intermediate altitude airway V-1526. As presently designated, V-1646 forms junctions with V-1526 at York, Ky., and Elkins, W. Va. In the absence of specific flight plan information, it becomes necessary to solicit additional information to determine the exact point of transition between these two airways. This creates an additional workload in the processing of flight plans at both manual and electronic computer equipped facilities. These actions, in effect, will result in the reidentification of segments of existing airways, and do not involve designation of any additional airspace.

Since these amendments are minor in nature and impose no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. How-

ever, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, these amendments will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) the following actions are taken:

1. Section 71.143 (27 F.R. 220-38, November 10, 1962, 27 F.R. 12439, 27 F.R. 11758) is amended as follows:

a. In V-1530 "Montebello, Va.; 10 miles wide Gordonsville, Va." is deleted and "Elkins, W. Va.; INT Elkins 074°, Front Royal, Va., 271° radials; Front Royal," is substituted therefor.

b. In V-1646 "Elkins, W. Va.; INT Elkins 074°, Front Royal, Va., 271° radials; Front Royal," is deleted and "Montebello, Va.; 10 miles wide Gordonsville, Va." is substituted therefor.

2. In § 71.205 (27 F.R. 220-165, November 10, 1962) "Front Royal, Va.; V-1520, V-1646." is deleted and "Front Royal, Va.; V-1520, V-1530." is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., May 2, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2366; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-CE-55]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Designation of Control Zone

On November 6, 1962, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 10812) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a control zone at the Delaware County Airport, Muncie, Ind.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed control zone designation. The Air Transport Association, while not objecting to the proposal, requested that a suitable transition area with a floor of 700 feet above the surface be designated at the Delaware County Airport to afford protection for instrument approaches being conducted at the Delaware County Airport from a privately owned nondirectional radio beacon. Protection for these approaches is presently provided by the controlled airspace associated with the Muncie control area extension and VOR Federal airways Nos. 210 and 50 north alternate which, until otherwise designated, will retain a floor of 700 feet above the surface. A transition area with appropriate floor assignments will be proposed to replace the controlled airspace now provided by the Muncie control area extension upon completion of FAA studies attendant to the implementation of CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 in the Muncie area.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notice, Parts 600 and 601 of the regulations of the Administrator were consolidated and recodified into Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations which became effective December 12, 1962 (27 F.R. 10352, 220-2, November 10, 1962). The airspace action taken herein reflects this new format and numbering system adopted for these parts.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In § 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) the following is added:

Muncie, Ind.

Within a 3-mile radius of the Delaware County Airport, Muncie, Ind. (latitude 40°14'26" N., longitude 85°23'43" W.), from 0700 to 2300 hours local time, daily.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., May 2, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2368; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-EA-10]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the description of the Wrightstown, N.J., (McGuire AFB) control zone.

The Wrightstown (McGuire AFB) control zone is presently designated, in part, with reference to the McGuire AFB radio range. The Department of the Air Force has determined that no further requirement exists for retention of the McGuire AFB radio range and wishes to decommission the facility on or about March 7, 1963. The Federal Aviation Agency concurs with this determination. However, the control zone extension based on the radio range also serves the McGuire AFB ILS system and is further required for the protection of departing aircraft although to a lesser extent than presently designated. Therefore, action is taken herein to substitute the McGuire AFB ILS southwest course for the McGuire AFB radio range southwest course in the description of the Wrightstown control zone and revoke that portion of the southwest extension beyond 2.5 miles southwest of the ILS outer marker. Controlled airspace requirements for this area will be reviewed at

a later date under the CAR Amendment 60-21/60-29 implementation program.

Since the change effected by this amendment is less restrictive in nature than present requirements, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following action is taken:

Section 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) is amended as follows: In the Wrightstown, N.J. (McGuire AFB) control zone "within 2 miles either side of the McGuire AFB RR SW course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles SW of the RR;" is deleted and "within 2 miles either side of the McGuire AFB ILS localizer SW course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 2.5 miles SW of the OM;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., March 7, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1963.

CLIFFORD P. BURTON,
Chief, Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2365; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-EA-3]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE [NEW]

Revocation of Restricted Area and Alteration of Control Area Extension

The purpose of these amendments to §§ 73.37 and 71.165 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke the Camp Breckinridge, Ky., Restricted Area R-3701 and alter the Evansville, Ind., control area extension by removing the exclusion reference to R-3701 in the description of this control area extension.

The Department of the Army has stated that Camp Breckinridge, Ky., is being deactivated and that Restricted Area R-3701 will no longer be required. Accordingly, the designation of R-3701 is an unnecessary designation of airspace and is being revoked herein.

Since these amendments reduce a burden on the public, compliance with the Notice, public procedure and effective date requirements of Section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary and they may be made effective upon publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), the following actions are taken:

1. In § 73.37 Kentucky (28 F.R. 19-21, January 26, 1963), R-3701, Camp Breckinridge, Ky., Restricted Area is revoked.

2. Section 71.165 (27 F.R. 220-59 November 10, 1962) is amended as follows: In the Evansville, Ind., control area extension "and on the W by V-11E, excluding the portion within R-3701." is deleted and "and on the W by V-11E." is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1963.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2369; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket 6156 o.]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Luria Brothers and Co., Inc., et al.

Subpart—Acquiring stock or assets of competitor: § 13.5 Acquiring stock or assets of competitor. Subpart—Cutting off supplies or service: § 13.615 Exclusive contracts with suppliers.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 18) [Cease and desist order and order of divestiture, Luria Brothers and Company, Inc. (Philadelphia, Pa.), et al., Docket 6156, Feb. 13, 1963]

In the Matter of Luria Brothers and Company Inc., a Corporation, Southwest Steel Corporation, a Corporation, Bethlehem Steel Corporation, a Corporation, Bethlehem Steel Company, a Corporation, Bethlehem Pacific Coast Steel Corporation, a Corporation, United States Steel Corporation, a Corporation, National Steel Corporation, a Corporation, Weirton Steel Company, a Corporation, Colorado Fuel and Iron Corporation, a Corporation, John A. Roebling's Sons Corporation, a Corporation, Central Iron and Steel Company, a Corporation, Phoenix Iron and Steel Company, a Corporation, Granite City Steel Company, a Corporation, Lukens Steel Company, a Corporation, Detroit Steel Corporation, a Corporation, McLouth Steel Corporation, a Corporation, Baldwin-Lima-Hamilton Corporation, a Corporation, Edgewater Steel Company, a Corporation, Bucyrus-Erie Company, a Corporation, Columbia Malleable Castings Corporation, a Corporation, Hugo Neu Corporation, a Corporation

Order requiring the nation's largest broker of iron and steel scrap, of Philadelphia, Pa., to cease acting as exclusive broker or supplier of purchased scrap for any buyer, domestic or foreign; requiring respondent mills, for a five-year period, not to purchase in excess of 50 percent of their annual requirements from Luria except to the extent that comparable scrap is not available from other suppliers; requiring Luria, for five years, not to acquire any interest in any other dealer in scrap without a finding by the Commission that an acquisition will not unduly restrain competition; and requir-

ing Luria to divest itself of Southwest Steel Corp., a competing broker-dealer it acquired in 1950.

The order to cease and desist, order of divestiture, and further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

1. *It is ordered*, That the respondent broker, Luria Brothers & Company, Inc., its officers, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in or in connection with the sale of iron and steel scrap in commerce, do forthwith cease and desist from: Contracting or agreeing to act or knowingly acting as exclusive or substantially exclusive broker or supplier of purchased iron and steel scrap for any plant or plants of any respondent mill or of any other buyer of iron and steel scrap not a party hereto, and from knowingly inducing or receiving preferential status or favored treatment of any nature as broker or supplier of purchased iron and steel scrap for any respondent mill or any other buyer of iron and steel scrap.

2. *It is further ordered*, That the respondent mills, Bethlehem Steel Corporation, Bethlehem Steel Company, Bethlehem Pacific Coast Steel Corporation, United States Steel Corporation, National Steel Corporation, Weirton Steel Company, The Colorado Fuel and Iron Corporation, John A. Roebling's Sons Corporation, Phoenix Iron & Steel Company, Granite City Steel Company, Lukens Steel Company, Detroit Steel Corporation, McLouth Steel Corporation, Baldwin-Lima-Hamilton Corporation, Edgewater Steel Company, Bucyrus-Erie Company, and Grinnell Corporation, their respective officers, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in or in connection with the purchase of iron and steel scrap in commerce, do each forthwith cease and desist from:

(a) Purchasing, or contracting or agreeing to purchase, all or substantially all of the annual requirements of purchased iron and steel scrap of any plant or plants from or through respondent Luria Brothers & Company, Inc., and from giving preferential status or favored treatment of any nature to said broker, as broker or supplier of iron and steel scrap.

(b) During a period of five (5) years from the date this order shall become final, purchasing in excess of 50 percent of the annual requirements of purchased iron and steel scrap of any plant or plants from respondent Luria Brothers & Company, Inc., except to the extent that iron and steel scrap, adequate in quantity and quality, is not available from other suppliers on terms which are substantially similar and competitive with the terms offered by respondent Luria Brothers & Company, Inc.

