

ministration, petitions for leave to intervene received after the close of business on November 9, 1959, will not be granted in this proceeding.

Dated: October 21, 1959.

JAMES L. PIMPER,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9057; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:47 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

DAVID A. WINGATE

Appeals Board Decision

In the matter of David A. Wingate, 68 Wall Street, New York, New York, Appeals Board Docket No. FC-51; B.F.C. Case No. 259.

This is an appeal by David A. Wingate from an Order revoking export licenses and denying export privileges issued on April 28, 1959, herein called the order, by John C. Borton, Director, Office of Export Supply, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, herein called the Bureau (24 F.R. 3515, 5-1-59). Since the appellant has waived oral hearing, this appeal is considered on the record.

Appellant's notice of appeal was filed by letter dated May 8, 1959. By request of the appellant, the Board held this appeal in abeyance until June 19, 1959, when the appellant notified the Board that a request for modification of the order had been denied by the Bureau. The appellant and the Bureau then submitted successive statements and supplemental statements as the case unfolded, received by the Board as follows: from the appellant, July 17, 1959; from the appellant, July 28, 1959; from the Bureau, August 6, 1959; from the appellant, August 28, 1959; from the appellant, October 1, 1959; and from the Bureau, October 5, 1959.

The Board has given careful consideration to the record in this case, together with the arguments made by the appellant and the Bureau. The Board concludes:

1. Under applicable law and Bureau regulations, the violations admitted by the appellant warranted an order denying export privileges.

2. In determining the length of suspension to be imposed, the Bureau gave certain factors weight adverse to the appellant when, on the basis of this record and the circumstances of this case, these factors should not have been given such weight, for example: (a) A comparison drawn between the appellant and a violator in another case apparently based only on the latter's submission to a consent decree; and (b) an inference drawn from the appellant's absence from the hearing before the Compliance Commissioner.

In view of the foregoing, the order is hereby affirmed as to the first six months (ending October 28, 1959) of the one year for which the appellant's export privileges were denied, but amended to eliminate the second six months of this one year (ending April 28, 1960)

which were imposed under conditions that constituted a probationary period.

GRISWOLD FORBES,
Chairman,
Appeals Board.

OCTOBER 20, 1959.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9054; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:47 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-140]

GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP.

Notice of Issuance of Utilization Facility Export License

Please take notice that no request for a formal hearing having been filed following filing of a notice of proposed action with the Office of the Federal Register, the Atomic Energy Commission has issued License No. XR-32 to General Dynamics Corporation authorizing export of a nuclear reactor to the Office of Atomic Energy of the Republic of Viet Nam. The notice of proposed issuance of this license, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 28, 1959, described the reactor as a 100 kilowatt TRIGA Mark II nuclear reactor.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 20th day of October 1959.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. L. KIRK,
Acting Director,

Division of Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9040; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 8748]

AMERICAN SHIPPERS ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDING

Notice of Postponement of Hearing

Pursuant to the joint motion of the compliance attorney and attorney for the respondent, the hearing in this proceeding is postponed to November 3, 1959, at 10 a.m., in room 911, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before Hearing Examiner Ralph L. Wiser.

Dated at Washington, D.C., October 22, 1959.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9063; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 10936]

REAL S.A. TRANSPORTES AEREOS

Notice of Prehearing Conference

In the matter of the application of Real S.A. Transportes Aereos for a foreign air carrier permit for service between a terminal point in Brazil, the in-

termediate points Bogota, Colombia, Mexico City, Mexico, Los Angeles, California, Honolulu, Hawaii, and the terminal point, Tokyo, Japan.

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled proceeding is assigned to be held on October 29, 1959, at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t., in Room 911, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Barron Fredericks.

Dated at Washington, D.C., October 22, 1959.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9064; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 13062; FCC 59M-1390]

CHE BROADCASTING CO. (NSL)

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of CHE Broadcasting Company (NSL), Albuquerque, New Mexico, Docket No. 13062, File No. BP-11842; for construction permit.

