

commerce, or the importation into the United States, of textile fiber products; or in connection with the sale, offering for sale, advertising, delivery, transportation, or causing to be transported of textile fiber products which have been advertised or offered for sale in commerce; or in connection with the sale, offering for sale, advertising, delivery, transportation, or causing to be transported, after shipment in commerce, of textile fiber products, whether in their original state or contained in other textile fiber products, as the terms "commerce" and "textile fiber product" are defined in the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

Misbranding textile fiber products by failing to affix labels to such textile fiber products showing each element of information required to be disclosed by section 4(b) of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: December 12, 1961.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5214; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket C-36]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Florence Richards and Magic Eye

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.155 Prices: § 13.155-15 Comparative. Subpart—Invoicing products falsely: § 13.1108 Invoicing products falsely: § 13.1108-45 Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1212 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements: § 13.1212-30 Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 Composition: § 13.1845-30 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements: § 13.1852-35 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1865 Manufacture or preparation: § 13.1865-40 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1886 Quality, grade or type; § 13.1900 Source or origin: § 13.1900-40 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1900-40(b) Place.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U.S.C. 45, 69f.) [Cease and desist order, Florence Richards trading as Magic Eye, Las Vegas, Nev., Docket C-36, Dec. 11, 1961]

In the Matter of Florence Richards an Individual Trading as Magic Eye

Consent order requiring a Las Vegas, Nev., furrier to cease violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by failing to show on invoices and labels the country of origin of imported furs, failing to show on invoices the true animal name of fur

used in fur products, to disclose when fur was artificially colored or composed of flanks, and to observe other invoicing requirements; representing, in newspaper advertising, prices as reduced without giving the time of compared higher prices; and failing to maintain adequate records as a basis for price and value claims.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That Florence Richards, an individual trading as Magic Eye or under any other trade name and respondent's representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, or offering for sale in commerce, or the transportation or distribution in commerce of fur products, or in connection with the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation, or distribution of fur products which are made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as "commerce", "fur" and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Misbranding fur products by:

A. Failing to affix labels to fur products showing in words and figures plainly legible all of the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

B. Setting forth on labels affixed to fur products information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder mingled with non-required information.

2. Falsely or deceptively invoicing fur products by:

A. Failing to furnish to purchasers of fur products invoices showing all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 5(b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

B. Setting forth information required under section 5(b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in abbreviated form.

C. Failing to disclose that fur products are composed in whole or in substantial part of flanks when such is the fact.

3. Falsely or deceptively advertising fur products through the use of any advertisement, representation, public announcement or notice which is intended to aid, promote or assist, directly or indirectly, in the sale, or offering for sale of fur products and which:

A. Uses previous higher prices as comparatives without giving the time of such higher compared prices.

4. Making claims and representations of the types covered by subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) of Rule 44 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Fur Products Labeling Act unless there are maintained by respondent full and adequate records disclosing the facts upon which such claims and representations are based.

It is further ordered, That the respondent herein shall, within sixty (60)

days after service upon her of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detailed manner and form in which she has complied with this order.

Issued: December 11, 1961.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5215; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 19—CUSTOMS DUTIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Customs, Department of the Treasury

[T.D. 55628]

PART 2—MEASUREMENT OF VESSELS

Exemption of Spaces From Inclusion in Gross Tonnages; Special Ex- empted Water Ballast Spaces

Section 2.43 of the Customs regulations, among other things, specifies the conditions under which any space adapted only for the carriage of water ballast and certified not to be available for the carriage of cargo (other than ballast water for use for underwater drilling, mining, and related purposes, including production), stores, supplies, or fuel shall be deducted from the gross tonnage as measured to get gross registered tonnage. In order further to insure that any such space will be allowed for exemption only when it is adapted and available for the declared purpose and to provide for review and approval by the Commissioner of Customs in any case in which the space claimed for exemption exceeds 30 percent of the gross tonnage, § 2.43(g) of the Customs regulations is amended by adding the following new subparagraph at the end thereof:

(3) No space will be deemed to be adapted only for water ballast unless the Bureau is satisfied that the primary purpose of the space is to afford a means of maintaining stability, trim, immersion, seakeeping¹ capabilities, or strength conditions under varying conditions and requirements of the vessel's operation and that the space claimed for exemption is necessary to and available at all times for any one of these purposes. An application for exemption of water-ballast spaces in excess of 30 percent of the vessel's gross tonnage, calculated without any allowance for water ballast, shall be submitted for approval to the Commissioner of Customs, accompanied by a statement in writing from the vessel owner or his representative as to the circumstances of use or construction of the vessel which make such an allowance necessary and proper and verifying that the conditions specified in this paragraph have been and are met. Any change in the facts on the basis of which a water-ballast exemption is granted under this section shall be promptly reported to the collector of customs for his

determination as to whether there has been a change in the use of spaces requiring an adjustment of tonnage under § 2.64.

A new footnote is appended to § 2.43 (g) to read as follows:

'The term "seakeeping" as used in this paragraph is defined as the ability of a vessel to maintain good behavior at its designed speed or at a speed as close as possible to its designed speed under all conditions of sea and weather which the vessel may encounter in its designated service.

(R.S. 161, secs. 2, 3, 23 Stat. 118, as amended, 119, as amended, R.S. 4153, as amended, sec. 4, 28 Stat. 743, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 22, 46 U.S.C. 2, 3, 77, 79)

Notice of the proposed issuance of the foregoing amendment was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 2, 1962 (27 F.R. 984). Careful consideration was accorded to all data, views, and arguments received pertaining to the proposed amendment. As a result thereof certain changes have been incorporated in this final draft. This amendment shall be effective 30 days after the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. It shall not be retroactive in effect.

[SEAL] PHILIP NICHOLS, Jr.,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: May 23, 1962.

JAMES A. REED,
Assistant Secretary of the
Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5281; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

Title 25—INDIANS

Chapter I—Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior

SUBCHAPTER I—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PART 221—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE CHARGES

Fort Hall Indian Irrigation Project, Idaho

There was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 9, 1962 (27 F.R. 237) a notice to amend §§ 221.32 through 221.36 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 25—Indians, dealing with the operation and maintenance of the Fort Hall Indian Irrigation Project, Idaho, as set forth below. The purpose of the amendment is to (1) establish an operation and maintenance assessment rate for the irrigation system of the Michaud Division, Fort Hall Indian Reservation; (2) promulgate regulations requiring that the annual assessment for all lands shall be due and payable on April 1 of each year rather than the payment of 50 percent on the due date and the balance on or before July 1 of each year; and (3) clarify provisions of the regulations relating to the delivery of water to non-Indian owned lands and Indian-owned lands which have been under lease for a total period of three years to non-Indians or Indians who are not

members of the Fort Hall Tribe of Indians.

Interested persons were given 30 days within which to submit written comments, suggestions or objections with respect to the proposed amendment. One communication was received within the specified period. It was not given consideration because it did not apply to the proposed amendment set forth in the notice of intention. Accordingly the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change, except for the change in the effective date from the calendar year 1962 to the calendar year 1963.

1. Section 221.32 is amended to read as follows:

§ 221.32 Basic and other water charges.

(a) In compliance with the provisions for the acts of March 1, 1907 (34 Stat. 1024), and August 31, 1954 (68 Stat. 1026), the annual basic water charges for the operation and maintenance of the lands in non-Indian ownership and Indian owned lands leased to a non-Indian or non-member of the Shoshone-Bannock Tribe of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation, Idaho, to which water can be delivered for irrigation are hereby fixed for the calendar year 1962 and subsequent years until further notice as follows:

(1) Fort Hall Project:	Per acre
Basic rate.....	\$3.75
(2) Michaud Division, Fort Hall Reservation:	
Basic rate.....	6.00
Additional rate for sprinkler irrigation when pressure is supplied by the project.....	3.00
(3) Minor Units, Fort Hall Reservation:	
Basic rate.....	1.25

(b) In addition to the foregoing charges, there shall be collected a minimum charge of \$5.00 for the first acre or fraction thereof on each tract of land for which operation and maintenance bills are prepared. The minimum bill issue on any area will, therefore, be the basic rate per acre plus \$5.00.

2. Section 221.33 is amended to read as follows:

§ 221.33 Payment.

The assessments fixed in § 221.32 shall become due on April 1 of each year and are payable on or before that date. To all assessments against lands in non-Indian ownership and against lands in Indian ownership which do not qualify for free water under § 221.34, there shall be added a penalty of one-half of one per cent per month or fraction thereof from the due date until paid. No water shall be delivered to any of these lands until the entire irrigation charges have been paid. To qualify Indian owned leased lands for exemption under § 221.34 an approved lease must be on file at the Fort Hall Agency.

3. The headnote and present text of § 221.34 are amended to read as follows:

§ 221.34 Lands owned by Indians that are not subject to assessments.

When lands owned by members of the Shoshone-Bannock Tribe of Indians of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation are

leased to non-Indians or non-members of the tribe such leased lands are not subject to operation and maintenance assessments for three years. The three years that the land is not subject to assessment need not run consecutively. When the land has been leased for a total of three years, whether consecutively or at intervals, the lands thereafter, when under lease to non-Indians or non-members of the tribe, are subject to operation and maintenance assessments the same as lands in non-Indian ownership and lands owned by non-members of the tribe within the project. (See Solicitor's Opinion M28701, approved September 24, 1936, and the instructions of September 19, 1938, approved September 24, 1938, and instructions of December 1, 1938, approved December 17, 1938.)

4. The headnote and present text of § 221.35 are amended to read as follows:

§ 221.35 Lands owned by Indians that are subject to assessment.

Lands owned by members of the Shoshone-Bannock Tribe of Indians of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation which are under lease to non-Indians or non-members of the tribe shall not be entitled to water without the payment of operation and maintenance assessments as prescribed in § 221.33, except where water is to be furnished as authorized by § 221.34.

§ 221.36 Delivery to lessees with contracts [Revoked]

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 23, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5216; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 29—LABOR

Chapter I—National Labor Relations Board

PART 102—RULES AND REGULA- TIONS, SERIES 8

Subpart H—Advisory Opinions and Declaratory Orders Regarding Board Jurisdiction

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

By virtue of the authority vested in it by the National Labor Relations Act, approved July 5, 1935, the National Labor Relations Board hereby issues the following further amendments to its Rules and Regulations, Series 8, as amended, which it finds necessary to carry out the provisions of said Act, such amendments to be effective June 1, 1962.

National Labor Relations Board Rules and Regulations, Series 8, as hereby further amended, shall be in force and effect until further amended, or rescinded by the Board.

(49 Stat. 449; 29 U.S.C. 151-166, as amended by act of June 23, 1947 (61 Stat. 136; 29 U.S.C. Sup. 151-167), act of October 22, 1951 (65 Stat. 601; 29 U.S.C. 158, 159, 168), and act of September 14, 1959 (73 Stat. 519; 29 U.S.C. 141-168))

Dated: Washington, D.C., May 23, 1962.

By direction of the Board.

OGDEN W. FIELDS,
Executive Secretary.

At the end of § 102.99 add the following paragraph (c):

§ 102.99 Contents of petition for an advisory opinion.

(c) Seven copies of such petition shall be filed with the Board in Washington, D.C. Such petition shall be printed or otherwise legibly duplicated: *Provided, however, That carbon copies of typewritten matter shall not be filed and if submitted will not be accepted.*

Section 102.101 is amended to read as follows:

§ 102.101 Response to petition; service of response.

Any party served with such petition may, within 5 days after service thereof, respond to the petition, admitting or denying its allegations. Seven copies of such response shall be filed with the Board in Washington, D.C. Such response shall be printed or otherwise legibly duplicated: *Provided, however, That carbon copies of typewritten matter shall not be filed and if submitted will not be accepted.* Such response shall immediately be served upon all other parties to the proceeding, and a statement of service shall be filed in accordance with the provisions of § 102.113(b).

Section 102.102 is amended to read as follows:

§ 102.102 Intervention.

Any person desiring to intervene shall make a motion for intervention, stating the grounds upon which such person claims to have an interest in the petition. Seven copies of such motion shall be filed with the Board in Washington, D.C. Such motion shall be printed or otherwise legibly duplicated: *Provided, however, That carbon copies of typewritten matter shall not be filed and if submitted will not be accepted.*

At the end of § 102.106 add the following paragraph (f):

§ 102.106 Contents of petition for declaratory order.

(f) Seven copies of the petition shall be filed with the Board in Washington, D.C. Such petition shall be printed or otherwise legibly duplicated: *Provided, however, That carbon copies of typewritten matter shall not be filed and if submitted will not be accepted.*

Section 102.108 is amended to read as follows:

§ 102.108 Response to petition; service of response.

Any party to the representation or unfair labor practice case may, within 5 days after service thereof, respond to the petition, admitting or denying its alle-

gations. Seven copies of such response shall be filed with the Board in Washington, D.C. Such response shall be printed or otherwise legibly duplicated: *Provided, however, That carbon copies of typewritten matter shall not be filed and if submitted will not be accepted.* Such response shall be served upon the general counsel and all other parties, and a statement of service shall be filed as provided by § 102.113(b).

Section 102.109 is amended to read as follows:

§ 102.109 Intervention.

Any person desiring to intervene shall make a motion for intervention, stating the grounds upon which such person claims to have an interest in the petition. Seven copies of such motion shall be filed with the Board in Washington, D.C. Such motion shall be printed or otherwise legibly duplicated: *Provided, however, That carbon copies of typewritten matter shall not be filed and if submitted will not be accepted.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-5224; Filed, May 29, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2681]

[1575293]

ALASKA

Revoking Executive Order No. 6901 of November 13, 1934

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C. 141), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

Executive Order No. 6901 of November 13, 1934, as amended by Executive Order No. 8174 of June 15, 1939, which withdrew the following described public lands for the joint use and occupancy of the Department of Agriculture and the Alaska Game Commission as a headquarters site in connection with administration of the Alaska game laws is hereby revoked:

MARSHALL AREA

U.S. Survey 2264.

Containing 0.65 acre.

All right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the lands has been conveyed to the State of Alaska, under the provisions of section 45(a) of the Alaska Omnibus Act of June 25, 1959 (73 Stat. 152).

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 23, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5219; Filed, May 29, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2682]

WYOMING AND ARIZONA

Withdrawal for Forest Service Recreation Areas; Correcting Public Land Order No. 1909 of July 17, 1959

By virtue of the authority vested in the President, and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the minerals in the following-described national forest lands in the Shoshone National Forest, Wyoming, are hereby withdrawn from prospecting, location, entry, and purchase under the mining laws of the United States in aid of programs of the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, for utilization of the surface as recreation areas:

WYOMING

[Wyoming 0111851]

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

SHOSHONE NATIONAL FOREST

Worthen Picnic Area

T. 32 N., R. 101 W.,
Sec. 32, E½NE¼SE¼.

Wood River Campground

T. 46 N., R. 102 W.,
Sec. 29, W½NW¼NW¼.

Sunlight Campground

T. 55 N., R. 104 W.,
Sec. 7, lot 4.

Dead Indian Campground

T. 55 N., R. 104 W.,
Sec. 8, SW¼SW¼ and W½SE¼SW¼;
Sec. 17, N½NW¼NW¼.

Reef Creek Campground

T. 56 N., R. 105 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 7, S½NW¼SW¼.

Island Lake Campground

T. 57 N., R. 105 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 3, W½SW¼SE¼ and S½SE¼SW¼;
Sec. 10, NE¼NE¼NW¼ and NW¼NW¼NE¼.

Lake Creek Campground

T. 57 N., R. 106 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 17, W½SW¼NW¼;
Sec. 18, E½SE¼NE¼.

Hunter Peak Campground

T. 57 N., R. 106 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 27, W½SW¼SE¼;
Sec. 34, NW¼NW¼NE¼.

Crazy Creek Campground

T. 57 N., R. 107 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 3, SE¼SW¼SE¼ and SW¼SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 10, NE¼NW¼NE¼ and NW¼NE¼NE¼.

Fox Creek Campground and Trailer Park

T. 58 N., R. 107 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 7, S½S½NE¼, NW¼SW¼NE¼, NE¼NW¼SE¼, and N½NE¼SE¼.

Falls Campground

T. 43 N., R. 109 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 8, N½NW¼SW¼.

Wind River Lake Campground

T. 44 N., R. 110 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 35, W½SE¼NW¼ and E½SW¼NW¼.

The areas described aggregate 485.41 acres.

ARIZONA

[Arizona 017177]

In Federal Register Doc. 59-6054, appearing as Public Land Order No. 1909, at page 5904 of the issue of July 23, 1959, the land description "S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ " in Sec. 15, T. 36 N., R. 5 E., is hereby corrected to read "E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ".

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 23, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5220; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2683]

[Arizona 024576]

ARIZONA

Order Opening Public Lands Subject to Section 24, Federal Power Act

By virtue of the authority contained in section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818), as amended, and pursuant to determination of the Federal Power Commission of January 26, 1960, docketed DA-135-Arizona, it is ordered as follows:

1. The public lands in the following-described areas are hereby restored to operation of the public land laws, subject to valid existing rights and equitable claims, the requirements of applicable law, rules, and regulations, the provisions of any existing withdrawals, and the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920, supra:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 7 S., R. 16 E.,
Sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 14.

Containing 800 acres, of which 520 acres are public land.

2. Until 10:00 a.m. on November 21, 1962, the State of Arizona shall have a preferred right to apply to select the lands in accordance with provisions of subsection (c) of section 2 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851, 852), and to apply for the reservation to it or to any of its political subdivisions, of any of the lands required for rights-of-way or materials sites in accordance with provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920, supra.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona.