3. *It is further ordered*, That respondent Luria Brothers & Company, Inc., its officers, agents, representatives, and employees, acting separately or in combination with anyone else, whether a party to this proceeding or not, directly or through any corporate or other device, do forthwith cease and desist from: Entering into, continuing, cooperating in,

or carrying out any express or implied understanding, agreement, combination or conspiracy with any steel producing company or group of steel producing companies beyond the continental limits of the United States, or any buying organization, agent or other buyer acting for or on behalf of such steel producing companies, to act as the exclusive or substantially exclusive broker or supplier for such company or companies, of iron and steel scrap obtained in the continental United States or within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States.

4. *It is further ordered*, That respondent Luria Brothers & Company, Inc., do forthwith cease and desist from: During a period of five (5) years from the date this order shall become final, acquiring, directly or indirectly, through subsidiaries or in any other manner, the assets, stocks, share capital, or any other interest in any business, corporate or otherwise, which is engaged in the business of buying or selling iron and steel scrap as a broker or dealer, unless and until the Commission, upon application and proper showing in support thereof, shall first find that the planned acquisition will not unduly restrain competition.

5. *It is further ordered*, That respondent Luria Brothers & Company, Inc., do forthwith divest itself absolutely, in good faith, of:

(a) All stock or other share capital, and all control over or interest in all stock or other share capital of Southwest Steel Corporation; and

(b) All assets, properties, rights and privileges, tangible and intangible, acquired from Southwest Steel Corporation since December 29, 1950; together with any assets or other properties of whatever description that may have been added thereto since December 29, 1950, as may be necessary to restore said corporation to at least the same operating condition that existed, and competitive position that it occupied, when its assets or properties were so acquired, so as to retain neither directly nor indirectly any of the fruits of such acquisition.

It is further ordered, That in the divestiture hereinbefore mentioned, none of the stock, assets, properties, rights or privileges to be divested shall be sold or transferred, directly or indirectly, to anyone who at the time of the divestiture is a stockholder, officer, director, employee, or agent of, or is otherwise directly or indirectly connected with, or under the control or influence of, respondent Luria Brothers & Company, Inc., or of any of said respondent's subsidiary, affiliated or related companies.

6. *It is further ordered*, That the complaint be, and the same hereby is, dismissed as to respondents Southwest Steel Corporation and Hugo Neu Corporation, and as to those allegations or portions thereof as have been found not to have been sustained by the evidence.

It is further ordered, That respondents shall, within ninety (90) days from the date of service upon them of this order, submit in writing for the consideration and approval of the Federal Trade Commission their plans for compliance with this order, including the date within which compliance can be effected.

By the Commission, Commissioners MacIntyre and Higginbotham not participating. Commissioner Elman, having dissented from the Commission's decision, does not concur in this order.

Issued: February 13, 1963.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2387; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket 8535]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Sidney J. Greenblat et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.30 *Composition of goods*; § 13.130 *Manufacture or preparation*; § 13.175 *Quality of product or service*; § 13.235 *Source or origin*: § 13.235-50 *Maker or seller, etc.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Sidney J. Greenblat et al. trading as G & G Mfg. & Souvenir Company, Minneapolis, Minn., Docket 8535, Feb. 19, 1963]

In the Matter of Sidney J. Greenblat, and Marvin J. Greenblat, Individually and as Copartners Trading as G & G Mfg. & Souvenir Company

Order requiring Minneapolis, Minn., distributors of inexpensive jewelry and souvenirs to cease misrepresenting in their catalogs the materials, composition, quality, and origin of their merchandise, as in the order below in detail set forth.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Sidney J. Greenblat and Marvin J. Greenblat, individually and trading as copartners under the name of G & G Mfg. & Souvenir Company, or under any other name, and respondents' agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of jewelry, souvenir items, or any other articles of merchandise in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing directly or indirectly: (a) That the likeness of Pope John on respondents' "Pope John" jewelry is etched in gold or that the 10 Commandments appearing on respondents' candy dishes are imprinted in gold;

(b) That respondents' Cross necklaces contain natural stones; that respondents' sweater guards are made of genuine Mother-Of-Pearl; or that their rings heretofore designated "Turquoise" contain natural Turquoise;

(c) Through the use of the word "Pearl" or any other word or words of similar import or meaning, that imitation pearls are genuine pearls; provided however, that the foregoing shall not be construed to prohibit the use of the word "Pearl" to describe the appearance of said imitation pearls if, whenever used, the word "Pearl" is immediately preceded, in equally conspicuous type, by the word "imitation" or the word "simu-

lated", or other words of similar import or meaning, so as to clearly indicate that said imitation pearls are not genuine pearls but imitations thereof.

2. Representing directly or indirectly that:

(a) Pictures, characters, symbols or designs are imprinted, etched or otherwise placed on products in gold, or in any other material or by any manner or means unless such is the fact;

(b) Products contain or are made or composed in whole or in part of gold, natural stones, Mother-of-Pearl, Turquoise or any other material or substance not actually used or contained therein;

(c) Any of respondents' products not actually made or produced by Indians or any other race or group have been so made or produced;

(d) Imitation pearls are genuine pearls.

3. Furnishing or otherwise placing in the hands of retailers or dealers the means and instrumentalities by and through which they may mislead or deceive the public in the manner or as to the things hereinbefore prohibited.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered. That respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: February 19, 1963.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2386; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 18—CONSERVATION OF POWER

Chapter I—Federal Power Commission

[Order 263; Docket No. R-233]

PART 1—RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Exceptions to Intermediate Decisions; Replies; Statements in Support

FEBRUARY 28, 1963.

The Commission, constantly searching for means to improve its procedures, has before it a suggestion that provision be made in its rules of practice and procedure for the filing of responses to exceptions to the intermediate decisions of its hearing examiners. We recognize that adding another step in the hearing procedures will, to some extent, add to the mass of pleadings and delay the earliest date for a Commission decision by at least the response period provided. It is our view, however, that such a provision will permit parties to direct themselves to matters raised for the first time in ex-

ceptions, and that this will not only add an additional element of fairness to our procedures but should actually result in expediting Commission action on appeals from examiners' decisions.

We are, therefore, amending our rules to provide for replies to exceptions. The amendment also permits the filing of a statement in support of an examiner's decision. It should be noted, however, that only the one additional step in our proceedings is provided. Counter responses will not be entertained except when the Commission, upon motion, expressly authorizes their submission. In order to prevent unnecessary reargument of points already covered in pleadings filed by the parties, we are limiting the newly authorized replies to a total of 25 pages.

It appears that the amendment adopted herein pertains to Commission practice and procedure and hence that section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is not applicable.

The Commission finds:

(1) The amendment adopted herein is necessary and appropriate for the purposes of administration of the Federal Power and Natural Gas Acts.

The Commission, acting pursuant to the authority granted by the Federal Power Act, as amended, particularly sections 308 and 309 thereof (49 Stat. 858; 16 U.S.C. 825g, 825h) and the Natural Gas Act, as amended, particularly sections 15 and 16 thereof (52 Stat. 829, 830; 15 U.S.C. 717n, 717o) orders:

(A) Paragraph (a) of § 1.31, Rules of Practice and Procedure, Subchapter A, Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended to read as follows:

(a) *Exceptions, filing of.* Any party or staff counsel desiring to appeal to the Commission may, within 20 days after the service of a copy of an intermediate decision (initial or recommended by subordinates, or tentative by Commission), or such other time as may be fixed by the Commission, file exceptions thereto. Statements in support of the decision, in form similar to statements of exceptions, may be filed within the same period. Replies of no more than 25 printed pages in length may be filed within 10 days of service of the exceptions. No further pleadings in response to replies will be entertained unless the Commission, upon motion or its own initiative, so orders. Exceptions, statements in support and replies shall conform to the requirements of §§ 1.15, 1.16, and 1.17, but need not be verified under oath.

(Secs. 308, 309, 49 Stat. 858, 16 U.S.C. 825g, 825h; secs. 15, 16, 52 Stat. 829, 830, 15 U.S.C. 717n, 717o)

(B) This amendment will become effective on April 1, 1963.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2375; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

Chapter I—Office of the Secretary of Defense

SUBCHAPTER B—MILITARY AND CIVILIAN

PART 120—INTER-SERVICE TRANSFER OF RESERVE OFFICERS

The Secretary of Defense has approved the following revision to Part 120 on February 18, 1963:

Sec.

120.1 Purpose.

120.2 Applicability.

120.3 Policy.

120.4 Procedure.

AUTHORITY: §§ 120.1 to 120.4 issued under sec. 716, Title 10, United States Code (sec. 11, Public Law 85-599).

§ 120.1 Purpose.

The purpose of this part is to establish policies which will govern implementation of section 716, title 10, United States Code with respect to transfer of Reserve commissioned officers between Reserve components of the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps.

§ 120.2 Applicability.

This part is applicable to all officers holding Reserve commissions in the Armed Services, except officers of the medical services. The interservice transfer of officers holding commissions (Regular or Reserve) in the medical services will continue to be accomplished under the provisions of DOD Instruction 1205.1, "Implementation of the Universal Military Training and Service Act with Respect to Medical and Dental Registrants."

§ 120.3 Policy.

(a) It is the policy of the Department of Defense that an officer of any military service who is especially qualified to contribute to the success of an activity of another service will be given an opportunity to do so without interruption of his service career, by being transferred from one service and appointed in another.