On the request of applicant CHE in an undated letter received October 20, 1959, for a postponement of the hearing now scheduled for October 30, 1959, and without objection by the Broadcast Bureau: It is ordered, This 20th day of October 1959, that the hearing is continued to Friday, November 13, 1959, at 10 a.m., in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: October 21, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9059; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13200; FCC 59M-1393]

OKLAHOMA QUALITY BROADCASTING CO. (KSWO-TV)

Order Scheduling Prehearing Conference

In re application of Oklahoma Quality Broadcasting Company (KSWO-TV), a co-partnership composed of R. H. Drewry, J. R. Montgomery, Ted R. Warrentin and Edith H. Scott, executrix of the estate of Robert P. Scott, deceased, Lawton, Oklahoma, Docket No. 13200, File No. BPCT-2637; for construction permit to change existing facilities.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the desirability of establishing a date for a prehearing conference:

It appearing that on October 16, 1959, the conference was continued indefinitely from the date of October 23, 1959:

It is ordered, This 21st day of October 1959, on the Examiner's own motion, that

a prehearing conference will be held on October 29, 1959, at 3:00 p.m.

Released: October 22, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9060; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12813; FCC 59M-1389]

SOUTHBAY BROADCASTERS

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of Burr Stalnaker, John B. Stodelle and Melva G. Chernoff, d/b as Southbay Broadcasters, Chula Vista, California, Docket No. 12813, File No. BP-11469; for construction permit for a new standard broadcast station.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the petition for change of procedural dates filed in the above-entitled proceeding on October 15, 1959 by KFWB Broadcasting Corporation;

It appearing that all parties to the proceeding have consented to immediate consideration and grant of the said petition and that good cause for a grant thereof has been shown;

It is ordered, This 20th day of October 1959 that the said petition is granted, the date for the exchange of exhibits by respondent is continued to November 23, 1959 and the date for notification of witness for cross-examination is continued to November 28, 1959;

It is further ordered, That the hearing presently scheduled for November 10, 1959 is continued to December 7, 1959, commencing at 10:00 a.m., in the offices of the Commission at Washington, D.C.

Released: October 21, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9061; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 12991, 12992; FCC 59M-1394]

**SUBURBAN BROADCASTING CO.,
INC., AND CAMDEN BROADCAST-
ING CO.**

Order Continuing Hearing Conference

In re applications of Suburban Broadcasting Company, Inc., Mount Kisco, New York, Docket No. 12991, File No. BPH-2620; Donald Jerome Lewis, tr/as Camden Broadcasting Co., Newark, New Jersey, Docket No. 12992, File No. BPH-2624; for construction permits for new FM broadcast stations.

By agreement of the parties: It is ordered, This 21st day of October, 1959, that the prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter presently scheduled for October 26, 1959, be, and the

same is, hereby continued to November 12, 1959.

Released: October 22, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9062; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 2269]

**NORTH FORK STANISLAUS RIVER
HYDROELECTRIC DEVELOPMENT,
CALAVERAS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT**

Notice of Land Withdrawal; California

OCTOBER 21, 1959.

Conformable to the provisions of section 24 of the Act of June 10, 1920, as amended, notice is hereby given that the lands herein described insofar as title thereto remains in the United States are included in Power Project No. 2269, for which completed application for preliminary permit was filed August 5, 1959, by the Calaveras County Water District of San Andreas, California. Under said section 24, these lands are from said date of filing reserved from all forms of disposal under the laws of the United States until otherwise directed by the Commission or by Congress.

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, CALIFORNIA

- T. 3 N., R. 14 E.,
Sec. 1: Lot 9.
T. 4 N., R. 14 E.,
Sec. 36: S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 3 N., R. 15 E.,
Sec. 6: Lots 5, 6, 7, 8.
T. 4 N., R. 15 E.,
Sec. 2: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 4: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9: NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 16: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17: S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 5 N., R. 15 E.,
Sec. 34: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 6 N., R. 16 E.,
Sec. 1: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$; N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 6 N., R. 17 E.,
Sec. 2: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3: N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 4: N $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 5: N $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 6: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 7 N., R. 17 E.,
Sec. 34: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35: SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

- T. 6 N., R. 18 E.,
Sec. 1: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 2: N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3: All.
T. 7 N., R. 18 E.,
Sec. 34: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 7 N., R. 19 E.,
Sec. 29: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31: NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Also certain lands of the United States, of variable widths, lying adjacent to the Ebbetts Pass Highway (Forest Highway No. 35) acquired by Forest Exchange Sacramento 035953 pursuant to the Act of March 20, 1922 (42 Stat. 465), as amended by the Act of February 28, 1925 (43 Stat. 1090), by warranty deed of July 11, 1944, from the Calaveras Land and Timber Corporation. This exchange involved portions of sections 1, 11, 12, and 14, T. 5 N., R. 15 E., and of sections 10, 11, 15, 28, 29, 31, and 32, T. 6 N., R. 15 E., which are within the project boundaries of Project No. 2269. A description of these lands may be found in the warranty deed of July 11, 1944, recorded on July 17, 1944, in Book 30, page 198, et seq., of the official records of Calaveras County, California, or Interior Department decision of April 26, 1944, entitled "Decision, Calaveras Land and Timber Corporation, Stanislaus National Forest, Forest Exchange Land for Timber 1980767 'K' (Sacramento), Conditional Approval."