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 23, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5221; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2684]

WYOMING

Revoking Certain Reclamation Withdrawals; Withdrawals of Roadside Zones in Bridger National Forest

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive

Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and by virtue of the authority contained in sec. 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U.S.C. 416) it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the minerals in the following described national forest lands are hereby withdrawn from prospecting, location, entry and purchase under the mining laws of the United States, in aid of programs of the Forest Service for use of the surface as scenic and recreation areas, as indicated:

[Wyoming 0105361]

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

BRIDGER NATIONAL FOREST

Salt Creek Summit Roadside Zone

A strip of land 200 feet wide on each side of the center line of U.S. Highway No. 89 through the following legal subdivisions:

T. 29 N., R. 118 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 5, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 29 N., R. 119 W.,
Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Dutch Joe Roadside Zone

A strip of land 200 feet on each side of the center line of Dutch Joe Road No. 129 through the following legal subdivisions:

T. 31 N., R. 104 W.,
Sec. 5, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 16, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 33, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Fremont Lake Roadside Zone

A strip of land 200 feet on each side of the center line of Fremont Lake Road No. 124.2 through the following legal subdivisions:

T. 34 N., R. 108 W.,
Sec. 5, lots 1, 2, 5, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 7, lot 2 and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 35 N., R. 108 W.,
Sec. 16, unsurveyed S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17, unsurveyed SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, partially unsurveyed NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 29, partially unsurveyed SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 34 N., R. 109 W.,
Sec. 13, lots 3, 4, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Green River Roadside Zone

A strip of land 200 feet on each side of the center line of Green River Road No. 117.2 through the following legal subdivisions:

T. 39 N., R. 108 W.,
Sec. 30, lots 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9;
Sec. 31, lot 1.
T. 39 N., R. 109 W.,
Sec. 4, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 5, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 6, lot 7, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 7, lot 1;

Sec. 9, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 38 N., R. 110 W.,
Sec. 2, lots 1, 2, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 25, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 39 N., R. 110 W.,
Sec. 12, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 25, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 35, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$.

Middle Fork South Piney Roadside Zone

A strip of land 200 feet on each side of the center line of Middle Fork South Piney Road No. 137-A-2 through the following legal subdivisions:

T. 29 N., R. 115 W. (unsurveyed except parts of sections 18, 19, 31, 32),
Sec. 10, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 12, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 15, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31, lots 5, 6, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate approximately 2,156 acres.

2. The orders of January 24, 1952; June 2, 1952; August 19, 1954, and any other order or orders reserving lands for reclamation purposes under authority of section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902, are hereby revoked so far as they affect the following described lands:

[Wyoming 0183956]

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 26 N., R. 105 W.,
Sec. 31, lots 2, 3, 4, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 24 N., R. 106 W.,
Sec. 3, lots 5, 6, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 4, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 6, lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30, lot 3 and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 25 N., R. 106 W.,
Sec. 3, lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 4, lots 1, 2, 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 8, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9;
Sec. 10, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 15, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 16, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, the 30.5 acres of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ in Farm Unit W-12;
Sec. 22, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28, the 618.2 acres in Farm Units W-12, W-13, W-15, and W-16;
Sec. 29, the 278.0 acres in Farm Units W-13 and W-16;
Sec. 32, E $\frac{1}{2}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 33, N $\frac{1}{2}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 26 N., R. 106 W.,
 Sec. 23, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
 and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 26, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 27, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 33, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 34, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and
 SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 35, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 36, the 4.03 acres of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ in
 Farm Unit F-3, the 0.10 acres of the
 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ in Farm Unit F-5, the 111.52
 acres of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ in Farm Unit F-3,
 the 75.36 acres of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ in Farm Unit
 F-4, and the 37.43 acres of the E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$
 in Farm Unit F-5.
 T. 24 N., R. 107 W.,
 Sec. 1, lots 1, 5, and 6, the 1.40 acres of
 lot 2 in Farm Unit W-17, and the 5.15
 acres of W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ in Farm Unit W-17;
 Sec. 24, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 25, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$, and the 47.40 acres of the
 W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ in Farm Units E-21 and E-22;
 Sec. 26, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and the 35.2
 acres of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ in Farm Unit E-21.

The areas described aggregate 9,220.92
 acres of patented lands.

JOHN A. CARVER, JR.,
 Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 23, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5222; Filed, May 29, 1962;
 8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2685]

WYOMING AND OREGON

Withdrawing Lands for Reclamation Purposes; Revoking Executive Or- der No. 1232 of July 28, 1910

By virtue of the authority vested in
 the President by section 1 of the act of
 June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C.
 141), and pursuant to Executive Order
 No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and by virtue
 of the authority contained in section 3
 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat.
 388; 43 U.S.C. 416), it is ordered as
 follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the
 following described public lands are here-
 by withdrawn from all forms of appro-
 priation under the public land laws,
 including the mining laws, and reserved
 for use of the Bureau of Reclamation,
 Department of the Interior, in connec-
 tion with the Shoshone Project:

[WYOMING 077497]

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 57 N., R. 98 W.,
 Sec. 4, lots 1, 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 58 N., R. 98 W.,
 Sec. 34, S $\frac{1}{2}$.

Containing 641.24 acres.

The lands shall be administered by the
 Bureau of Land Management under ap-
 plicable public land laws until such time
 as they or any portion thereof are needed
 for project works or irrigation purposes.

[Oregon 012371]

2. Executive Order No. 1232 of July
 28, 1910, which withdrew lot 4, section
 25, T. 1 S., R. 10 W., W.M., Oregon, con-
 taining 1.98 acres, in aid of legislation,
 is hereby revoked. The lands have been
 conveyed to the City of Tillamook, Ore-

gon, as directed by the act of April 22,
 1960 (74 Stat. 80; Public Law 86-439).

JOHN A. CARVER, JR.,
 Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 24, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5223; Filed, May 29, 1962;
 8:46 a.m.]

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 14501; FCC 62-547]

PART 16—LAND TRANSPORTATION RADIO SERVICES

Automobile Emergency Radio Service; Redefining of Eligibility

At a session of the Federal Commu-
 nications Commission held at its offices
 in Washington, D.C. on the 23d day of
 May 1962:

The Commission having under con-
 sideration the above-captioned matter;
 and

It appearing that on February 6, 1962,
 the Commission issued a notice of pro-
 posed rule making, FCC 62-126, pub-
 lished in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Feb-
 ruary 14, 1962 (27 F.R. 1367), looking
 toward the amendment of Part 16 of the
 Commission's rules, so as to broaden the
 eligibility in the Automobile Emergency
 Radio Service to provide that any person
 who is engaged in providing to the gen-
 eral public any form of emergency road
 service to disabled vehicles will be eli-
 gible in that service without regard to
 whether or not that person operates a
 public garage; and

It further appearing that interested
 parties were invited to file comments on
 or before March 30, 1962, and reply com-
 ments on or before April 16, 1962, and
 that no comments have been filed; and

It further appearing that no reason
 appears why the amendments should
 not be adopted as proposed, with minor
 editorial changes, and that the public
 interest would be served by broadening
 the eligibility to include any person pro-
 viding to the general public an emer-
 gency road service for disabled vehicles;
 and

It further appearing that authority
 for the amendments herein ordered is
 contained in sections 4(i) and 303 of the
 Communications Act of 1934, as
 amended:

It is ordered, That effective June 29,
 1962, Part 16 of the Commission's
 rules is amended as set forth below and
 the proceedings in Docket No. 14501 are
 hereby terminated.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C.
 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat.
 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
 COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
 Acting Secretary.

1. The definition "Automobile Emer-
 gency Radio Service" in § 16.6(a) is
 amended to read as follows:

§ 16.6 Definition of terms.

(a) Definition of services:

Automobile Emergency Radio Service.
 The term "Automobile Emergency Radio
 Service" as used in this part means a
 radiocommunication service for use in
 connection with the dispatching of emer-
 gency road service vehicles for the pur-
 pose of providing assistance to disabled
 automotive vehicles used on streets or
 highways.

2. Section 16.501(a) is amended to
 read as follows:

§ 16.501 Eligibility.

(a) The following persons are eligible
 to hold authorizations to operate radio
 stations in the Automobile Emergency
 Radio Service:

(1) Associations of owners of private
 automobiles which provide a private
 emergency road service for disabled
 vehicles.

(2) Persons regularly engaged in the
 business of providing to the general
 public an emergency road service for
 disabled vehicles.

(3) A non-profit corporation or asso-
 ciation organized for the purpose of fur-
 nishing a radiocommunication service
 solely to persons who are actually en-
 gaged in the activities set forth in either
 subparagraph (1) or (2) of this para-
 graph.

3. Section 16.502(b) is amended to
 read as follows:

§ 16.502 Permissible communications.

(b) Communications required for dis-
 patching repair trucks, tow trucks, or
 other road service vehicles to disabled
 vehicles.

4. In § 16.503, the texts of paragraphs
 (a) and (d) preceding the tables are
 amended to read as follows:

§ 16.503 Frequencies available for base and mobile stations.

(a) The following frequencies are
 available for assignment to base stations
 and mobile stations, other than those
 aboard aircraft, which are operated by
 or on behalf of persons who provide to
 the general public an emergency road
 service for disabled vehicles: *Provided*,
 That only one of these frequencies shall
 be assigned to the stations of any li-
 censee operating in a given area:

(d) The following frequencies are
 available for assignment to base stations
 and mobile stations, other than those
 aboard aircraft, which are operated by
 or on behalf of persons who provide to
 the general public an emergency road
 service for disabled vehicles: *Provided*,
 That only one of these frequencies shall
 be assigned to the stations of any licensee
 operating in a given area: *And provided*
further, That the equipment to be used
 shall immediately meet the technical
 standards which become generally effec-
 tive November 1, 1963:

[F.R. Doc. 62-5303; Filed, May 29, 1962;
 8:50 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

[29 CFR Part 681]

HOMEWORKERS IN PUERTO RICO ENGAGED IN HAND-LACING OF LEATHER WALLETS, LEATHER WALLET COVERS, AND PLASTIC WALLETS

Proposed Minimum Piece Rates

A minimum wage order has been recently published in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the recommendations of Review Committee 3-B increasing the minimum hourly wage rates payable in the leather, leather goods and related products industry in Puerto Rico (27 F.R. 4279). Section 6(a) (2) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 as amended (29 U.S.C. 206(a) (2)), provides that homeworkers in Puerto Rico be paid at not less than the minimum piece rates prescribed by regulation or order, and that such piece rates are required to be commensurate with, and to be paid in lieu of, the minimum hourly wage rates applicable under section 6 to employees in Puerto Rico.

Now, therefore, pursuant to section 6(a) (2) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 as amended (29 U.S.C. 206(a) (2)), Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and General Order No. 45-A of the Secretary of Labor (15 F.R. 3290), notice is hereby given that I propose to amend paragraph (c) of 29 CFR 681.9 by increasing the minimum piece rates for homeworkers engaged in the hand-lacing of leather wallets, leather wallet covers, and plastic wallets in Puerto Rico commensurate with the increases in the minimum hourly wage rates applicable to such work as hereinafter set forth.

Any interested person may file a written statement of data, views or arguments in regard to this proposal with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions, United States Department of Labor, Constitution Avenue and Fourteenth Street NW., Washington 25, D.C., within 15 days after this notice is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 681.9 Minimum piece rates prescribed by the Administrator.

(c) *Piece rates for the hand-lacing of leather wallets, leather wallet covers, and plastic wallets.* A minimum piece rate of 0.84 cent per dozen stitches shall be paid to homeworkers in Puerto Rico engaged in the hand-lacing, single stitch, with plastic lacing material, of leather wallets and leather wallet covers; a minimum piece rate of 2.07 cents per dozen stitches shall be paid to homeworkers in Puerto Rico engaged in the hand-lacing, double stitch, with plastic lacing mate-

rial, of leather wallets and leather wallet covers; and a minimum piece rate of 2.58 cents per dozen stitches shall be paid to homeworkers in Puerto Rico engaged in hand-lacing double stitch, with plastic lacing material, of plastic wallets.

(Sec. 6, 52 Stat. 1062; 29 U.S.C. 206)

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 23d day of May 1962.

CLARENCE T. LUNDQUIST,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5229; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDU- CATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b) (5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 561) has been filed by Elanco Products Company, a Division of Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis 6, Indiana, proposing the issuance of a regulation to amend § 121.217 *Tylosin* to provide for the safe use of 2 grams of tylosin tartrate per gallon in drinking water for turkeys to be administered up to 5 days for the treatment of infectious sinusitis.

Dated: May 23, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5244; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b) (5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 797) has been filed by Lorenz and Lihn G.m.b.H., Bad Godesberg-Mehlem, Federal Republic of Germany, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the safe use of xylitol as a nutritive sweetener in nonstandardized jams and marmalade.

Dated: May 24, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner,
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5245; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 600]

[Airspace Docket No. 61-LA-130]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS

Proposed Alteration

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 409.13), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to §§ 600.6004, 600.6006, and 600.1547 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

Intermediate altitude VOR Federal airway No. 1547 extends in part from the Myton, Utah, VOR via the Cherokee, Wyo., VOR to the Casper, Wyo., VOR. Low altitude VOR Federal airway Nos. 4 and 6 north alternates extend in part as a common airway segment from the Rock Springs, Wyo., VORTAC via the intersection of the Rock Springs VORTAC 065° and Cherokee VOR 276° True radials to the Cherokee VOR.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the following airspace actions:

1. Alter the segment of Victor 1547 from the Myton VOR via the Rock Springs VOR to the Casper VOR.

2. Alter the segment of Victor 4 north and 6 north from the Rock Springs VORTAC via the intersection of the Rock Springs VORTAC 053° and the Cherokee VOR 286° True radials to the Cherokee VOR.

The realignment of Victor 1547 from Myton via Rock Springs to Casper would provide a direct route for military and scheduled air carrier intermediate altitude air traffic between Rock Springs and Casper which presently conduct their operation off airways. The route mileage for air traffic operating between Myton and Casper would remain the same. The alteration of Victor 4 north and 6 north to underlie a portion of Victor 1547 would provide a transition route between the low altitude airway system and Victor 1547 northeast of Rock Springs.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Western Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, P.O. Box 90007, Airport Station, Los Angeles 9, Calif. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Air-

space Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room C-226; 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 24, 1962.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5199; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 14229 etc.; FCC 62-569]

RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

UHF Television Channels, Florida, Kentucky, and Georgia; Memorandum Opinion

In the matter of fostering expanded use of UHF Television Channels, Docket No. 14229; in the matter of amendment of § 3.606 *Table of Assignments* for television broadcast stations in Florida, Kentucky, Georgia, Docket Nos. 14421 (RM-235), 14396 (RM-274), 14409 (RM-290).

The Commission is reappraising its television allocations program announced last July 28. By separate order, the date for filing reply comments in the deintermixture proceedings in Dockets 14239 through 14246 and in the short-spaced assignment proceedings in Dockets 14231 through 14238 is being extended from June 22, 1962, to July 23, 1962. In view, however, of the recent enactment of legislation designed to extend financial aid for the construction of educational television stations, the Commission is hopeful that the statewide proposals for educational television already noticed for rule making in Dockets 14421, 14396, and 14409 and the allocations proposals in the over-all UHF proceeding in Docket 14229 may be concluded as presently scheduled. Accordingly, notice is hereby given that reply comments in Dockets 14229, 14421, 14396, and 14409 must be filed by the June 22, 1962 date which now governs.

Adopted: May 23, 1962.

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5300; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 14239 etc.; FCC 62-570]

RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

Madison, Wis., et al.; Order Extending Time for Filing Reply Comments

In the matter of deintermixture of Madison, Wis.; Rockford, Ill.; Hartford, Conn.; Erie, Pa.; Binghamton, N.Y.; Champaign, Ill.; Columbia, S.C.; Montgomery, Ala., Dockets Nos. 14239, 14240, 14241, 14242, 14243, 14244, 14245, 14246; In the matter of assignment of an additional VHF Channel to Oklahoma City, Okla.; Johnstown, Pa.; Baton Rouge, La.; Dayton, Ohio; Jacksonville, Fla.; Birmingham, Ala.; Knoxville, Tenn.; Charlotte, N.C., Dockets Nos. 14231, 14232, 14233, 14234, 14235, 14236, 14237, 14238.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 23d day of May 1962;

The Commission having under consideration the imminence of the June 22 date which now governs the filing of reply comments in the above-captioned proceedings;

It appearing, that on March 16, 1962 the Commission addressed a letter to Congressman Oren Harris, Chairman of the House Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, in which the Commission stated in connection with all-channel receiver legislation that:

*** the Commission makes the representation to your committee that if the all-channel TV receiver legislation is enacted by this Congress, it is the judgment of the Commission (with the qualification noted in 4, *infra*) that it would be inappropriate, in light of this important new development, to proceed with the eight deintermixture proceedings initiated on July 27, 1961, and that, on the contrary, a sufficient period of time should be allowed to indicate whether the all-channel receiver authority would in fact achieve the Commission's overall allocations goals.¹

It further appearing, that on May 2, 1962, the House of Representatives passed an all-channel receiver bill, that a companion measure is now under consideration in the Senate and that in view of the pendency of the legislation and the Commission's above-quoted commitment to the House committee it is appropriate to put off for a reasonable time the filing of reply comments in these proceedings.

It is ordered, That, pursuant to sections 4(i) and 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the time for filing reply comments in the above-captioned proceedings is extended from June 22, 1962 to July 23, 1962.