(b) No officer will be so transferred without his consent, nor will an officer transferred from one service be appointed in another service with a higher rank or precedence than that he held on the date prior to his transfer.

(c) Transfers will be made only within authorized strength limitations for the active duty forces, if the officer is on extended active duty.

(d) While intended for use primarily in the technical fields to permit the full utilization of specialists, this authority to transfer between services is not restricted to technical specialists.

(e) Inter-service transfer of reserve officers not on active duty who have military service obligations will be governed by policy set forth in § 123.3(a) of this chapter, in addition to that in this part.

(f) No officer of a Reserve component will be transferred to a Regular component under this authority.

§ 120.4 Procedure.

(a) Request for transfer will normally be initiated by an appropriate agency of

the military department desiring the services of an officer serving in another department, or by the officer himself.

(b) Request for transfer will include a statement of consent of the officer concerned and will be accompanied by a justification of the requested transfer as being in the interest of national defense and the individual officer. The Secretary of the losing Department and the Secretary of the gaining Department will indicate their concurrence or non-concurrence in the requested transfer.

(c) For Reserve officers on extended active duty and for Reserve officers not on extended active duty, request for transfer will be processed as follows:

(1) If initiated by the officer himself, the request will be forwarded by the officer through military channels to the designated representative of the Secretary of the military department in which he is presently commissioned and serving. The request will be forwarded to the designated representative of the Secretary of the gaining department.

(2) If initiated by other than the officer himself, request for transfer will be routed by the designated representative of the Secretary of the gaining department directly to the designated representative of the Secretary of the losing department.

(3) Transfers may be accomplished by the service Secretaries concerned, or their designated representatives, without referral to the Secretary of Defense if the proposed transfer is mutually acceptable to both military departments. In the case of nonconcurrence by the gaining military department, or by both military departments, the transfer will normally be considered disapproved. In the case of nonconcurrence by the losing department only, the transfer will be forwarded to the Secretary of Defense, Attention: Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower), for resolution in the interest of national defense. Requests being forwarded to the Secretary of Defense will be forwarded through the Secretaries of the departments concerned.

(d) When transfer is approved, termination of presently held commissions and reappointment in another service will be accomplished by the two Services affected without interruption of the continuity of the officer's service.

(e) An officer transferred under the provisions of this part will be awarded a permanent Reserve grade and date of rank as determined by applying the amount of his promotion service in his present service to the appointment laws in effect for the Service to which he is being transferred. His temporary grade and date of rank will remain the same as that he held in his present service on the day prior to his transfer.

(f) An officer transferred under provisions of this part will be credited with the unused leave with which he was credited at the time of transfer, and with the total military service with which he was credited on the date prior to his transfer.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Administrative Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2398; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

Title 38—PENSIONS, BONUSES, AND VETERANS' RELIEF

Chapter I—Veterans Administration

PART 3—ADJUDICATION

PART 5—THE BOARD ON WAIVERS AND FORFEITURES AND COMMIT- TEES ON WAIVERS IN FIELD OF- FICES

Miscellaneous Amendments

1. In Part 3, cross references immediately following § 3.904 are deleted.

2. A new § 3.905 is added to read as follows:

§ 3.905 Declaration of forfeiture.

(a) *Jurisdiction.* At the regional office level, the Chief Attorney is authorized to determine whether the evidence warrants formal consideration as to forfeiture. Submissions may also be made by the director of a service, the Chairman, Board of Veterans Appeals, and the General Counsel. Jurisdiction to determine whether the claimant or payee has forfeited the right to gratuitous benefits is vested in the Director, Compensation and Pension Service, the Deputy Director for Program Administration, chiefs of consultants staffs and persons acting in such positions.

(b) *Fraud or treasonable acts.* Forfeiture of benefits under § 3.901 or § 3.902 will not be declared until the person has been notified by the Chief Attorney of his right to present a defense. Such notice shall consist of a written statement sent to his latest address of record setting forth:

(1) The specific charges against him;

(2) A detailed statement of the evidence supporting the charges, subject to regulatory limitations on disclosure of information;

(3) Citation and discussion of the applicable statute;

(4) His right to submit a statement or evidence within 60 days, either to rebut the charges or to explain his position;

(5) His right to a hearing within 60 days, with representation by counsel of his own choosing, and that no expenses incurred by a claimant, counsel or witness will be paid by the Veterans Administration.

(c) *Subversive activities.* Automatic forfeiture of benefits under § 3.903 will be effectuated by an official authorized to declare a forfeiture as provided in paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) *Finality of decisions.* A decision of forfeiture is subject to the provisions of § 3.104 (a) and (c). The officials authorized to file administrative appeals and the time limit for filing such appeals are set forth in § 10.7 of this chapter.

3. Immediately following § 3.905, the following cross references are added:

Cross references: Effective dates; forfeiture. See § 3.400(m).

Reductions and discontinuances; fraud. See § 3.500(k).

Reductions and discontinuances; treasonable acts or subversive activities. See § 3.500(t).

Adjustments and resumptions. See § 3.669.

Burial benefits. See § 3.1609.
Servicemen's indemnity. See § 3.1816.

4. A new Subpart D Waiver of Overpayments, is added to Part 3 to read as follows:

§ 3.1900 Jurisdiction; Central Office.

Except as provided in § 3.1908, the Director, Compensation and Pension Service, the Deputy Director for Program Administration, chiefs of consultants staffs and persons acting in such positions, are authorized to determine whether there shall be a waiver of overpayment where the amount involved is \$2,500 or more, or jurisdiction is otherwise provided or assumed.

§ 3.1901 Jurisdiction; Regional Offices.

(a) Chairmen and Section Chairmen, Committees on Waivers, are authorized to determine whether an overpayment involving amounts of less than \$2,500 shall be waived. Whenever a committee is unable to reach a unanimous decision, the decision will be rendered by Central Office.

(b) Where the amount involved is \$2,500 or more, the Committee on Waivers is authorized to prepare a tentative decision, which will be subject to approval by Central Office.

§ 3.1902 "Overpayments".

The term means payments made and determined to have been erroneous, and indebtedness resulting from services erroneously furnished, under laws administered by the Veterans Administration.

(a) Benefits subject to waiver include indebtedness due the Veterans Administration because of or in connection with hospitalization, domiciliary care, or treatment of a veteran, a person who claimed he was a veteran, or a person to whom such benefits were granted on the assumption that he was an eligible veteran.

(b) The following overpayments are excluded from waiver under this section:

(1) Cases under the jurisdiction of the Loan Guaranty Committees on Waivers and Compromises (§§ 36.4381, 36.4382 of this chapter).

(2) Pension, compensation, or retirement pay because of a return to active duty.

(3) World War I adjusted compensation.

(4) National Service Life Insurance resulting from an authorized change in option.

(5) Overpayments to an insured under a United States Government life insurance or National Service life insurance contract where there is not in effect an award of benefits other than insurance (see § 3.1905).

(6) Servicemen's indemnity.

(7) Overpayments due to forfeiture of benefits.

(8) Improperly negotiated checks issued after the death of a payee.

(9) Overpayments which have been reduced to judgment.

(10) Amounts equal to amounts which have been refunded, and received by the Veterans Administration prior to the date of a final decision waiving recovery.

§ 3.1903 Waiver.

(a) Recovery of overpayments of any benefits (other than servicemen's indemnity) made under laws administered by the Veterans Administration shall be waived if the person who received such overpayment was without fault, and recovery would defeat the purpose of benefits otherwise authorized or would be against equity and good conscience. (38 U.S.C. 3102(a))

(b) Request for waiver of an overpayment will be considered only if received within 1 year following the date of notice to the payee.

(c) Waiver of recovery may be authorized, if warranted, where Veterans Administration payments have come into the possession of a person other than the person entitled.

§ 3.1904 Standards for waiver.

Recovery of an overpayment may not be authorized unless it is established that the overpayment was not due to any fault on the part of the payee and, in addition, that recovery would defeat the purpose of the benefits otherwise payable or that recovery would be contrary to the principles of equity and good conscience. Determinations will be based on the facts in the individual case.

(a) "Fault." The payee will be considered to have been at fault where he knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care could have learned, that he was not entitled to the erroneous payment or payments. The fact that the overpayment may have been due in part to Veterans Administration error will not serve to mitigate the payee's responsibility. While there can be no balancing of faults, if there is reasonable doubt as to the fault of the payee, such doubt will be resolved in his favor.

(b) "Defeat the purpose * * *". The purpose of the benefits program must be measured against the need of the payee. Generally, recovery may not be waived if this would result in a duplication of payments. The fact that recovery would require a temporary discontinuance of benefits does not in itself defeat the purpose of the benefit which would otherwise be payable.

(c) "Equity * * * conscience". The generally accepted principles of equity will be applied. This includes protection against recovery of money mistakenly paid to a person who had an equitable but not a legal right; and impairment of position by reason of having received the payment. Inability to repay solely because the amount received has been expended is not in itself a ground for holding that recovery would violate equity and good conscience.

§ 3.1905 Scope of decisions.

(a) Decisions will be based on the evidence of record. A hearing may be held at the request of the claimant or his representative. No expenses incurred by a claimant, his representative, or any witness incident to a hearing will be paid by the Veterans Administration.