The area reserved pursuant to the filing of this application, excluding the Forest Exchange Sacramento 035953, is approximately 7,792.31 acres of which 7,508.48 acres are in the Stanislaus National Forest. Of this area approximately 4,752.31 acres have been heretofore reserved in connection with Project Nos. 95, 1219, 1790, and 2019; Power Site Reserve Nos. 613 and 688; or Power Site Classification No. 220.

Copies of the project maps (F.P.C. No. 2269-1 and 2) have been transmitted to the Bureau of Land Management, Forest Service, and Geological Survey.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9046; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. DA-471—Oregon]

**LANDS WITHDRAWN IN PROJECTS
NOS. 1039 AND 1046**

**Partial Vacation of Withdrawals Under
Section 24 of the Federal Water
Power Act**

OCTOBER 20, 1959.

The Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, through the Bureau of Land Management, United States Department of the Interior, has requested the restoration to their orig-

inal status of lands within the Willamette National Forest which were reserved pursuant to the filing of conflicting applications for preliminary permits for proposed Projects Nos. 1039 and 1046, particularly those lands within Tps. 21 and 22, Rgs. 5½ and 6 E., Willamette meridian, Oregon, in order that it may carry out a coordinated land management program, with special emphasis given to the high recreational and scenic values involved.

The lands in Rgs. 5½ and 6 E., to which specific reference is made, comprise the shore lands about Waldo Lake (elevation 5,410 feet), a natural body of water which is the source of the North Fork of the Middle Fork Willamette River.

Proposed Project No. 1039, for which an application for a preliminary permit was filed November 27, 1929, and subsequently amended, and proposed Project No. 1046, for which an application for a preliminary permit was filed December 21, 1929, proposed the development of power by the diversion-conduit method on Salmon and Black Creeks and the use of the water stored in Waldo Lake. The original application for proposed Project No. 1039 contemplated the development of the North Fork Middle Fork. The Commission on January 9, 1933, authorized the issuance of a preliminary permit for proposed Project No. 1039 and denied the application for a preliminary permit for proposed Project No. 1046 and on March 28, 1933, said authorization was revoked and the application for a preliminary permit for proposed Project No. 1039 was denied.

The withdrawals herein reviewed pertain to those lands about Waldo Lake, the source of the North Fork Middle Fork, and down that stream to the community of Westfir—a short distance upstream from the backwater of the Look-out Point Reservoir (Meridian site) constructed by the Corps of Engineers—and along the Black and Salmon Creeks.

Under authority of a Special Use Permit issued by the Forest Service in 1909 a tunnel about 500 feet long with head-gate structures was constructed for the development of storage in Waldo Lake which would discharge from Klondahl Bay into Black Creek, just west of the south end of the lake. The water so withdrawn was to be used for developing hydroelectric power on Black Creek at a point located approximately 6.5 miles west of the lake, and on the south bank of the North Fork Middle Fork at Westfir and for irrigating areas in the vicinity of Eugene and Springfield. Other than construction of the outlet works, no further development has taken place.

The Corps of Engineers has suggested the construction of a new tunnel in the vicinity of the existing works, but at a lower elevation which would provide water for use at the Meridian and Dexter plants on the Middle Fork Willamette River during critical power years and would not require the acquisition of reservoir area. Maximum use of the reservoir at the Meridian site for power production is reported to be dependent on the Waldo Lake development and

on the Hills Creek reservoir now under construction. However, the suggested construction of the tunnel and reregulation works at Waldo Lake has been found to lack economic feasibility.

The Willamette River Basin is one of the most rapidly developing areas of the Pacific Northwest and its power loads are expected to continue to increase with its future development.