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5301; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

¹ House of Representatives, 87th Congress, 2d Session, Report No. 1559 on All-Channel Television Receivers, p. 19.

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 14653 (RM-314); FCC 62-571]

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS FOR TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS IN VERMONT

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above entitled matter.

2. The Commission has before it for consideration the petition for rule making filed on March 5, 1962 by the University of Vermont requesting an amendment of the Table of Assignments (§ 3.306(b) of the Commission's rules and regulations) so as to assign and reserve for non-commercial educational use in Vermont three additional UHF television channels and to make a change in one such assignment. The plan looks toward (a) reserving for non-commercial educational use UHF channels already assigned to Rutland and St. Johnsbury, (b) shifting the educational reservation at Burlington from Channel 16+ to Channel 22+, and (c) assigning Channel 26 to Windsor and reserving it for non-commercial educational use (this requires deletion of the same channel from Hanover, New Hampshire, and New London, Connecticut). The proposed changes are as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Rutland, Vt.	49+	*49+
St. Johnsbury, Vt.	30	*30
Burlington, Vt.	3, 16+, 22+	3, 16+, 22+
Windsor, Vt.		*26
Hanover, N.H.	*20+, 26	*20+
New London, Conn.	26+, 81	81

3. In support of its Petition, the University of Vermont refers to the following: (a) Engineering planning under a Ford Foundation grant to date has disclosed a need for at least four educational reservations for a Statewide system in Vermont; (b) the requested rule making would make possible implementation of existing plans; (c) interest in and support for educational television has been evidenced by various responsible bodies.¹ Additionally, the Petition details the conclusions of the Ford Foundation grant study that Vermont's basic educational needs could be met by properly locating four UHF channels, respectively at Mt. Mansfield (Burlington), Mt. Ascutney (Windsor), Burke Mountain (Saint Johnsbury), and Grandpa Knob (Rutland), since locations could provide about 97 percent of Vermont's population with Grade B coverage.

4. The Thames Broadcasting Corporation, licensee of standard broadcast Station WNLC and former permittee of television Station WNLC-TV, New Lon-

¹ Vermont State Department of Education; Middlebury College; St. Michael's College; Norwich University; Castleton Teachers College; Johnson Teachers College; Bennington College; Goddard College; Vermont College; the State University of Education at Plattsburgh, New York; and the Commission on Educational Television established by the Vermont legislature to study, make recommendations, and assist in planning for educational television in Vermont.

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

don, Connecticut, requests that if Channel 26 is deleted from New London it be replaced with a substitute low band assignment. In view of the fact that Thames does not intend to make immediate use of a channel in New London, we find it desirable in the public interest to defer action on making available a substitute channel until decisions are reached in Docket 14229 concerning the future methods of assigning stations on UHF channels.

5. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in § 1.213 of the Commission's rules, interested parties may file comments on or before July 2, 1962, and reply comments on or before July 12, 1962. In reaching its decision herein, the Commission will not be limited to consideration of comments of record, but will take into account all relevant information obtained in any manner from informed sources.

6. Authority for adoption of the proposed amendments is contained in sections 4 (i) and (j), 303 and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

7. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.54 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all written comments and statements shall be furnished to the Commission.

Adopted: May 23, 1962.

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5302; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Maritime Administration

GRACE LINE INC.

Notice of Application

Notice is hereby given that Grace Line Inc., has filed an Application for the continuation of a waiver, previously granted under the provision of section 804 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended.

To permit Grace Line Inc., its holding company, W. R. Grace & Co., or its affiliates, to continue to serve as agents for the Johnson Line—North Pacific service, and Johnson Line—South Pacific service, including the solicitation and transaction of passenger and cargo business for said Line at United States, Panama Canal Zone, Central American, and North Colombian ports; and ports in Chile, Bolivia via Chile and Peruvian ports, Peru, Ecuador, Colombia, and the Panama Canal Zone.

Any person, or firm or corporation having an interest in such application who desires to offer views and comments thereon for consideration by the Maritime Administrator, should submit same in writing, in triplicate, to the Secretary, Maritime Administration, Washington 25, D.C., by close of business on June 8, 1962. The Maritime Administrator will consider these views and take such action with respect thereto as may be deemed appropriate.

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

Dated: May 24, 1962.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5230; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

GRACE LINE INC.

Notice of Application

Notice is hereby given that Grace Line Inc., has filed an Application for continuation of a waiver, previously granted under the provisions of section 804 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended.

To permit Grace Line Inc., or its affiliate, Panama Agencies, Inc., to continue to serve as husbanding agent for foreign flag vessels transiting the Panama Canal without soliciting or transacting any passenger or cargo business for any foreign flag vessel at Cristobal/Balboa, Canal Zone, or Panama City, Panama.

Any person, or firm or corporation having an interest in such application who desires to offer views and comments thereon for consideration by the Maritime Administrator, should submit same in writing, in triplicate, to the Secretary, Maritime Administration, Washington

25, D.C., by close of business on June 8, 1962. The Maritime Administrator will consider these views and take such action with respect thereto as may be deemed appropriate.

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

Dated: May 24, 1962.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5231; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-155]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Notice of Hearing on Provisional Operating License for Nuclear Facility

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the regulations in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 2, "Rules of Practice", notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held at 10:00 a.m. e.d.t. on July 2, 1962 in the auditorium of the Atomic Energy Commission Headquarters in Germantown, Maryland, to consider the issuance of a provisional facility operating license for a period not to exceed 18 months to the above-named applicant under Section 104b of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. The facility is a high power density, boiling water nuclear reactor located at Big Rock Point, Charlevoix County, Michigan. The application and the record of prior proceedings in this matter are available for public inspection at the AEC's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

The issues to be considered at the hearing will be the following:

1. Whether the technical information omitted from and required to complete the application filed by the applicant with respect to operation of the reactor not in excess of 157 megawatts (thermal) and the performance of Phase I of a research and development program as described in Section 10 of the Final Hazards Summary Report has been submitted;

2. Whether the construction of the facility has proceeded and there is reasonable assurance that the facility will be completed, in conformity with the construction permit and the application, as amended, the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the rules and regulations of the Commission for operation of the reactor not in excess of 157 megawatts (thermal) and the performance of Phase I of a research and development program as described in section 10 of the Final Hazards Summary Report;

3. Whether there is reasonable assurance that operation of the reactor not in excess of 157 megawatts (thermal)

and the performance of phase I of a research and development program as described in section 10 of the Final Hazards Summary Report can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Commission;

4. Whether the applicant is technically and financially qualified to operate the reactor not in excess of 157 megawatts (thermal) and to perform phase I of a research and development program as described in section 10 of the Final Hazards Summary Report in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Commission;

5. Whether the applicant has furnished to the Commission proof of financial protection in accordance with 10 CFR Part 140 "Financial Protection Requirements and Indemnity Agreements";

6. Whether there is reasonable assurance that the facility will be ready for initial loading with nuclear fuel within ninety days from the date of issuance of a provisional license; and

7. Whether the operation of the reactor not in excess of 157 megawatts (thermal) and the performance of phase I of a research and development program as described in section 10 of the Final Hazards Summary Report will be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the Public.

Notice is hereby given that the report of the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, dated May 12, 1962, in this matter is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Copies of this report may be obtained by request to the Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C.

Petitions for leave to intervene pursuant to section 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice must be received in the Office of the Secretary, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland, or in the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington 25, D.C., not later than June 26, 1962 or, in the event of a postponement of the hearing date specified, at such time as the Presiding Officer may specify.

Answers to this notice pursuant to § 2.705 of the Commission's rules of practice shall be filed on or before June 18 by the licensee.

Papers required to be filed with the Commission in this proceeding shall be filed by mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., or may be filed by delivery to the Office of the Secretary, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland or the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street N.W., Wash-

ington, D.C. Pending further order of the Presiding Officer, parties shall file pursuant to Section 2.708 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, an original and fifteen conformed copies of each such paper with the Commission.

The hearing will be conducted by a presiding officer to be designated by the Chief Hearing Examiner.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of May 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

W. B. McCool,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5313; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 13394 etc.]

FRONTIER-NORTH CENTRAL ROUTE TRANSFER "USE IT OR LOSE IT" CASE

Notice of Postponement of Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter now assigned to be held on June 12, 1962, is postponed to a date to be later assigned.

Dated at Washington, D.C., May 25, 1962.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5291; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12538]

TRANS-TEXAS AIRWAYS, INC., "USE IT OR LOSE IT" INVESTIGATION

Notice of Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that oral argument in the above-entitled investigation is assigned to be heard on June 13, 1962, at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 1027, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW, Washington, D.C., before the Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., May 25, 1962.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5292; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 14600; FCC 62M-753]

BIRCH BAY BROADCASTING CO., INC. (KARI)

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of Birch Bay Broadcasting Company, Inc., (KARI), Blaine,

Washington, Docket No. 14600, File No. BP-14075; for construction permit.

A prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter having been held on May 24, 1962, and it appearing from the record made therein that certain agreements were reached and certain rulings made by the Hearing Examiner which should be formalized by order:

It is ordered, This 24th day of May 1962, that:

(1) The direct affirmative case of the applicant shall be presented entirely in the form of sworn written exhibits;

(2) Copies of all of the applicant's exhibits shall be supplied to counsel for the Broadcast Bureau on or before July 2, 1962;

(3) In the event counsel for the Broadcast Bureau wishes to call for cross-examination any witness responsible for the preparation of any of applicant's exhibits, notification thereof shall be given on or before July 10, 1962;

It is further ordered, That the hearing herein heretofore scheduled to commence on June 13, 1962, is continued to July 19, 1962, commencing at 10:00 a.m. in the offices of the Commission at Washington, D.C.

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5294; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14595; FCC 62M-750]

BOOTHEEL BROADCASTING CO.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of William L. Miller, tr/as Bootheel Broadcasting Company, Kennett, Missouri, Docket No. 14595, File No. BP-14158; for construction permit.

Pursuant to the agreements reached at the prehearing conference held on May 22, 1962, the evidentiary hearing in the above-entitled proceeding now scheduled for June 12, 1962 is continued to July 10, 1962.

It is so ordered, This the 23d day of May 1962.

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5295; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14567, 14568; FCC 62M-751]

D AND E BROADCASTING CO. AND GREAT STATE BROADCASTERS, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Manuel G. Davila and Willie G. Egerton d/b as D and E Broadcasting Co., San Antonio, Texas, Docket No. 14567, File No. BP-14442, and Great State Broadcasters, Inc., San Antonio, Texas, Docket No. 14568, File No. BP-15032; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a change of date for commencement of hearing;

It appearing that a prehearing conference was held on the date of this order at which time matters were discussed which make it desirable to change the currently established date for commencement of hearing, which is June 13;

It is therefore ordered, This 24th day of May 1962, that the date for commencement of hearing is changed from June 13 to July 24, 1962.

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5296; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14596; FCC 62M-747]

KDOK BROADCASTING CO.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of KDOK Broadcasting Company (KDOK), Tyler, Texas, Docket No. 14596, File No. BP-13815; for construction permit.

In accordance with the ruling at the prehearing conference today: *It is ordered*, This 23d day of May 1962, that the hearing now scheduled for June 18, 1962, is rescheduled to Monday, July 9, 1962, at 10 a.m., in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: May 24, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5297; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14646, 14647; FCC 62M-748]

MINEOLA BROADCASTING CO. AND CENTER BROADCASTING CO., INC.

Order Continuing Hearing Conference

In re applications of: J. A. Windham and Lee Robinson d/b as Mineola Broadcasting Co., Mineola, Texas, Docket No. 14646, File No. BP-14244, and Center Broadcasting Company, Inc., Pittsburg, Texas, Docket No. 14647, File No. BP-14418; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 23d day of May 1962, on the Hearing Examiner's own motion, that the prehearing conference presently scheduled to be convened at 9:00 a.m., Thursday, June 21, 1962, at the Commission's offices, Washington, D.C. is hereby rescheduled for Wednesday, June 27, 1962, at the same time and place.

Released: May 24, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5298; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14288 etc.; FCC 62-552]

**RADIO ONE FIVE HUNDRED, INC.,
ET AL.****Memorandum Opinion and Order
Clarifying Issue**

In re applications of Radio One Five Hundred, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana, et al., Docket No. 14288, File No. BP-13922 et al.; for construction permits.

1. The Commission has before it for consideration a petition for reconsideration, filed March 28, 1962, by Storer Broadcasting Company (WJBK), and pleadings properly filed in response thereto.

2. By Memorandum Opinion and Order, 27 F.R. 2019, 22 RR 1088, March 1, 1962, the Commission on its own motion enlarged the issues in this proceeding. Although specifically denying the requests for such action, the enlargement resulted from the matters raised in the pleadings before the Commission at that time; accordingly, our Order was an interlocutory ruling and thus the instant petition is properly titled and before the Commission for consideration. As an appeal from an interlocutory action, however, the petition violates our policy against entertaining such pleadings, except in circumstances that do not here prevail, KWK Radio, Inc., 21 RR 304 (1961). Although grant of the relief requested might eliminate questions raised as to WJBK's nighttime proposal, it would not have the effect of disposing of the entire application which is one of the fundamental exceptions to our policy of non-review; obviously no new or newly discovered and meritorious matters are presented for WJBK is relying on the conditions added in the interlocutory Order and originally required by the designation Order; and inasmuch as WJBK's original pleadings were specifically addressed to its opposition to the action we took in the interlocutory Order it cannot be found that new relief is being sought. Contrary to the assertion that application of this policy would be "doctrinaire", it is based on the practical consideration of eliminating repetitious, unnecessary, and time-consuming pleadings which can serve no useful purpose.

3. It is clear from a reading of the enlargement Order herein, supra, that WTOP's contentions with regard to its entitled protection have been rejected and Issue 20 encompasses only "objectionable" interference within the meaning and intent of that term as used by the Commission's rules.

Accordingly, it is ordered, This 23d day of May 1962, that the petition for reconsideration, filed March 28, 1962, by Storer Broadcasting Company (WJBK), is denied; and

It is further ordered, That Issue 20 is clarified by adding the word "objectionable" before the word "interference".

Released: May 25, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5299; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

No. 105—11

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**U.S. ATLANTIC AND GULF AMERICAN
FLAG BERTH OPERATORS AND
WEST COAST AMERICAN FLAG
BERTH OPERATORS****Notice of Agreement Filed for
Approval**

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763; 46 U.S.C. 814):

Agreement 8750, between the member lines of the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf American Flag Berth Operators (AGAFBO) and the West Coast American Flag Berth Operators (WCAFBFO), operating pursuant to approved Agreements 8086 and 8186, respectively, provides for discussion and agreement, between the two entities, as to rates, terms and conditions of cargo to be negotiated with, and carried on behalf of, the Military Sea Transportation Service and "related shipper services" (identified as the Army, Navy, Air Force, and other U.S. military services) between United States mainland and territorial possessions, and foreign destinations, also between foreign ports, provided that each group of American flag carriers shall take such action as it may decide independently of the other group.

Interested parties may inspect this agreement and obtain copies thereof at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and may submit within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to the agreement and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime
Commission.

THOMAS LIST,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5250; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]**AMERICAN UNION TRANSPORT, INC.
ET AL.****Notice of Freight Forwarder Applica-
tions Filed for Approval**

Notice is hereby given that the following corporations have been issued application numbers by the Federal Maritime Commission for licenses as independent ocean freight forwarders, pursuant to section 44(a) of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (Public Law 87-254).

Protests to the granting of any application should be filed in writing with the Acting Director, Bureau of Domestic Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No.	Name and address	Officers
560	American Union Transport, Inc., 17 Battery Pl., New York 4, N.Y.	Marcell M. Holzer, chair. and director; Eric Holzer, pres. and director; Georg Hirschfeld, exec. vice pres. and director; Ernest W. Otto, vice pres., treas., sec., and director; Heinz H. Herrmann, vice pres. and director; George Gonze, vice pres.; Gertrud Holzer, director; Elizabeth A. Pschoor, director; Edward Kozink, controller.
560	American Union Transport (Illinois) Inc., same address as N.Y.	Harry Fischer, asst. treas.; Sidney L. Astrove, asst. vice pres.; Edward C. Beaudet, asst. vice pres.; Peter P. Goetz, asst. vice pres.; Tadeusz Przedpelski, asst. vice pres.
560	Adolf Blum & Popper, Inc., same address as American Union Transport.	Marcell M. Holzer, chair. and director; Eric Holzer, vice pres. and director; Ernest W. Otto, sec. and treas.; Otto G. Winteritz, vice pres.; Gertrud Holzer, director.
560	Merchants' Forwarders, Inc., same address as American Union Transport.	Marcell M. Holzer, pres., chair., and director; Eric Holzer, vice pres., treas., and director; George Hirschfeld, director.
560	Roepke & Otto, Inc., same address as American Union Transport.	Marcell M. Holzer, pres. and director; Eric Holzer, vice pres., sec., and director; Ernest W. Otto, vice pres., treas., and director.
560	A. S. Wilson Corp., same address as American Union Transport.	Marcell M. Holzer, chairman and director; Eric Holzer, pres. and director; Heinz H. Herrmann, vice pres. and director; Georg Hirschfeld, sec.; Clarence Horton, asst. vice pres.
922	D. C. Andrews & Co., Inc., 27 Water St., New York 4, N.Y.	Charles C. Hartzell, pres. and director; Frank R. Harlock, exec. vice pres. and director; Eugene R. Birchler, vice pres.; William D. Davies, vice pres.; Myron L. Shapiro, sec. and director; Erna Barrett Hartzell, director; Hakon Olsson, treas.; Lloyd Banks, asst. sec.; Louis F. Brickmeier, asst. sec.
922	D. C. Andrews & Co. of Maryland, Inc., Gay and Lombard Sts., Baltimore, Md.	Officers same as New York corporation with the addition of: Walter Jahrling, vice pres.
922	D. C. Andrews & Co. of Mass., 131 State St., Boston, Mass.	Officers same as New York corporation.
922	D. C. Andrews & Co. of Illinois Inc., 327 South LaSalle St., Chicago, Ill.	Officers same as New York corporation with the addition of: James A. Lansing, vice pres.
922	D. C. Andrews & Co. of Louisiana, Inc.	Officers same as New York corporation, with the addition of: Eldon J. Gilly, vice pres.
412	Castleazo & Associates, 408 South Spring St., Los Angeles 13, Calif.	Leonard Q. Webster, pres. and director; Francisco Castleazo, vice pres. and director; Helen B. Sanders, sec. treas., director.
170	Cavalier Shipping Co., Inc., 147 Granby St., Norfolk, Va.	Virginia B. Goffigon, pres. director; Edward F. Lawler, exec. vice pres., treas., and director; Edward Breeden, Jr., director; Louis C. Cornick, sec.; Luther E. Chitty, asst. sec.; William G. Monell, asst. sec.; C. E. Smith, asst. treas.; W. B. Farant, asst. sec.; P. N. Goffigon, Jr., asst. sec.
760	Champion Forwarding Corp., 90 West Broadway, New York 7, N.Y.	Louis C. Thielen, vice pres.; Jean Laverman, pres.; Rose Goldberg, treas.; Augustina Colon, sec.