(b) A Committee on Waivers or Central Office may:

(1) Waive recovery as to certain persons and decline to waive as to other

persons whose claims are based on the same veteran's service.

(2) Waive or decline to waive recovery from specific benefits or sources, except that, as provided in § 3.1902(b)(5), there shall be no waiver of recovery out of insurance of an indebtedness secured thereby; i.e., an insurance overpayment to an insured. However, recovery may be waived of any or all of such indebtedness out of benefits other than insurance then or thereafter payable to the insured.

§ 3.1906 Revision of decisions.

(a) A decision may be reversed or modified on the basis of new and material evidence, fraud, a change in law or interpretation of law specifically stated in a Veterans Administration issue, or clear and unmistakable error shown by the evidence in file at the time the prior decision was rendered:

(1) By the same or any other committee if the decision was rendered by a Committee on Waivers;

(2) By Central Office if the decision was rendered or approved by Central Office;

(3) By the Liability Review Section if the decision was rendered or approved by that section.

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (a) of this section, a decision rendered by properly constituted authority is final, subject to the provisions of:

(1) Section 3.104 (a) and (c) as to finality of decisions;

(2) Section 3.105 (a) and (b) as to revision of decisions, except that Central Office may postaudit or make an administrative review of any decision of a Committee on Waivers;

(3) Sections 3.103 and 19.2 of this chapter as to notice of disagreement and the right of appeal, except as provided in § 3.1908(d);

(4) Section 19.7 of this chapter as to the filing of administrative appeals and the time limits for filing such appeals.

(c) Where reversal or amendment of a decision is authorized by Central Office under § 3.105(b) because of a difference of opinion, the effective date of waiver will be governed by the principle contained in § 3.400(h). However, no refund will be made of any moneys repaid by the payee prior to the date of Central Office decision.

§ 3.1907 Administrative review.

Subject to specific rules for administrative review of decisions involving educational benefits, any decision may be administratively reviewed at the request of the Manager of the regional office or reviewed or postaudited on Central Office initiative. The request for review from a Manager should be presented within 90 days from the date of the committee's decision. The 90-day time limit may be extended at the discretion of Central Office.

§ 3.1908 Educational benefits.

Determinations as to waiver of overpayments of subsistence allowance, education and training allowances (38 U.S.C. 1666), and war orphans' educational assistance allowance (38 U.S.C. 1766), are subject to the principles outlined in §§ 21.113, 21.2304, and 21.3304 of this

chapter. The term "school" as used in this Veterans Administration regulation means an educational institution or training establishment as defined in § 21.2005 (h) and (i) of this chapter.

(a) *Committees on Waivers.* Subject to the limitations contained in § 3.1901, the committees are authorized to determine:

(1) Whether recovery may be waived as to the veteran or eligible person. (A waiver as to the claimant will not preclude recovery from the school.)

(2) Liability of the school. (A finding that the school is not liable will require recovery from the claimant, unless waived as to him.)

(3) Liability of both the student and school.

(b) *Review section.* Administrative reviews of decisions of Committees on Waivers will be conducted by a specially constituted Liability Review Section, functioning under the direction of the Director, Compensation and Pension Service.

(1) The section will be composed of three members. The Director, Compensation and Pension Service, the Director, Vocational Rehabilitation and Education Service, and the General Counsel shall each designate one member.

(2) A decision will be valid if it is concurred in and signed by any two members of the review section.

(c) *Administrative reviews.* The section will review:

(1) Any decision in which a request for administrative review is filed by the school within 60 days from the date notice of the decision is mailed to the school. The 60-day time limit may be extended to 90 days at the discretion of the review section. The request shall be in writing, setting forth fully all of the contentions and errors assigned.

(2) Any decision in which a request for administrative review is made by the Manager of the regional office or the Director, Vocational Rehabilitation and Education Service within the time limits established in § 3.1907.

(3) Any decision in which Central Office determines on its own initiative that a review is warranted.

(d) *Finality of decisions.* The section has authority to act for the Administrator in making administrative reviews of determinations that a school is or is not liable for an overpayment to a veteran or dependent of a veteran. There is no right of appeal.

CROSS REFERENCES: Delegations of authority. See § 3.100(b).

Subsistence allowance. See § 21.113 of this chapter.

Education and training allowance. See § 21.2304 of this chapter. War orphans' educational assistance allowance. See § 21.3304 of this chapter.

5. In Part 5, §§ 5.0 through 5.15 are revoked.

(72 Stat. 1114; 38 U.S.C. 210)

These regulations are effective March 7, 1963

[SEAL]

W. J. DRIVER,
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2497; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963; 8:54 a.m.]

Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission

SUBCHAPTER B—CARRIERS BY MOTOR VEHICLE [Ex Parte No. MC-30]

PART 170—COMMERCIAL ZONE Cincinnati, Ohio

FEBRUARY 21, 1963.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 1, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 21st day of February, A.D. 1963.

It appearing, that on September 10, 1940, the Commission, Division 5, made and filed its report, 26 M.C.C. 49, in the above-entitled proceeding determining the zone adjacent to and commercially a part of Cincinnati, Ohio, as thereafter modified by said division in reports in 41 M.C.C. 227, and 46 M.C.C. 733 (decided January 24, 1947);

It further appearing, that by petition filed April 24, 1962, as amended, Hennis Freight Lines, Inc., and four other motor common carriers, seek to extend the northern limits of the Cincinnati, commercial zone as defined;

It further appearing, that investigation of the matters and things involved in said petition having been made, and upon due notice hearing on said petition having been held by the examiner, who has made and filed a report herein containing his findings of fact and conclusions thereon, which report is hereby made a part hereof:

It is ordered, That this proceeding be, and it is hereby, reopened for further consideration;

It is further ordered, That the order entered in this proceeding on January 24, 1947 (49 CFR 170.7), be, and it is hereby, vacated and set aside and the following revision is hereby substituted in lieu thereof:

§ 170.7 Cincinnati, Ohio.

The zone adjacent to, and commercially a part of, Cincinnati, Ohio, and contiguous municipalities in which transportation by motor vehicle, in interstate or foreign commerce, not under a common control management, or arrangement for a continuous carriage or shipment to or from a point beyond the zone, will be partially exempt from regulation, under section 203(b) (8) of the act is hereby defined to include the following:

Addyston, Ohio.	Norwood, Ohio.
Cheviot, Ohio.	Saint Bernard, Ohio.
Cincinnati, Ohio.	Springfield Township, Ohio.
Cleves, Ohio.	Sycamore Township, Ohio.
Elmwood Place, Ohio.	Covington, Ky.
Fairfax, Ohio.	Newport, Ky.
Marlemont, Ohio.	
North Bend, Ohio.	

That part of Butler County, Ohio, bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the Butler-Hamilton County line and Ohio Highway 4 and extending along said highway to its intersection with Mulhauser Road, thence in an easterly direction along said road to the terminus thereof west of the tracks of the Pennsylvania Railroad, thence continuing easterly in a straight line to Allen Road, thence along the latter to the junction thereof with U.S. Highway 25,

thence southerly along U.S. Highway 25 to the Butler-Hamilton county line, thence westerly along said line to the point of beginning.

That part of Kenton County, Ky., lying on and north of a line commencing at the intersection of the Kenton-Boone County line and Dixie Highway, and extending over the latter to the corporate limits of Covington, including communities on the described line.

That part of Campbell County, Ky., lying on and north of a line commencing at the south corporate limits of Newport and extending along Licking Pike to junction with Johns Hill Road, thence along the latter to junction Alexandria Pike, thence along the latter to junction with a county road, thence over the latter to the Ohio River, including communities on the described line.

That part of Boone County, Ky., lying north and east of a line beginning at the Boone-Kenton County line west of Erlanger, Ky., and extending northwesterly along an unnumbered highway to the Kenton County Airport, thence clockwise around the outer perimeter of said airport to the northern tip thereof, thence northeasterly along an unnumbered highway to junction Kentucky Highway 20, and thence along the latter to the Boone-Kenton County line.

(49 Stat. 546, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 304, Interprets or applies 49 Stat. 543, as amended, 544, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 302, 303)

It is further ordered, That this order shall become effective on April 12, 1963, and continue in effect until further order of the Commission;

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy thereof with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2403; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Ex Parte No. MC-40 (Sub No. 1)]

PART 195—HOURS OF SERVICE OF DRIVERS

Qualifications and Maximum Hours of Service of Employees of Motor Carriers and Safety of Operation and Equipment

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Motor Carrier Board No. 2, held in Washington, D.C., on the 21st day of February A.D. 1963.