A significant characteristic of the North Fork Middle Fork is that high flows occur during the winter and early spring months when the demand for power is high and when the main stem of the Columbia River and its upstream tributaries are flowing at relatively low rates. Thus hydroelectric generation in the basin is highest during the period in which capability of the Columbia River is low.

Power sites with high winter peaking capabilities will grow in importance in the Pacific Northwest in proportion to the rate in which installations are completed on the main stem of the Columbia River and its inland tributaries where flows are relatively low in the winter. The North Fork, Middle Fork and Salmon Creek in the area under consideration possess considerable amounts of potential power, especially power which could be made available during months of high demand. It is during such critical periods that the natural storage provided by Waldo Lake may become very desirable or even necessary in the operation of the Meridian and Dexter plants at maximum capacity.

It is recognized that the area about Waldo Lake has exceptionally high recreational and scenic values and those uses are now and probably will continue to be desirable. However, each parcel of land with frontage on the lake does have value for water-storage purposes, which at a later date may be found indispensable to a water-power project. Complete regulation would require only minor fluctuation of lake levels, and the tunnel, conduit and powerhouse installations at and near the lake can be so placed as not to detract materially from the scenic value and cause no appreciable interference with desirable recreational activities.

In the reach of the North Fork Middle Fork under consideration only one project having storage possibilities—variously referred to as the North Fork or Mile 6.7 site in the NE¼ of sec. 27, T. 20 S., R. 3 E., about 35 miles downstream from Waldo Lake—has been suggested recently for future development.

Some of the lands reserved pursuant to the filing of the application for a preliminary permit for proposed Project No. 1046, which, when surveyed, probably will be the SE¼NW¼ and the NE¼SW¼ of sec. 36, T. 21 S., R. 5½ E., Willamette meridian, Oregon, are occupied by the aforementioned control works and are proposed for use as the location for new regulatory facilities and the lands in lot 2, sec. 1, and in the NE¼NW¼ of sec. 12, T. 20 S., R. 3 E., reserved pursuant to the filing of the application for a preliminary permit for proposed Project No. 1039, have flowage value in connection with the suggested development of the Mile 6.7 dam site.

The Commission finds: Inasmuch as the lands under existing power withdrawals under section 24 of the Federal Water Power Act pursuant to the filing of the applications for preliminary permits for proposed Projects Nos. 1039 and 1046, except for those lands situated about Waldo Lake below the 5415-foot elevation, and those which, when surveyed, probably will be in the SE¼NW¼ and in the NE¼SW¼ of sec. 36, T. 21 S., R. 5½ E., Willamette meridian, Oregon, and those in lot 2 of sec. 1 and in the NE¼NW¼ of sec. 12, T. 20 S., R. 3 E., Willamette meridian, Oregon, have negligible or no value for purposes of power development, the withdrawals serve no useful purpose and vacation of the withdrawals as hereinafter provided is in the public interest.

The Commission orders:

(A) The existing power withdrawals pertaining to the following-described lands under section 24 of the Federal Water Power Act pursuant to the filing of the applications for preliminary permits for proposed Projects Nos. 1039 and 1046 are vacated:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