No.	Name and address	Officers
751	Charleston Overseas Forwarders, Inc., 18 Broad St., Charleston, S.C.	A. N. Manucy, pres.; A. N. Manucy, Jr., vice pres.; E. R. McIntosh, Jr., sec.-treas.
768	Chary Company, Inc., 82 Wall St., New York 5, N.Y.	Albert J. Chary, pres.; Ida A. Chary, vice pres. and treas.; Geraldine T. Clark, sec.
65	Chase, Leavitt & Co., 179 Commercial St., Portland, Maine.	Ralph A. Leavitt, pres.; William Leavitt, treas.; Ruth B. Leavitt, vice pres.; Benjamin Thompson, clerk.
322	City Transfer Co., Ltd., 610 Fort St., P.O. Box 460, Honolulu 13, Hawaii.	Harold J. Ancill, pres.; Dean E. Witt, vice pres.; Darrell H. Puckett, sec.-treas.; Robert A. Cushnie, auditor; Hathale A. Cushnie, director.
678	Clark Shipping Co., Inc., 1137 Ellamae St., P.O. Box 2532, Tampa, Fla.	R. B. Clark, pres.-director; Florinda Clark, sec.-treas. and director; Florinda Hernandez, director.
132	Cohen-Plaas Co., Inc., 8-10 Bridge St., New York 4, N.Y.	Isidore Cohen, pres.; Otto Plaas, vice pres.
489	T. A. Coleman & Co., Inc., 23 East 22d St., New York 10, N.Y.	Thomas A. Coleman, pres.; Rose Coleman, sec.-treas.
298	Colony Shipping Co., Inc., 75 West St., New York 6, N.Y.	Howard J. Morey, pres.; Harry C. Byrne, vice pres.; Arnold C. Birkbeck, asst. sec.; H. George Kleiner, asst. treas.
453	Competent Shipping Corp., 26 Broadway, New York 4, N.Y.	William E. Michener, pres.; William G. Sikora, vice pres.; June A. Sikora, treas.; Marion A. Michener, sec.
457	Continental Forwarding, Inc., 82 Beaver St., New York, N.Y.	Franz Zinnmeister, pres.; Howard Dale, sec.; Bernard Brady, vice pres.
496	John S. Connor, Inc., 33 South Gay St., Baltimore 2, Md.	Charles M. Connor, pres. and director; Paul F. Connor, vice pres., sec., and director; W. Webster Whittington, treas.; John F. Burr, asst. vice pres.; A. Loretto Connor, asst. sec.-director; Nicholas G. Penniman III, director. Estate of Walter V. Connor, deceased; Nicholas G. Penniman and Maryland Nat'l. Bank, co-executors.
134	W. M. Cook & Company, Inc., 42 Broadway, New York 4, N.Y.	William M. Cook, pres.; Isobel Cook, treas.; Michael A. Rolon, vice pres.; Marie Rolon, sec.
234	L. E. Coppersmith, Inc., 426 South Spring St., Los Angeles 13, Calif.	L. E. Coppersmith, pres.; Arthur J. Soderland, vice pres.; Toshio Nakamura, vice pres.; JoAnne A. Coppersmith, sec.-treas.; Robert N. Hoss, director; Nathan J. Nelson, director.
567	Congo American Shipping & Forwarding Co., Inc., 1441 Broadway, New York 18, N.Y.	Victor Alhadeff, pres.-treas.; Lionel Weinstein, vice pres.; Antoinette Genovese, sec.
499	The Copeland Co., Inc., Port Everglades Station, Box 13064 Fort Lauderdale, Fla.	Philip L. Copeland, pres.; Carl Matusek, vice pres.; Kenneth A. Bryant, sec.
278	Corpus Christi Terminal Co., Inc., 2001 Aransas St., P.O. Box 1236, Corpus Christi, Tex.	Lee M. Fox, pres.; Lois W. Fox, vice pres.; Alice J. Wilkinson, sec.-treas.
565	Cory Mann George Corp., 80 Broad St., New York 4, N.Y.	C. W. Oxley, pres. and director; C. L. Peters, director, asst. sec.; J. F. Lilly, director and sec.; M. M. Vassily, treas.
722	Cosmos Shipping Co., Inc., 8-10 Bridge St., New York 4, N.Y.	Morton Bycoffe, pres.; Jacob Fink, sec.-treas.; Nathan Wein, vice pres.
406	The Cottman Co., 300 Water St., Baltimore 2, Md.	John Delclos, director and pres.; Edward H. Mester vice pres. and sec.; James V. Guthrie, vice pres.; Henry H. Miller, treas.; Sanford H. Disney, Jr., asst. sec.-asst. treas.
680	The Cromar Line of Florida, Inc., 1635 South Miami Ave., Miami, Fla.	Joseph B. Gabai, pres.; Manuel Sedano, vice pres.; Nestor Morales, sec.

No.	Name and address	Officers
625	Cuban American Forwarders Co., Inc., 95 Broad St., New York 4, N.Y.	Hortensia M. Ramos, pres. and sec.; Joseph M. Schifano, treas.
177	Cueva Company, Inc., 535 Gravier St., New Orleans 12, La.	Angel Cueva, pres.; George P. Barnes, Jr., vice pres.; Nestor Marquez-Diaz, sec.-treas.; Louis A. Pille, director; John P. Nelson, Jr., director.
142	N. D. Cunningham & Co., Inc., P.O. Box 15, Mobile, Ala.	Geo. D. Cunningham, pres.; Sara Sanford Dodd, vice pres.; Lucille K. Cunningham, sec.-treas.

Dated: May 24, 1962.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 62-5251; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 997]

**NORTH ATLANTIC CONTINENTAL
FREIGHT CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Petition to Increase
Spread**

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 14b, Shipping Act, 1916, the North Atlantic Continental Freight Conference has filed with the Commission a petition for an increase in the spread between contract and noncontract rates from the present 10 percent to 15 percent.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the petition at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of statements with reference to such petition and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 24, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime
Commission.THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 62-5252; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1031]

**NEW YORK FREIGHT BUREAU
(HONG KONG)****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage
(Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the New York Freight Bureau (Hong Kong) has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the

Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime
Commission.THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 62-5253; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1032]

**NORTH BRAZIL/UNITED STATES-
CANADA FREIGHT CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage
(Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the North Brazil/United States-Canada Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime
Commission.THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 62-5254; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1033]

**NORTH ATLANTIC FRENCH ATLANTIC
FREIGHT CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the North Atlantic French Atlantic Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5255; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1034]

**NORTH ATLANTIC MEDITERRANEAN
FREIGHT CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the North Atlantic Mediterranean Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publica-

tion of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5256; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1035]

**PACIFIC COAST/CARIBBEAN SEA
PORTS CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific Coast/Caribbean Sea Ports Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5257; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1036]

**PACIFIC COAST AUSTRALASIAN
TARIFF BUREAU****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific Coast Australasian Tariff Bureau has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provi-

sions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5258; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1037]

**NORTH ATLANTIC BALTIC FREIGHT
CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the North Atlantic Baltic Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5259; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1038]

NORTH ATLANTIC CONTINENTAL FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the North Atlantic Continental Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the Offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5260; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1039]

NORTH ATLANTIC UNITED KINGDOM FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the North Atlantic United Kingdom Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL

REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5261; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1040]

PACIFIC COAST/MEXICO FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific Coast/Mexico Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5262; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1041]

PACIFIC COAST/PANAMA CANAL FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific Coast/Panama Canal Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping

Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5263; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1042]

RIVER PLATE/UNITED STATES- CANADA FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the River Plate/United States-Canada Freight Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5264; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1043]

**RIVER PLATE AND BRAZIL
CONFERENCES****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the River Plate and Brazil Conferences has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5265; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1044]

**PACIFIC/WEST COAST OF SOUTH
AMERICA CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific/West Coast of South America Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15

copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5266; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1045]

**U.S. ATLANTIC & GULF/HAITI
CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atlantic & Gulf/Haiti Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5267; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1046]

**WEST COAST OF ITALY, SICILIAN AND
ADRIATIC PORTS/NORTH ATLANTIC
RANGE CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the West Coast of Italy, Sicilian and Adriatic Ports/North Atlantic Range Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping

Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5268; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1047]

**U.S. ATLANTIC & GULF/VENEZUELA
& NETHERLANDS ANTILLES
CONFERENCE****Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atlantic & Gulf/Venezuela & Netherlands Antilles Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5269; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1048]

U.S. ATLANTIC & GULF PORTS-JAMAICA (B.W.I.) STEAMSHIP CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atlantic & Gulf Ports-Jamaica (B.W.I.) Steamship Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5270; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1049]

U.S. ATLANTIC & GULF/AUSTRALIA-NEW ZEALAND CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atlantic & Gulf/Australia-New Zealand Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission,

Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5271; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1050]

TRANS-PACIFIC FREIGHT CONFERENCE (HONG KONG)

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Trans-Pacific Freight Conference (Hong Kong) has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5272; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1051]

STRAITS/PACIFIC CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Straits/Pacific Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Con-

tract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5273; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1052]

STRAITS/NEW YORK CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Straits/New York Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5274; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1053]

SOUTH ATLANTIC STEAMSHIP CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patron- age (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the South Atlantic Steamship Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5275; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1054]

SANTIAGO DE CUBA CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patron- age (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Santiago de Cuba Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after pub-

lication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5276; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1055]

PACIFIC/STRAITS CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patron- age (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific/Strait Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5277; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1056]

PACIFIC INDONESIAN CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patron- age (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific Indonesian Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the con-

tract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5278; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1057]

PACIFIC COAST RIVER PLATE BRAZIL CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patron- age (Dual Rate) Contract

Notice is hereby given that the Pacific Coast River Plate Brazil Conference has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled, or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5279; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 2165]

ALABAMA POWER COMPANY, WAR- RIOR RIVER DEVELOPMENT; LEWIS SMITH RESERVOIR AND POWER SITE AND JOHN HOLLIS BANK- HEAD DAM SITE

Notice of Land Withdrawal

MAY 24, 1962.

Conformable to the provisions of section 24 of the Act of June 10, 1920, as amended, notice is hereby given that the hereinafter described lands, insofar as title thereto remains in the United States, are included in power project No. 2165 for which completed application for license was filed September 16, 1959. Under said section 24 all lands of the United States, both public and acquired, lying within the boundaries of the project as delimited upon the maps filed in support of the application for license, are from said date of filing reserved from entry, location or other disposal under the laws of the United States until otherwise directed by the Congress or by the Commission.

HUNTSVILLE MERIDIAN, ALABAMA

THE LEWIS SMITH RESERVOIR AND POWER SITE

Public Domain Lands outside of National Forest

T. 11 S., R. 5 W.,
Sec. 17: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 12 S., R. 5 W.,
Sec. 17: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Public Domain Lands in William B. Bankhead National Forest

T. 10 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 19: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30: N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31: N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 11 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 5: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 7: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 12 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 2: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 10 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 23: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 11 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 12: W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 12 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 18: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 9 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 33: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 12 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 13: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Acquired land in William B. Bankhead National Forest

T. 10 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 19: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 30: S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 31: N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 11 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 24: N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 12 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 7: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8: SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 9 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 34: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 10 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 3: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ West of Clifty Fork, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$; NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ South and West of Clifty Fork, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31: S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ East of Sipsey River, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36: N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 11 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 1: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 4: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 5: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 6: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 7: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9: W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 16: NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17: S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, West of Creek, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 12 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 1: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 2: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 4: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 5: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 7: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 9 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 28: E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 33: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 10 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 2: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ West of Buck Branch, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ West of Buck Branch, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 11 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 2: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11: N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Those parts in U.S. ownership, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ those parts in U.S. ownership; SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 12 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 3: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13: N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Land acquired by Corps of Engineers at John Hollis Bankhead Dam Site

T. 18 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 22: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ that part in U.S. ownership.

The area of United States land reserved pursuant to the filing of this application for license is approximately 2,708.30 acres, both public domain and acquired, of which approximately 2,649.50 acres are within the William B. Bankhead National Forest. Approximately 40.10 acres are United States public domain of which one Tract, the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T. 12 S., R. 5 W., has now been patented, subject to Section 24 of the Federal Power Act. Also, included is an area of approximately 18.70 acres, within the John Hollis Bankhead Development, which was acquired by the Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense, for the United States Lock and Dam No. 17, now identified as the John Hollis Bankhead Dam Site.

Copies of maps, Exhibit "J" (FPC No. 2165-3) filed August 3, 1956 of the entire project area; Exhibit "K" Sheet 1 (FPC No. 2165-71) filed April 9, 1962 of the John Hollis Bankhead Development and Exhibits "K" Sheets 1A, 2, 4 to 6 inclusive, 8 to 20, inclusive, 22, 23 and 24 (FPC Nos. 2165-72 to 92, inclusive) filed April 9, 1962 of the Lewis Smith Development are being transmitted to the Bureau of Land Management, Forest Service, Office of the Chief of Engineers, Department of Defense and the Geological Survey.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5206; Filed, May 29, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-220]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

MAY 23, 1962.

Take notice that on March 20, 1962, El Paso Natural Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas, filed in Docket No. CP62-220 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon certain tap, measuring, and regulating facilities utilized in providing natural gas service to Arizona Public Service Company (Public Service) in the community of Yuma, Arizona, and environs, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that in August, 1957, it established a supplemental delivery point for Public Service in the Yuma area and there installed tap, measuring, and regulating facilities on its existing 5-inch O.D. Yuma pipeline. Installation and operation of the subject facilities were accomplished under § 2.55(c) of the Commission's general policy and interpretations. The subject facilities, known as the Tanner Tap facilities, provided delivery of gas to Public Service for resale to certain customers of Public Service served by a small distribution system separate from its main dis-

tribution system in the community of Yuma. Applicant further states that development and growth in the Yuma area have resulted in changes in the distribution facilities of Public Service which have eliminated the need for deliveries by Applicant at the Tanner Tap location.

The application states that the gas service provided by Applicant at the Tanner Tap location was discontinued on December 11, 1961, and has been continued by delivering gas into the main distribution system of Public Service at Applicant's existing Yuma City Gate No. 1 measuring and regulating station.

Applicant states that the net salvage value of the facilities proposed to be abandoned is \$3,100.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on June 27, 1962, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.*

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 15, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5027; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-236]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

MAY 23, 1962.

Take notice that on April 6, 1962, El Paso Natural Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas, filed in Docket No. CP62-236 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation and sale of natural gas to Southern Union Gas Company (Southern) for resale and distribution in the communities of Snowflake, Taylor, Shumway and Show Low, and their re-

spective environs, and the areas adjacent to Southern's transmission facilities serving said communities, all in Navajo County, Arizona, as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

No additional facilities will be required by Applicant in order to make the proposed sale. The application shows that Applicant is presently authorized to deliver gas to Southern for resale to Southwest Forest Industries, Inc. (Southwest), near Snowflake, Arizona. Applicant will make delivery of the subject gas at this existing delivery point.

Southern will construct and operate transmission and distribution facilities in order to serve the four communities.

The proposed sale will be made pursuant to a service agreement between Applicant and Southern and in accordance with Applicant's FPC Gas Rate Schedules A-1, B-1, and D-1 of its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

The application shows the estimated peak day requirements for the four communities to aggregate 1,638 Mcf of natural gas.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on June 28, 1962, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.*

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 18, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5028; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-212]

MICHIGAN WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

MAY 23, 1962.

Take notice that on March 13, 1962, as supplemented on April 19, 1962, Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company

(Applicant), 500 Griswold Street, Detroit, Michigan, filed in Docket No. CP62-212 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities and the operation, under lease from Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (Michigan Consolidated), of two storage fields, the Norwich Field in Newaygo County, Michigan, and the Orient Field in Osceola and Clare Counties, Michigan, with appurtenant facilities, to provide daily increased sales capacity of 85,000 Mcf of natural gas, of which Applicant proposes the allocation of 77,397 Mcf to existing customers in conformity with their respective nominations for the contract year commencing September 1, 1962, all as more fully described in the application, as supplemented, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that the foregoing project involves no new gas supply since, through utilization of these storage fields, Applicant will be able to convert to firm service gas which is presently available for sale only on an overrun basis.