The matter of hours of service of drivers under the Motor Carrier Safety Regulations as discussed and prescribed by Commission Report and Order of March 29, 1962, and as prescribed by Commission Order of October 5, 1962, being under consideration, and

It appearing, that amendment of paragraph (a) of § 195.3 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR 195.3(a)), relating to maximum driving time, and paragraphs (j) and (r) of § 195.8 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR 195.8 (j) and (r)) relating to the time base of drivers' daily logs and the filing of drivers' logs, is warranted and good cause appearing therefor;

It further appearing that these amendments are for the purpose of clarifying the maximum driving time provision consistent with the purpose of the Commission as stated in its report, (89 M.C.C. 19), and to clarify the basis for computing 7 or 8 consecutive days when using noon-to-noon drivers' logs and the provision for filing drivers' logs, and constitute some relaxation of the presently prescribed requirements, and therefore, pursuant to section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237, 5 U.S.C. 1003) for good cause it is found that notice of proposed rule making is unnecessary;

Upon consideration of the record and good cause appearing therefor,

It is ordered, That paragraph (a) of § 195.3 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR 195.3(a)) and paragraphs (j) and (r) of § 195.8 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR 195.8 (j) and (r)), be, and they are hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 195.3 Maximum driving and on-duty time.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section and in § 195.10, no motor carrier shall permit or require any driver used by it to drive nor shall any such driver drive more than 10 hours following 8 consecutive hours off-duty or drive for any period after having been on duty 15 hours following 8 consecutive hours off-duty: *Provided, however,* That drivers using sleeper berth equipment, or off-duty at a natural gas or oil well location, may cumulate the aforementioned total of at least eight hours off-duty in two periods of at least two hours each, resting in a sleeper berth, as defined in § 195.2(g), or resting while off-duty in other sleeping accommodations at a natural gas or oil well location.

§ 195.8 Driver's daily log.

(j) *Time base to be used.* The log shall be prepared, maintained, and submitted, using the time standard in effect at the driver's home terminal, for a 24-hour calendar day beginning at midnight: *Provided, however,* That if written notification is given by a carrier to the District Director of the Bureau of Motor Carriers for the district in which the carrier's principal office is located, drivers of any named terminal or terminals of the carrier may prepare logs for a 24-hour period beginning at noon of one day and ending at noon of the next succeeding day. For drivers preparing logs on a noon-to-noon basis, the term 7 or 8 consecutive days means the period of 7 or 8 consecutive days beginning at 12:01 p.m., on any day.

(r) *Filing driver's log.* The driver shall forward each day the original log to his home terminal or to the motor carrier's principal place of business. When the services of a driver are used by more than one carrier during any calendar day, the driver shall furnish each such carrier a copy of the log containing full and complete entries including: The entry of all duty time for the entire day; the name of each such carrier

served by the driver that day; and the beginning and finishing time, showing a.m. or p.m., worked for each carrier. Motor carriers when using a driver for the first time or intermittently shall require such drivers to furnish true and accurate copies of logs covering the immediately preceding six days except that, as to any driver who, under these regulations, has not been required to prepare logs during the immediately preceding six days, the carrier shall obtain from the driver a signed statement giving the total time on duty during the said six days and the date and time at which such driver was last relieved from duty prior to beginning work for such carrier.

(Sec. 204, 49 Stat. 546, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 304)

It is further ordered, That this order shall be effective March 30, 1963, and shall continue in effect until further order of the Commission.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the office of the Secretary of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy thereof with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Motor Carrier Board No. 2.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2404; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Yazoo National Wildlife Refuge, Mississippi

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

MISSISSIPPI

YAZOO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Yazoo National Wildlife Refuge, Mississippi, is suspended for the 1963 season.

The fishing area has been renovated and the tentative plans are to restock during the current year; however, this will not be completed in time for fishing this year.

WALTER A. GRESH,
Regional Director, Bureau of
Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

FEBRUARY 27, 1963.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2388; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Research Service

[9 CFR Part 74]

SCABIES IN SHEEP

Proposed Eradication Area in Missouri

Notice is hereby given in accordance with section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003) that, pursuant to the provisions of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended, the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, and the Act of March 3, 1905, as amended (21 U.S.C. 111-113, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123-126), it is proposed to amend § 74.3(a) of Part 74, Subchapter C, Chapter I, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, by adding all counties north of the Missouri River, in the State of Missouri, to the list of areas therein designated as eradication areas since the cooperative sheep scabies eradication program is now being conducted in such counties. These counties are presently included in the infected areas as sheep scabies is known to exist in such counties.

Any person who wishes to submit written data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed amendment may do so by filing them with the Director, Animal Disease Eradication Division, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C., within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 1st day of March 1963.

M. R. CLARKSON,
Acting Administrator,
Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2400; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-AL-19]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.65), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The Annette Island, Alaska, control zone is presently designated within a 5-mile radius of Annette Island Airport (latitude 55°02'30" N., longitude 131°34'05" W.); within 2 miles either side of the Annette Island radio range north course extending from the 5-mile radius

zone to 12 miles north of the radio range, and within 2 miles either side of the Annette Island radio range south course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles south of the radio range. The Annette Island transition area is presently designated as that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 22 miles southwest and 17 miles northeast of the 142° and 322° True bearing from the Annette Island radio range, extending from 40 miles northwest of the radio range south-east to a line 3 miles north of and parallel to the United States/Canadian border, excluding the airspace within Federal airways.

To implement the provisions of Amendments 60-21 (26 F.R. 570) and 60-29 (27 F.R. 4012) to the Civil Air Regulations, Part 60—Air Traffic Rules, in the Annette Island terminal area, the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the following airspace actions:

1. Alter the Annette Island control zone by redesignating it within a 5-mile radius of Annette Island Airport (latitude 55°02'30" N., longitude 131°34'05" W.) and within 2 miles either side of a 169° True bearing from the Annette Island radio range extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles south of the radio range.

This control zone would provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed instrument approach and departure procedures at the Annette Island Airport.

2. Alter the Annette Island transition area by redesignating it as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 2 miles either side of Annette Island ILS localizer northwest course extending from 5 miles northwest to 15.5 miles northwest of the Annette Island Airport, and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 22 miles southwest and 17 miles northeast of the 142° and 322° True bearings from the Annette Island radio range extending from 40 miles northwest of the radio range to a line 3 miles north of and parallel to the United States/Canadian border.

This transition area would provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed holding pattern procedures in the Annette Island terminal area and for aircraft executing prescribed instrument approach and departure procedures at the Annette Island Airport. Communications within the transition area would be provided by the FAA's Annette Island control tower and Flight Service Station. The floors of the airways that traverse this transition area would automatically coincide with the floor of the transition area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Alaskan Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 440, Anchorage,

Alaska. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2371; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-SW-57]

FEDERAL AIRWAY SEGMENT

Proposed Revocation

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.65), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

Intermediate altitude airway V-1625 extends in part as a 16-mile wide airway from the Truth or Consequences, N. Mex., VOR via the St. Johns, N. Mex., VOR to the Zuni, N. Mex., VOR. The Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) has under consideration the revocation of this segment of V-1625. The FAA's latest IFR peak-day airway traffic survey shows no aircraft movements on this segment of V-1625. Therefore, it appears that the retention of this airway segment is unjustified as an assignment of airspace. Accordingly, the FAA proposes to revoke this segment of V-1625.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Southwest Region, Attn:

Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2373; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-SW-64]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.65), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the designation of a transition area at Ardmore, Okla. This transition area would be designated as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Ardmore Municipal Airport (latitude 34°18'00" N., longitude 97°00'50" W.); within 2 miles either side of the Ardmore VOR 233° and 053° True radials extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles southwest of the VOR; within 2 miles either side of the 265° and 085° True bearings from the Ardmore radio beacon extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles west of the radio beacon; and including that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 25-mile radius of the Ardmore Municipal Airport.

The floor of the airways that traverse the transition area proposed herein would automatically coincide with the floor of the transition area.

No. 46—3

The actions proposed herein would raise portions of the Sherman, Tex., and Fort Worth, Tex., control area extensions from 700 feet above the surface to 1,200 feet above the surface. Thus, airspace would be made available for other uses, yet retaining sufficient controlled airspace to provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed holding, arrival and departure procedures within the Ardmore terminal area. Certain minor revisions to prescribed instrument procedures would be effected in conjunction with the actions proposed herein, but operational complexity would not be introduced nor would aircraft performance or present landing minimums be adversely affected.

Changes to procedures and minimum instrument flight rule altitudes that would be required may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Southwest Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2372; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-SW-66]

CONTROL ZONE, TRANSITION AREA AND CONTROL AREA EXTENSION

Proposed Alteration, Designation, and Revocation

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR

11.65), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The following controlled airspace is designated in the Lufkin, Tex., terminal area:

1. The Lufkin control zone is designated within a 3-mile radius of the Angelina County Airport; within 2 miles either side of the 157° True bearing from the airport extending from the 3-mile radius zone to 14 miles southeast of the airport and within 2 miles either side of the 304° True bearing from the Lufkin radio beacon extending from the 3-mile radius zone to 10 miles northwest of the radio beacon.

2. The Lufkin control area extension is designated within a 25-mile radius of the Lufkin VOR, including the airspace south of Lufkin bounded on the west by V-13 east alternate, on the south by V-222 north alternate and on the east by V-289.

To implement the provisions of CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 in the Lufkin terminal area, the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the following airspace actions:

1. Redesignate the Lufkin control zone within a 5-mile radius of the Angelina County Airport (latitude 31°14'03" N., longitude 94°45'01" W.) and within 2 miles either side of the 304° True bearing from the Lufkin radio beacon extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles northwest of the radio beacon.