- T. 19 S., R. 3 E.,
 Sec. 36: SE¼NE¼, NE¼SE¼, W¼SE¼.
 T. 20 S., R. 3 E.,
 Sec. 1: Lot 3, S¼NW¼, W¼SW¼;
 Sec. 12: SW¼NE¼, NW¼NW¼, SE¼NW¼, W¼SE¼;
 Sec. 13: W¼E¼;
 Sec. 24: W¼NE¼, NE¼NW¼, NW¼SE¼, E¼SW¼, SW¼SW¼;
 Sec. 25: NW¼NW¼;
 Sec. 26: Lots 2, 3, 4, N¼NE¼, NE¼NW¼;
 Sec. 27: Lots 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15;
 Sec. 33: Lots 1, 2, SW¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼;
 Sec. 34: NW¼NW¼.
 T. 21 S., R. 3 E.,
 Sec. 2: W¼SW¼;
 Sec. 3: SE¼SE¼, W¼SW¼;
 Sec. 4: Lot 3, S¼NW¼;
 Sec. 5: N¼SE¼, E¼SW¼;
 Sec. 7: SE¼NE¼;
 Sec. 8: W¼NW¼, NW¼SW¼;
 Sec. 9: SW¼NW¼;
 Sec. 10: S¼NW¼;
 Sec. 11: SW¼NE¼, NW¼, SE¼, N¼SW¼, SE¼SW¼;
 Sec. 12: S¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼, N¼SE¼, SW¼;
 Sec. 13: NW¼NW¼;
 Sec. 14: N¼NE¼, NE¼NW¼.
 T. 19 S., R. 4 E.,
 Sec. 31: Lots 1, 2, E¼NW¼.
 T. 20 S., R. 4 E.,
 Sec. 25: SW¼SE¼, S¼SW¼;
 Sec. 26: S¼;
 Sec. 27: S¼;
 Sec. 28: E¼SE¼;
 Sec. 32: S¼SE¼, SE¼SW¼;
 Sec. 33: NE¼, SE¼NW¼, N¼SE¼, SW¼, SE¼SW¼;
 Sec. 34: NW¼NW¼;
 Sec. 36: NE¼, N¼NW¼.
 T. 21 S., R. 4 E.,
 Sec. 4: Lots 2, 3, 4;
 Sec. 5: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S¼NW¼, N¼SW¼, SW¼SW¼;
 Sec. 6: Lots 5, 7, E¼NE¼, SW¼NE¼, N¼SE¼, NE¼SW¼;
 Sec. 7: N¼NE¼, SW¼NE¼, NW¼, NW¼SW¼.
 T. 20 S., R. 5 E.,
 Sec. 31: Lots 2, 3, E¼NE¼, SW¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼, SE¼, E¼SW¼;
 Sec. 32: S¼NE¼, W¼NW¼, SE¼NW¼, NE¼SE¼;
 Sec. 33: S¼NE¼, NW¼SE¼, N¼SW¼;
 Sec. 34: S¼NE¼, NW¼.

T. 21 S., R. 5 E.,
 Sec. 6: Lot 1;
 Sec. 25: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 36: NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 21 S., R. 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. (unsurveyed),
 Sec. 27: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 28: S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 29: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
 NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 34: NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 35: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 36: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

and the existing power withdrawals pertaining to all portions of the following-described lands situated about Waldo Lake at and above the 5415-foot contour under Section 24 of the Federal Water Power Act pursuant to the filing of the applications for preliminary permits for proposed Projects Nos. 1039 and 1046 are vacated:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

T. 21 S., R. 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. (unsurveyed),
 Sec. 13: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 24: E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 25: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 36: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 22 S., R. 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. (unsurveyed),
 Sec. 1: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 12: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 21 S., R. 6 E.,
 Sec. 7: Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10;
 Sec. 8: Lots 4, 5, 6, 7;
 Sec. 9: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4;
 Sec. 16: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4;
 Sec. 18: Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10;
 Sec. 21: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4;
 Sec. 28: Lot 1;
 Sec. 29: Lots 1, 2, 3;
 Sec. 30: Lots 1, 2, 3;
 Sec. 31: Lots 1, 2, 3;
 Sec. 32: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4.
 T. 22 S., R. 6 E.,
 Sec. 5: Lot 5;
 Sec. 6: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4;
 Sec. 7: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4.

(B) The request insofar as it pertains to the lands in the exception in the finding herein is denied.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
 Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9047; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-19097]

KINGWOOD OIL CO. ET AL.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

OCTOBER 21, 1959.

Take notice that Kingwood Oil Company, Operator, et al (Applicant), with a principal place of business in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, filed an application for itself and Vanson Production Company, Aaron M. Weitzenhoffer, Davis & Law and L. D. Wyant, pursuant to section 7 (b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon service to Cities Service Gas Company (Cities Service) from the Crawford B-2 Gas Unit, located in West Lawrie Field, Logan County, Oklahoma. This service is covered by a gas sales contract dated June 14, 1955, as amended, between Davon Oil & Gas Company, et al., as sellers, and Cities Service, as buyer, on file as

Kingwood Oil Company, Operator, et al., FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 3, as supplemented, all as more fully described in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that the Crawford B-2 Well, located on the Crawford B-2 Unit was never capable of delivering any large quantity of gas and for many months prior to August 21, 1958, the well was unable to produce any gas whatsoever due to the small amount of reserves and the pressure in the buyer's line. Included in the application is a letter agreement dated August 30, 1958, whereby Cities Service and Kingwood agree to terminate the contract of June 14, 1955.