Further, Applicant requests authorization herein to partially loop its Racine and Beloit pipelines in Wisconsin and to supercharge the compressor engines at its Wisconsin "A" Station to provide an increase of 2,210 horsepower. Also, Applicant requests authorization to extend its 6½-inch pipeline 20 miles north from Merrill, Wisconsin, to Tomahawk, Wisconsin, and to sell and deliver gas at that point to Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (Public Service) for distribution and resale by Public Service in the communities of Tomahawk and Rhinelander, Wisconsin, previously without natural gas service, in which communities Public Service holds franchises to render such service. Third year peak day requirements for the two communities are estimated at 6,687 Mcf, which volume is included in the allocation proposed under this application.

Applicant states that the estimated total cost of facilities which it proposes to construct under this application is \$1,783,000, which will be financed with treasury funds. Additional investment required by Michigan Consolidated to provide required deliverability from the Norwich and Orient Fields is estimated at \$4,442,000.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on June 26, 1962, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however, That the Com-*

mission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 15, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5209; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-192]

TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

MAY 23, 1962.

Take notice that on February 15, 1962, as supplemented on April 2, 1962, Transwestern Pipeline Company (Applicant), First National City Bank Building, Houston, Texas, filed in Docket No. CP62-192 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the use of its existing certificated pipeline facilities to enable Applicant to make a direct industrial sale of natural gas to Atlantic Refining Company (Atlantic) pursuant to an agreement between the parties dated July 5, 1961, as amended, all as more fully set forth in the application, as supplemented, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant's contract with Atlantic provides for a short-term (two years) direct industrial sale of not more than 3,500 Mcf of gas per day, and not more than 6,000 Mcf of gas per day if the contract is extended beyond the primary term of two years. The sale is interruptible and is dependent upon Applicant's having excess capacity available in its existing certificated facilities. The gas will be delivered to Atlantic at a point on Applicant's existing lateral line in Ward County, Texas, and will be used by Atlantic in its repressuring operations in the Block 9 Field in Ward County. The initial price is 19 cents per Mcf.

The application states that a tap and metering facilities, estimated to cost approximately \$4,200, will be necessary to effectuate the proposed sale.

Applicant has commenced the subject sale under the mistaken belief that a certificate was not required and has filed the subject application to continue the sale.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject

to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on June 26, 1962, at 9:00 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 15, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5211; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-45]

TRUNKLINE GAS CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

MAY 23, 1962.

Take notice that on August 18, 1961, Trunkline Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas, filed in Docket No. CP62-45 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a side tap and metering facilities for the transportation of natural gas for resale and the sale of natural gas to Illinois Power Company for resale in Ogden, Illinois, and environs, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant estimates the gas requirements for Ogden as follows:

Year	Volumes in Mcf at 14.73 psia	
	Peak day	Annual
1	211	20,900
2	271	26,900
3	289	31,600

The sale would be made under Applicant's Rate Schedule SG-2. Applicant states that Illinois Power Company has obtained a franchise for its proposed natural gas service in Ogden, Illinois, and the required certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Illinois Commerce Commission.

The cost of the proposed facilities is estimated at \$12,000 and will be financed from funds on hand.

Applicant states that it has sufficient unallocated main line capacity available to make the proposed sale, and that the proposed service will have a minimal effect on the life index of Trunkline's gas supply.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on June 28, 1962, at 9:30 a.m. e.d.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 14, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5212; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. RI62-431 etc.]

SHELL OIL CO. ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates¹

MAY 23, 1962.

Shell Oil Company (Operator), Docket No. RI62-431; Shell Oil Company, Docket No. RI62-432; Charles B. Wrightsman, Docket No. RI62-433; Texaco Inc., Docket No. RI62-434; LeCuno Oil Corporation, Docket No. RI62-435; Gulf Oil Corporation, Docket No. RI62-436; Union Texas Petroleum, a Division of Allied Chemical Corporation, Docket No. RI62-437; Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc., Docket No. RI62-438; Cabot Corporation (SW) (Operator), et al., Docket No. RI62-439; Monsanto Chemical Company, Docket No. RI62-440; United Producing Company, Inc., Docket No. RI62-441; Van-Grisso Oil Company, Docket No. RI62-442; Sinclair Oil & Gas Company, Docket No. RI62-443; Frederick C. and Ferris F. Hamilton, d/b/a

¹ This order does not provide for the consolidation for hearing or disposition of the several matters covered herein, nor should it be so construed.

Hamilton Brothers, Ltd., Docket No. RI62-444; The Superior Oil Company, Docket No. RI62-445; The Atlantic Refining Company, Docket No. RI62-446.

The above-named Respondents have tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for

sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. All of the sales are made at a pressure base of 14.65 psia, with the exception of the sales made by Charles B. Wrightsman under Supplement No. 5 to his FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 3; Shell Oil Company (Op-

erator) under Supplement No. 2 to its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 203, and Shell Oil Company under Supplement No. 2 to its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 211, which are made at a pressure base of 15.025 psia. The proposed changes are designated as follows:

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until	Cents per Mcf		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI62-431	Shell Oil Co. (Operator), 50 West 50th St., New York 20, N.Y.	203	2	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (Iowa Field, Calcasieu and Jefferson Davis Parishes, La.) (Southern Louisiana).	\$185,566	4-27-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	20.55	\$22.55	G-18698
RI62-432	Shell Oil Co.	211	2	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (St. Gabriel Field, Iberville Parish, La.) (Southern Louisiana).	4,181	4-27-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	20.55	\$22.55	G-19155
RI62-432	do	127	12	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (North McFaddin Field, Victoria County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 2).	1,242	5-4-62	6-7-62	11-7-62	14.7125	\$15.7825	G-14970
RI62-432	do	157	2	Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (SW. Camp Creek Field, Beaver County, Okla.).	1,381	4-27-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	16.80	\$17.02	
RI62-433	Charles B. Wrightsman, 1805 First City National Bank Bldg., Houston 2, Tex.	3	5	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (Maurice Field, Vermilion, and Lafayette Parishes, La.) (Southern Louisiana).	20,640	4-27-62	5-28-62	10-28-62	\$20.25	\$22.25	
RI62-434	Texaco Inc., P.O. Box 2332, Houston 1, Tex.	124	7	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (McFaddin Field, Victoria County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 2).	1,579	5-3-62	6-7-62	11-7-62	14.7125	\$15.7825	G-15007
RI62-434	Texaco Inc.	133	33	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Camrick SE. Field, Texas and Beaver Counties, Okla.).	209	4-25-62	6-5-62	11-5-62	17.0	\$17.2	RI61-507
RI62-435	Leeano Oil Corp., c/o Cornelius & Cornelius, attorneys, 123 North Polk, Jefferson, Tex.	1	12	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp. (Waskom Field, Harrison County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 6).	1,398	4-26-62	5-27-62	10-27-62	15.0	\$15.2	RI61-377
RI62-436	Gulf Oil Corp., P.O. Drawer 2100, Houston 1, Tex.	160	2	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (Keernan and E. Placido Fields, Victoria County, Tex.) (R.R. Dist. No. 2).	30,192	5-4-62	6-7-62	11-7-62	14.7125	\$15.7825	
RI62-436	Gulf Oil Corp.	162	2	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (North McFaddin Field, Victoria County, Tex.) (R.R. Dist. No. 2).	6,182	5-4-62	6-7-62	11-7-62	14.7125	\$15.7825	
RI62-436	do	68	2	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (NW. Eva Pool, Texas County, Okla.).	3,049	4-30-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	15.0	\$16.0	
RI62-437	Union Texas Petroleum, a division of Allied Chemical Corp., 811 Rusk Ave., Houston 2, Tex.	55	1	Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (SW. Camp Creek Field, Beaver County, Okla.).	416	4-27-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	16.8645	\$17.8645	
RI62-438	Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc., Kerr-McGee Bldg., Oklahoma City 2, Okla.	49	1	Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Mocane Field, Beaver County, Okla.).	9,045	5-1-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	16.770	\$19.006	
RI62-439	Cabot Corp. (SW. Operator), et al., P.O. Box 1011, Pampa, Tex.	32	9	do	37,934	4-30-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	16.755	\$18.989	
RI62-440	Monsanto Chemical Co., 1401 South Coast Bldg., Houston 2, Tex.	23	4	Northern Natural Gas Co. (Fincham Field, Meade County, Kans.).	2,314	4-23-62	7-1-62	12-1-62	16.49	\$17.58	
RI62-441	United Producing Co., Inc., P.O. Box 1503, Houston 1, Tex.	20	7	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Camrick SE. Gas Pool, Texas and Beaver Counties, Okla.).	1,340	4-30-62	6-5-62	11-5-62	17.0	\$17.2	RI61-494
RI62-442	Van-Grissio Oil Co., c/o Robinson, Robertson, & Barnes, Hightower Bldg., Oklahoma City 2, Okla.	1	2	Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Mocane Field, Beaver County, Okla.).	840	4-30-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	15.0	\$17.0	
RI 62-443	Sinclair Oil & Gas Co., P.O. Box 521, Tulsa 2, Okla.	152	1	do	3,007	5-1-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	17.040	\$18.128	
RI 62-444	Frederick C. and Ferris F. Hamilton, d/b/a Hamilton Brothers, Ltd., c/o Tippit & Haskell, 1110 Denver Club Bldg., Denver 2, Colo.	4	6	do	4,708	4-30-62	6-1-62	11-1-62	16.648	\$17.760	
RI 62-445	The Superior Oil Co., P.O. Box 1521, Houston 1, Tex.	9	10	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Fullerton Plant, Andrew County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 8).	6,745	4-26-62	5-27-62	10-27-62	\$16.0905	\$17.2295	G-20075
RI 62-445	The Superior Oil Co.	30	11	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Spraberry Trend, Glasscock, Midland, Upton, Reagan Counties, Tex.) (R.R. Districts Nos. 8 and 7-c).	1,230	4-26-62	5-27-62	10-27-62	\$16.154	\$17.2295	G-20075
RI 62-445	do	44	4	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Eumont Field, Lea County, N. Mex.) (New Mexico Permian Basin Area).	379	4-26-62	5-27-62	10-27-62	\$15.2	\$15.70925	G-18771
RI 62-446	The Atlantic Refining Co., P.O. Box 2819, Dallas 21, Tex.	245	2	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Drinkard Field, Lea County, N. Mex.) (New Mexico Permian Basin Area).	3,831	5-4-62	6-4-62	11-4-62	10.5	\$15.5599	

¹ The stated effective date is the effective date proposed by respondent.

² Periodic rate increase.

³ The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required statutory notice.

⁴ Base rate of 16.0 cents per Mcf plus upward Btu adjustment.

⁵ Base rate of 17.0 cents per Mcf plus Btu adjustment.

⁶ Redetermined rate increase.

⁷ Filing completed May 2, 1962.

⁸ Includes Btu adjustment.

⁹ Favored nation rate increase.

¹⁰ Consolidated with Show Cause proceeding in Docket No. RI61-515.

¹¹ Renegotiated rate increase.

¹² Subject to reduction of 0.4467 cent per Mcf for gas below 600 psig pressure.

¹³ Includes base rate of 16.0 cents per Mcf plus Btu adjustment.

The proposed increased rates exceed the applicable area price levels set forth in the Commission's Statement of General Policy No. 61-1, as amended (18 CFR Ch. I, Part 2 § 2.56).

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings concerning the lawfulness of the several proposed changes and that the above-designated supplements be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Ch. I), public hearings shall be held upon dates to be fixed by notices from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the several proposed increased rates and charges contained in the above-designated supplements.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the above-designated rate supplements are hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until the date indicated in the above Date Suspended Until column, and thereafter until such further time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Neither the supplements hereby suspended, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until these proceedings have been disposed of or until the periods of suspension have expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before July 6, 1962.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5210; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-166]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

MAY 23, 1962.

Take notice that on January 15, 1962, as supplemented on March 5, 1962, United Gas Pipe Line Company (Applicant), 1525 Fairfield Avenue, Shreveport, Louisiana, filed in Docket No. CP62-166 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction, relocation and operation of certain facilities in Angelina County, Texas, in order to continue the sale and delivery of natural gas to the City of Huntington, Texas, for

resale and distribution, all as more fully set forth in the application, as supplemented, on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate the following facilities:

Approximately 7.25 miles of 4-inch pipeline with a positive sales meter and regulator station and appurtenant facilities, from a point near Milepost 87.5 on Applicant's Latex Compressor Station-to-Goodrich Compressor Station main line, and extending in a generally southeasterly direction to end at a point near Huntington, and to remove and relocate a sales meter and regulator station from a point near said Milepost 87.5.

During the first year of operation following the construction of the proposed facilities, Applicant estimates that the deliveries to Huntington, through the proposed facilities, will amount to approximately 35,500 Mcf.

The cost of the proposed facilities is estimated to be \$96,759, which cost will be financed from current working funds.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on June 28, 1962, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 18, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5213; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

Public Housing Administration VARIOUS DESIGNATED OFFICIALS

Delegations of Authority

Section II, Delegations of Final Authority, is amended as follows:

1. Effective April 25, 1961, paragraph C6 is amended by changing the list of officials designated to read as follows:

In the Central Office:

Supervisory General Supply Officer,
General Supply Officer.

In the Atlanta Regional Office:

Assistant Director for Management,
Chief of the Property and Services Section.

In all other Regional Offices:

Assistant Directors for Management,
Chiefs of the Project Fiscal Management Sections,
General Supply Officers.

2. Effective April 25, 1961, paragraph D4 is amended by changing the list of officials designated to read as follows:

In the Central Office:

Supervisory General Supply Officer,
General Supply Officer.

In the Atlanta Regional Office:

Assistant Director for Management,
Chief of the Property and Services Section.

In all other Regional Offices:

Assistant Directors for Management,
Chiefs of the Project Fiscal Management Sections,
General Supply Officers,
General Housing Managers,
Housing Managers,
Assistant Housing Managers,
Management Aides.

Approved: May 24, 1962.

MARIE C. MCGUIRE,
Commissioner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5225; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-4579]

AUTOMATED PROCEDURES CORP.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

MAY 24, 1962.

The Class A stock, par value 5 cents per share, of Automated Procedures Corp., being listed and registered on The National Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c) (2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19 (a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on The National Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, May 25, 1962, to June 3, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5226; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4597]

INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES, INC.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

MAY 24, 1962.

The Common assessable stock, \$1.00 par value, of Industrial Enterprises, Inc., being listed and registered on the San Francisco Mining Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c) (2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19 (a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the San Francisco Mining Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, May 25, 1962, to June 3, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5227; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 70-4043]

UTAH POWER & LIGHT CO. AND TELLURIDE POWER CO.

Notice of Proposed Intrastate Issuance, Sale and Acquisition of Unsecured Promissory Notes

MAY 23, 1962.

Notice is hereby given that Utah Power & Light Company ("Utah Power"), a registered holding company and an electric utility company, and its electric

utility subsidiary company, Telluride Power Company ("Telluride"), 1407 West North Temple Street, Salt Lake City 10, Utah, have filed a joint application-declaration pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") designating sections 6, 7 and 10 of the Act and Rule 43, 44 and 45 thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the joint application-declaration on file at the office of the Commission for a statement of the transactions therein proposed, which are summarized below:

Telluride proposes to issue and sell, and Utah Power proposes to acquire, during the period June 1, 1962 to March 1, 1963, up to but not in excess of \$1,000,000 face amount of unsecured promissory notes of Telluride. The notes are to bear interest at the rate of 4% percent per annum (which is the same as the interest rate of Utah Power's last permanent debt financing), are to be dated as of the date of issue and may be paid at any time on or before December 10, 1975. According to the filing the proposed notes of Telluride will be forgiven in connection with the consolidation of the assets of Telluride and Utah Power which proposal is the subject of a pending filing with the Commission (see Holding Company Act Release No. 14625).

The proceeds from the sale of the proposed notes together with cash on hand and generated internally by Telluride will be used to prepay \$200,000 face amount of noninterest bearing notes of Telluride held by Utah Power and to carry forward the construction program of Telluride during 1962, or until the proposed consolidation of Telluride and Utah Power is completed.

The expense to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions is estimated not to exceed \$1,000.

It is represented that no State or Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than June 11, 1962, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matters, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said joint application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicants-declarants, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after such date, the joint application-declaration, as filed or as amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant ex-

emption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5228; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 212]

MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION NOTICES

MAY 25, 1962.

The following letter-notices of proposals to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only with service at no intermediate points have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission, under the Commission's deviation rules revised, 1957 (49 CFR 211.1 (c) (8)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules (49 CFR 211.1(d) (4)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules (49 CFR 211.1(e)) at any time but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's deviation rules revised, 1957, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification and protests if any should refer to such letter-notices by number.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 1550 (Deviation No. 1), ADVANCE-UNITED EXPRESSWAYS, INC., 2601 Broadway Road, Minneapolis 13, Minn., filed May 14, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of general commodities, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Neillville, Wis., over Wisconsin Highway 73 to junction Wisconsin Highway 13, thence over Wisconsin Highways 13 and 73 to Wisconsin Rapids, Wis., thence over Wisconsin Highway 13 to junction Wisconsin Highway 54, thence over Wisconsin Highway 54 to junction Wisconsin Highways 22 and 10, and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Neillville, over U.S. Highway 10 to Stevens Point, Wis., thence over U.S. Highway 10 to junction Wisconsin Highways 54 and 22, and return over the same route.