2. Revoke the Lufkin control area extension and designate the Lufkin transition area. The proposed transition area would extend upward from 700 feet above the surface within 8 miles east and 5 miles west of the Lufkin VOR 157° True radial extending from the VOR to 12 miles south; within 2 miles either side of the Lufkin VOR 337° True radial extending from the VOR to the arc of a 5-mile radius circle centered at the Angelina County Airport; within 8 miles southwest and 5 miles northeast of the 304° True bearing from the Lufkin radio beacon extending from the radio beacon to 12 miles northwest; and would include that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 25-mile radius of the Lufkin VOR.

3. The floors of the airways that traverse the transition area proposed herein would automatically coincide with the floor of the transition area.

The actions proposed herein would raise the floor of controlled airspace beyond the immediate vicinity of the Angelina County Airport from 700 to 1,200 feet and, as a result, would make such airspace available for other uses, yet sufficient controlled airspace would be retained to provide adequate protection to aircraft executing prescribed holding, arrival, departure and radar vector procedures (radar service is provided by the San Antonio ARTC Center through the use of long-range radar) within the Lufkin terminal area. Certain minor revisions to prescribe instrument procedures would be effected in conjunction with the actions proposed herein, but operational complexities would not be introduced nor would aircraft performance,

either aircraft or present landing minimums, be adversely affected.

Specific and detailed changes to procedures and minimum instrument flight rules altitudes that would be required may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Southwest Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2370; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 75 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 61-WA-174]

JET ROUTE AND ASSOCIATED JET ADVISORY AREA

Withdrawal of Proposed Alteration

In a notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as Airspace Docket No. 61-WA-174 on October 7, 1961 (26 F.R. 9518), it was stated that the Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) proposed to extend Jet Route No. 101 and its associated radar jet advisory area from the Northbrook, Ill., VORTAC to the Sault Ste. Marie, Mich., VOR via the Milwaukee, Wis., VORTAC and the Green Bay, Wis., VORTAC.

Subsequent to publication of the notice, the FAA has determined that a further review of the jet route requirements

and configuration in this area is desirable prior to taking any action. In the event that circumstances indicate a future need for this proposed route, it will be reissued as a notice of proposed rule making with opportunity for comment by interested persons.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.65), notice is hereby given that the proposal contained in Airspace Docket No. 61-WA-174 is withdrawn.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2374; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 9]

[Docket No. 14452; FCC 63-193]

FREQUENCIES, FREQUENCY STABILITY AND DEFINITIONS

Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. The Commission on November 21, 1962, adopted a further notice of proposed rule making in the above-entitled proceeding. This further notice was primarily concerned with the frequency tolerances of the Radio Regulations of the International Telecommunication Union, Geneva (1959) which applied to land stations and certain mobile stations in the band 100-470 Mc/s.

2. In response to this further notice, the Commission has received, in addition to comments, requests from Aeronautical Radio, Inc. (ARINC) and the Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (AOPA) for clarification of certain aspects of the further notice.

3. ARINC requests clarification in the following respects: (1) It is not clear what is intended to become effective or whom (user or supplier) it will affect on the four different dates specified. ARINC cites, for example, the terms "transmitters in use" and transmitters "installed"; (2) the relationship of the type-acceptance requirement to the present proposal; and, (3) the category "Land Mobile Stations" contained in the table is not a category which is authorized or likely to be authorized in the band 100-136 Mc/s. AOPA requests clarification as to whether the words "new transmitters" used refer to types or models of radio transmitters.

4. The Commission agrees with respondents that the further notice contains language which could be misinterpreted and that it should be clarified prior to final action in this proceeding. The revision set forth below substitutes the word "licensed" for "in use" and "installed." The phrase "new transmitters"

has been expanded to "new types of transmitters."

5. The type acceptance program is a separate matter. It requires that, with certain minor exceptions, all transmitters in the Aviation Services must be type accepted by January 1, 1965; the present proceeding does nothing to change this. The revision does, however, contain a new footnote number 3 which indicates that transmitters for which type acceptance is sought, after January 1, 1964, will be required to meet the applicable frequency tolerance in the right-hand column.

6. The statement of ARINC concerning the inclusion of frequency tolerances for "Land Mobile Stations" in the 100-136 Mc/s is correct. This statement like others contained in its request for clarification are matters more properly reserved for comments and for treatment in a Report and Order; however, in view of the fact that a revision is being issued, the category "Land Mobile Stations" will be deleted from the 100-136 Mc/s band. The same is true of fixed stations and this class of stations also is deleted from the band 100-136 Mc/s.

7. The Commission, in reviewing the previous notice and further notice, has found that radionavigation stations and the corresponding Geneva frequency tolerances have been omitted. This was an oversight and the appropriate entries are now included and set forth below.

8. In view of the foregoing, the Commission proposes to amend Part 9 of its rules and regulations as set forth below.

9. The proposed amendments to the rules, as set forth below are issued pursuant to the authority contained in section 303 (e), (f), and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

10. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in § 1.213 of the Commission's rules, interested persons may file comments on or before March 25, 1963, and reply comments on or before April 14, 1963. All relevant and timely comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may also take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the specific comments invited by this notice.

11. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.54 of the Commission's rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs, or comments filed shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: February 28, 1963

Released: March 1, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

The table in § 9.180(a) is amended by adding footnote designator 2 to the headnote of the second and third columns, adding footnote designator 3 to the headnote of the second column, amending the entries for Items 1, 4, 5, and 6, adding a new Item 7, amending footnote 2, and by adding new footnote 3.

§ 9.180 Frequency Stability.

(a) * * *

Frequency bands (lower limit exclusive, upper limit inclusive) and categories of stations	Tolerances applicable until Jan. 1, 1966, ^{1 2} to types of transmitters licensed before Jan. 1, 1964 ²	Tolerances applicable to types of transmitters not licensed before Jan. 1, 1964, and to all transmitters after Jan. 1, 1966 ^{1 2}
(1) Band—10 to 535 kc/s:		
Land stations.....	0.01	0.01
Mobile stations.....	.02	.02
Radionavigation stations.....	*.02	*.01
(4) Band—29.7 to 100 Mc/s:		
Fixed stations:		
Power 200 w or less.....	*.01	*.005
Power above 200 w.....	.01	.003
Land stations:		
Power 15 w or less.....	.01	.005
Power above 15 w.....	.01	.002
Mobile stations:		
Power 5 w or less.....	.01	.01
Power above 5 w.....	.01	.005
Radionavigation stations.....	.01	.01
(5) Band—100 to 136 Mc/s:		
Land stations.....	** .01	** .003
Mobile stations:		
Survival craft stations.....	** .01	** .005
Aircraft and all other mobile stations.....	** .01	** .003
Radionavigation stations.....	*.01	*.005
(6) Band—136 to 470 Mc/s:		
Fixed stations:		
Power 50 w or less.....	*.01	*.005
Power above 50 w.....	*.01	*.002
Land stations.....	.01	.005
Mobile stations:		
Land mobile stations with power above 5 w.....	.01	.002
Aircraft and all other mobile stations.....	.01	.005
Radionavigation stations.....	*.01	*.005
(7) All stations on frequencies above 470 Mc/s.....	.01	.01

¹ Jan. 1, 1970, in the case of all tolerances marked with an asterisk.² Jan. 1, 1973, in the case of all tolerances marked with a double asterisk.³ Frequency tolerances in the right-hand column will apply to any transmitters which are type accepted by the Commission pursuant to a request received after Jan. 1, 1964.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2426; Filed; Mar. 6, 1963; 8:53 a.m.]

Notices

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

Office of the Administrator
ATTESTING OFFICERS

Designation

Emily A. Amor, Mary F. Dennis, and Rosalind S. Jamison are hereby designated Attesting Officers for the Office of the Administrator, Housing and Home Finance Agency, and each is authorized to affix the seal of the Housing and Home Finance Agency to such documents as may require its application and to certify that copies of any books, records, papers, or other documents are true copies of those in the files of the Office of the Administrator, Housing and Home Finance Agency.

This designation supersedes the designation effective February 19, 1958 (23 F.R. 1103, Feb. 19, 1958).

(62 Stat. 1283 (1948), as amended by 64 Stat. 80 (1950), 12 U.S.C. 1701c)

Effective as of the 7th day of March 1963.

ROBERT C. WEAVER,
Housing and Home
Finance Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2407; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:51 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

FIRST-CITIZENS BANK AND TRUST CO. AND CAROLINA INDUSTRIAL BANK

Notice of Report to Board of Directors, Federal Deposit Insurance Corpora- tion on the Competitive Factors Involved in Merger Application

On January 7, 1963, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 1828 (c), requested that the Comptroller of the Currency report on the competitive factors involved in the proposed merger of the Carolina Industrial Bank, Asheville, North Carolina, into the First-Citizens Bank and Trust Company, Smithfield, North Carolina.

On February 15, 1963, the Comptroller of the Currency reported that approval of the merger, by bringing an additional source of funds into Asheville, would heighten competition in that city.

Copies of this report are available on request to the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington 25, D.C.

Dated: February 28, 1963.

[SEAL] A. J. FAULSTICH,
Administrative Assistant to the
Comptroller of the Currency.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2408; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:51 a.m.]