Kingwood, Operator, et al., were authorized on April 22, 1957, in Docket No. G-10599 to render the service now proposed to be abandoned.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on November 25, 1959, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 130(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before November 13, 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
 Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9058; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
 8:48 a.m.]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[22-6:5]

CERTAIN CHEESES

Notice of Supplemental Investigation and Date of Hearing

At the request of the President, the United States Tariff Commission, on the 21st day of October 1959, instituted an investigation supplemental to its investigation No. 6 under section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended, with respect to the following named cheeses: Edam and Gouda

cheeses; and Italian-type cheeses made from cow's milk in original loaves (Romano made from cow's milk, Reggiano, Parmesano, Provoloni, Provolone, and Sbrinz).

Purpose of supplemental investigation. After investigation by the United States Tariff Commission under section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 624), the President issued a proclamation imposing absolute annual quotas on imports of the above-named cheeses. On Edam and Gouda cheeses the aggregate annual quota is 4,600,200 pounds; on the aforementioned Italian-type cheeses the aggregate annual quota is 9,200,100 pounds. In accordance with the request of the President, the instant supplemental investigation is being undertaken under the authority of section 22(d) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended, for the purpose of determining what, if any, additional quantities of the aforementioned cheeses may be permitted to be imported without materially interfering with or rendering ineffective the price-support program of the Department of Agriculture on milk and butterfat. Section 22(d) authorizes the President to modify import restrictions imposed under the authority of section 22 whenever, after investigation by the Tariff Commission, he finds and proclaims that changed circumstances require such modification.

Hearing. A public hearing in connection with this supplemental investigation will be held in the Hearing Room, Tariff Commission Building, 8th and E Streets NW., Washington, D.C., beginning at 10 a.m., e.s.t., on November 23, 1959. Interested parties desiring to appear and to be heard at the hearing should notify the Secretary of the Commission, in writing, at its offices in Washington, D.C., at least three days in advance of the date set for the hearing.

Issued: October 22, 1959.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT,
 Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-9055; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
 8:47 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE
 COMMISSION

[Notice 209]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER
 PROCEEDINGS

OCTOBER 22, 1959.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition

will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 62489. By order of October 21, 1959, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Three "I" Truck Line, Inc., 106 North Court Street, Ottumwa, Iowa, of Certificate in No. MC 117892, issued June 22, 1959, to Kenneth F. Dudley, doing business as Three "I" Truck Line, 106 North Court Street, Ottumwa, Iowa, authorizing the transportation of: General commodities, with the usual exceptions including household goods, between Rock Island, Ill., and Chicago, Ill., serving the intermediate points of Moline, East Moline and Sandwich, Ill.

No. MC-FC 62580. By order of October 19, 1959, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to C. & C. Express, Inc., Carlstadt, N.J., of Certificates in Nos. MC 112007 and MC 112007 Sub 1, issued November 21, 1950 and July 22, 1958, respectively, to Nicholas J. Caravaglia, and Nunzio Caravaglia, a partnership, doing business as C. & C. Express Co., Carlstadt, N.J., authorizing the transportation of: Materials used in the manufacture of wearing apparel from New York, N.Y., to points in Bergen and Passaic Counties, N.J., wearing apparel from points in the aforesaid counties, N.J., to New York, N.Y., merchandise which has been or is to be sold at bankruptcy, auction, judicial or liquidation sales between Philadelphia, Pa., and points in Delaware, New Jersey, and part of Maryland and New York Commercial Zone, shoes, dry goods, notions, trimmings, ladies', men's and children's wearing apparel, and store and office fixtures and equipment from points in N.J., to Philadelphia, Pa., and household goods from May 1 to October 31, inclusive, between Philadelphia, Pa., and Atlantic City, N.J. Herman B. J. Weckstein, Attorney, 1060 Broad St., Newark 2, N.J.

No. MC-FC 62581. By order of October 21, 1959, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Eva Lou Johnson, doing business as Winters, Media, Pa., of remaining portion of Certificate in No. MC 33549 issued December 13, 1951, to Staub Transportation Company, a corporation, Philadelphia, Pa., authorizing the transportation of: Household goods as defined in *Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods*, 17 M.C.C. 467, and plants and flowers between points in Philadelphia, Montgomery, Bucks, Delaware, Lancaster and Chester Counties, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, Maryland, Delaware, District of Columbia and Virginia. E. Washington Rhodes, Attorney, 526 South 16th Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC-FC 62585. By order of October 21, 1959, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Nixon Moving Co., Inc., 1431 Catherine St., Philadelphia, Pa., of portion of Certificate in No.