No. MC 2202 (Deviation No. 41), ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., 147 Park Street, P.O. Box 471, Akron 9, Ohio, filed May 14, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor

vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Monroe, La., over Interstate Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 80, west of Minden, La., and return over the same route for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route between the same points over U.S. Highway 80.

No. MC 2202 (Deviation No. 42), ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., 147 Park Street, P.O. Box 471, Akron 9, Ohio, filed May 14, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route between Marietta, Ohio, and Charleston, W. Va., over Interstate Highway 77, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Marietta over Ohio Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence over U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 21, thence over U.S. Highway 21 to Charleston, and return over the same route.

No. MC 40858 (Deviation No. 10), THE SILVER FLEET MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 969, Kingsport, Tenn., filed May 18, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Lafayette, Ind., over Indiana Highway 43 to junction U.S. Highway 421, at or near Reynolds, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 421 to junction U.S. Highway 30, at or near Wanatah, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 30 to Schererville, Ind., and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over pertinent service routes as follows: From Chattanooga, Tenn., over U.S. Highway 41 to Nashville, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 31W, via Goodlettsville, Tenn., to Sellersburg, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 31, thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 31, via Seymour, Ind., to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence over U.S. Highway 31 to junction Indiana Highway 431, south of Greenwood, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 431 to Indianapolis, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 52 to Kentland, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 41 to Chicago, Ill.; and from Chattanooga over U.S. Highway 41 to Nashville, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 31E, via Edenwold, Tenn., to Sellersburg, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 31, thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 31 via Seymour, Ind., to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence over U.S. Highway 31 to Franklin, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 144 to Bargersville, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 135 to Indianapolis, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 52 to Lebanon, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 39 to junction

Indiana Highway 38, thence over Indiana Highway 38 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence over U.S. Highway 52 to Lafayette, and thence to Chicago, Ill., as specified above, and return over the same routes.

No. MC 59135 (Deviation No. 2), RED STAR EXPRESS LINES OF AUBURN, INCORPORATED, 24-50 Wright Avenue, Auburn, N.Y., filed May 21, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Auburn, N.Y., over New York Highway 34 to junction New York Highway 229, thence over New York Highway 229 to junction New York Highway 38, thence over New York Highway 38 to junction New York Highway 79, thence over New York Highway 79 to Lisle, N.Y., thence over U.S. Highway 11 to Binghamton, N.Y., and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Auburn over New York Highway 38 to Groton, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 222 to Cortland, N.Y., thence over U.S. Highway 11 to Syracuse, N.Y., thence returning over U.S. Highway 11 to Cortland, N.Y., thence over U.S. Highway 11 to Binghamton, and return over the same route.

No. MC 64650 (Deviation No. 1), W. T. COWAN, INCORPORATED, 820 South Oldham Street, Baltimore 24, Md., filed May 15, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Baltimore, Md., over Maryland Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence over U.S. Highway 50 to Washington, D.C., and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over pertinent service routes as follows: From Baltimore over U.S. Highway 1 to Alexandria, Va.; also from Baltimore over U.S. Highway 40 to Ellicott City, Md., thence over U.S. Highway 29 to Washington, thence over U.S. Highway 1 to Alexandria, Va., and return over the same routes.

No. MC 107500 (Deviation No. 11), BURLINGTON TRUCK LINES, INC., 796 South Pearl Street, Galesburg, Ill., filed May 19, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route between Omaha, Nebr., and Chicago, Ill., over Interstate Highway 80, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Chicago, over U.S. Highway 34 to junction Illinois Highway 65, thence over Illinois Highway 65 to Aurora, Ill., thence over Illinois Highway 31 to junction U.S. Highway 34; also from the junction of U.S. Highway 34 and Illinois Highway 65, over U.S. Highway 34 to junction Illi-

nois Highway 31, thence over U.S. Highway 34 to Glenwood, Iowa, thence over U.S. Highway 275 to junction Iowa Highway 375, thence over Iowa Highway 375 to Council Bluffs, Iowa, thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Omaha, and return over the same route.

No. MC 107500 (Deviation No. 10), BURLINGTON TRUCK LINES, INC., 796 South Pearl Street, Galesburg, Ill., filed May 16, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Denver, Colo., over Interstate Highway 80S to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence over Interstate Highway 80 to Lincoln Air Force Base, Nebr., and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over pertinent service routes as follows: From Denver over U.S. Highway 6 to McCook, Nebr.; from Denver over U.S. Highway 85 to Greeley, Colo., thence over U.S. Highway 34 to McCook, Nebr.; from Omaha, Nebr., over U.S. Highway 6 to junction unnumbered highway, about 4 miles southwest of Atlanta, Nebr., thence over unnumbered highway, via Mascot, Nebr., to Oxford, Nebr., thence over Nebraska Highway 3, via Edison, Nebr., to junction U.S. Highway 6 thence over U.S. Highway 6 to McCook, Nebr.; from the junction of U.S. Highway 6 and Nebraska Highway 46, over U.S. Highway 6 to junction Nebraska Highway 3; and from the junction of U.S. Highway 6 and Nebraska Highway 46, over U.S. Highway 6 to junction unnumbered highway, and return over the same routes.

No. MC 108586 (Deviation No. 3), STEFFKE FREIGHT CO., 3100 South Wolcott Avenue, Chicago, Ill., filed May 16, 1962. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route between Milwaukee and Madison, Wis., over Interstate Highway 94, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over pertinent service routes as follows: From Milwaukee over U.S. Highway 16 (formerly Wisconsin Highway 19) to Watertown, Wis., thence over Wisconsin Highway 19 to Sun Prairie, Wis., thence over U.S. Highway 151 to Madison; and from Milwaukee over Wisconsin Highway 30 to Madison, and return over the same routes.

No. MC 110388 (Sub-No. 2) (Deviation No. 1), UNION PACIFIC MOTOR FREIGHT COMPANY, 1416 Dodge Street, Omaha 2, Nebr., filed May 14, 1962. Attorney John J. Burchell, same address. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route between Lincoln and Omaha, Nebr., over Interstate Highway 80, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to trans-

port the same commodities over pertinent service routes as follows: From Council Bluffs, Iowa, over U.S. Highway 275 to Fremont, Nebr.; and from Lincoln over U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 275, west of Omaha, and return over the same routes.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5247; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

[Notice 447]

MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

MAY 25, 1962.

The following publications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's general rules of practice including special rules (49 CFR 1.241) governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers of brokers under sections 206, 209, and 211 of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto.

All hearings and prehearing conferences will be called at 9:30 a.m., United States standard time (or 9:30 a.m., local daylight saving time, if that time is observed), unless otherwise specified.

APPLICATIONS ASSIGNED FOR ORAL HEARING OR PREHEARING CONFERENCE

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 504 (Sub-No. 49), filed April 19, 1962. Applicant: HARPER MOTOR LINES, INC., 213 Long Avenue, Elberton, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Reuben G. Crimm, 1375 Peachtree Street NE., Suite 693, Atlanta 9, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), between Valdosta, Ga., and Claxtonville, Ga.; over Georgia Highway 31; over Interstate Highway 75 and Georgia Highway 31, and over Interstate Highway 75 and Federal-Aid Secondary route 951, and return over the same routes, serving all intermediate points.

HEARING: July 16, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 101.

No. MC 1124 (Sub-No. 181), filed April 25, 1962. Applicant: HERRIN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, 2301 McKinney Avenue, Houston, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Carl L. Phinney, First National Bank Building, Dallas 2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities, including Classes A and B explosives* (except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Port Arthur, Tex., and Orange, Tex.; from Port Arthur to Orange over Texas Highway 87, and return over the same route, serving no in-

termediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's regular-route operations.

HEARING: July 17, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, Franklin and Fannin Streets, Houston, Tex., before Joint Board No. 77, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 2202 (Sub-No. 228), filed April 9, 1962. Applicant: ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., 147 Park Street, Akron 9, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Dallas, Tex., and Tyler, Tex.; from Dallas over U.S. Highway 175 to junction with Texas Highway 243, thence over Texas Highway 243 to junction with Texas Highway 64 and thence over Texas Highway 64 to Tyler, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, with service at the junctions for purpose of joinder only, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's regular-route operations.

NOTE: Applicant states common control may be involved.

HEARING: July 13, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Joint Board No. 77, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 2229 (Sub-No. 111), filed April 4, 1962. Applicant: RED BALL MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 3177 Irving Boulevard (P.O. Box 10837), Dallas, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Charles D. Mathews, P.O. Box 10837, Dallas 7, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Winnsboro, Tex., and Quitman, Tex.; from Winnsboro over Texas Highway 37 to Quitman, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

HEARING: July 11, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Joint Board No. 77, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 2229 (Sub-No. 114), filed May 24, 1962. Applicant: RED BALL MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 3177 Irving Boulevard, P.O. Box 10837, Dallas, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Charles D. Mathews, P.O. Box 10837, Dallas, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, (except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Houston, Texas and Freeport, Texas (including points within 5 miles thereof), as follows: From Houston over Texas Highway 288 to Freeport, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate

points, but serving points within 5 miles of Freeport, as off-route points.

HEARING: June 14, 1962, at the Texas State Hotel, Houston, Tex., before Joint Board No. 77, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner C. Evans Brooks.

No. MC 2900 (Sub-No. 108), filed February 19, 1962. Applicant: RYDER TRUCK LINES, INC., 2050 Kings Road, P.O. Box 2408, Jacksonville, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Reagan Sayers, Century Life Building, Forth Worth 2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment, between Marianna, Fla., and Baton Rouge, La.; from Marianna over U.S. Highway 90 to junction of U.S. Highway 90 and U.S. Highway 190, thence over U.S. Highway 190 to Baton Rouge, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: July 9, 1962, at the Dupont Plaza Hotel, 300 Biscayne Boulevard Way, Miami, Fla., before Examiner Charles B. Heinemann.

No. MC 19227 (Sub-No. 74), filed May 16, 1962. Applicant: LEONARD BROS. TRANSFER, INC., 2595 NW. 20th Street, Miami, Fla. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Aircraft, aircraft parts and related equipment, materials, fixtures and supplies*, between Van Nuys (part of Los Angeles) and Rancho Conejo (Newbury Park), Calif., and El Paso, Tex.

HEARING: June 21, 1962, at the Los Angeles Statler Hilton, Los Angeles, Calif., before Examiner Gerald F. Colfer.

No. MC 20783 (Sub-No. 60), filed April 11, 1962. Applicant: TOMPKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., 730 Old Flat Shoals Road SE., Atlanta, Ga. Applicant's attorney: David Axelrod, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Meats, meat products and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Section A and C of Appendix I, to report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk), and restricted to transportation in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Evansville, Ind., to New Orleans, La., and points in the Commercial Zone thereof and points in Mississippi, and (2) *returned and rejected shipments* of the above-specified commodities, from New Orleans, La., and points in Mississippi to Evansville, Ind.

HEARING: July 23, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, 600 South Street, New Orleans, La., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 71), filed April 26, 1962. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1075, Dade City, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Thomas F. Kilroy, Suite 912

Federal Bar Building, 1815 H Street NW., Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Frozen fruit and citrus salads, frozen fruit and vegetable juice concentrates, chilled fruit juice, and citrus products* not canned and not frozen, from points in Florida to points in North Carolina east of U.S. Highway 52, with service at North Carolina points limited to the delivery of part of a shipment, the ultimate destination of which is a point in Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, or the District of Columbia. (2) *Chilled fruit juice and citrus products*, not canned and not frozen, from points in Florida (except Orlando) to points in South Carolina. (3) *Frozen fruit and citrus salads, and frozen fruit and vegetable juice concentrates*, from points in Florida to points in South Carolina. (4) *Chilled fruit juices and fruit juice concentrates*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Florida to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, and Mississippi.

NOTE: Applicant states the proposed operation will transport exempt commodities on return.

HEARING: July 17, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Tampa, Fla., before Commissioner Laurence K. Walrath.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 72), filed April 26, 1962. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., Dade City, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Thomas F. Kilroy, Suite 921, Federal Bar Building, 1815 H Street NW., Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Seabrook, N.J., and Philadelphia, Pa., to points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, New York, Rhode Island, Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire, and Ohio.

HEARING: July 9, 1962, at the New Post Office and Court House Building, Boston, Mass., before Commissioner William H. Tucker.

No. MC 29910 (Sub-No. 56), filed May 17, 1962. Applicant: ARKANSAS-BEST FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 301 South 11th Street, Fort Smith, Ark. Applicant's attorney: Thomas Harper, Kelly Building, P.O. Box 297, Fort Smith, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except loose bulk commodities, livestock, Classes A and B explosives, currency, bullion, articles of virtue, commodities which exceed ordinary equipment and loading facilities), between Houston, Tex., and Freeport, Tex.; (a) from Houston over Texas Highway 288, and (b) from Houston over Texas Highway 35 to junction of Texas Highway 35 and Texas Highway 288, thence over Texas Highway 288 to Freeport, and return over the same routes, serving no intermediate or off-route points.

NOTE: Applicant states it owns and operates as a wholly owned subsidiary Heizer Cartage Co., Kansas City, Mo.

HEARING: June 14, 1962, at the Texas State Hotel, Houston, Tex., before Joint Board No. 77 or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner C. Evans Brooks.

No. MC 41116 (Sub-No. 10), filed May 7, 1962. Applicant: MRS. LOIS M. FOGLEMAN, doing business as FOGLEMAN TRUCK LINE, Box 603, Crowley, La. Applicant's attorney: Austin L. Hatchell, Suite 1009, Perry-Brooks Building, Austin 1, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned, packaged, preserved, and prepared foodstuffs* (not frozen) from Cade, La., to points in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, California, Utah, Colorado, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, Minnesota, Missouri, Iowa, Illinois, Wisconsin, Arkansas, Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Indiana, Ohio, and Michigan, and (2) from points in California, Arizona, Colorado, Texas, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, Arkansas, Georgia, Alabama, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, Michigan, Ohio, New York, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, and Nebraska to points in Louisiana.

NOTE: The transportation proposed hereunder will be under a continuing contract with Bruce's Food Company of Cade, La., applicant has common carrier authority under MC 123993, therefore dual operations may be involved.

HEARING: July 26, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, 600 South Street, New Orleans, La., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 41116 (Sub-No. 11), filed May 7, 1962. Applicant: MRS. LOIS M. FOGLEMAN, doing business as FOGLEMAN TRUCK LINE, Box 603, Crowley, La. Applicant's attorney: Austin L. Hatchell, Suite 1009, Perry-Brooks Building, Austin 1, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned, packaged, preserved, and prepared foodstuffs* (not frozen) between points in Louisiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma, Mississippi, and Texas.

NOTE: The transportation to be performed will be under a continuing contract with Fraering Brokerage Company of New Orleans, La. Applicant has common carrier authority under MC 123993, therefore dual operations may be involved.

HEARING: July 26, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, 600 South Street, New Orleans, La., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 41116 (Sub-No. 12), filed May 7, 1962. Applicant: MRS. LOIS FOGLEMAN, doing business as FOGLEMAN TRUCK LINE, Box 603, Crowley, La. Applicant's attorney: Austin L. Hatchell, Suite 1009, Perry-Brooks Building, Austin 1, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Com-*

modities dealt in by wholesale grocery houses (not frozen or in bulk); (1) from points in Louisiana to points in Arkansas, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma, Mississippi, Texas, Indiana, Illinois, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Iowa, and (2) from points in Arkansas, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma, Mississippi, Texas, Indiana, Illinois, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Iowa, to points in Louisiana, Orange, Tex., and Pascagoula, Gulfport, and Gloster, Miss.

NOTE: The transportation proposed hereunder will be under a continuing contract with Consolidated Companies, Inc., of New Orleans, La., applicant has common carrier authority under MC 123993, therefore dual operations may be involved.

HEARING: July 26, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, 600 South Street, New Orleans, La., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 52460 (Sub-No. 64), filed April 30, 1962. Applicant: HUGH BREEDING, INC., 1420 West 35th Street, P.O. Box 9515, Tulsa, Okla. Applicant's attorney: James W. Wrape and Louis I. Dailey, Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in containers (minimum 10,000 pounds), between points in Texas, east of U.S. Highway 81 (except those within the city limit of Fort Worth), and those on U.S. Highway 75), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Mexico, Missouri, those in Kansas and Oklahoma lying more than 100 air-miles from Tulsa, Okla., and points in Arkansas (except points on U.S. Highway 64 between Fort Smith and Conway, Ark., U.S. Highway 65 between Conway and Little Rock, Ark., and those on U.S. Highway 70 between Little Rock and the Arkansas-Tennessee State Line).

NOTE: Applicant states it proposes to render the proposed service in both directions with return of empty containers and rejected and refused shipments when required.

HEARING: July 10, 1962, at the Mayo Hotel, Tulsa, Okla., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 52709 (Sub-No. 168), filed April 27, 1962. Applicant: RINGSBY TRUCK LINES, INC., 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver 5, Colo. Applicant's representative: Eugene St. M. Hamilton (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Synthetic plastics and chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Meredosia, Ill., to points in Arizona, California, Oregon, and Washington.

NOTE: Applicant states that under docket No. MC 52709 Sub-84, it presently holds authority as follows: "Resins, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Meredosia, Ill., to San Francisco and Los Angeles, Calif., and points within 50 miles of Los Angeles." Since "resins" are properly classified as "synthetic plastics," if the present application is granted it will be applicant's purpose to request the concurrent cancellation of the referred-to authority on resins.