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF APPA- LACHIA AND FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BIG STONE GAP

Notice of Decision Granting Application To Merge

On December 10, 1962, the \$8.5 million First National Bank of Appalachia, Appalachia, Virginia, and the \$3.6 million First National Bank of Big Stone Gap, Big Stone Gap, Virginia, applied to the Comptroller of the Currency to merge under the charter of the former and with the title, "First National Bank of Southwest Virginia."

On February 15, 1963, the Comptroller of the Currency granted this application, effective on or after February 25, 1963.

Copies of this decision are available on request to the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington 25, D.C.

Dated: February 28, 1963.

[SEAL] A. J. FAULSTICH,
Administrative Assistant to the
Comptroller of the Currency.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2409; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:51 a.m.]

FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF CUMBERLAND AND SECOND NATIONAL BANK OF CUMBERLAND

Notice of Decision Granting Application To Merge

On November 26, 1962, The Second National Bank of Cumberland, Cumberland, Maryland, and The First National Bank and Trust Company of Cumberland, Cumberland, Maryland, applied to the Comptroller of the Currency for permission to merge under the charter of the latter and with the title, "The First-Second National Bank and Trust Company."

On February 15, 1963, the Comptroller of the Currency granted this application, effective on or after February 21, 1963.

Copies of this decision are available on request to the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington 25, D.C.

Dated: February 28, 1963.

[SEAL] A. J. FAULSTICH,
Administrative Assistant to the
Comptroller of the Currency.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2410; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:51 a.m.]

HOME NATIONAL BANK OF BROCK- TON AND PLYMOUTH NATIONAL BANK

Notice of Decision Granting Application To Merge

On December 31, 1962, the \$11.2 million Plymouth National Bank, Plymouth, Massachusetts, and the \$36 million Home National Bank of Brockton, Brockton,

Massachusetts, applied to the Comptroller of the Currency for permission to merge under the charter of the former and with the title of "Plymouth-Home National Bank".

On February 14, 1963, the Comptroller of the Currency granted this application, effective on or after February 19, 1963.

Copies of this decision are available on request to the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington 25, D.C.

Dated: February 28, 1963.

[SEAL] A. J. FAULSTICH,
Administrative Assistant to the
Comptroller of the Currency.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2411; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:51 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1962 Rev. Supp. No. 21]

RELIABLE INSURANCE COMPANY

Surety Company Acceptable on Federal Bonds

MARCH 1, 1963.

A Certificate of Authority has been issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the following company under the Act of Congress approved July 30, 1947, 6 U.S.C., secs. 6-13, as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds.

An underwriting limitation of \$200,000.00 has been established for the company. Further details as to the extent and localities with respect to which the company is acceptable as surety on Federal bonds will appear in the next revision of Department Circular 570, to be issued as of June 1, 1963. Copies of the circular, when issued, may be obtained from the Treasury Department, Bureau of Accounts, Surety Bonds Branch, Washington 25, D.C.

State in Which Incorporated, Name of Company and Location of Principal Executive Office

OHIO

Reliable Insurance Co., Jacksonville, Fla.

[SEAL] JOHN K. CARLOCK,
Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2397; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1962 Rev. Supp. No. 22]

TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE CO.

Surety Company Acceptable on Federal Bonds

MARCH 1, 1963.

A Certificate of Authority has been issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the following company under the Act of Congress approved July 30, 1947, 6 U.S.C., secs. 6-13, as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds.

An underwriting limitation of \$661,000.00 has been established for the company. Further details as to the extent

and localities with respect to which the company is acceptable as surety on Federal bonds will appear in the next revision of Department Circular 570, to be issued as of June 1, 1963. Copies of the circular, when issued, may be obtained from the Treasury Department, Bureau of Accounts, Surety Bonds Branch, Washington 25, D. C.

State in Which Incorporated, Name of Company and Location of Principal Executive Office

MINNESOTA

Twin City Fire Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.

[SEAL]

JOHN K. CARLOCK,
Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 63-2412; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:51 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Classification No. 94]

CALIFORNIA

Small Tract Classification: Revocation

FEBRUARY 21, 1963.

1. Effective immediately, P.R. Doc. 48-9989, appearing in the issue of November 17, 1948, is hereby revoked as to the following lands:

SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN

T. 13 S., R. 1 W.,
Sec. 21, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

2. The public lands affected by this order are hereby restored as of 10:00 a.m. on March 29, 1963, to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid and existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, rules, and regulations.

VIRGIL L. BOTTINI,
District Manager.

[P.R. Doc. 63-2393; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

ALASKA

Anchorage Townsite; Notice of Sale

FEBRUARY 28, 1963.

Notice is hereby given that there will be offered at public sale to the highest bidder at 1 p.m., on March 30, 1963, in the Council Chambers, Loussac Library, Anchorage, Alaska the lots listed at the end of this notice.

These lots will be sold at not less than the appraised price. No bid exceeding that amount will be accepted unless made in multiples of \$10. Bids may be made personally by an individual or his agent at the sale. When there are no further offers, the lots will be declared sold to the last and highest bidder.

The bidder will be required to make payment for the tract at the close of the bidding, and a personal check will be acceptable.

If all the lots are not sold on Saturday, March 30, 1963, the sale will be ad-

journed until 11 a.m. on Friday, April 5, 1963, when and thereafter it will be resumed in the Anchorage Land Office on the third floor of the Cordova Building for another one-hour period or until adjourned for resumption at 11 a.m. on succeeding Fridays for additional one-hour periods until all the lots are sold or until the sale is otherwise terminated.

Notice is hereby given to the right of the undersigned or his delegate to re-appraise the lots or to adjourn, postpone, or vacate this sale or continuances thereof in whole or in part at any time prior to, during, or after completion of any sale session where such action appears to be necessary to protect the government's interest in the land.

A qualified purchaser of each lot in this sale will, upon tendering full payment thereof, receive a receipt as evidence of the sale. Patent will be issued to the purchaser at a later date without any further compliance or action upon the purchaser's part. There are no building requirements upon these lots.

Inquiries concerning these lands should be addressed to the Manager, Anchorage Land Office, Cordova Building, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, Alaska.

All persons are warned against violation of the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 1860, prohibiting unlawful combinations or intimidation of bidders.

Following are the lots being offered for sale, the area embraced by each, and the minimum acceptable bids for these lots:

North Addition No. 4, Townsite of Anchorage:	
Block O—Lots 5 and 6 (unit), 8,421 sq. ft.	\$6,000
North Addition No. 6, Townsite of Anchorage:	
Block R—Lot 13, 14,000 sq. ft.	6,700
Block S—Lot 12, 6,992 sq. ft.	3,200
Block W—Lot 8, 6,695 sq. ft.	3,900
East Addition, Townsite of Anchorage:	
Block 32 B—Lot 2, 21,000 sq. ft.	14,000

GEORGE E. M. GUSTAFSON,
Superintendent of Sales,
Alaska Railroad Townsite.

[P.R. Doc. 63-2394; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

NEVADA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

FEBRUARY 27, 1963.

The Bureau of Reclamation has filed an application, Serial Number Nevada 059798 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws.

The applicant desires the land for use in connection with the proposed Southern Nevada Water Supply Project.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Post Office Box, Number 1551, Reno, Nevada.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, NEVADA

T. 21 S., R. 62 E.,
Sec. 23, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24;
Sec. 25, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 21 S., R. 63 E.,
Sec. 19;
Sec. 20, all except those lands included in Patented Mineral Survey 4808;
Sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25;
Sec. 26, lots 1, 2, 3 & 4, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 27;
Sec. 28, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 30, lots 1, 2 & 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32;
Sec. 34, lots 1-6, incl., W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 35, lots 1-10, incl., S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 36.
T. 22 S., R. 63 E.,
Secs. 1-3, incl.;
Secs. 10-15, incl.;
Sec. 21, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 22, 23, 26, 27, 34 & 35.
T. 23 S., R. 63 E.,
Sec. 2, lots 3, 5, 6, 7, 11-15, incl., and 18, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, lot 1 and that portion of lot 2 lying west of the east boundary of U.S. Highway 95.
T. 22 S., R. 63 $\frac{1}{2}$ E.,
Secs. 1, 12 and 13.

The above area contains approximately 20,891.20 acres.

DANIEL P. BAKER,
Chief, Division of Lands
and Minerals Management.

[P.R. Doc. 63-2392; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

[Serial No. Idaho 013853]

IDAHO

Order Providing for Opening of Public Lands

MARCH 1, 1963.

1. In exchange of lands made under the provisions of section 8 of the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269); as amended June 26, 1936 (49 Stat. 1976; 43 U.S.C. 315g), the following described lands have been reconveyed to the United States:

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

PARCEL 1

T. 8 N., R. 33 E.,
Sec. 1: Lots 3, 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The lands are located in Jefferson County about 6 miles north of the Montevue post office.

PARCEL 2

T. 10 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 14: NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The lands are located in Clark County about 2 miles northeast of Dubois.

PARCEL 3

T. 12 N., R. 33 E.,
Sec. 28: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The land is located in Clark County about 20 miles west of Spencer on the Medicine Lodge Creek drainage.

PARCEL 4

T. 12 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 35: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The land is located in Clark County about 3 miles southerly from Spencer.

The areas described aggregate 549.35 acres.