MC 33549, issued December 13, 1951, to Staub Transportation Company, a corporation, 4155 Germantown Ave., Philadelphia, Pa., authorizing the transportation of: Household goods, plants and flowers between points in Philadelphia, Montgomery, Bucks, Delaware, Lancaster and Chester Counties, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, Connecticut and Massachusetts, traversing Rhode Island for operating convenience only.

No. MC-FC 62640. By order of October 19, 1959, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Paul J. McCoy, doing business as McCoy Transfer Company, Alton, Ill., of Certificate No. MC 28240, issued April 27, 1956, in the name of George L. McCoy and Paul J. McCoy, a partnership, doing business as McCoy Transfer Company, Alton, Ill., authorizing the transportation of general commodities, not including household goods and various specified commodities, over regular route, between Alton, Ill., and St. Louis, Mo., serving the off-route points of Wood River, East Alton, Roxana, Hartford, and Bethalto, Ill.; and general commodities, not including household goods, over irregular routes, from St. Louis, Mo., to Alton, Ill., and points within 50 miles thereof. A. A. Marshall, 3-5 Buder Building, St. Louis 1, Mo., for applicants.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 59-9052; Filed, Oct. 26, 1959;
8:47 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 21, 1959.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 35774: *Soda ash—Eastern points to Carolina and Tennessee points.* Filed by O. E. Schultz, Agent (ER No. 2514), for interested rail carriers. Rates on soda ash, in bulk, carloads from specified producing points in Michigan, New York, and Ohio to specified consuming points in North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.

Grounds for relief: Market competition at destinations with Saltville, Va.

Tariffs: Supplement 138 to Traffic Executive Association—Eastern Railroads, Agent, tariff I.C.C. A-1079 (Boin series), and supplement 132 to the same agent's tariff I.C.C. 4664 (Hinsch series).

FSA No. 35775: *Soda ash—Eastern points to Camp Croft, S.C.* Filed by O. E. Schultz, Agent (No. ER 2515), for interested rail carriers. Rates on soda ash, in bags, in bulk, carloads, from specified producing points in Michigan, New York,

Ohio, and Pennsylvania to Camp Croft, S.C.

Grounds for relief: Market competition at destination with Saltville, Va.

Tariffs: Supplement 138 to Traffic Executive Association—Eastern Railroads, Agent, tariff I.C.C. A-1079 (Boin series), and supplement 132 to the same agent's tariff I.C.C. 4664 (Hinsch series).

FSA No. 35776: *Substituted service—M-K-T Lines for Consolidated Forwarding Co.* Filed by J. D. Hughett, Agent (No. 22), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in trailers and transported on railroad flat cars between Muskogee, Okla., on the one hand, and Dallas, Tex., on the other, on traffic originating at or destined to points in the territories described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 5 to Agent J. D. Hughett's tariff I.C.C. No. 285.

FSA No. 35777: *Substituted service—C.R.I. & P. for Watson Bros. Trans. Co.* Filed by Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, Agent (No. 196), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in trailers and transported on railroad flat cars between Tucumcari, N. Mex., on the one hand, and Chicago (Burr Oak), Ill., or Kansas City (Armourdale), Kans., on the other, on traffic from or to points in territories described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 113 to Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, Agent, MF-I.C.C. 223.

FSA No. 35778: *Substituted service—Pennsylvania Railroad for Motor Carriers.* Filed by Central States Motor Freight Bureau, Agent (No. 31), for The Pennsylvania Railroad Company, and interested motor carriers. Rates on property loaded in trailers and transported on railroad flat cars between (1) Chicago, Ill., and Columbus, Ohio and (2) Detroit, Mich., and Pittsburgh, Pa., on traffic from or to points in territories described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor truck competition.

Tariff: Central States Motor Bureau, Inc., Agent, tariff I.C.C. No. 29.

FSA No. 35779: *Substituted service—C.R.I. & P. for Central Transfer Co.* Filed by Central States Motor Freight Bureau, Inc., Agent (No. 32), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in trailers and transported on railroad flat cars between Chicago, Ill., and Peoria, Ill., on traffic from or to points beyond in territories described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor truck competition.

Tariff: Central States Motor Freight Bureau, Inc., Agent, tariff I.C.C. No. 29.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 59-9011; Filed, Oct. 23, 1959;
8:50 a.m.]