HEARING: July 23, 1962, at the Federal Building, Los Angeles, Calif., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 52709 (Sub-No. 161), filed February 15, 1962. Applicant: RINGSBY TRUCK LINES, INC., 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver 5, Colo. Applicant's representative: Eugene St. M. Hamilton (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcohol, neutral spirits, and alcoholic liquor*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Maryland, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, and Kentucky to points in Arizona.

NOTE: Applicant states it controls United Freight, Inc., and Inter State Express, Inc.

HEARING: July 17, 1962, at the Arizona Corp. Commission, Phoenix, Ariz., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 52921 (Sub-No. 4), filed March 1, 1962. Applicant: RED BALL, INC., Sapulpa, Okla. Applicant's attorney: W. T. Brunson, 419 Northwest Sixth Street, Oklahoma City 3, Okla. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned vegetables*, from Saint Francisville, La., to points in Oklahoma.

HEARING: July 13, 1962, at the Federal Building, Oklahoma City, Okla., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 59680 (Sub-No. 134), filed May 14, 1962. Applicant: STRICKLAND TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 2917 Gulden Lane, Dallas, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Ewell H. Muse, Jr., Suite 415, Perry Brooks Building, Austin 1, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Houston, Tex., and Freeport, Tex.; (a) from Houston over Texas Highway 288 to Freeport, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, and (b) from Houston over Texas Highway 35 to junction of Texas Highways 35 and 288, thence over Texas Highway 288 to Freeport, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points.

NOTE: Applicant states it controls Strickland Motor Freight Lines, Inc., MC 74120.

HEARING: June 14, 1962, at the Texas State Hotel, Houston, Tex., before Joint Board No. 77, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner C. Evans Brooks.

No. MC 60875 (Sub-No. 16), filed May 21, 1962. Applicant: RUTHERFORD FREIGHT LINES, INC., Pierce Street, Bristol, Va.-Tenn. Applicant's attorney: Clifford E. Sanders, 321 East Center Street, Kingsport, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Kingsport and New Canton, Tenn.; from Kingsport over U.S. Highway 11-W to New Canton, and

return over the same route, serving no intermediate points.

HEARING: June 14, 1962, at the Dinkler-Andrew Jackson Hotel, Nashville, Tenn., before Joint Board No. 297.

No. MC 61440 (Sub-No. 78), filed May 18, 1962. Applicant: LEE WAY MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 3000 West Reno, Oklahoma City, Okla. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except light and bulky articles, gold bullion, paper money, silver, articles of virtu and commodities injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Houston, and Freeport, Tex., from Houston over Texas Highway 288, and Texas Highway 35 to junction of Texas Highway 35 and Texas Highway 288, thence over Texas Highway 288, to Freeport, and return over the same routes, serving no intermediate or off-route points.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: June 14, 1962, at the Texas State Hotel, Houston, Tex., before Joint Board No. 77, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner C. Evans Brooks.

No. MC 61506 (Sub-No. 14), filed February 21, 1962. Applicant: RUSSELL TRANSFER COMPANY, INC., Athens-Augusta Highway, Washington, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Theodore M. Forbes, Jr., Suite 825, The Citizens & Southern National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, (1) from Charleston, S.C., to points in Georgia, and (2) from Savannah, Ga., to points in South Carolina, and *rejected products* of the above-specified commodities, in connection with routes (1) and (2) above, on return.

HEARING: July 13, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 131.

No. MC 61628 (Sub-No. 26), filed February 2, 1962. Applicant: BENTON RAPID EXPRESS, P.O. Box 587, Louisville Road, Savannah, Ga. Applicant's attorney: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., Suite 1424-35, C & S National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value and except Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment), serving the plant site of A. M. Karagheusian, Inc., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular-route operations between Atlanta and Savannah, Ga.

NOTE: Applicant states said plant site is located near U.S. Highway 301 at a point between Statesboro and Dover, Ga. and approximately eight (8) miles from Statesboro.

HEARING: July 13, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 101.

No. MC 66562 (Sub-No. 1878), filed March 27, 1962. Applicant: RAILWAY EXPRESS AGENCY, INCORPORATED, 219 East 42d Street, New York 17, N.Y. Applicant's attorney: Robert C. Boozer, 1220 Citizens and Southern National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over a regular route, transporting: *General commodities*, moving in express service, between Bessemer, Ala., and the junction of U.S. Highways 11 and 80 (approximately four miles southwest of York, Ala.) as follows: From Bessemer over U.S. Highway 11 to the junction of U.S. Highways 11 and 80 (approximately 4 miles southwest of York, Ala.), and return over the same route, serving the intermediate and off-route points of Tuscaloosa, Eutaw, Livingston, and York, Ala.

NOTE: Applicant states that the above is to be operated in conjunction with portions of its existing authority over U.S. Highway 11 between Birmingham and Selma, Ala., and over U.S. Highway 30 between Meridian and Montgomery, Ala.

RESTRICTIONS: (1) The service to be performed by applicant shall be limited to service which is auxiliary to, or supplemental of, air or rail express service. (2) Shipments transported by applicant shall be limited to those moving on a through bill of lading or express receipt, covering in addition to a motor carrier movement by applicant, an immediately prior or immediately subsequent movement by rail or air, and (3) Such further specific conditions as the Commission, in the future, may find it necessary to impose in order to restrict applicant's operations to service which is auxiliary to, or supplemental of, railway express service.

HEARING: July 24, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Montgomery, Ala., before Joint Board No. 100.

No. MC 94201 (Sub-No. 49), filed April 20, 1962. Applicant: BOWMAN TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1010 Stroud Avenue, East Gadsden, Ala. Applicant's attorney: Donald L. Morris, 325-29 Frank Nelson Building, Birmingham 3, Ala. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wrapping paper, pulp and fibre board, printing paper and paper bags*, from the plant site of International Paper Company, located at Moss Point, Miss., to Mobile, Ala., and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting the commodities specified above, on return.

NOTE: Applicant states it presently operates A. W. Hawkins, Inc. under Docket MC-F-7365.

HEARING: July 18, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Montgomery, Ala., before Joint Board No. 14.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. 417), filed April 13, 1962. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., Albany Road, Thomasville, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Duane W. Acklie, 605 South 12th Street, Lincoln, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat byprod-*

ucts, dairy products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Appendix I to Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209, from Sterling, Colo., to points in California, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Nebraska, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, and Texas.

NOTE: Applicant states that it is under common control with Arctic Express, Inc., through stock ownership.

HEARING: July 11, 1962, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. 419), filed April 16, 1962. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., Albany Road, Thomasville, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Duane W. Acklie, 605 South 12th Street, Lincoln, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat and packinghouse products*, as described by the Commission in Appendix I, Sections A, B and C, 61 M.C.C. 209, from Denver, Colo., to points in Virginia.

NOTE: Applicant states that it is under common control with Arctic Express, Inc., through stock ownership.

HEARING: July 11, 1962, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 103378 (Sub-No. 227), filed February 8, 1962. Applicant: PETROLEUM CARRIER CORPORATION, 369 Margaret Street, Jacksonville, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Martin Sack, Atlantic National Bank Building, Jacksonville 2, Fla. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay*, in bulk, from points in Sumter and Macon Counties, Ga., to points in Russell County, Ala.

HEARING: July 10, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 157.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 154) (AMENDMENT), filed September 21, 1961, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue May 9, 1962, amended May 18, 1962, republished as amended this issue. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 500 Equity Building, Elkhart, Ind. Applicant's attorney: John E. Lesow, 3737 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis 8, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, in truckaway service, from points in Wisconsin (except from Ashland, Marshfield, Spencer, Chilton, Madison, Stratford, Hurley, and Newton, Wis.), to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii; and (2) *Campers and camper coaches*, from points in Wisconsin to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE: The purpose of this amendment is to add (2) above.

HEARING: Remains as assigned, June 25, 1962, at the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Madison, Wis., before Examiner Abraham J. Essrick.

No. MC 105813 (Sub-No. 67), filed May 10, 1962. Applicant: BELFORD TRUCKING CO., INC., 1299 NW. 23d Street, Miami, Fla. Applicant's attorney: David Axelrod, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Elk City and Oklahoma City, Okla., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee (except Memphis).

HEARING: July 18, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 106497 (Sub-No. 27), filed May 14, 1962. Applicant: PARKHILL TRUCK COMPANY, a corporation, 4209 South Memorial Drive, Tulsa, Okla. Applicant's attorney: Tom B. Kretsinger, 510 Professional Building, Kansas City 6, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Commodities*, the transportation of which by reason of size or weight, require the use of special equipment, and (2) *related machinery and related contractors' equipment, materials and supplies* when their transportation is incidental to the transportation of the commodities sought in (1) above, (a) between points in New Jersey and New York, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming, and (b) between points in Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Nebraska, Nevada, Oklahoma, Oregon, Utah, and Washington, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: June 18, 1962, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Hugh M. Nicholson.

No. MC 106679 (Sub-No. 6), filed April 2, 1962. Applicant: LAS VEGAS SERVICE FREIGHTWAYS, INC., P.O. Box 269, Las Vegas, Nev. Applicant's attorney: James W. Wade, 453 South Spring Street, Los Angeles 12, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment or special handling, *mining equipment, and supplies, and building and construction materials, equipment and supplies*, between points in Lincoln, Clark, and Nye Counties, Nev., Washington County, Utah, Mojave County, Ariz., Inyo and San Bernardino Counties, Calif.

HEARING: July 25, 1962, at the Federal Building, Los Angeles, Calif., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 107107 (Sub-No. 209), filed April 4, 1962. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., 2424 NW. 46th Street, P.O. Box 65, Allapattah Station, Miami 42, Fla. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy and confectionery; advertising, promotional, and display materials and racks, and premiums*, from Fort Worth and Dallas, Tex., to points in Florida.

HEARING: July 12, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 391), filed April 9, 1962. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., 290 University Avenue SW., Atlanta 10, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Paul M. Daniell, Suite 214-217 Grant Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Tyler, Tex., and points within ten (10) miles thereof, to points in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: July 23, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 392), filed April 17, 1962. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., 290 University Avenue SW., Atlanta 10, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Otis E. Stovall, 214 Grant Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods* from Elk City and Oklahoma City, Okla., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee (except Memphis), traversing Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi for operating convenience only.

NOTE: Applicant states that its president and owner of one half of its stock, holds permits as a contract carrier (MC-104589).

HEARING: July 18, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 393), filed April 19, 1962. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., 290 University Avenue SW., Atlanta 10, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Paul M. Daniell, Suite 214-217, Grant Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products and meat byproducts, as defined by the Commission*, from Shafter, Tex., and points in Caldwell, Gonzales, and DeWitt Counties, Tex., to points in Florida, Georgia, Alabama, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee (except Memphis).

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: July 20, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 108207 (Sub-No. 93), filed May 3, 1962. Applicant: FROZEN FOOD EXPRESS, a corporation, 318 Cadiz Street, Dallas, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Leroy Hallman, First National Bank Building, Dallas 2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packing houses*, as defined by the Commission, and *prepared foods*, canned and packaged, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Davenport, Iowa, to points in Texas, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and Memphis, Tenn.

HEARING: July 19, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 109584 (Sub-No. 106), filed March 14, 1962. Applicant: ARIZONA PACIFIC TANK LINES, a corporation, 717 North 21st Avenue, Phoenix, Ariz. Applicant's representative: Eugene St. M. Hamilton, 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver 5, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat fats*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Albuquerque, N. Mex., to Phoenix, Ariz.

NOTE: Applicant states that Ringsby Truck Lines, Inc., controls it, and United Freight, Inc., Inter State Express, Inc., Fortier Transportation Company, Colonial & Pacific Frigidways, Inc. and Converse Trucking Service.

HEARING: July 18, 1962, at the Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Ariz., before Joint Board No. 129, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 109689 (Sub-No. 131), filed May 18, 1962. Applicant: W. S. HATCH CO., a corporation, 643 South 800 West, Woods Cross, Utah. Applicant's attorney: Mark K. Boyle, 345 South State Street, Salt Lake City 1, Utah. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vegetable oils*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Chandler, Ariz., and points within ten (10) miles thereof, to points in Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, New Mexico, Utah, Washington, and Texas on and west of U.S. Highway 81.

HEARING: June 18, 1962, at the Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Arizona, before Examiner James A. McKiel.

No. MC 110878 (Sub-No. 14), filed February 19, 1962. Applicant: ARGO TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Lower Heard Street, Elberton, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Guy H. Postell, 1375 Peachtree Street NE., Atlanta 9, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Marble, other than crushed*, from Tate, Ga., and points within twenty (20) miles thereof, to points in Tennessee.

HEARING: July 10, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 239.

No. MC 112020 (Sub-No. 159), filed April 18, 1962. Applicant: COMMERCIAL OIL TRANSPORT, INC., 1030 Stayton Street, Fort Worth, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wines, brandy, and wine products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in California to Little Rock, Ark., Denver, Colo., Wichita, Kans., Albuquerque, N. Mex., Memphis, and Nashville, Tenn., and Houston and San Antonio, Texas.

NOTE: Applicant states that it is owned and controlled by the same stockholders who own and control Commercial Oil Transport of Oklahoma, Inc.

HEARING: July 9, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 112497 (Sub-No. 184), filed March 30, 1962. Applicant: HEARIN TANK LINES, INC., 6440 Rawlins Street, Baton Rouge, La. Applicant's attorney: Harry C. Ames, Jr., Transportation Building, Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids and chemicals*, in bulk, from points in Calcasieu Parish, La., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas.

HEARING: July 19, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, 600 South Street, New Orleans, La., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 113267 (Sub-No. 64), filed April 2, 1962. Applicant: CENTRAL & SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 312 West Morris Street, Caseyville, Ill. Applicant's representative: Frederick H. Figge (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, articles distributed by meat packing houses, frozen and prepared foods*, when transported in vehicles equipped with mechanical temperature controlled units, between points in Alabama.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: July 20, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Montgomery, Ala., before Joint Board No. 100.

No. MC 113267 (Sub-No. 65), filed April 2, 1962. Applicant: CENTRAL & SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 312 West Morris Street, Caseyville, Ill. Applicant's representative: Frederick H. Figge (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, articles distributed by meat packing houses, frozen and prepared foods*, when transported in vehicles equipped with mechanical temperature controlled units, between points in Georgia.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: July 9, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 101.

No. MC 113267 (Sub-No. 73), filed May 17, 1962. Applicant: CENTRAL & SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 312 West Morris Street, Caseyville, Ill. Applicant's representative: Frederick H. Figge (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned and preserved foods*, (1) from Austin, Ind., to points in Arkansas, Florida, Louisiana and West Virginia, and (2) from Converse and Red Key, Ind., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

NOTE: Applicant states that its officers are stockholders and officers in the following

motor carriers of passengers, their baggage, newspapers and express: Industrial Bus Lines, Inc., MC 114168, Vandalia Bus Line, Inc., MC 2698. In addition thereto, Oliver and Kathryn Anderson are officers and stockholders in Caseyville Bus Lines, Inc., MC 110845, therefore common control may be involved.

HEARING: June 29, 1962, at Room 908, Indiana Public Service Commission, New State Office Building, 100 North Senate Avenue, Indianapolis, Ind., before Examiner William E. Messer.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. 89), filed April 11, 1962. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 South Pulaski Road, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's attorney: David Axelrod, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, and commodities distributed by meat packinghouses*, as defined in sections (a), (b), and (c) of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Denver, Colo., to points in Arizona and New Mexico.

HEARING: July 12, 1962, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. 92), filed May 15, 1962. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 South Pulaski Road, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's attorney: David Axelrod, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, including phosphatic fertilizer solutions, from the plant site of the Des Plaines Chemical Company, located at or near Morris, Ill., to points in Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

HEARING: June 12, 1962, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Frank R. Saltzman.

No. MC 114364 (Sub-No. 65), filed April 26, 1962. Applicant: WRIGHT MOTOR LINES, INC., Box 672, 16th and Elm Streets, Rocky Ford, Colo. Applicant's attorney: Marion F. Jones, 526 Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs, frozen and unfrozen*, from Springdale, Ark., to points in Oklahoma and that portion of Texas on and west of U.S. Highway 281 beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas state line, continuing to its junction with U.S. Highway 180, and on and north of U.S. Highway 180 to the Mexico-Texas state line.

NOTE: Applicant states it is controlled by Earl Bray, Inc.

HEARING: July 16, 1962, at the Federal Building, Oklahoma City, Okla., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 125), filed March 16, 1962. Applicant: ROBERTSON TANK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 9218, 5700 Polk Avenue, Houston, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Thomas E. James, Esperson Building, Suite 1535, Houston

2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids and chemicals*, in bulk, from points in Calcasieu Parish, La., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas.

HEARING: July 19, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, 600 South Street, New Orleans, La., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 127), filed May 7, 1962. Applicant: ROBERTSON TANK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 9218, 5700 Polk Avenue, Houston, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Thomas E. James, 1535 Mellie Esperson Building, Houston 2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, rendering a call and demand service in the transportation of: *Isopropyl Percarbonate*, unstabilized, in special equipment, from points in Calcasieu Parish, La., to points in Texas.

HEARING: July 17, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, Franklin and Fannin Streets, Houston, Tex., before Joint Board No. 32, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 128), filed May 11, 1962. Applicant: ROBERTSON TANK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 9218, 5700 Polk Avenue, Houston, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Thomas E. James, Esperson Building, Suite 1535, Houston 2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tall oil*, in bulk, from the plant site of East Texas Pulp & Paper Company, Evadale, Tex., to the plant site of Heyden-Newport Chemical Corporation, Oakdale, La.