2. The lands involved are situated in the northwest portion of southeastern Idaho and are generally suitable for the grazing of livestock. Parcels 1 and 4 are topographically smooth and level and are easily accessible by surfaced highway. Only the soils of parcel 1 are of tillable quality. The surface relief of parcels 2 and 3 are undulating and sloping. Soils are patchy and rocky and lack suitability for tillage. Access to the lands is by use of range type roads.

3. No application for these lands will be allowed under the homestead, desert land, small tract, or any other nonmineral public land law, unless the lands have already been classified as valuable, or suitable for such type of application, or shall be so classified upon consideration of an application. Any application that is filed will be considered on its merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposition until they have been classified.

4. Subject to any existing valid rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands described in paragraph 1, hereof, are hereby opened to filing applications, selections, and locations in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws and applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications, selections, and offers will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws and applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws presented prior to 10:00 a.m. April 5, 1963, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections and offers filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands will be open to location under the United States mining laws, beginning 10:00 a.m. on April 5, 1963.

5. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must en-

close properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

6. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Idaho Land Office, Box 2237, Boise, Idaho.

MICHAEL T. SOLAN,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2390; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

[Serial No. Idaho 013852]

IDAHO

Order Providing for Opening of Public Lands

MARCH 1, 1963.

1. The State of Idaho has certified that the hereinafter described lands patented to the State under the provisions of section 4 of the Act of August 18, 1894 (28 Stat. 422, 43 U.S.C. sec. 641), as amended, commonly known as the Carey Act, have not been reclaimed as required by the Carey Act and that water is not available for the irrigation of these tracts. The State of Idaho, therefore, has reconveyed the lands to the United States:

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

T. 3 N., R. 26 E.,
Sec. 13: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Containing 40 acres.

2. This subdivision is part of the broad desert plains area at the lower end of the Big Lost River Valley. It is located about 4 miles south of Arco and is accessible by range road. The land is of desert character sustaining a stand of sagebrush and native grasses. The soil is generally of tillable quality being of alluvial character with a gravel subsoil. The surface is near level to very gently undulating.

3. No application for these lands will be allowed under the homestead, desert land, small tract, or any other nonmineral public land law, unless the lands have already been classified as valuable, or suitable for such type of application, or shall be so classified upon consideration of an application. Any application that is filed will be considered on its merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposition until they have been classified.

4. Subject to any existing valid rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands described in paragraph 1, hereof, are hereby opened to filing applications, selections, and locations in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws and applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications, selections, and offers will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws and applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws presented prior to 10:00 a.m. April 5, 1963, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections and offers filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands will be open to location under the United States mining laws, beginning 10:00 a.m. on April 5, 1963.

5. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

6. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Idaho Land Office, Box 2237, Boise, Idaho.

MICHAEL T. SOLAN,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2389; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

IDAHO

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

MARCH 1, 1963.

1. A plat of survey of the following described land, accepted December 3, 1962, will be officially filed in the Land Office, Boise, Idaho, effective at 10:00 a.m. on April 5, 1963:

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

T. 1 S., R. 37 E.,
Sec. 6: Lots 11, 12, 13;
Sec. 7: Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14;
Sec. 18: Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16,
17, 18;
Sec. 19: Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13.

The areas described aggregate 325.06 acres.

2. The lands are islands in the Snake River and other lands along the river which were omitted from the previous survey. All of the lands are relatively level with a smooth surface. The soil is of an alluvial formation. The undeveloped portion of the land consists of a vegetative cover of willows, briars and brush with an understory of grass. Most of the land along the river has been reclaimed, cultivated and otherwise occupied. The lands are typical of other lands adjoining the Snake River in this area.

3. No application for these lands will be allowed under the homestead, desert land, small tract, or any other nonmineral public land law, unless the lands

have already been classified as valuable or suitable for such type of application, or shall be so classified upon consideration of an application. Any application that is filed will be considered on its merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposition until they have been classified.

4. Subject to any existing valid rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands described in paragraph 1 hereof are hereby opened to the filing of applications and selections, in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications and selections will be considered as filed on the hour and date shown in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws presented prior to 10:00 a.m., April 5, 1963, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws and to locations under the mining laws.

5. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Idaho Land Office, P.O. Box 2237, Boise, Idaho.

MICHAEL T. SOLAN,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2391; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[Amdt. 6]

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR MAKING PAYMENTS UNDER SECTION 32 TOBACCO EXPORT PAYMENT PROGRAM, CMX 40a

Claims for Payment

The notice published March 10, 1962 (27 F.R. 2329), is amended to add the following provision at the end of paragraph 10 *Claims for payment*:

Any crop year designations on hogs-heads or in export bills of lading or in invoices to foreign buyers, must be the same as the crop year designations shown in the application for participation in this program.

STEPHEN E. WRATHER,
Director, Tobacco Division,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

MARCH 1, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 63-2399; Filed, Mar. 6, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

Agricultural Research Service CERTAIN HUMANELY SLAUGHTERED LIVESTOCK

Identification of Carcasses

Pursuant to section 4 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (7 U.S.C. 1904) and the statement of policy thereunder in 9 CFR Part 181 the following table lists the establishments operated under Federal inspection under the Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 71 et seq.) which were

officially reported on February 1, 1963, as humanely slaughtering and handling on that date the species of livestock respectively designated for such establishments in the table. Establishments reported after February 1, as using humane methods on February 1 or a later date in February, will be listed in a supplemental list. Previously published lists represented establishments reported in January or February 1963 as humanely slaughtering and handling the designated species of livestock on January 1 or some later date in January 1963 (28 F.R. 1269, 1378). The establishment number given with the name of the establishment is branded on each carcass of livestock inspected at that establishment. The table should not be understood to indicate that all species of livestock slaughtered at a listed establishment are slaughtered and handled by humane methods unless all species are listed for that establishment in the table. Nor should the table be understood to indicate that the affiliates of any listed establishment use only humane methods:

Name of establishments	Establishment No.	Cattle	Calves	Sheep	Goats	Swine	Horses
Armour and Co.	2A G	✓					
Do.	2A T	✓					
Do.	2A U	✓					
Do.	2B	✓	✓				
Do.	2H	✓	✓				
Do.	2H T	✓	✓				
Do.	2SA	✓	✓				
Do.	2SD	✓	✓				
Swift and Co.	3A	✓	✓				
Do.	3A F	✓	✓				
Do.	3A W	✓	✓				
Do.	3C	✓	✓				
Do.	3D	✓	✓				
Do.	3E	✓	✓				
Do.	3F	✓	✓				
Do.	3FF	✓	✓				
Do.	3H	✓	✓				
Do.	3K	✓	✓				
Do.	3N	✓	✓				
Do.	3N N	✓	✓				
Do.	3T	✓	✓				
Do.	3U	✓	✓				
Lykes Bros. Inc.	8B	✓	✓				
Pauly Packing Co., Inc.	10	✓	✓				
Hygrade Food Products Corp.	12	✓	✓				
Do.	12G	✓	✓				
Mickelberry's Food Products Co.	16	✓	✓				
John Morrell and Co.	17D	✓	✓				
C. Finkbeiner, Inc.	18	✓	✓				
The Cudahy Packing Co.	19E	✓	✓				
Wilson and Co., Inc.	20A	✓	✓				
Do.	20Q	✓	✓				
Do.	20U	✓	✓				
Swift and Co.	23	✓	✓				
Brander Meat Co.	25	✓	✓				
The Sperry and Barnes Co.	27C	✓	✓				
Kreinberg and Krasny, Inc.	30	✓	✓				
Roegelein Provision Co.	32A	✓	✓				
Kenton Packing Co.	36	✓	✓				
Stark Wetzel and Co., Inc.	44	✓	✓				
Do.	44A	✓	✓				
Idaho Meat Packers	46	✓	✓				
Consolidated Dressed Beef Co., Inc.	47	✓	✓				
Malone Packing Co.	65	✓	✓				
Somerville Packing Co.	66	✓	✓				
The Quaker Oats Co.	67E	✓	✓				
Minch's Wholesale Meats, Inc.	72	✓	✓				
Eastern Packing Co.	74E	✓	✓				
Armour and Co.	75	✓	✓				
The Braun Brothers Packing Co.	79	✓	✓				
The Cudahy Packing Co.	81	✓	✓				
Hill Packing Co.	83E	✓	✓				
Edgar Packing Co.	84	✓	✓				
The E. Kahns Sons Co.	89	✓	✓				
Hygrade Food Products Corp.	90	✓	✓				
Sugardale Provision Co.	92	✓	✓				
Shonyo Packing Co.	93	✓	✓				
The Val Decker Packing Co.	95	✓	✓				
John Engelhorn and Sons	97	✓	✓				
A. Kochs Sons	98	✓	✓				
Armour and Co.	100	✓	✓				
Liberty Packing Co.	101	✓	✓				
H. Graver and Co.	103	✓	✓				
Conriss Packing Co., Inc.	110	✓	✓				
West Coast Meat Co., Inc.	117	✓	✓				
Marhofer Packing Co., Inc.	121	✓	✓				
Peyton Packing Co., Inc.	126	✓	✓				
Superior Packing Co.	127	✓	✓				
Armour and Co.	139	✓	✓				
Edward J. Klueger, Inc.	142	✓	✓				
R. B. Rice Sausage Co., Inc.	144	✓	✓				