HEARING: July 16, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, Franklin and Fannin Streets, Houston, Tex., before Joint Board No. 32, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 116314 (Sub-No. 8), filed March 13, 1962. Applicant: MAX BINS-WANGER TRUCKING, 13846 Alondra Boulevard, Santa Fe Springs, Calif. Applicant's attorney: R. Y. Schureman, 1010 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, in bulk, in hopper-type vehicles, from Colton, Creal, and Victorville, Calif., to points in Mojave County, Ariz., north of the Colorado River.

HEARING: July 19, 1962, at the Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Ariz., before Joint Board No. 166, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 116544 (Sub-No. 18), filed January 26, 1962. Applicant: WILSON BROTHERS TRUCK LINE, INC., 700 East Fairview Avenue, Carthage, Mo. Applicant's attorney: Robert R. Hendon, 3200 Cummings Lane, Chevy Chase 15, Md. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen fruits, frozen berries, frozen vegetables, frozen fruit juices, and frozen fruit concentrates*, in mixed truck loads, with canned and processed citrus fruits and

juices, from points in Florida to points in Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, Nebraska, and Oklahoma.

HEARING: July 18, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Tampa, Fla., before Commissioner Laurence K. Walrath.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 22), filed May 11, 1962. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., Auburndale, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Benjamin J. Brooks, 4700 Connecticut Avenue, Washington 8, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned goods*, from Owensboro, Ky., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan (Upper Peninsula), Nebraska, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: June 29, 1962, at Room 908, Indiana Public Service Commission, New State Office Building, 100 North Senate Avenue, Indianapolis, Ind., before Examiner William E. Messer.

No. MC 117574 (Sub-No. 64), filed April 18, 1962. Applicant: DAILY EXPRESS, INC., Box 434, Carlisle, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Articles, which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment* and (2) *related tools and parts* used in the installation of items in (1) above, between points in Pennsylvania on and east of U.S. 202, on the one hand, and on the other, points in the United States except Hawaii and Alaska.

HEARING: July 18, 1962, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Warren C. White.

No. MC 117836 (Sub-No. 2), filed May 1, 1962. Applicant: H. J. NOLL, 6706 Avenue E, Houston 11, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Joe G. Fender, P.O. Box 66787, Houston 6, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas* from Galveston, Tex., to points in Texas, New Mexico, and Colorado.

HEARING: July 18, 1962, at the Federal Office Building, Franklin and Fannin Streets, Houston, Tex., before Examiner Lacy W. Hinely.

No. MC 118407 (Sub-No. 7), filed March 28, 1962. Applicant: NEBRASKA, ILLINOIS, COLORADO EXPRESS, INC., doing business as N.I.C.E., INC., and NATES'S TRUCK LINE, INC., 780 East 51st Avenue, Denver, Colo. Applicant's attorney: Duane W. Acklie, 605 South 12th Street, Lincoln, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat and packinghouse products, meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, and frozen foods*, from Denver, Greeley, and Colorado Springs, Colo., to points in Utah, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho, and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in

transporting the above-specified commodities, on return.

HEARING: July 9, 1962, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 119778 (Sub-No. 6), filed April 20, 1962. Applicant: REDWING CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 34, Powderly Station, Birmingham, Ala. Applicant's attorney: J. Douglas Harris, 413 Bell Building, Montgomery, Ala. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid sugar and syrup*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Reserve, La., to points in Alabama; and only empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified), used in transporting the commodities specified above, on return trips.

HEARING: July 19, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Montgomery, Ala., before Joint Board No. 165.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 10), filed April 11, 1962. Applicant: ALTO DISTRIBUTORS, INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 155, Malden, Mo. Applicant's attorney: John Paul Jones, 189 Jefferson Avenue, Memphis 3, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas, and coconuts when moving in the same vehicle with bananas*, from Houston and Galveston, Tex., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

HEARING: July 24, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 123285 (Sub-No. 3), filed May 9, 1962. Applicant: CLETIX TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 812, Cleburne, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Reagan Sayers, Century Life Building, Fort Worth 2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lime*, in bulk, from Limesdale (near Batesville), Ark., to points in Oklahoma, Missouri, Illinois, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, and Alabama, and damaged, rejected, and refused shipments, of the commodity specified above, on return.

HEARING: July 23, 1962, at the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Tex., before Examiner Frank J. Mahoney.

No. MC 123486 (Sub-No. 4), (AMENDMENT), filed February 23, 1962, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 9, 1962, amended May 16, 1962, and republished, as amended, this issue. Applicant: CAROLINA-VIRGINIA COURIERS INC., 519 East Trade Street, Charlotte, N.C. Applicant's attorney: Ewell H. Muse, Jr., Suite 415, Perry Brooks Building, Austin 1, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Commercial papers, documents and written instruments* (except coin, currency, and negotiable

securities) ordinarily used in the business of banks, banking institutions, and customers of banks and banking institutions, (a) between Charlotte, N.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina, restricted to shipments originating at or destined to the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, Charlotte Branch, Charlotte, N.C., (b) between Roanoke, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, Winston-Salem, N.C., and (2) exposed and processed film, complimentary replacement film, incidental dealer handling supplies and advertising literature (except motion picture film ordinarily used in the business of motion picture houses), (a) between points in South Carolina, and (b) between Charlotte, N.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina.

NOTE: Applicant states it proposes to traverse Rabun County, Ga., for operating convenience only in (2)(a) above. Applicant also states it is controlled by Arthur DeBevoise who also controls Armored Carrier Corporation, a contract carrier operating under MC 112750. The purpose of this republication is to add (2)(b) above.

HEARING: Remains as assigned June 28, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Columbia, S.C., before Examiner Hugh M. Nicholson.

No. MC 124105 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 8, 1962. Applicant: BAGGETT BULK TRANSPORT, INC., 2 South 32d Street, Birmingham 5, Ala. Applicant's attorney: Harold G. Hernly, 1624 Eye Street, NW., Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sulphate of alumina*, in bulk, from North Chattanooga, Tenn., to Coosa Pines, Ala.

NOTE: Applicant states it is a wholly owned subsidiary of Baggett Transportation Company.

HEARING: July 23, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Montgomery, Ala., before Joint Board No. 239.

No. MC 124110 (Sub-No. 1), filed March 6, 1962. Applicant: VERNON CONEAR PRUETT, 74 Forest Avenue, Franklin, N.C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, from points in Rabun County, Ga., to points in Greenville, Oconee, Pickens, and Spartanburg Counties, S.C., and to points in North Carolina on and west of U.S. Highway 521 from the South Carolina-North Carolina State line to Charlotte, N.C., thence on and west of U.S. Highway 29 from Charlotte, N.C., through Kannapolis, Salisbury, and Greensboro, N.C., to the North Carolina-Virginia State line.

HEARING: July 17, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street, SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 130.

No. MC 124176 (Sub-No. 2), filed March 19, 1962. Applicant: RONALD J. MACLEOD, doing business as MACLEOD'S, 2571 Holly Street, Denver, Colo. Applicant's attorney: Marion F. Jones, Suite 526, Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Beer*, in temperature controlled vehicles, from

the plant site of Adolph Coors Company, Golden, Colo., to points in California, and empty used containers, on return.

HEARING: July 26, 1962, at the Federal Building, Los Angeles, Calif., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 124327, filed April 5, 1962. Applicant: STANDARD MAGNESIUM CONTRACT CARRIER, INC., 7500 East 41st Street, Tulsa, Okla. Applicant's attorney: W. T. Brunson, 419 Northwest Sixth St., Oklahoma City 3, Okla. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) *Magnesium products, magnesium anodes, and materials, supplies and equipment* used in connection with the installation and use of such magnesium products and anodes, from plant sites of Standard Magnesium Corporation near Tulsa, Okla., to points in the United States (except Hawaii and Oklahoma), and (B) *materials and equipment* used in the manufacture, installation and fabrication of magnesium products and anodes and *rejected and refused shipments* of the commodities in paragraph (A) above, from points in the United States (except Hawaii and Oklahoma), to plant sites of Standard Magnesium Corporation near Tulsa, Okla.

HEARING: July 9, 1962, at the Mayo Hotel, Tulsa, Okla., before Examiner Frank H. Mahoney.

No. MC 124360, filed April 12, 1962. Applicant: ANDY VOLOSHIN AND JAMES D. CLAY, doing business as RIVERVIEW SALE & SERVICE, a partnership, Craig, Colo. Applicant's attorney: John H. Lewis, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver 3, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mobile homes*, between points in Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah.

HEARING: July 13, 1962, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Joint Board No. 280, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 124362, filed April 12, 1962. Applicant: DAIRY FRESH PROCUREMENT CORPORATION, 4770 East 50th Street, Los Angeles, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products, smoked, cured, and cooked meat products, and foodstuffs*, from points in Illinois, Iowa, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Michigan to Los Angeles, Calif., and exempt commodities on return trips.

HEARING: July 24, 1962, at the Federal Building, Los Angeles, Calif., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 124424, filed May 2, 1962. Applicant: A & M TRANSFER CO., a corporation, P.O. Box 603, Pahokee, Fla. Applicant's attorney: W. B. Dickenson, Jr., First National Bank Building, Tampa 2, Fla. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ground clays*, in bags in truck-load lots—minimum 15,000 pounds for truck and 30,000 pounds for tractor-trailer, from points in Decatur and Bibb Counties, Ga., and points in Aiken County, S.C., to Tampa, Fla., and exempt commodities, on return.

HEARING: July 12, 1962, at the Georgia Public Service Commission, 244 Washington Street SW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 354.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 2908 (Sub-No. 16), filed February 1, 1962. Applicant: CAPITAL MOTOR LINES, 520 North Court Street, Montgomery, Ala. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers, express, mail, newspapers, and baggage* of passengers, in the same vehicle with passengers, between Clio, Ala., and Fort Rucker, Ala.; from Clio over Alabama Highway 51 a distance of approximately one (1) mile to junction of County Road 15, thence over County Road 15 a distance of approximately 13 miles to junction of County Road 32, thence over County Road 32 a distance of approximately 5 miles to Ozark, Ala., thence over unnumbered County Road a distance of approximately 7 miles to Fort Rucker, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Ozark, Ala.

HEARING: July 23, 1962, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Montgomery, Ala., before Joint Board 100.

APPLICATIONS FOR BROKERAGE LICENSES

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 12795, filed February 23, 1962. Applicant: WALLACE W. HYDE, doing business as THE HYDE MINNESOTA-CANADIAN CAMPING TRIPS, 2338 1/2 East Polk, Phoenix, Ariz. Applicant's attorney: Philip J. Shea, First National Bank Building, Phoenix 4, Ariz. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a broker at Phoenix, Ariz., in arranging for the transportation by motor vehicle, in interstate or foreign commerce of *Passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle, both as individuals and in groups, between points in Arizona and points in Minnesota including Ports of Entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located in Minnesota.

HEARING: July 16, 1962, at the Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Ariz., before Joint Board No. 240, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner David Waters.

APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAS BEEN ELECTED

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 61403 (Sub-No. 78), filed May 17, 1962. Applicant: THE MASON AND DIXON TANK LINES, INC., Eastman Road, Kingsport, Tenn. Applicant's attorney: S. S. Eisen, 140 Cedar Street, New York 6, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank and hopper type vehicles, from Fernald, Ohio, to points in Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, and South Carolina. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 112846 (Sub-No. 31), filed May 16, 1962. Applicant: CLARE M. MARSHALL, INC., Post Office Box 611, Oil City, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Harold G. Hernly, 711 14th Street NW.,

Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, in bulk, in insulated tank vehicles, from Emmenton, Pa., to Dayton, Ohio.

No. MC 119934 (Sub-No. 47), filed May 21, 1962. Applicant: ECOFF TRUCKING, INC., Fortville, Ind. Applicant's attorney: Robert C. Smith, 512 Illinois Building, Indianapolis 4, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid sodium silicate solution*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Anderson, Ind., to Birmingham, Ala., and *damaged and rejected shipments*, on return.

No. MC 123894 (Sub-No. 2), filed May 18, 1962. Applicant: CARL TAYLOR, TAYLOR TRUCKING, 3960 North Barton Avenue, Fresno, Calif. Applicant's representative: M. A. Smith, Jr., 4576 North Fresno Avenue, Fresno 26, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Granite* from Knowles, Calif., to Salt Lake City, Utah, and only *exempt commodities* on return trips.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 1501 (Sub-No. 276), filed May 21, 1962. Applicant: THE GREYHOUND CORPORATION, 140 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's attorney: Earl A. Bagby, 371 Market Street, San Francisco, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, and *express and newspapers* in the same vehicles with passengers, over relocated highways, serving all intermediate points. The proposals herein relate to routes and points entirely within the State of Oregon. The route numbers cited or otherwise used are those assigned, or proposed to be assigned, in applicant's Twenty-Third Revised Certificate No. MC-1501 (Sub-No. 138) to routes of applicant within the State of Oregon. **REVISION OF OREGON ROUTE NO. 8, CERTIFICATE SHEET No. 60. Requested Authorizations:** (1) Authorize a new regular route between North Hunters Creek Junction and South Hunters Creek Junction over U.S. Highway 101 (as relocated). (2) Abandon a segment of present regular Route 8 between North Hunters Creek Junction and South Hunters Creek Junction over former U.S. Highway 101. (3) Authorize a new regular route between Burnt Hill Junction and Harris Creek Junction over U.S. Highway 101 (as relocated). (4) Abandon a segment of present regular-route No. 8 between Burnt Hill Junction and Harris Creek Junction over former U.S. Highway 101. **(2) REVISION OF OREGON ROUTE NO. 14, CERTIFICATE SHEET No. 60. Requested Authorization.** Authorize a new regular route between Portland and North Tigard, as follows: "14. Between Portland and the Oregon-California State Line. From Portland over Interstate Highway 5 to junction with U.S.

Highway 99 (North Tigard), thence over U.S. Highway 99 to Albany, thence over U.S. Highway 99E to Junction City, thence over U.S. Highway 99W to Eugene, thence over U.S. Highway 99 to the Oregon-California State Line."

APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTIONS 5 AND 210a(b)

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's special rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under sections 5(a) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto (49 CFR 1.240).

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC-F-8135 (CORRECTION) (TIGER EXPRESS, INC.—PURCHASE (PORTION)—GENE ADAMS REFRIGERATED TRUCKING SERVICE, INC.), published in the May 9, 1962, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER on page 4460. The address of applicants' attorneys Norman M. Pinsky and Herbert M. Canter should have read: "407 South Warren Street, Syracuse 2, New York."

No. MC-F-8137 (CORRECTION) (C. H. MILLER—PURCHASE—G. W. THOMAS DRAYAGE & RIGGING CO., INC.), published in the May 9, 1962, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER on page 4460. The operating rights being transferred should read, in part as follows: *Commodities*, requiring special handling by reason of their unusual size or weight, and in connection therewith, *parts*, when included in the same shipment, * * * between railroad and inland water terminals within 100 miles of San Francisco, "including those in San Francisco" * * *

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5248; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

MAY 25, 1962.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 37755: *Bituminous fine coal to Traer, Iowa*. Filed by Illinois Freight Association, Agent (No. 167), for interested rail carriers. Rates on bituminous fine coal, as described in the application, in carloads, from mine origins in Illinois, Indiana and western Kentucky, to Traer, Iowa.

Grounds for relief: Natural gas competition.

Tariffs: Supplement 45 to Illinois Freight Association tariff I.C.C. 966, and 8 other schedules named in the application.

FSA No. 37756: *Hay from Michigan points to points in Virginia*. Filed by

Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent (No. A-2246), for interested rail carriers. Rates on hay, in carloads, from specified points in Michigan, to points in North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia.

Grounds for relief: Modified short-line distance formula.

Tariff: Supplement 1 to Western Trunk Line Committee tariff I.C.C. A-4434.

FSA No. 37757: *Vinyl acetate from Geismar, La., to Demopolis, Ala.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent (No. A4191), for interested rail carriers. Rates on vinyl acetate, in tank-car loads, from Geismar, La., to Demopolis, Ala.

Grounds for relief: Barge competition. Tariff: Supplement 71 to Southern Freight Association tariff I.C.C. S-89.

FSA No. 37758: *Class and commodity rates from and to Howell, N.C.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent (No. A4192), for interested rail carriers. Rates on various commodities, in carloads and less-than-carloads, between Howell, N.C., on the one hand, and points in the United States and Canada, on the other.

Grounds for relief: New station and grouping.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5246; Filed, May 29, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management IDAHO

Order Providing for Opening of Lands

MAY 22, 1962.

The State of Idaho has certified that the hereinafter described lands patented to the State under the provisions of section 4 of the act of August 18, 1894 (28 Stat. 422; 43 U.S.C. sec. 641), as amended, commonly known as the Carey Act, have not been reclaimed as required by the Carey Act and that water is not available for the irrigation of this tract. The State of Idaho, therefore, has reconveyed the lands to the United States:

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

T. 7 S., R. 14 E.
Sec. 33: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The area described totals 40 acres of public land.

The land is located in Gooding County about 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ miles east of the Owsley Bridge and 6 miles west of Wendell, Idaho. The soils are shallow sandy loam with some float rock mainly unsuitable for crop production. The surface relief is quite undulating.

The public lands affected by this order are hereby restored to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid existing rights, the provisions of existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, rules and regulations.

Applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws presented prior to